

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 213

DATED 23-4-1910

12

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from examination rules

Sanctioning the exemption from the
Sub-oversur and Surveyors' Test of D. L.
Thangaswami Kundaliyar for appointment as
second grade sub-oversur.

10,000-16-7-07.

CURRENT FILE.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Back papers.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Remarks. (Note.—When an original is removed from this file the fact should be noted against the paper and its destination mentioned.)

Further papers.

Papers of other departments to be returned.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Date of return.

Stock Form No. 141.
10,000—20-12-06.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT. 12

CURRENT No. 8778

ENCLOSURES. { Plans No.
Others No.

Received 22.9.1908

Registered 24.9.1908

SPARE COPIES.

Total

Passed on by Registering Clerk

" " U. R. Clerk

" " Referencer

" " Record Superintendent.

" " Drafting Officer



Subject.

Submitted D. L. Thangasawmy Mudaliar of
Cauvery. Recommends that — may be exempted
for promotion to 2nd grade, under circumstances explained in the

No. 779^W

Station Chepauk, Dated the 21-9-1908.

From (Name) S. D. Pears Esquire,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

To The Chief Engineer
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that there are some
vacancies in the 1st and 2nd grade of Sub-Overseers in this
Circle, to which I have been unable to promote the 3rd and 2nd
grade Sub-Overseers owing to the indifferent reports received
from the Executive Engineers as to their merits.

2. The Executive Engineer, Cauvery Division now specially
recommends D. L. Thangasawmy Mudaliar for promotion to 2nd

grade

Handwritten notes:
B
22/9
sh 5/4/99

grade being, he says, by much the best Sub-Overseer in his Division.

3. The Sub-Overseer is an unpassed man exempted only for 3rd grade in G.O. No. 427-R, dated 15th December 1906, but under the circumstance he seems to be one of the cases contemplated in your Memo No. 1536-C, dated 7th March 1907, and I recommend therefore that he may be exempted for promotion to 2nd grade, with effect from 1st September 1908.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. D. Pears

Superintending Engineer,

V Circle.

19-9-08

Stock Form No. 141.
10,000-23-11-08.

CURRENT No. 3460

ENCLOSURES. { Plans No.
Others No.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Received 190

Registered 190

SPARE COPIES.

Total

Passed on by Registering Clerk ...

" " U. R. Clerk ...

" " Referencer ... 15/4

" " Record Superintendent.

" " Drafting Officer ...

Subject.
Sub overseer D. L. Thangabawmy mud
of the leaverly Dr. Requesting to obtain sanction
of Govt. for exemption from the examination rules of
already applied for

No. 242W

Station Chepauk

, Dated the 31-3-1909.

From (Name) S. D. Pears Esquire

(Designation) Superintending Engineer V circle

To The Chief Engineer to the Government of Madras,
The Secretary

Public Works Department.

A.

o.l.s. 25.
20/5

502

P.W.D.

No. 242W

From

S. D. P e a r s Esq.,

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V Circle,

Madras.

To

THE Chief Engineer,

Public Works Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

8778/08 N. S. S. S.
Proposed with to
bribe

I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to obtain early sanction of Government for exemption from the examination rules of D.L.Thangasawmy Mudaliar, Sub Overseer 2nd grade, applied for in this office No. 779-W dated 21st September 1908. The Examiner of P.W.Accounts has called for it in his Dis: List on the Salary Bill of Cauvery Division for December 1908.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(B.O.)

Supg. Engineer, V Circle.

Supg. Engineer, V Circle.

Subject:—

CN. 8778 - Exemption from 600 miles

Removed from Waste
on 14.4.49

NOTES.

W 2 10/5
L 2 6/5
H 2 20/5
D 2 20/5

(101)
Amended
20.12.49

Exemption from Examination rules.

CN: 8778 - From the Supt^g Eng^r, I Circle, N^o. 7796 d^y 21.9.08

For the Under Secretary

The Executive Engineer, Canvey Division, recommends that one D. L. Thangaswami Mudaliar, Suboverseer, be permanently exempted from examination rules for promotion to the ^{rank of Sub Overseer} 2nd grade. The Executive Engineer says that he is by far the best Suboverseer in the Division.

