

Educational (191 /) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 354

DATED 8-6-1911.

[Despatch Abstract.]

School leaving certificates.

Declining to comply
with the request of Diwan Bahadur
Mundappa Bangera that his two sons
may be exempted from the operation of the
rules regulating the grant of secondary—
—

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
2,400-15-8-00.

EDUCATIONAL DEPT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[917] Dwaru Bahadur M. Mundappa Bangera.		22-4-11	1
[496] A. P. J.	2938/11	23-5-11	8-9


PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.



From

M. R. Ry.,

Dewan Bahadur M. Mundappa Bangera Avergal, B.A.B.L.,
GUNTUR.



The Chief Secretary to the Government,

MADRAS.

Sir,

I beg to state that I am the District Judge of Guntur at present. I came here on transfer from Ganjam at the -- beginning of September 1910. I also brought away my 2 young sons with me, as they could not be left behind in a Uriya--Telugu country so far away from Mangalore, their native place.

2. The elder of the two boys, Ramakrishna Bangera, was studying in the VI Form and the younger, Rangappa Bangera, in the V Form in the Kollikote College, Berhampore. They joined the same classes in A.E.L.M. College at Guntur.

3. The elder boy appeared for the School Final Examination held here at the end of March last and the younger (the) class promotion Examination held this month in his College. Shortly before these Examinations began, the Principal of the College found that the elder boy fell short by $1\frac{1}{2}$ days and the younger (on account of illness) by 7 days in the first term excluding their attendance in July and August 1910 in -- the Berhampore College.

4. The Principal thereupon made a reference to the --- Acting Director of Public Instruction, Madras, (please see the enclosure No. 1,--what is written about one Subramanyam does not relate to my sons). The Acting Director, to

5. The result is that both the boys have to remain in their old classes (VI and V Forms respectively) for a year more. This is a great hardship, as they have already spent 15 months in those classes. The Rules were new; the Examinations are also new. The Principal of the A.E.L.M. College also made mistakes in calculating the number of days required for each term (see his own letter, enclosure No. 1).

6. Taking the circumstances under which the boys left the Berhampore College and joined the Guntur College in the middle of the first term and the mistake of calculation made by the Principal who admitted them into the Guntur College, I respectfully beg -- that the shortage of $1\frac{1}{2}$ days (he having already secured $120\frac{1}{2}$ days in this College for the whole year) may be excused in the case of the elder boy. He holds Grigg's medal in sports and has won the first prize in the Bicycle race held by the College here.

7. As to the younger boy, his examination is not a Government or Public Examination. It is only a private Examination held in the School. He has done well in that Examination. The Principal and Professors will, I am sure, testify to his fitness for promotion. His marks obtained in the class and in the school -- Examinations, if referred to, will show that the boy is fully -- qualified for promotion to the VI Form.

8. I therefore request that you will be pleased to lay this matter before His Excellency the Governor of Madras and to get an order recognizing the School Final Examination for which the elder boy has appeared and permitting both the boys to get their promotions to the higher classes, if they are found to deserve the same by the results of their Examinations.

I have the honour to be,

From

No. 45.

The Rev. L.L. Uhl, Ph.D.,
Principal, A.E.L.M. College, Guntur.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to represent to you the pupils of my High School who were admitted to the same month of September 1910 who had been pupils of other Schools during July and August 1910, and who brought with them certificates of attendance for the months of July and August but who are now found to be wanting in attendance for the long term of 1910-11, either under the 120 day rule and short term of 1910-11, either under the 120 day rule under the 60 per cent rule.

My request is that you will be pleased to make an entry to the application of V(3) of "Notification and Examination P. 2 of the pamphlet, that "no term certificate shall be granted which is not gained in one and the same school," in the case of (1) Bramhajosyula Subramaniyam and (2) Mangalore Ramakrishna Banger both of the VI Form and (3) of Mangalore Ramakrishna of the V Form, and to direct that for the long term the attendance at schools be admitted in the term certificate. herewith, the case of each of these three pupils.

(1) B. Subramaniyam:- This lad came from the Bezwa and begged admission on the ground that his father had left him unprotected in Bezwa, while he had, and had with whom he could live and by whom he could be protected at Guntur. It seemed to me then that this lad could both do the term and for the year, if he joined at once. I wrote him, stating that I would admit him subject to the order of the Inspector of the Circle. I regret that my duty prevented the omission of this application to the Inspector.

however incorrect and the sequel shows that Subramaniam
to get 60 per cent of my long term, 114½ days, and
lacking to make the 120 days, if he attends as he
uncoil our close on the 17th April next. He has
or 18 days out of 47 in Bezvada and for 66½ days out
in Guntur, for our long term of 114 days, and he pro-
52½ days attendance out of 58½ days for this short

Educational Exhibition also compelled us to close
for 7 school days which time, if kept for school, would
more than the 120 days for this lad.

