

Educational (1910) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 595

DATED 20-10-1910.

205

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the examination rules.

Declining to reconsider orders passed in G.O. No. 469 Ed. Dated 29th Aug 1910, in which Govt. refused to exempt Malik Khyruddin Hussain Khan from the examination rules for employment as a Sub-Inspector of Police.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

June 57 14 15 8 11

E. & L. }
68 00
S. 400-15-2-00.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1912] The Collection of N. A. Arch.	3503 (a) 710	25-5-10	1-57

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
469	9. 8. 10.	} 0		
606	25-8-08			
765	22-11-05			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 139.
8,000-16-11-05.

EDUCATION
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 3

Received 30 SEP 1910 190 , Registered 1 10 190.

Subject.

Submitting the Memorial of Malik
Kyruddin Hussain Khan for exemp-
tion from examination rules for appoint-
ment as a Sub-inspector of Police

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

D. Dis. No. 3503/10 of 1910.

Station Chittoor, Dated the 25th September 1910.

From (Name) N. S. Brodie, Esq., I. C. S.,

(Designation) Collector of North Arcot.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
EDUCATIONAL Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter D. Dis. No.

2939 of 1910, dated 30th July 1910, I have the ho-
nor to forward a memorial from Malik Kyruddin Hus-
sain Khan requesting that he may be exempted from the
examination rules for appointment as a Police Sub-
Inspector. The applicant has been consistently
well reported upon and the fact that he belongs to
an ancient Muhammaḍan family is a further point in

p. 57 of 469 and
9-8-10 P.

(14007)

200
2579

~~-2-~~

Sir,

Mary Kearney

27/9/10

2579

DESPATCHED
28 SEP 1910

Mh 7-9 cf

~~3/5~~ Cuccio $\frac{5.7}{\text{Rev Feb}}$ of 10.8

To

4

GOVERNOR OF FORT ST: GEORGE,

and its Dependencies OOTACAMUND.

Through,

THE DEPARTMENTAL SUPERIORS.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

The memorialist belongs to an ancient and a very respectable family which once ruled the Subadari of Arcot comprising the present North and South Arcot Districts and whose antiquity dates from so far back as the time of Aurangazib Emperor of Delhi.

2. A detailed History of his family is annexed hereto as well as Geneological tree connect-ing the memorialist with its founder Muhamed Aslam Khan. He was a General of the Court of Delhi sent to the south to reduce disturbances and was for his bravery made subadar of Arcot by Anwaruddin the 1st Nawab of the Carnatic. In the wars of Hyder Ali and Tippu Sultan of Mysore with the English in the 18th century Muhamed Aslam Khan and his successors fought for the English so much so that they were even taken to Sirangapatam as captives of war and where from they were librated along with the English prisoners only after the termination of the 3rd Mysore war that is nearly after 12 years captivity. After libration one of Aslam Khan's children Muhamed Alli Khan obtained a monthly pension of 120

b 4 5 4

120 pagadas in lieu of the original subadari of Arcot which having in the meanwhile dwindled away into the Jagir of Sankarapuram which was finally resumed by the British Government. A moiety of this pension continued to be enjoyed by the original grantees' descendants down to the memorialist's father at whose death it was commuted and rupees 743 of the commuted sum was set apart as Your Excellency's memorialists share as he was then a minor under the guardianship of his mother a simple and illiterate lady, and the amount was, under orders of Government, invested in Government promissory notes. His mother not only totally neglected his education but transferred his money from Government promissory notes to shares in Haji Quader Mohiddin Sahib and Co., Madras, thinking it would be more to his advantage with the result that the firm became insolvent and the money lost. The foregoing narration is submitted to convince Your Excellency that the want of University Qualifications in him necessary for candidates desiring to enter into Government service was through no fault of his own. In a way worthy of his noble family though left to fight the battle of life unarmed he was conducting himself with the education he had which he took care to improve as opportunities occurred. Mr. R.C.C. Carr, late Collector of North Arcot District now the British resident of Travancore and Cochin was pleased to think him worthy of encouragement and obtained for him exemption from examination rules Vide G.O. No. 765 dated 22nd November 1905 Copy attached (p. 4)

The memorialist has since been employed as clerk in the revenue department in North Arcot

8/5
b 8

and has shown himself thoroughly deserving of the concession thus shown to him Mr. R.C.C. Carr, and the memorialist's official superiors the Memorialist dare say will report on him very favourably if asked to do so.