2- In G.O. N^o. 427 R dated 15th December 1906 the man was permanently exempted for appointment to the 3rd grade. His qualifications are:-

- (1) has put in 16 years service in the Dept
- (2) has passed the Middle School Ex^m in the first class and (3) holds a group certificate in Surveying & Levelling.
3. The Superintending Engineer, (M^r. S. D. Peas) in supporting the recommendation of the Executive Engineer draws attention to memo N^o. 1536 c d^y 7th March 1907 in which it is stated that "there is ~~nothing~~ nothing to prevent a Superintending Engineer bringing forward cases of exceptional ~~merit~~ individual merit, but promotions to unqualified men should be granted only in very exceptional cases of proved ability"

4. All orders regarding permanent exemptions have to issue in that ~~Dept~~ ^{the} Educational Department. The file may be sent to ~~the~~ that Dep^t with any remarks

The

vide nos. connected with memo N^o. 6327 c d^y 8.11.06. "d"

the Chief Engineer may wish to make.

Rk
24.9.08 W M 710

It would appear that this
interview may be exempted, in
the circumstances reported by
the S.E.

May 5
Educational no.

Educational Department.

Goods 427 R d, 15th December 1906 which is
required for the disposal of this Current has been
put up with Cnr 631 and forwarded to P. W. Deptt.
with Edl No. no 631-1 d, 12-4-09. That department
may now be requested to return the G.O. if no
longer required.

2. The P. W. D. may also be requested to return
the file connected with this deptt No. no 631-1 d,
12-4-09, with their remarks, as ^{the} ~~that~~ Case ^{therein dealt with} appears to
be similar to the present one, and ~~the disposal~~
~~in that Case may be useful in the consideration~~
~~of the present Case.~~

PR 14-5-09 RD 14-5-09 Cld 14-5-09

P. W. Deptt.

Prod



P. W. U. O. No. 5242
Dated 6.5.09

Edl. no. 957 May 5
8-5-09 8-5-09
Socket F.
P.W. R. No 337
15.4.09

P. W. U. O. No. 427
Dated 15.5.09

Edl. no. 957-1



5.45 PM
14/5



Ed. C. U. No. 1351
13-7-9

Socket. F.

P.W. U.O. R No. 68
20-1-10

Ed. C. U. No. 1357-1
19-1-10
20-1-10
20-1-10
20-1-10

2 D.W.

The papers referred to by Educational are in the file linked at top, which may go to Educational with this file.

Cu
24.6.9

Let H

Educational no.

Educational Dept.

Submitted that this ^(and the current linked) Current may be order in pending orders of Govt on C.O. 631 of 1909 which is an exactly similar ~~to them~~ case is dealt with.

PR
13-7-09

The file has now been sent back to P.W. U.O. for replying to this query.

ROD
13-7-09

Yes
13-7-9

Ask P. W. D. what has happened to this

C. A. S.

18-1-10.

The P. W. D. may be requested to state when the file forwarded to them with Ed. C. U. No. 631-4 d 22nd October 1909 may be expected.

PR
18-1-10

Chet
18/1

ROD
18-1-10 P. W. D. no.

P.W. U.O. R No. 68
Dated 20-1-10

P.T.O.

4. 8

DW.

Page 3 of Circular
in 2nd linked file -

In Memo R 5001. CD 19.11.09, the
Suptg. Engineer II Jini was asked to explain
why no notice was taken of the Engineer's
objection to the Subordinate M. Subba Rao's
promotion during the period from August 07 to
January 1909. Educational and be informed
that the file will be returned on receipt of
the explanation called for -

Cy
31.1.10

Educational Department

As it has now been decided to exempt M. Subba
Rao Nayudu whose case is referred to on page 3 supra
Mangaswami Mudaliar also may be similarly
exempted.

2. The draft order placed below is submitted for
approval.

PP
13.4.10
14.4.10

Secy.
Sun
21.4.10

This case appears to have
been delayed in P.W.D. from 22.11.08
to 6.1.09. The delay since
then is due partly to P.W.D. & partly to
Edt. Such delays should be
avoided in future.

