

Educational (¹⁹¹⁰~~190~~) Department.

ORDINARY MS. ✓

G.O., No. 146

DATED 21. 3. 10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling Allowance — Informing the Accountant-General that the travelling allowance of the Director of Public Instruction for his journeys to Kottayam and Hyderabad should be debited to the D. P. I.'s departmental budget.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
68
3,400-15-3-57

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[466]	A-G. $\frac{43-13}{16488}$	7-3-10	152

[P.T.O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.



Edl C. no 466

EDUCATIONAL
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

7

CURRENT No.



Received 8 MAR 1910 19 , Registered 10 - 3 - 1910

Subject.

Enquiring whether the travelling allowance of
the Director of Public Instruction for the journeys
to Kottayam and Hyderabad should not be charged
to the University *see* under clause (d) of
article 8244, civil Account code.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

No. G.A.43B-16488

Dated the 7th March 1910.

From

A. MONTAGU BRIGSTOCKE, Esq., I.C.S.,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Educational ~~REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to G.O.No.52 Educational, dated 31st
January 1910, permitting the Director of public Instruc-
tion under Article 57, Civil Service Regulations, to proceed
to Kottayam and Hyderabad for the purpose of inspecting the
colleges there which are affiliated to the University of Madras
I have the honour to enquire whether his travelling allowance
for the journeys should not be charged to the University

Fee

Fee Fund under clause (d) of Article 824A, Civil Account Code, Volume II.

2. As the Hon'ble Dr. Bourne has informed me that he was not a member of the commission appointed by the Syndicate, the travelling allowance claimed by him has been provisionally debited to "22 Education-Direction."

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Franklin Kingstocke

Accountant-General.

NOTES.

C.No.466, from the Accountant General, No.43-B/16488, dated 7-3-1910.

5
Subject.

Debit of travelling allowance of
the Director of Public Instruction
for his journeys to Kottayam and
Hyderabad.

0

In G.O. No.52 Educational, dated 31st
January 1910, the Director of Public Instruction
(Dr. Bourne) was permitted to proceed to Kottayam
and Hyderabad for the purpose of inspecting
the colleges there which are affiliated to the
University of Madras.

2. With reference to the above order,
the Accountant-General enquires whether the
travelling allowance of Dr. Bourne for his
journeys to Kottayam and Hyderabad should ^{not} be
charged to the University Fee fund under clause
(d) of Article 824-A of the Civil Account Code,

Vol. II. The A.G. observes that as Dr. Bourne informed
him that he (Dr. Bourne) was not a member of the
Commission appointed by the Syndicate.
3. Clause (d) of the ~~above~~ Article ~~824~~ 824
of the C.A.C. Vol. II, says -
" x x x ~~the~~ travelling allowance

"of an officer paid from the General Revenues,
"when travelling on duty connected with a
"Local Fund, may be charged to the Local Fund".

4. The Accountant General's contention
seems to be that because Dr. Bourne travelled
to Kottayam and Hyderabad on duty connected with
the University, his travelling allowance
should be charged to the University Fee fund.

G.O. no. 310 2d L
12-5-05

5. On the former occasion when Dr. Bourne was ~~appointed~~ President of the University Inspection Committee ~~in~~ 1905 and inspected the Colleges affiliated to the University of Madras on behalf of the University, the Government of India ruled that no honorarium should be paid to Dr. Bourne on account of work done in inspecting affiliated Colleges and that the charges of his travelling should be debited to his departmental budget - vide paragraph 4 of India's letter on page 3 of G.O. No. 609 Educational dated 11th September 1905 - and Dr.

Bourne's travelling expenses were accordingly debited to the departmental budget. *para. 3 of G.O. no. 609 Ed. d. 11.9.05.*

6. The circumstances connected with Dr. Bourne's recent visit to Kottayam and Hyderabad are precisely the same as those in 1905, and there appears to be no reason why the ruling of the Government of India above quoted should be departed from on this occasion. From paragraph 2 of the Accountant-General's letter it appears, moreover, that Dr. Bourne on this occasion, was not a member of the Commission appointed by the Syndicate to inspect the colleges and ~~it is~~ *this seems to be* an additional ground for not charging Dr. Bourne's travelling *instead of the reverse as supposed by the A.G.* allowance to the University Fee Fund. It may also be noted that the charges of the University Inspection Commission are to be met from the special grant to the University to be made by this Government, and that Dr. Bourne's travelling allowance, even if it were nominally debited to the University Fee Fund, will in reality

Page 2 of C.F.

* The file on this subject is with the Final Dept.

be met from the General Revenue

7. In these circumstances it is for consideration whether the Accountant-General may be informed that Government see no reason for debiting the travelling allowance of the Director of Public Instruction to the University fee fund and that the ruling of the Govt. of India contained in para. 4 of Home Department letter no. 566 d 21st August 1905, should be followed. The Accountant General's present letter is apparently written in ignorance of the above ruling.

Secy $\frac{RD}{17.3.10}$ H. 813

Secy. Please draft
L. 19.3.10

Draft submitted for approval.

T.R. $\frac{RD}{19.2.10}$ $\frac{RD}{19.3.10}$

Issued
21/3

[Co No 146 dated 21. 3. 10]

T.R.
19/3

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 609 Edl ; dated 11 - 9 - 1905.

52 - 31 - 1 1910.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 466, From the A-9, No. 81.43B dated 7-3-1910
16488

[illegible]

O R D E R .

No. 146, dated 21. 3. 1910

Mis.

~~Reference~~ *The* Accountant-General's
attention will be drawn to paragraph
3 of G.O.No.609, Educational, dated
11th September 1905. *On that*

occasion also

~~THE CHINESE~~ Dr. Bourne

had inspected affiliated Colleges
~~1935 President of the University~~
~~Inspector General~~
~~long allowances should be added to~~
~~the University~~ in places
 outside the
 jurisdiction of
 the Govt of Madras.
 7.2.19.3.10
 20
 19.3.10
 21/3

Copy again
 20/6/17
 28/3/10
 To the A.G.
 Copy to the D.P.I.

GOVERNMENT

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 120
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.