3. Memorialist further begs to add that his mother-in-law a lady of high repute being closely allied with the noble House of Mysore submitted a petition on behalf of Memorialist to exempt him from the Upper Secondary Examination and the Government in their G.O. No. 606 dated 25th August 1908 J intimated to her that no exemption can be granted except upon the recommendation of the Head of the Department in which he serves. A copy of the order is herewith attached.

(h. 1104)

4. After the introduction of Sub Inspectors in the Police Department a lot of people similarly situated as the memorialist is, were exempted from examination rules in consideration of their pedigree and appointed Sub Inspectors. The petitioner's case was also submitted by the honourable Mr. D.W.G. Cowie, I.C.S. Inspector General of Police for exemption and to the Memorialist's great, sorrow, P his exemption ^{was} refused in G.O. No. 469 dated 19-8-1910.

5. The Memorialist himself prays that in consideration of the fresh facts mentioned in para 2 of this memorial which were not submitted to Government before and which establish a case for him the present petition may not be rejected under the Memorial rules as it advances

6 7 6
advances new facts for consideration and the
prayer of a helpless orphan of an once ruling
family be reconsidered and the exemption prayed
for may be sanctioned to enable him to be
appointed as a Sub Inspector of Police.

The Memorialist humbly begs to subscribe himself,
Your Excellency's Most Obedient and humble servant

Subhaindhan.
2-9-1910.

Clerk, Sub Magistrate's Office,

Vellore Town

and

Member of Senkarapuram Jaghire family.

7 3 8 X

*The humble petition of Malik Kyruddin
Hussain Khan, great grandson of Malik
Cawder Ahmed Khan Sahib, grandson of
Malik Aslam Khan, Jagirdar of Sunkara-
puram in the District of South Arcot.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

That Petitioner's ancestors Malik Mahomed Ali Khan, Malik Ghulam Rasool Khan and Malik Aslam Khan were nominated Jagirdars by the Emperors of Delhi Aurangzeb and Furruck Seer and were deputed by Asif Jah to proceed in company with Anwaruddin Khan to the Carnatic to reduce occurrences into writing.

2. That subsequent to their arrival in the Carnatic, Nawab Anwaruddin Khan, on consideration of his noble birth, high parentage and extraordinary intellectual faculties appointed Malik Aslam Khan, Subadar of Arcot.

3. That when Nawab Anwaruddin Khan was beheaded, Malik Aslam Khan was found true to the salt and performed prodigies of valour, whereupon the Martyr's successor Nawab Mahomed Ali Khan Wallajah acknowledged Malik Aslam Khan's Subadari and allowed him to continue in his elevated position and dignity.

! he was
killed in
battle!

4. That in the meantime Hyder Ali of Mysore rose to eminence and Nawab Mahomed Ali Khan, Wallajah, having stood in terror of him sought advice from Malik Aslam Khan as to how to thwart the impending evil. The latter suggested that it would be well and advisable to court the friendship of the English as they were found brave, loyal, and wise. Wallajah approved the suggestion and as a reward for it, conferred on him the Subadarship of Nellore. In a few days Malik Aslam Khan's son predeceased his father and the latter having unable to suppress the melancholy owing to the loss of his favourite son, resigned his dignified situation and spent his days in the Court of Nawab, Wallajah.

curious
history!

5. That when Malik Aslam Khan departed this life, his relations and other dependents deemed it advisable for them to proceed to and settle in the Jaghir of Sankarapuram and on their way they were seized by the forces of Hyder Ali and sent as prisoners of war to Seringapatam. When they were brought in the presence of Hyder Ali, he admired at their noble countenance and granted them a monthly pension of Rs. 500 for their maintenance.

6. That in the meanwhile Hyder Ali died and the reigns of Government fell into the hands of his son Tippu Sultan. The latter disregarded them and treated them as prisoners of war. During their absence, one Khaja Asim Khan made false representation to Wallajah and obtained possession of the Jagir of Sankara-

puram. But fortunately the said Jagir was conferred on condition that if any male or female representative of Malik Aslam Khan might happen to come and prefer a claim, the sum of 120 Pagodas per mensem should be given to such claimant out of the proceeds of the said Jagir.

