

1910
Educational (~~190~~) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 156

DATED 24 — 3 — 10

(13)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the examination rules

Extending the exemption granted to K. Perayya
Nayudu in G.O. No. 662 R Edl. dated 28. 12. 1907
so as to qualify him for promotion to the
post of Sub-overseer, I grade.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[B. & L.]
68
2,400-15-3-09.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT FILE.

✓

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Chief Engineer P. W. D. and Secretary to Govt.	452	2-3-1910	10

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

[P. W. X (a).
4,000-22-7-09.]Edl. C. No 452
Regd. 7-3-10 No. 941C ✓Government of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

3

MEMORANDUM

BY THE HON'BLE MR. F. J. WILSON, C.I.E
& Secretary to Govt.,
CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.Dated Madras 2nd March, the Febry 1910.

Read the following:-

From the Superintending Engineer, First Circle,
No. 509-W., dated 5th June 1909.

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. :-

The Chief Engineer had in Memo. No. 2804-C, dated 11th May 1908 told the Superintending Engineer, First Circle that he was not at liberty to promote to a higher grade any Suboverseer on the reorganised Conservancy establishment, who was unqualified under the examination rules, but that cases of exceptional individual merit may be brought forward for consideration by the Chief Engineer and the Government. With reference to this Memo, the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, submits an application for the exemption of K. Perayya Nayudu from the Examination Rules in view to his being promoted from his present grade of Sub Overseer, 2nd grade to the 1st grade. He was originally a delta Superintendent 2nd grade on Rs. 55 and was appointed to his present grade in the Conservancy Establishment in 1907, his pay being fixed at Rs. 45, with a personal allowance of Rs. 10.

2. From what Mr. C. A. Smith, the Chief Engineer for Irrigation saw and heard in the Godavari, when inspecting the delta, last July, it would seem that we are getting a very poor lot of suboverseers now although they are qualified by having passed the

Suboverseer's

2
Suboverseer's examination. Perayya Nayudu appears from his record forwarded with the Superintending Engineer's present application to be a useful and experienced man. His exemption for promotion to the 1st grade is accordingly recommended.

3. The promotion will not bring the man any immediate increase of pay but will qualify him for the quinquennial increments in the 1st grade.

F.J.Wilson,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Transferred to the Educational Department.

(By Order)

[Signature]

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Educational Department.

Form No. 141.
000-20-12-06.

3
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 5781

ENCLOSURES. { Plans No.
Others No. 2

SPARE COPIES.

Total 2

Passed on by Registering Clerk

" " U. R. Clerk

" " Referencer

" " Record Superintendent.

" " Drafting Officer

Subject.

Sub-Overseer K. Perayya Naidu. Submits an exemption application from Examination Rules on behalf of Sub-Overseer for promotion from the II to I grade as Sub-Overseer.

No. 509-10/

Station Vizagapatam, Dated the 5th June 1909

From (Name) Mr. C.W. Wood.

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

To The Chief Engineer to the Government of Madras,
The Secretary
Public Works Department.

Put up with c.Nr. 8778/00
with No. 542765.09

a S

Adverting to correspondence dated 1st May 1909, I have the honor to acknowledge herewith an application for exemption from Examination Rules on behalf of Sub-Overseer K. Perayya Naidu for promotion from the II to I grade as Sub-Overseer.

2 The following is a resume of the career of this Sub-Overseer entered the Department of Public Works in 1890 and has now completed 12 years service. He has been promoted to the II grade on 26th June 1901. Throughout this period have been very satisfactory. The Sub-Overseer is a permanent employee.

JOC

W
10/6

4 W
Memo of Delta Superintendents in G.O. No. 764-W dated 14th

March 1903, and Sub-Overseer II grade in G.O. No. 662-E dated 23rd December 1907 communicated with Chief Engineer's Memo: No. 231-C dated 9th January 1908.

3 In 1905 Mr. Tallis, the Executive Engineer of the Godavari Western Division, under whose personal eye the subordinate served for 2½ years remarked that "this subordinate had a good practical knowledge of masonry works, was a good leveller and surveyor and generally far superior to the ordinary run of Delta Superintendents". This good report led the then Superintending Engineer, Mr. Allen, to make a special note in my office that the subordinate should get the I grade when an opportunity occurs.

