

1910
Educational (~~190~~) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 78

DATED 16-2-10

[Despatch Abstract.]

College of Engineering — Informing
P. S. Srinivasa Aiyer, Trimmerally Dist.
that his request that a reëxamination
may be held for the failed students
of the — Cannot be Complied with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
68
2,400-15-3-18.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[240]	P. S. Srinivasa Aiyar	5-2-10	1 to 2

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Edl Dep
656 in
2-12-09, 1 paper. 188-240
Regd 8. 2. 10



T

His Excellency the Governor in Council
Fort St. George



The humble petition of P. Srinivasaiyer
residing at Pathamadae Tinnevely Dt.
Most respectfully sheweth

1 That the petitioner who was a Candidate
for the final examination held in Dec '08
for the Engineer class by the Board of exa-
miners College of Engineering Madras,
has failed to secure the required pass mini-
mum viz 50% of the marks awarded
by the Board but has scored only 45%

M

2 That in view of the G.O N^o 556 Educational
dated 22nd July '08 declaring that there
were no more examinations to be held for
the failed Candidates, the petitioner petitioned
to the Govt. on 28th Feb 08 to show some
concession either by holding a re-examina-
tion or by giving an Upper Subordinate's
Certificate

Pages 15 to 16 of

G.O. no 240
26-4-09

78/912

3 That the Govt. in their order G.O. No. 240 M.S.
I dated 26th April 09 has been pleased to reject
the petition saying "it sees no sufficient
reason to grant any further concession"

4 That Considering the fact that the petitioner
after 3 years of hard work and after under-
-going the highest Course given in the
College of Engineering has been found unfit
for any appointment and Considering the fact
that the petitioner is in the same predicament
that he was before he joined the College and
that he would have been much better off
if he had not joined the College and it is the
Engineering College that has ruined
Completely the petitioner's prospects,
the petitioner humbly prays to Govt. to
reconsider its decision

5 That the petitioner prays either to declare
him passed as an Upper Subordinate or
to hold a re-examination for which act
of mercy the petitioner will ever pray

P. Srinivasaiyer

5th Feb 10

NOTES.

C.No.240, from P.S. ^{Srinivasa} ~~Sivaswami~~ Aiyar, No.

Educational Department.

dated 5--2--1910.

Subject.

Grant of another chance to old students of the college of Engineering for passing the final examinations of the college.

I

Please see G.O. No.240 Educational, dated 26th April 1909 and the notes therewith.

2. In this Order the Government refused to hold further examination according to the standard prescribed by the old rules of the College of Engineering for the benefit of the old students who had failed to pass the final examination of the college until the year 1908 or to grant them any certificate of qualification.

I

3. Petitioner is one of the memorialists whose requests were rejected in G.O. No.240 Educational, dated 26th April 1909. Vide pages 15 and 16 of the G.O. He now requests that the Government will be pleased to reconsider the above order and declare him to have passed as an Upper (Engineer) Subordinate or hold a re-examination.

Page 2 of C.F.

I

4. Since the date of issue of G.O. No.240 Educational, dated 26th April 1909, many petitions were submitted to Government praying for a reconsideration of the order which the Government have uniformly refused to do. In G.O. No.656 Educational, dated 21st December 1909, the last order passed in the matter, the petitioners were

A

told

u/ 6

told that no further representation from them on the subject would be considered. Petitioner was not however one of the memorialists in that case.

5. The draft order below is for approval.

7.74.
12-2-10
AD
12-2-10

Scay

Issue
Ced
w/r
Issue
Link.
15/2/10

[Co No 78 dated 16-2-10]

READ ALSO:—

ORDER.

mis

No. 78, dated 16-2-1910.

The petitioner will be informed that his request cannot be complied with.

7.7.
12.2.10

Obs
14/2

Lunk.
- 15/2/10

To

The Petitioner

loner

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190 .
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.