

1910
Educational (~~190~~) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 76

DATED 14-2-10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Permission to appear for special test
Declining to permit M. Narasinga Rao,
Clerk in the office of the Superintendent
of Police, Banjam, to appear for the
Criminal judicial test, lower grade.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

68
2,400-16-3-09.

68
2,400-16-3-09.
NATIONAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[136] Lg. of Police	176	20-1-10.	1-2

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135
5,000-10-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received _____ 190 , Registered 21-1- 1900



Subject.

Submitting an application for permission to appear
for the Criminal Judicial Test, Lower Grade, to M. Narasinga
Rao, clerk in the office of the Superintendent of
Police, Ganjam.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

Rc. No. 176 grul gco

Station Madras, Dated the 20th January 1900.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. D.W.G. Cowie, I.C.S.,

(Designation) Inspector-General of Police, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.
Educational Department.

Su.

the grant of permission to appear for the Criminal Judicial Test, Lower grade, to a clerk employed
Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of the Superintendent
of Police, Ganjam.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. pro tem. or temporary.	The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	The Exemption from which exemption is sought.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	Remarks.
M. Narasinga Rao. Has passed the Matriculation Examination, the Account Test Higher and the Departmental Test in Police Orders and Practice.	"	"	"	"	Permission to appear for the Criminal Judicial Test, Lower Grade, at the Special Test Examination to be held in October 1910 and 1911.	First application.	He is at present a permanent clerk on Rs.25/- and has put in a service of 9 years in the Department during which period he has worked well. He wishes to qualify for the post of an Accountant, and as qualified men who know Telugu are hard to obtain, I recommend the concession. He has passed all the other necessary examinations. Recommended solely in the interests of Departmental administration.		

Office of the Insp.-Genl. of Police
Dated 20 January 1910.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Signature

Designation for Insp.-Genl. of Police

Ans.

[B. & L.]

2,540-25-2-10.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES.

C. No. 136 From the I.G. of Police No. 176, dated 20-1-1910.

SUBJECT. Recommending that m. Narasinga Rao, clerk in Rs 25 p. 2u. in the office of the Superintendent of Police, Ganjam, may be permitted to appear for the criminal judicial test, Lower grade, in 1910 and 1911.

L. Following the precedents in Gono 39 Eddy 21st January, 1909 and 301 Eddy 19th May 1909 the permission applied for may, perhaps, be granted. In col. 9 of the application the I.G. states that the recommendation is made solely in the interests of the departmental administration.

2. The draft order placed below is submitted for approval. If approved it may issue with the concurrence of the Judicial department.

PP
24-1-10 [Please put up the more recent cases in which similar applications were refused.

RD
25-1-10

~~Please see~~ the more recent cases in which similar applications are were refused, put up. Attention is solicited to the notes connected with Gono 5 Eddy 6th January 1910.]

PP
27-1-10

This case hardly differs from the one disposed of in G. No. 5 Eddy, dated 6th January 1910 and may be similarly disposed of. Please

See

Page 2 of C.F.

Should have been
in the first instance.
Ramuni Nayak
more careful.

M.

See also the remarks of the Honble Mr. Forbes on page 4 of G.O. No. 301 Ed., dated 19th May 1909, where, as in the case now under consideration, the ~~exception~~ application was for a similar concession in respect of another clerk from the same office, namely, Sr. Police Office, Ganjam.

28.1.10

Sec.

The remarks of Jnd. may be invited. Prima facie there seems no case at all.

28/1

M

In the case disposed of in G.O. 301. dt. 19. May 09 the applicant had already been as a apt. 1270 and had given satisfaction. In the present instance he was sent as per to higher app^{ts}. I think the concession should be refused.

28/1

Judicial Co.



S-26 136-1
2-2-10

CURRENT NO.
0639
JUDICIAL
Regd. 2-2-1910

To no.

Submitted for orders.

2. Jwd. Shd apparently oppose the application if the latest precedent is to be followed.

go. 5 Ed. &
6 1.10 E



CV. 32.10
Jwd 3.2.10

Sh.

Submit do.

Shd 3/12

Jco. 4.2.10

Jwd no. 639-1
4.2.10 Ed. no

Educational Department.

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval.

PD
7/2

PD 7-2-10
PD 8-2-10

See
Cot
9/12

JH.

The draft order is submitted for approval

Luko 12/2/10

appd
12.11.10.

Issue
Luko 12/2/10

READ ALSO:—

O R D E R .

Miss

No. 76, dated 14-2-1910

The Government see no sufficient reason to permit M. Narasinga Rao, a clerk in the office of the ^{at} Superintendent of Police, Ganjam, to appear for the Criminal Judicial test, Lower Grade.

PT
7.2.16

AD
8.2.16

Cad
9/2

Limb.
18/2/10

To, The L.G. of Police. 15/2/10

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No. _____

CLOSURES TO C. No _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

NOTERS NOT FILED LP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

S. -
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.