Mangalore Ramakrishna Bangera and Mangalore Rangappa
ases of these two lads are very much alike, and
sent them together in this paragraph. They were pre-
admission at the same time as was the first boy B. Subra-
calculated that they could yet attend 60 per cent
of the long term and 120 days for the two terms
Their father Mr. Mangalore Mundappa Bangera, Diwan
to had been transferred from Berhampore to be the Guntur
Sessions Judge, himself called upon me to represent
to bring his family here and thus remove his sons from
school in the midst of a term, and proposed if --
to address Government for permission to so transfer his
midst of a term. At that time, and misled by my --
of the length of the long term, I informed the Judge
reference was not necessary, as the boys could put in
e requisite number of days for the term and for the
things I did not then foresee, - the loss of 7 days in
on account of the Educational Exhibition, Guntur,
length of the long term as well as the sickness of
M. Rangappa Bangera. As the long term for this
stands, No. 2 M. Ramakrishna Bangera lacks by 1½
cent of the same, but will have 120½ days attendance
while No. 3. M. Rangappa Bangera lacks 7 days of 60

per cent of the long term, and because of sickness in
term will lack about 18 days of the 120 required time
terms together. No. 2 M. Ramakrishna Bangera has 40½
attendance out of 40½ in Berhampore and 67 out of 70 in
for our long term of 114½ days, and will pretty certain
58 days attendance out of this short term of 58½ days.
M. Rangappa Bangera has 40½ days attendance out of 40½
hampore and 62 out of 70 in Guntur, for our long term
days, and he promises to have 45 days out of the short
54½ days.

Because of the reasons and the facts stated ab-
to represent that it would be a severity upon us, as
managing our schools on new and untried lines, and
pupils whom we as managers have mistakenly but
and an inconvenience to the public service when
transferred at any time, to carry out literally
I pray therefore in the above mentioned three
tion of the matter that the attendance for one
one, be allowed to be made up from two schools
of all the three boys Nos. 1, 2 and 3.

(Sd) L.L. Uhl.
Principal.

(True Copy/

Dated 28th March 1911.

Read--the following papers:--


The Acting Director regrets that the exemption applied for on behalf of the pupils in question cannot be granted.

For Director of Public Instruction.

The Principal,

/True Copy/

Winnipeg



Educational Dept

CN. 917.

Keep file intact

Ends no. 917-1 of 28. 4.

Referred to the S.P. 9 for see

remarks.

PR
27.4.11

from
27/4/11

R.D.
27.4.11

To,

The S.P. 9 with CN. 917 in original
to be returned with reply.

Depy
27/4/11

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received



190

Registered

25

6

1901

Subject.

Returning with remarks Government Memorandum No. 917-1, dated 28th April 1911, in regard to the question of relaxation of rules regulating the conduct of the Secondary School Leaving Certificate Public Examination.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Current No. 2938/11

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 23rd May 1901

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. J.H. Stone, M.A.F.R.H.S.,

(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instruction,

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Memorandum

With reference to Memorandum No. 917-1, Educational, dated 28th April 1911, which is herewith returned with its enclosures, I have the honour to submit the following remarks:-

In March last the Principal of the A.E.L.M. College, Guntur, brought to notice the cases of three pupils, two of whom were the sons of M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur Mundappa Bangera Avargal, who studied in some other schools in July and August 1910, were admitted to his college in September, but were ineligible for admission to the Secondary School Leaving Certificate Public Examination

or for promotion because of the operation of the rule that "No term certificate shall be accepted which is not gained in one and the same school". The exemption applied for was refused in this office Proceedings Dis No. 1689, dated 28th March 1911.

2. Since the introduction of the scheme sanctioned in G.O. No. 427, Educational, dated 21st July 1909, applications for

clause (2) of para 5 of the scheme on 11-40 of G.O. 427 21-7-09

11-60 CP


10/5/11

9
exemption from one or other of the conditions have from time to time been received- more especially from the operation of the rule in question, on grounds similar to those urged in the letter M.R.Ry Dewan Bahadur Mundappa Bangera Avergal. Transfer of pupils from one station to another under any circumstances or illness the pupil /even when supported by a medical certificate/ has not hitherto been accepted as sufficient reason for a relaxation of the rule. The notification was issued so far back as in July 1909: it cannot therefore be said that "the rules were new" and that there was any justification for either removing pupils from a school in the middle of a term or for admitting them at such a time under the impression that attendances at more than one school for one and the same term would be allowed to count in express violation of Rule V (3) of the scheme. No individual concession has so far been allowed and, since in my opinion it is very desirable to maintain this attitude, I regret that I cannot do anything in the cases of the pupils in question.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,



For Director of Public Instruction.



N.V.S.

20



10
1319
Regd 7. 6. 4. 10

Mangalore.
2nd June 1911.

To, The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Madras,
Ootacamund.

