

1910
Educational (190) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 127

DATED 17-3-10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Question Recording papers relating to the question asked ~~at~~ at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 12th March 1910 regarding appointments to the grade of Inspectors of Schools.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Edl. no. 406 2-1-3-10

Legislative Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The Hon'ble Mr. Serhagiri
Aiyar

Lxxv

LXXXI. (a) How many new appointments as Inspectors of Schools were made in the Madras Presidency during the last three years ?

(b) Is it a fact that until three years ago, the proportion of appointments to the grade of Inspectors was in the ratio of two to one between the Imperial and the Provincial Educational Services ?

(c) Will the Government be pleased to state ^{whether any} ~~why~~ new appointments were made from the Provincial Service during the last three years, to the office of Inspectors, although there have been additions to that class from the Imperial Service ?

(d) Having regard to the statements and Policy of Lord Morley, will the Government be pleased to make future appointments to the office in equal proportions from the two branches of the Educational Service ?

A reference will have to be made to the Director of Public Instruction as to the answer to this question if it has not already been done. please do so.

L. M. W.
2-3-10.

At the beginning of 1907, the staff of Inspectors in this Presidency consisted of seven officers, of whom five, including the Inspector of European and Training Schools, were in the Indian Educational Service and two in the Provincial Educational Service—Vide paragraph 3 of letter to the Government of India No. 343, Educational, dated 5th June 1907. Excluding the Inspector of European and Training Schools there were four Inspectors in the Indian Educational Service and two in the Provincial Educational Service, or in the ratio of two to one between the Imperial and Provincial Educational Services, as stated in clause (b) of the question.

2. In letter No. 343, Educational, dated 5th June 1907, the Government of India was addressed on the subject of increasing the number of inspectors by two, by the addition of two appointments to the Indian Educational Service and this proposal was sanctioned by the Secretary of State in his Despatch No. 163 Public, dated 25th October 1907 - printed with G.O. No. 38, Educational, dated 16th January 1908. In Education^a Despatch No. 2, dated 25th

March

A

March 1908, the Secretary of State was ~~was~~ requested to appoint two persons to the two new posts sanctioned by him, and he appointed Messrs. Kershaw and Couldrey accordingly.

3. When proposing to increase the number of inspectors in this Presidency by two, the Government considered that one of the pressing wants in the Educational Department was the need of more Inspectors in the Imperial Service -(Vide the Hon'ble Mr. Forbes's note dated 4th February 1907 on page 1 of the notes with G.O.No. 542-45, Educational, dated 5th June 1907). The proposal to create two additional appointments of Inspectors was accordingly followed by a request that the additional appointments on Rs.500-50-1000 each should be in the cadre of the Indian Educational Service— Vide para 5 of letter No.343, Educational, dated 5th June 1907.

4. The question may it is submitted be sent U.O. to the Director of Public Instruction ~~clearing~~ with reference to the Secretary's remarks at top of page 2.

RD 3-3-10 H 3/3 D.P. 7. 10

The ~~was~~ of proving the desirability of employing more native inspectors - apart from the mere question of

C

G.O. no. 830 Ed 8 21-12-08. B
G.O. no. 60 Ed 8 29-1-09 A.

G

G

DP 3/3/10

Edl. U.O no. 406-1
3-3-10

providing the community with more posts on larger pay - may well rest with those who advocate it. I fancy they would not get much support from any native educational officer prepared to state his honest opinion

at the present moment ^{belly G.V.} Subbarayudu Eastin is out and away the least satisfactory of all the inspectors but it would be hard to find any other member of the Provincial Service thoroughly satisfactory as an inspector all things being considered.

The reply might be on the following lines:-

(a) Two

(b) Since 1897 the proportion has been increasing

2	I. E. S.	2	B. E. S.
4	"	2	"
5	"	2	"

and in total

7	"	2	"
---	---	---	---

(c) It has been found desirable that a large proportion of the inspectors

should be [European officers]

(4) The Government can give no
warrant to this effect.

4.3.10

HM.

The action suggested
of the D.P.I. above may I submit
be approved.

L. M. B.
4/3/10

CIRCULATED IN E.D.L.
AND LEG. DEPT.
Extraordinary
Confidential No. 48

Yes. But the question does not
speak of Indian versus European &
we need not do so. We can say
simply that we think Imperial
is the meaning taken from the
Imperial & Indian Ed. Dept.

4.3.10

D.P.P.

Lag. W.

L. M. B.
5/3/10

A draft order recording the papers is submitted for approval.

PR
16.3.10

14/3

[Go No 127 dated 17.3.10]

[E. & L.]
74
2,000-15-3-09

Edl DEPARTMENT.

4

READ AGAIN G.O., No., dated 19 .

" " " " 19 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No., From the , No., dated 19 .

Legis. Question re LxxxI re: Inspectors of Schools.

" " " " 19 .

" " " " 19 .

ORDER.

Mis

No. 127, dated 17-3-1910.

Recorded.

PP
16.3.10.

19
16.3.10.

16
17/3

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.