7. That a few days after, the 3rd Mysore War was terminated by a treaty by which two of Tippu Sultan's sons were made hostages. During eventful period, the family of Malik Aslam Khan were liberated from their bondage. The Wallajah raj being then extinct, they placed themselves before Oomdothul Omrah who granted them a monthly allowance of Rs. 400. On his demise the Carnatic fell into the hands of English who allowed the same pension to be enjoyed by the family in the name of Malik Mahomed Ali Khan the cousin of Malik Cawder Ahmed Khan.

8. That after the demise of Malik Mahomed Ali Khan, petitioner's great grand father laid his claim when the British Government after mature deliberation resumed the Jagir and granted in lieu of it a monthly pension of 120 Pagodas which were ultimately reduced to 60 the moiety being granted to make better provision in the family of Malik Mahomed Ali Khan whose dependants were more in number than that of petitioner's great grandfather.

9. That subsequent to the demise of Malik Cawder Ahmed Khan, his eldest son Malik Kyruddin Hussain Khan (petitioner's grand father) obtained an incompatible share of Rs. 32—5—0 of pension per mensem. A protest having been made by him as to the scantiness of his pension, the Honourable Court of Directors in Para 23 of their despatch of the 28th January No. 2 of 1867 communicated in No. 74 of P. C. S. dated 25th April 1857 by the Government Agent and Paymaster of Carnatic stipends, Chepauk, Madras, raised the pension from Rs. 32—5—0 to Rs. 50 per mensem.

10. That this pension was enjoyed by him for about eleven years and he died on the 5th December 1868. The then surviving heirs ought to have preferred their legal claims on the occasion on the score that pensions granted in lieu of resumption of Jagirs are in fact continuable and never lapse to the state, but as Petitioner's father was in the enjoyment of a separate pension of Rs. 32—5—0 per mensem, they depended entirely upon the same and took no heed for its continuance.

11. That Petitioner's father Malik Ibrahim Ali Khan died a premature death on the 23rd of August 1889. On the representation of Petitioner's mother and elder brothers, the Paymaster of Carnatic stipends recommended that $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of the pension be continued to its legal representations in lieu of capitalized amounts of their respective stipends on the distinct understanding that the young men were totally ignorant of any trade to apply the amount of these shares on any profitable enterprise but the Government in their order dated 1st November 1889 No. 556 accepted his proposal to continue $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of the pension but negatived the suggestion to depart from the standing Rule regarding commutation and also directed that as Petitioner was a minor to adopt suitable precaution to the bonus due to him.

12. That eventually the bonus was drawn from the Treasury on the personal security of his mother and elder brothers and was deposited in the firm of Haji Cawder Mohiddin Sahib and Co. in Madras. Unfortunately this leading merchant became an insolvent debtor and died. The money deposited was lost and Petitioner, young as he was, was obliged to suffer the loss and entirely neglected his education.

GENEEOLOGICAL TREE.

Mahamed Aslam Khan (General of the Court of Delhi
and Sabadar of Arcot which was
finally reduced to Jaghirdar
of Sankarapuram.

(Son)

Mahamed Ali Khan. (who fought for the English against
Tippu and was taken as prisoners of
war.

(Son)

Malik Kyruddin Khan.

(Son)

Malik Ibrahim Ali Khan.

(Son)

Malik Kyruddin Hussain Khan

The present memorialist

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper:—

From the Collector of North Arcot No. 974/petition, dated 13th November 1905.

Order No. 765 dated 22nd November 1905, Malik Kyruddin Hussain Khan is permanently Exempted from Examination rules, for appointment to a post on rupees 15 per mensem in any Taluq Office in the North Arcot District.

(True Extract).

(Signed) A. GALITTEE,

For Secretary to Government.

(True Copy).

"GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS."

'Educational Department.'

G.O. No. Mis., 606..

Dated 25th August 1908....

Read the following paper:---

Petition from Zaihunissa Begum, 154 Chanda Sahib
Mosque Street, V e l l o r e, dated 7th August 1908...

O R D E R.


The petitioner will be informed that the Government regret that they are unable to comply with the present application to exempt her son-in-law Malik Kyruddin Hussain from the examination rules without any limit or salary, nor can any application for further exemption be taken into consideration except upon the special recommendation of the head of the department in which he is now employed.

(True Extract)

Sd: A. C.A. Souter,

Ag. Under Secretary to Government

To the petitioner.