Issue Memo. (G.O. 213 Edt. 23-1-1910) Lmt. 22/4/10

How we will
not accept
transcript
reminder
10.4.

vide this note at slip C.
The draft order in that
case - slip D.

DW 2.2.10

With reference to the Secretary's remarks on page 4 supra it is submitted that, as explained below, there was hardly any avoidable delay in this office in dealing with the case.

J.

2. This case in which the reconsideration of G.O.No.427 R, dated 15th December 1906 was requested, was received in this department for the first time on 8th May 1909. Before its receipt the G.O. quoted above (slip J) had been put up with another case, namely, that relating to the exemption of Subba Rao Nayudu which was sent to P.W.D. for remarks on the 12th April 1909.

3. On 15th May 1909 this file was returned to the P.W.D. with the request that the G.O. put up in Subba Rao Nayudu's exemption case might be returned. The P.W.D. sent the file back linked with Subba Rao Nayudu's case so that the G.O. was available only on 13th July 1909. By that time the H. M. had called for a further explanation from P.W.D. in regard to Subba Rao

Nayudu's case disposed of in G.O.No.244 Edl., dated 9th May 1910. As the case of Subba Rao Nayudu ^{was exactly similar to that} ~~was exactly similar to this case~~ and as the decision in the latter depended entirely on that in the former, the papers were ordered to lie over pending the receipt of the explanation of the P.W.D. and the decision in Subba Rao Nayudu's case - Vide U.S's orders on page 3 supra.

4. The remarks of the P.W.D. on the exemption case of Subba Rao Nayudu not having been received for a considerable time, several reminders were sent to the P.W.D. to expedite ~~the~~ their remarks. But as no reply was received, this file was sent to them unofficially on 19th Jan'y. 1910 with the request that the disposal of the

other

Vide date stamp on page 2 supra.

Page 12 of G.O. No. 244 Edl. 9.5.10. XXIII

Vide no. on the margin of page 2 supra.

Vide date of registry on page 3 supra.

Page 18 of G.O. No. 244 Edl. 9.5.10. XXIII

Page 3 supra.

Vide date stamp on page
26 of Govt. 246 of 9-5-10. XXIII

Page 30 — do —

— do — do —

Vide page 4 supra.

[Go. No 244 of 9-5-10, became
available only last week.]

other file might be expedited. The P.W.D. once again linked it with Subba Rao ^{Nayudis} case and returned it only on 23rd March 1910. The F.M. passed orders on Subba Rao ^{Nayudis} case on 5th April 1910 and the draft from orders in that file was passed by the Secretary on 13th April 1910. ^{and on the same day} ~~Immediately~~ that is, 13th April 1910 a similar draft order was put up in this case also.

5. It will thus be seen that, except when the file was lying over in this department under the orders of the Under Secretary between 13th July 1909 and 19th January 1910 which, under the circumstances explained above, was unavoidable, there was no delay in the ^{Educational} department in dealing with this file.

CC
23-5-10

Seen
Jm
23-5-10

” ” ” ” , ” 19

*

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 957, From the P.N.D., No. 542, dated - 6 - 5 - 1909.

19

ORDER.

No. 213, dated 23. 4. 1910.

Mr. -

Sp from Ooty section
file please. send docket to munda
PTB
22/10.

D. L. Phangaswami Mudahijar will be exempted from the Enb. Overseer and Surveyor's test for ~~appointment~~ ^{employment} with effect from 1st September 1908, as Second grade Enb. Overseer. The exemption does not extend to any other or higher appoint-

ment.

PH

13-4-10

Dear

21.4.10

$$\frac{10}{14.410}$$

Lump.

22/4/80

o e k e b

exemption from exam^l rules.

Sanctioning the exemption from the Sub. Overseer & Surveyor's test of D-2. Thangaswami Mudalijas for appointment as Second Grade Sub. Overseer.

To,

The P.W.D.

Despe
28/4/10.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 190.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 _____

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only.