

21-11-07.
Educational
Legislative (190 |) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 42

DATED 24-1-1911

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspectors of Schools

Recording papers relating to the examination of the suggestion made by the Hon'ble Mr. T. V. Seshapiri aiyar at the meeting of the Lepoe Council in April 1910, that a larger number of Indians may be appointed in the staff of the —

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department..	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
67
1,800-15-3-02.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
INSTRUCTION

NOTES.

Paint (1)

2

Subject.

Recruitment for the posts of Inspectors from the Imperial and Provincial Services.

In the course of the discussion on the Civil budget estimate for 1910-11 which took place in April 1910, the Hon'ble Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar pointed out that one-half of the provision made in the budget for expenditure on education was to be spent "in the payment of the increased number of Inspectors of schools" and suggested that the entertainment of a larger number of Indians in the inspecting staff would tend to economy in expenditure under "Inspection". In support of his arguments the Hon'ble Legislative Council member referred to the observations of the Public Service Commission on the subject, and he urged that if it was not possible to appoint to the inspectorate more men from the Provincial Service than from the Imperial Service, the recruitment from both the sources might at least be made equal.

2. The Hon'ble Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar asked a question on the subject in the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 12th March 1910 and Government in reply said that it had been found desirable that a large proportion of the Inspectors should be of the Imperial Service. Vide the notes with G.O. No. 127, Educational, dated 17th March 1910.

3. It is for consideration whether any action should now be taken on the suggestion made by the Hon'ble Member. Perhaps the Director of Public

Instruction

Extract put up - B

Reg. C - 11

(not put up)

Instruction may be consulted u.o.

8/10
10.1.11

I do not think that
any further action is necessary
in regard to this suggestion,
nor do I see much use in
consulting the D. P. H.
Stones views are almost
certainly the same as those of
Dr. Bourne. The instructions
will probably have to be filled,
in its superior branch, mainly
from the Indian Ed. Service
for many years to come. Please
see para 43 of the Educational
Policy Res. of 11th March/04.

Chambers
12/11

W.M.

Laguer -

M
10.1.11





A/S

4/

Tri. Dep. may see ⁴
for information. The
unanimous points will be
disposed of very soon.
Cn

Edl. memo. 117-1
19.1.11

Tri. Dep. 19/1



Regd 19-1-11


Financial department.

(* Printed portion in the draft
for approval)

The draft para, already approved
by the Registrar, relating to the summary
of the further action taken on the suggestion
at the budget debates regarding the recruitment
of Inspectors of Schools has now been
revised with reference to the decision on
(* Draft for approval) p. 2 ante and is for ~~the~~ approval. After
it is approved the file may, it
is submitted, be returned to Educational.

cdl
20.1.11

File No. 307-10/21-1-11



7.2 Educational use.

CA
21.1.11
P.T.O

NOTES.

Page 44
5

Sydney.

The papers may now be
'Recorded'.

2- The draft below is for approval.

RD
23.1.11

CM
24/1

[Ex. no 42 mis of 24-1-11]

Edl DEPARTMENT.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 190 .
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 190 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only
 Q = Copy heading only.