

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1911.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 486, 21st July 1911.

Building Grants.

Recording papers regarding the relative proportion of grants made to mission and non-mission institutions during the year 1909-10.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1911.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 496, 21st July 1911.

Building Grants.

Recording papers regarding the relative proportion of grants made to mission and non-mission institutions during the year 1909-10.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Memorandum No. 205-1, Educational, dated 13th February 1911.

In paragraph 20 of the Report on Public Instruction for 1909-10, it is stated that institutions under mission management received over 42 per cent. of the total amount spent on grants-in-aid though the proportion of mission institutions to the total number of aided institutions was only 24.7 per cent. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to explain at a very early date how such a large proportion of the total grants came to be paid to mission institutions.

G. F. PADDISON,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., Acting Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 3rd March 1911.

No.—R.C. 1011.

With reference to Memorandum No. 205-1, Educational, dated 13th February 1911, I have the honour to state that in consequence chiefly of their better equipment, organization and efficiency, mission institutions have rendered themselves eligible for proportionately larger grants than non-mission institutions—especially teaching grants—sanctioned under various chapters of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2. Mission institutions have also been in receipt of comparatively large building and furniture, etc., grants, as the managing bodies are more easily able to find the balance of funds required to be borne by them under the terms of the Code.

Almost all the European schools and all the non-Government training schools are under mission management and the items of grants * peculiar to such schools do not appear under non-mission schools.

A statement showing the distribution of the total amount of grants paid last year among mission and non-mission schools under the various heads of grants-in-aid is submitted herewith for the information of Government, and it will be seen that the sum paid to missions as teaching grants goes far by itself to account for the disproportion.

ENCLOSURE.

Nature of grants.	Mission.	Non-mission.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. From Provincial funds—			
Teaching grant	4,98,430	7,21,056	12,19,486
Fixed grant	95,587	45,698	1,41,285
Stipendiary grant	40,222		40,222
Building grant (Non-European)	59,398	8,782	68,180
Building grant (European)	7,376		7,376
Furniture grant—Non-European	17,060	8,562	25,622
Special grant—European	3,014	1,346	4,360
Boarding grant	53,221		53,221
Cadet grant	3,114	504	3,618
Total	7,70,422	7,85,978	15,56,400
2. From Local funds—			
Teaching grant	7,859	20,628	28,387
3. From Municipal funds—			
Teaching grant	56,113	61,264	1,17,376
Grand total	8,34,393	8,67,770	17,02,163

Order—No. 496, Educational, dated 21st July 1911.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Financial Department (with Notes).

APPENDIX.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 496, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 21st JULY 1911.

[SUBJECT.—Grants to Mission and Non-Mission institutions.]

Extract from the Proceedings of the meetings of the Finance Committee held on the 20th and 23rd January 1911.

"Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar drew the attention of Government to paragraph 20 of the latest report of the Director of Public Instruction on Education and pointed out that Missionary colleges got more money in the shape of grants-in-aid for higher education from Government than other colleges and he also drew the attention of Government to rule 61 of the Grant-in-Aid Code which made grants depend upon school authorities' finding funds, the grant not exceeding one-half of the amount found for library, apparatus, etc. That rule existed only in Madras and he wished to know why in Madras alone funded institutions only should receive grant and not other institutions.

"Mr. Krishnaswami Aiyar pointed out that if Mission schools received a larger grant, it was probably because they were generally more efficiently managed. There was no use propping up inefficient institutions.

"The chairman, referring to the rule of the Grant-in-Aid Code quoted by Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar, said that the idea of the Code was that a man who helped himself deserved help.

"Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar said that, if the Government would look into Mr. Orange's quinquennial report, they would find that the system of giving grants-in-aid only to persons who were able to find funds was peculiar to Madras and that such a system did not prevail elsewhere. He therefore urged that both the matters might be referred to the Director of Public Instruction.

"The Chairman replied that the Director of Public Instruction would be asked to report on the matter, namely, the justification for rule 61 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, and the explanation of paragraph 20 of the report of the Director of Public Instruction."

[Memorandum to the Director of Public Instruction, No. 205-1, dated 13th February 1911.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 3rd March 1911, R. C. No. 1011.

In Memorandum No. 205-1, dated 13th February 1911, the attention of the Director of Public Instruction was drawn to paragraph 20 of the report on public instruction for 1909-1910, where it was stated that the institutions under Mission management received over 42 per cent. of the total amount spent on grants-in-aid though the proportion of Mission institutions to the total number of aided institutions was only 24.7 per cent. and he was requested to explain how such a large proportion of the total grants came to be paid to Mission institutions.

2. The Director of Public Instruction states that Mission schools, in consequence chiefly of their better equipment, organization, and efficiency, have rendered themselves eligible for proportionately larger grants than non-Mission institutions, especially teaching grants sanctioned under various chapters of the Grant-in-Aid Code. He further states that Mission institutions have also been in receipt of comparatively large building, furniture and other special grants, as the managing bodies are more easily able to find the balance of funds required to be borne by the management under the terms of the Code. Another reason for the large proportion of the grants paid to Mission institutions is that almost all the European schools and all the non-Government training schools are under Mission management and several items of grants, such as fixed grants, boarding grants, cadet grants and stipendary grants, which are peculiar to these schools, do not appear under non-Mission schools.