In 1907, however, Mr. Mackenzie promoted two of this subordinate's juniors, who were qualified under Examination Rules to the first grade, but as he was also anxious to give Parayya Naidu the next chance promotion to the I grade, a general reference was made to you in this office No. 414-W dated 19th April 1906 enquiring whether the promotion of special deserving men who are unqualified, was left to the Superintending Engineer's discretion to promote.

Your reply in the negative was communicated in your Memo: No. 2804-C dated 11th May 1908, but it was qualified with the remark that cases of exceptional individual merit may be brought forward when they will be considered by the Chief Engineer and Government.

4 On receipt of the above order, Executive Engineers were called upon to recommend any Sub-overseers who by good work and superior intelligence have deserved such promotion, and to send a full report on each so that cases of special deserving men may be laid before the Chief Engineer for orders.

5 An extract from a report on behalf of this subordinate by the Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Division is noted below:--

"He takes great interest in his work and does it well, his section being far in advance of other sections. He is an accurate leveller and a neat draftsman. He has a good practical knowledge in masonry work and can command local labour better than any other man in his class, that I have had experience of. This shows that he has done good work for the last five years. I therefore strongly recommend that he should be promoted to Sub-Overseer, I grade."

6 Extract from recommendation rolls for the past five years

55 S
are also submitted for perusal.

7 Under the circumstances I consider that this subordinate though unqualified under the Examination Rules has fulfilled the conditions required in your Memo: No. 2804-C dated 11th May 1908. There is at present an acting vacancy in the I grade which will eventually become permanent (vice M.R.Ry., K. Arunachala Sastry, on 15 months combined leave preparatory to retirement.)

8-I therefore beg to recommend this subordinate's promotion to the I grade.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Ch. Wood
Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

2^
V.N./5-6-09.

Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of Godavari Eastern Division.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	K. Perayya Naidu, About 42 years. Unpassed. Exempted from Examination Rules as II grade Delta Superintendent in G.O. No. 764-W dated 14th March 1903 and as Sub-Overseer, II grade vide G.O. No. 662-R dated 28th December 1907 communicated with Chief Engineer's Memo: No. 231-C dated 9th January 1908.
(1) The post in which it is proposed to employ him (2) and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	(1). Sub-Overseer, I grade. (2). Acting for the present. (This will subsequently be made permanent).
(a) The pay of the post and (b) the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	(a). Rs. 50/- to Rs. 70/-. (b). Rs. 55/- (As a Sub-Overseer, II grade he has been drawing Rs. 45 plus 10 as personal allowance.)
The examination from which exemption is sought.	Sub-Overseer and Surveyor's test of the College of Engineering, Madras.
The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	15-6-1909 to 14-9-1910. 15 months (But he should be permanently exempted.)
The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Permanently.
Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	First application for first grade.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of Godavari Eastern Dn:

What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Men of long service and good experience could not be procured.
Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	Recommended for exemption vide letter accompanied.
Remarks.	

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer, _____ Circle, for transmission to the Chief Engineer _____

Executive Engineer,
_____ Division.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. for favour of obtaining sanction of Government.

C. W. Wood
Superintending Engineer,
First Circle.

OFFICE OF THE

Dated

190 . }

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

5781/09
Chief Engineer.

Extracts from the yearly recommendation Rolls of the Godavari Eastern Division from 1904 to 1908 both inclusive, against M.R.Ry., K. Perayya Naidu, Sub-Overseer.

1904.

"Is doing his work very satisfactorily. Takes much interest in his work. Deserves every encouragement in the way of promotion."

Sd/- John Inglis,
23-1-'05.

Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Dn:

1905.

"A very intelligent capable and hard-working man. I have strongly recommended him for promotion to 1st Grade. I consider him by far the ablest of the Delta Superintendents in this Division."

Sd/- John Inglis,

Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Dn:

1906.

"A hard working and reliable subordinate".

Sd/- W.G. Molesworth,
30-4-'07.

Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Dn:

1907.

"A very hard working Conservancy Sub-Overseer. Manages his section well and moves freely with the ryots settling many disputes of internal distribution. He is one of the best conservancy Sub-Overseers in this Division. I regret that he was recently passed over in promotion as he has done a thoroughly good years work."

Sd/- W.G. Molesworth,

Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Dn:

1903.

"A very good Sub-Overseer."

Sd/- M.B. Kharegat,
28-4-'09.
Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Dn:

(True Extracts.)

Chawd

Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

V.N./5-6-'09.

2
mc 5781/09

[E. & L.]
67

500-25 2-15

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES.