Sir, I beg to state that I received
no orders yet on my Petition dated 17th April.
last submitted to you, in respect of the promotion
of my two sons named in it. I have
brought them both to this place to get them
admitted into the St. Aloysius College. As
I understand that this College re-opens after the
Summer recess on or about the 9th inst., I
request that orders may be passed early
permitting the promotion of both the boys.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Munna Bangra

My address:
Mr. R. Ry
Dewan Bahadur Mr. M. Bangera Aul:
District and Sessions Judge of Guntur
now at Mangalore.

* It has reached
your office at Ooty
on 19th April.

When was the
pp. sent to
M.M.

2-6-11

file has just
come from Circ.
is placed below.



Read with
No. 917
2-6-11

NOTES.

C. No. 917 From the Mr. M. M. Dargera No. 11, dated 17-4-1901.
St. George's, Guntur.

SUBJECT. Exemption from para. V (3) of the scheme for the award of secondary school leaving certificates.

page 39 of G.O. 427 E.L.
21-7-09

page 40 do

According to clause (2) of para. II of the Scheme for the award of Secondary School leaving certificates a certificate may be awarded to boys or girls "who have attended each of the 4th, 5th and 6th forms for not less than 60 per cent of the number of working days in each term and for not less than 120 working days in each school year," and under clause (3) of para. V of the Scheme "no certificate shall be accepted which is not gained in one and the same school." The rules for the grant of Secondary School leaving certificates were made by Govt and it is open to them to make any exceptions to the rule if they think fit. The petition may, it is submitted, be referred to the D.P. I for remarks.

2. The draft endorsement below is for approval.

26.4.11 R.D.
27.4.11

from M.C.C.

[Memo. No. 917-1 E.L. dt 28th April 1911.]

From the D.P. I. No. 2938/11 dt 23rd May 1911.

The Director of Public Instruction is strongly opposed to making any exception to the rules from the operation of which exemption is now sought by Mr. Dargera. He observes that since the introduction of the Scheme for the award of Secondary School leaving

p. 9 of C.F.

leaving certificates similar applications have from time to time been received but that the transfer of parent from one station to another under any circumstances or illness of the pupil (even when supported by a medical certificate) has not hitherto been accepted as sufficient reason for a relaxation of the rule. He adds that no individual concession has so far been allowed and that since in his opinion it is very desirable to maintain that attitude he regrets that he cannot do anything in the cases of the pupils in question.

2. It is for consideration whether the Govt. may agree with the D.P.I. and whether the petitioner may be informed that they regret that no exception can be made in favour of his sons.

PP
30.5.11

The Scheme for the award of Secondary school leaving certificates has only recently been introduced and it does not appear to be desirable to make exceptions to the rules. ~~So So~~ It is therefore submitted that the action of the D.P.I. may be supported and the petitioner informed that the Govt. ^{are not prepared to} ~~cannot~~ interfere with the decision of the D.P.I. in the matter.

ADP
1.6.11 Secy

The new rules were published in July 1909 and came into force from 1st January 1910 and so Mr. Mundaya Bangera cannot plead

plead ignorance of these rules. But ~~that~~ it is not his fault that he was transferred from Langin to Pontur in the middle of a school term, and it is rather hard to expect a native of South Canara to make arrangements for the accommodation of his sons in such a distant place and to different a country as Langin, ^{after his departure from that place} and the request of Mr. Mundappa Brangar does not seem unreasonable.

Circd in Box No 27.

M. S. S.
2/6/11

H. M. + H. E. the Gov.

The exception breeds many. The Director's position will be seriously weakened if the petition is granted. It is to be complied with, and not only will similar applications be multiplied but even if will require re-examination of previous refusals to relax the rule.

P. Pet. asks that the matter be laid before H. E. the Governor.

3-6-11

I cannot make any exception. The petitioner as a public servant is liable to transfer & it was his business to meet the requirements of the rules in the best way he

Cons. If we begin to make personal
explanation we shall land to D.P. in
great difficulty - Repair.
The papers need not go to H.D.

h
5. VI. 11

Wm
h
7/6/11



Issue order recd. at once
J
7-6-11

Draft submitted for approval.

7/6/11
7-6-11

h
8/6/11

[f.o. no 354 his d 8-6-11]

READ ALSO:—

" " 1319, " " In R. Ry. Mundaffa Bazaar, " " " 2-6-1911

O R D E R .

No. 35-4, dated 8 6. 1911

Miss

The Government regret that they are unable to comply with the request of Mr. P. K. Diwan Bahadur Mundaappa Bangera Awarzal for the ^{benefit} ~~exception~~ of his two sons from the operation of the rules regulating the grant of Secondary School Leaving Certificates.

76.11

Wm
8/6/4

1893 Swan Pakadum Mundappa
Bangera aval

The Dog.

Best
8/6/14

given for copying _____
 copied _____
 examined _____
 signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 191

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy Heading only.

16