12
Regd 8. 10. 1012
12
16/4. 10. 10

To

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY

To
78 Juv. G.O. 6332-1
6. 10. 10
The Educational Deptt as

to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS,

Ootacamund.....

Recd
5. 10. 10.
5. 10. 10.
5. 10. 10.

Sun
6/10

May it please your honour,

With due deference I beg to submit that on my presenting a petition dated the 7th August 1908 praying exemption from the Upper Secondary examination on behalf of my son-in-law Malik Kyruddin Hussain Khan, I was informed in G.O. No. 606 ²⁵Mis dated 25th August 1908 that Government regret that they are unable to comply with my request nor can any application for further exemption be taken into consideration except upon the special recommendation of the Head of the department in which he is now employed. ~~The~~

Copy of the G.O is herein enclosed.

Now, to my misfortune, melancholy and irretrievable loss, the assurance given in the latter portion of the G.O. quoted above was entirely overlooked and the efforts of my son-in-law, though upheld by the local Sub-Magistrate, Revenue Divisional Officer, the Collector of North Arcot and the Inspector General of Police have been nipped in the bud.

The very strong and special recommendation made by the above enumerated executive officers to ^{except} ~~except~~ my son-in-law Malik Kyruddin Hussain Khan for a Sub-Inspector's

70
8/10

copy on
(p. 3 of)

(p. 3 of)

13 13

Sub-Inspector's place were not taken into consideration and the benign Government declined to exempt the applicant.

9-8-10. P.

To whom I should now look for aid or appeal for mercy. When I closely observe and make a minute search throughout the vast Indian Empire I discover that unlimited power has been (invested in your honour's merciful hands, I now seek mere justice. My forefathers have been brought down after the fall of Seringapatam in 1799 to the Fort in Vellore and were kept as prisoners. No doubt every indulgence was extended and concession granted to them. I pray that I be equally treated and my claim recognised.

In June last about 22 unpassed candidates amongst whom there were 2 or 3 discharged privates, were recommended for exemption from the Matriculation examination by the Inspector-General and one and all have been exempted. Only my son-in-law's special recommendation was kept far from receiving the assent of the Gubernatorial Council.

Under these circumstances I respectfully pray that when a renewed application will be submitted through the departmental superiors, the claim may be reconsidered in de novo and sanction accorded to its merits.

For which act of munificence and equity I shall ever pray for your honour's long life, sound health and bright prosperity.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Vellore.

1st October. 1910.

زيب نيسا بيگم
Signature of Faizunnissa Begum.
Great Grand daughter of

"TIPPU SULTAN"

No. 154, Main Bazaar Street,
VELLORE.

14
14
Government of Madras,
Educational Department

G.O. No. Mis... 606 dated 25th August 1908.

Read the following paper:-

Petition from Zabunnissa Begum
154 Chanda Sahib Mosque Street, Vellore, dated
7th August 1908.

O r d e r.

The petitioner will be informed that the Government regret that they are unable to comply with the present application to exempt her son-in-law Malik Kyruddin Hussain from the examination rules without any limit of salary nor can any application for further exemption be taken into consideration except upon the special recommendation of the head of the department in which he is now employed.

(True Extract)

(Sd) C.A. Souter,
Ag: Under Secretary to Govt.

To

The Petitioner.

cr, 6395

NOTE

Page 15

Educational Department

CN^o 1977. Petition from Zait-un-nisa Begum
vs L. 10-10 re. exemption of her son-in-law Mahdi
Khyunddin Hussain Khan, from exam's order of
employment as a sub draft of Golm
To,

The Judicial Department. w/o
in Continuation of w.o. N^o. 1912-1 Ed
d 6. 10. 10.

RP
9.10.10
9.10.10
Long 10/10/10

CURRENT NO.
6481
JUDICIAL

16/10 10. 10. 10

10/10

10-10-10

SA-20. 1977-1
10. 10. 10.

Just Dept.

For U.S.

(The previous papers referred to are
on ff 1-11 cf and 1-3 Rf.) The present petition
from Zait-un-nisa Begum has been added to
the C.f (ff. 12-14). Perhaps a reference to
the latter may be made by the U.S. on the
Rf. before the case is submitted to H.M. as already
marked by Chief Secretary.

Hand,
13.10.10
13.10.10

Sun
14/10

NOTES.

