

Educational (1910) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 596

DATED 20-10-1910

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the examⁿ rules.

Declining to reconsider the orders passed in G.O. no. 612 dated 17th Sept 1907 in which Govt refused to exempt B. Alavandar Nayudu from the examⁿ rules for employment as a Sub-Inspector of Police.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
68
2,400-15-3-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

2

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1894]	L. G. of Police.	492/BR	27. 9. 10 1-2

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
562	26.9.10.	}	O	
70	10.2.10			
612	17.9.07			
587	7.9.07			
341R	8.7.07			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 134
4,000-9-5-10.

EDUCATIONAL ~~JUDICIAL~~ DEPARTMENT.

Received



191

Registered

28.9.

1910.

Subject.

Requesting that Government will be pleased to exempt one B. Alavandar Naidu from the provisions of Article I of the Public Service Notification for employment as a Sub-Inspector of Police.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.C. No. 492/A.R.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 27th September 1910.

From (Name) H. Douglas-Robertson, Esquire,

(Designation) Ag. Insp.-Genl. of Police, Madras,

To The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of Madras,
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to exempt one B. Alavandar Naidu from the provisions of Article I of the Public Service Notification for employment as a Sub-Inspector of Police.

He is the Son-in-law of M.R.Ry B.N. Krishnaswami Naidu, Deputy Superintendent of Police. In 1907 he was recommended to Government for exemption- Vide this office R.C.300/A.R. dated 6th September 1907, and in G.O. No.612 Mis., dated 17th September 1907, Government ordered that they were unable to grant the exemption asked for. But in view of the fact that Telugu Non-Brahmins are extremely difficult to get, and also of the meritorious services

*26.9.10. he was 24 years
old. This is now 27.
of Hindu caste in p. 2 of govt
note in 704 of Govt 562
26.9.10*


*P.D.
26/9*


2 /
services rendered by his father-in-law, I submit his
case again for the orders of Government. Mr. Cowie
personally interviewed him and noted that he is
likely to make a good police officer.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


for Ag. Insp.-Genl. of Police.

Exd. 

A.S.A.

E. & L.
67
1900-19-3-02.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
LEGISLATIVE

NOTES. 4

C. No. 1294 From the Sg. of Police R. No. 492/AR, dated 27-9-1900.

SUBJECT. Exemption of B. Alavandar Nayudu for employment as a Sub. Inspector of Police.

N. Please see G.O. 612 Etdy 17-9-07 in which the Govt. declined to exempt B. Alavandar Nayudu from the examination rules for employment as a Sub. Inspector of Police. The Sg. of Police (Mr. Douglas Robertson) now requests a reconsideration of the above order and urges that Telugu non-Brahmins are extremely difficult to get.

2. It is for consideration whether the exemption applied for may be granted. Attention is solicited to the Hon. Mr. (now Sir George) Forbes' remarks on p. 13 of G.O. 341

I R. 8th July 1907, and on page 4 of G.O. 587 Etdy
J. 7th September 1907 which disposed of similar cases.

3. Submitted that the remarks of the Judicial Dept. may be obtained also in the first instance.

OP 4.10.10 R.D. 10.10 The Candidate is now 27 years old and has not passed any examination.

He is reported to have studied up to the Matriculation Standard. It is admitted that the fact that his father-in-law has rendered meritorious service to Govt. is no guarantee that his son-in-law would be equally satisfactory, and there does not seem

N. Sufficient grounds for reconsidering G.O. 612 Etdy 17-9-07
Sg. 2/10/00

The point is that suitable Telugu Des. Brahmins are said to be very difficult to get.

Judicial may be asked if they support the recommendation.



L. B. P. 10

SL 210. 1894-1
6.10.10

DM 10

Judicial 40.

14. 7. 10. 10

CURRENT NO.
6412
JUDICIAL

Jst depts.

For U.S.

Submitted for orders.

+ In 1907 - 24 years (h. 2)
of Co. 61254 - N)
17.9.07
In 1910 - 27

2. The nominee's age is 27 while, under 60. 229, candidates for direct appointment to the grade of Sub Inspector should be over 21 and under 25.

1-3 of Co. 1085 6.8.08 - Y

Recd
10. 10. 10.
10. 10. 10.

It is in favor in law

Submitted that the nominee's father, who was a Dy. Sup^{dt}. of Police, might have got him better educated.

Sun
10/10

C.S.

X

I see no reason to reconsider the order passed in G.O. no. 612, Educl., of 17 9-1907, refusing to exempt this person. It is no doubt true that in particular Telugu districts, e.g., Cuddapah and Kurnool it is difficult to get qualified Sudras for local service, but taking the Telugu area as a whole, there can be ^{no} lack of Matriculates suitable for employment as Sub-Inspector of Police.

Moreover, the individual now in question is already over the age laid down in respect of direct appointment to Sub-Inspectorships.

12/5/10

Lape refusal
15/10

Edl depts.

Please draft. L. Sub. 18/10

The draft order placed below is for approval

PR
19-10-10
7B.
19-10-10

20/10/10

[Ex. no. 596 of 20-10-1910]

Bon. 44.
C. 14. x
R 15. x

N

p. 2 ante.

72
Jud: G.O. 6412-1
17.10.10



100
19/10

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 612 EXE, dated 17th September 1907.

” ” ” ” ” ” 19

C. No. 1894, From the Dy. of Police, No. 492/PR, dated 27-9-1960.

19

19

No. 596, dated 20. 10. 1910.

The Govt. see no reason to reconsider the orders passed in G.O. 612 Vol. 1, 17th September 1907 declining to exempt (from the examination rules) B. Alavandar Nayudu for employment as a Sub. Inspector of Police.

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{PP} \\ 19-10-10 \\ 7.71 \\ \hline 19-10-10 \end{array}$$

from
20/10/20

best $\frac{712}{2014}$

Desp'd
22-10-10

To, the L.G. of Police

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 191
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

DATED
19 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A