Number and date of Government Order sanctioning building grant.	Mission institutions.	Amount of grant sanctioned.	Number and date of Government Order.	Non-mission institutions.	Amount of grant.
1907-1908.					
No. 287, Educational, dated 12th May 1907.	A.E.L.M. College, Guntūr.	Rs. 3,500	No. 430, dated 6th July 1907.	Madras Progressive Union School, Georgetown.	Rs. 5,000
No. 708, dated 19th October 1907.	Wesley College, Royaspetta.	9,395	No. 71, dated 28th January 1908.	Victoria Memorial High school, Periyakulam.	5,423
No. 724, dated 21st October 1907, and No. 759, dated 14th November 1908.	St. Aloysius College, Mangalore.	3,383	No. 124, dated 18th February 1908.	Canara High school, Mangalore.	1,800
No. 10, dated 7th January 1908.	St. Joseph's European Convent Girls' High School, Conoor.	1,000	No. 136, dated 24th February 1908.	Tirukhattupalli High school, Tanjore district.	3,000
No. 72, dated 28th January 1908.	St. Thomas' Convent European Girls' school, San Thome, Madras.	5,000	No. 145, dated 26th February 1908.	Secondary school at Lalugudi and the Lower Secondary school, Ariyalur.	3,517
	Total ..	25,278	No. 205, dated 18th March 1908.	Mylopore Girls' school ..	4,100
			No. 209, dated 19th March 1908.	Kallikottai College, Berhampur.	1,800
			No. 242, dated 27th March 1908.	Hinda High school, Triplicane.	4,668
			Total ..		22,278
1908-1909.					
No. 885, dated 15th May 1908.	St. Thomé Convent Girls' Orphanage, Madras.	6,600	No. 746, dated 4th November 1908.	Angle-Vernacular Primary school, Triplicane.	2,158
No. 462, dated 18th June 1908.	St. Peter's European school, Rayasuram.	10,000	No. 775, dated 19th November 1908.	Saivepraksam Vidyasala, Chidambaram.	3,900
No. 649, dated 16th July 1908.	Madras Christian College ..	10,000	No. 171, dated 12th March 1909.	Madura College	6,700
No. 677, dated 6th August 1908 and No. 845, dated 23rd December 1908.	Young Women's Christian Association, Madras.	3,200		Total ..	11,923
No. 600, dated 18th August 1908, and No. 414, dated 14th July 1909.	Basel German Mission High school, Calicut.	4,700			
No. 609, dated 26th August 1908.	London Mission Girls' Boarding High school, Vepery.	3,900			
No. 618, dated 29th August 1908.	St. Joseph's Poor European Boys' High school, Calicut.	3,370			
No. 716, dated 17th October 1908.	Rozario Middle school, Mangalore.	2,466			
No. 97, dated 16th February 1909.	St. Philomena's High school, Bellary.	6,700			
No. 99, dated 17th February 1909, and No. 612, dated 7th September 1909.	Stanes' High school, Conoor.	3,000			
	Total ..	65,936			
1909-1910.					
No. 207, dated 5th April 1909.	Madras Christian College ..	6,900	No. 676, dated 22nd October 1909.	Tirukhattupalli High school, Tanjore district ..	3,210
No. 495, dated 14th June 1909.	Sarah Tucker Blind school, Palančovah.	10,684		Total ..	8,210
No. 378, dated 29th June 1909.	Wardlaw College, Bellary.	10,000			
No. 450, dated 3rd August 1909.	St. John's Girls' school and Training school for Mistresses, Narsarav.	9,200			
No. 654, dated 20th December 1909.	Sylvanus Stall Memorial school for Girls, Guntūr.	10,000			
No. 6, dated 6th January 1910.	St. John's Girls' High school, Narsarav.	3,000			
	Total ..	46,884			

* That is from Provincial funds and from Local and Municipal funds.

This must be considered along with the other question about section 61 of the Grant-in-Aid Code. Await the Director of Public Instruction's reply to the same.

Please see the linked file.

K. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—11-4-11.

L. DAVIDSON-20-7-11.

“ I do not think we need give any reply on the reason why Mission colleges
“ and schools get such a much larger portion of the grants made by Government than
“ non-Mission institutions. If asked for the reason next time [in] the Finance
“ Committee we can explain. But I don't think we need give Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar
“ any special answer on the point.

M. H[AMMICK]—19-7-11."

The papers may be "Recorded", and may be sent to the Financial Department u.o. for perusal and return.

K. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—20-7-11.

Yes.

L. DAVIDSON--21-7-11.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The file may be returned to Educational after perusal, with the request that they will be so good as to communicate a copy of the order with notes to this department for bringing up to date the note on the question in the pamphlet showing the action taken on the points raised by *non-official* members of the Finance Committee in regard to which consideration was promised by Government.

V. GOPALAKRISHNAN.—24.7.11

V. GOPALAKRISHNAN—24-7-11.

C. REILLY—24-7-11.

To the Educational Department.

[G.O., No. 496, Educational, dated 21st July 1911.]

DEPARTMENT

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____

[illegible]

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 487, From the 889., No. 1011, dated 3 - 3 1911

ORDER

No. 490, dated 21 7 1911

Miss

Recorded

$$\frac{10}{20-1}$$

2nd. A.C.

1
D. 100

To
The Financial Dept. (with notes)

send in the notes

28.7 10