C. No. 1412 From the Collector of North Arcot No. 3503 (9), dated 25-7-1900.

SUBJECT. Forwarding memorial from Malik Kyraat
Din Hussain Khan for a reconsideration of Gono
469 Ed. 9-8-10.

P. Please see Gono 469 Ed. 9th August 1910 and the notes
connected with it. In that order the Govt. declined to exempt
Malik Kyraatdin Hussain Khan from the provisions of Art.
1 of the Public Service Notification for employment as a
Sub-Inspector of Police.

P. 2. Malik Kyraatdin Hussain Khan now requests that
the above G.O. may be reconsidered. The Collector of
North Arcot (Mr. N.S. Brodie) recommends that he may
be exempted from the examination rules so far as a
Sub-Inspector's post in the Police Dept. is concerned.

P. 3. It is for consideration whether sufficient grounds
have been shown for a reconsideration of Gono 469 Ed.
of 9th August 1910. The file may be forwarded to the
Judicial Dept. W.O. for remarks in the first instance.

PP
5.10.10.

P

(H1-207)

Please see the remarks of the Chief Secretary
on pages 9 and 11 of G.O. No. 469 Ed., dated 9.8.10,
and H.M.'s orders on page 11 of the same. The Collector
of N. Arcot (Mr. N.S. Brodie) now recommends the
grant of the exemption. The Jud. Dept. may be
asked to state whether in view of the recommendation
now made by the Collector they would modify the
opinion.

P

opinion already expressed in the notes to Go. No. 469 of 9th August 1910.

ROD
5.10.10

Even now the Collr bases his recommendation not upon his personal opinion knowledge of the petitioner but upon the fact that "he has been consistently well reported upon and that he belongs to an ancient Muhammadan family". But as he has been employed only in a Taluk Office, there is no opportunity for him to come within the personal notice of the Collr. He appears to have been employed since 1903, and in 1905, he was reported by the Collector to have studied up to the Lower Secondary Standard (III form). His appointment as a Taluk Clerk and 15 was then sanctioned. But in June 1910, the Dy. of Police reported that he had studied up to the Sixth form. This seems to be a mistake.

Go 469 of 9-8-10
page 6 - P

Go 765 of 22-11-05
page 2 - X

SECRET
6-OCT-1910

Go No. 1912-1
6.10.10

2 Incl 40.

from
6/10/10

Reg. 6.10.10

DOCUMENT NO.
6395
JUDICIAL

Just. Dept.

For U.S.

Submitted for orders. (A petition from

M. Kyraddin Hussain Khan's mother on the same subject has
just been transp. No. 1512.)
7.10.10
M
7.10.10

Bor 44
C. 14-X
R 15-X
P

NOTES.

Page

3.18

For orders. Please see pp. 9 599-
of Notes to G.O. 469 ^{Ed.} of 9.8.10, in
which the Govt declined to exempt him.

CS.

Sm
8/10

I see no reason to depart from the orders
already passed in G.O. no. 469, Educl., of 9th Aug. last.

2. Mr. Brodie must have been cognizant of
this order since it is referred to in para 4 of the
petition which he forwards, and if he desired its re-
consideration, his proper course was to address Govt.
through the I.G. of Police to whom the G.O. was address-
-ed.

P.

p. 5. of

P.

A petition from the
nominee's mother-in-law
has since been received,
and is added to the file
(14.12-14 pnc)

Sm
14/10

79
G.O. 6395-1
17.10.10



100
19/10

Edl depts no.

Phon draft.

Link
18/10

The draft order placed below is for approval.

PR
19.10.10
7/10
19.10.10

[G.O. no. 595 Miss of 20-10-1910]

19

[illegible]

C. No. 1912, From the Collector of North Arcot, No. 3503^(a), dated 25-9-1910.

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 19 .

No. 595, dated 20. 10. 1910.

mis

The Govt see no reason to ^{reconsider} ~~modify~~ the
orders passed in G.O. 469 Etd of 9-8-10
declining to exempt Malik Hyrussai
Hussain Khan from the examination rule
for employment as a Sub. Inspector of
Police.

P.P.
 19-10-00
 T.H.
 19-10-10

To,
The Collector of North West-
Copy to the S. G. of Police ✓

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

161

HEAD AGAIN G.O., No.

DATED
 19

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIPTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A

20