8

C. No. 452 From the Chief Engineer P.W.D. No. 941C, dated 2 March 1906.
1 Secy to Govt

SUBJECT.

Exemption of K. Perayya Nayudu, from sub-overseer and Surveyor's Test of the College of Engineering, Madras to qualify him for promotion to the 1st grade sub-overseer.

7

In G.O. no 662 Estd/28-12-07, Govt sanctioned the permanent exemption from the sub-overseer and Surveyor's Test of K. Perayya Nayudu from employment as a sub-overseer IInd grade (Pay Rs 45 + P.A. Rs 10), in the re-organised lower subordinate establishment for Irrigation/Conservancy I Circle.

2. At the instance of the Superintending Engineer, First Circle (Mr. C. W. Wood), the Chief Engineer P.W.D. now recommends the permanent exemption of K. Perayya Nayudu from the sub-overseer and Surveyor's Test of the College of Engineering, in order that he may be promoted to the post of sub-overseer I grade, (pay Rs 50-70). The Chief Engineer observes that "we are getting a very poor lot of sub-overseers, nowadays although they are qualified, by having passed the 'Sub-overseer's Examination', and that as Perayya Nayudu appears to be a useful and experienced man", he recommends his exemption ~~from the test~~. The grounds on which the recommendation is based are detailed in the Superintending Engineer's letter on pages 3 to 5 of the C.F.

Vide Chief Engineer's letter
Memorandum para 2 of P.W.
of the C.F.

M. 3. In G.O. no 67, dated 9-2-10, in which an almost similar

9

vide p 2 of the notes with 4067
d/9-2-10 - M.

p 8 of the C. 7.

similar case was dealt with, the Government declined to grant exemption from the examination rules to one Vaikunta Sarma, the chief reason being that the services of duly qualified candidates were available. In the present case, the Superintending Engineer remarks that "men of long service and good experience could not be procured"; but does not say that passed men cannot be secured for the place.

4. It is for consideration whether Perayya Nayudu may be permanently exempted from the Sub-Overseer and Surveyors' Test.

S.R.R.
14-3-10.

Perayya Nayudu is one of those De/ta Superintendents who were brought on the reorganized Irrigation Conservancy establishments and who were exempted in G.O. No.662 Educational, dated 28th December 1907 from the Sub-Overseer and Surveyors' Test ~~of the College of Engineering~~ in order that they might be appointed as Minor Irrigation Sub-Overseers on the re-organized establishments and thus saved from being thrown out of employ. Perayya Nayudu was then exempted for the post of Sub-Overseer 2nd Grade (pay Rs.45) and was granted a personal allowance of Rs.10 per mensem, so that his emoluments might not be affected. The present proposal is that the exemption granted to him should be extended to his appointment as Sub-Overseer 1st Grade (Pay Rs.50 - 70). The grounds on which

K.1 780 662 901 F.
28.12.07

F

Para 2 of Chief Engineer's
note on page 2 of 662 901
24.12.07 - F.

which this man was originally exempted do not apply at present and as it does not appear that passed men cannot be got for the post, No justification seems to exist for the grant of the further exemption asked for.

K.R.
15-3-10.

This exemption is proposed on the man's professional merits by those best qualified to judge. Submitted that the ground on which the exemption is sought is *prima facie* a good one, but that if this is thought necessary information may also be obtained about the passed candidate who would get the post if this man does not. H.17(3)

See 9

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.
Box Order No. 88
Bag Confidential No.

HM

Submitted that the Exemption applied for may be granted. The applicant has excellent testimonials from his superiors, and appears to deserve the promotion wh. he is at present debanded from getting because he has not passed the Submarine test of the Coll. of Eng. He has 19 yrs service.

L.110
18/3/10

11

The Case of these Tank Inspections who
have become members is peculiar.
The man may be exempted.

h
21.1.11

Please draft

h
23/2/10

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval.

PR
24-3-10

Yshu
24/3

h
24.3.10

[So No 156 dated 24.3.10]

h
23/3

READ ALSO:—

19

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 19

No. 156, dated 24-3-1910

Mei

The exemption granted to K. Perayya
F. Nayudu in Gono Hb. R. Entry 28-12-07
will be extended so as to ^{qualify him} ~~admit of him~~
for promotion to the post of Sub-Overseer, 1st
grade.

PR RD
24-3-10 24-3-10

H
24/3

I

The P. W. &

25/3/15

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.--

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

13