

Educational (1909) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 560

DATED 15-10-09

(14)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the Exam Rules

Declining to reconsider petition order refusing
exempt from the Upper Secondary Exam
to ~~grant~~ ~~to~~ G. Vaidyanatha Rao
Class Master Taluk Office

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E.]

2,000-15-7

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[CN-1747] from the B of Rev. 2177 15-9-09 1-6.			

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed —for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 139-a.
5,000—16-8-06.

Educational

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 3



Received 190 , Registered 207 91 190 9

Subject.

T. Vythianatha Rao, Clerk, Madura Taluk.

Submitting petition from -----, praying for permanent exemption from Examination rules for the post of Taluk clerk on Rs. 25/-.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

Reference No. 2177 Petn.

Station Madras , Dated the 15th September, 190 9.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.
Revenue Settlement, etc.
Separate Revenue.

The Hon'ble. Mr. C.J. Weir, Commissioner, of Land Revenue.

Resolution
Reference

Read the following endorsement from the --
Collector of Madura, - to the Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue, Madras, R.O.C. No. 3481 Gl. of '09., dated
23rd. August, 1909.

Submitted to the Board, Land Revenue, Madras, with
reference to the correspondence ending with Board's Proceedings
No. 1999 Misc., dated 30th. June, 190 9.

2. In para 2 of this Office letter to the Board R.O.C.
No. 255 and 601 Gl. of '09, dated 29th. March, 1909, the date of

-2-

appointment to superior service of the petitioner, T. Vidhyanatha Rao, was, by mistake, reported to be 25th March, 1896, instead of 25th March, 1886. His total superior service actually comes to 23 years and 4 months, while that of L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar who has been exempted by Government amounts only to 19 years. Both the clerks are aged 51, as already reported.

3. For the reasons already set forth in the letter referred to above, it is submitted that this petitioner also may be given the concession which the other clerk has had. It is, therefore, requested that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to the permanent exemption of this petitioner, as he is senior in service to the other clerk whose permanent exemption has been sanctioned.

Reference No. 2177 Patn., dated 15th September, 1909.

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to Government Order No. 357 Misc., Educational, dated 16th June, 1909.

A. G. G. G. G.
 Secretary.

To
 The Secretary to Government, Educational Department, with petition and 1 enclosure in original.

✓ K.C.K. 16-9-09.

Chm
 17-9-09

3

Madura. 4
 6th August 1909.

T O

His Excellency the Right Honourable Governor-in-Council, Fort Saint George, through the Honourable Members of the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras and through the Collector of Madura.

The humble memorial of T. Vythianatha Rao, Clerk on Rs. 20/- and acting clerk on Rs. 25/- Taluq Office Madura, most respectfully sheweth:-

That on the recommendation of the Collector of Madura Your Excellency the Governor-in-Council was pleased to exempt permanently from passing Upper - Secondary Examination L. A. Pushpavanam Aiyar, a clerk in the Taluq Office, Dindigul so as to enable him to hold an appointment of Rs. 25/- in a Taluq Office.

2. That to petitioner's great misfortune a similar recommendation of the Collector on his behalf was not accepted by Government.

3. That petitioner is not aware of the grounds on which petitioner's request for exemption was not granted and he therefore craves permission to set forth below side by side in a comparative table the facts of the petitioner's case and those of L. A. - Pushpavanam Aiyar from which Government will be graciously pleased to observe that Your Excellency's humble petitioner is so situated that his case deserves a more favourable consideration and greater sympathy

at the hands of Government than even that of L. A.
Pushpavanam Aiyar.

	<u>L. A. Pushpavanam Aiyar.</u>	<u>Petitioner.</u>
1.	Date of permanent appointment in superior service. 1st August 1890 on Rs.12. 2nd November 1897 on Rs. 15.	25th March 1886 on Rs. 15/-.
2.	Do. Do. on Rs. 20/- 14th July 1903.	1st July 1899.
3.	Period of acting appointment on Rs.25/. 2½ years.	<u>One year.</u>
4.	Total pensionable service. 19 years.	23 years 4 months.
5.	Nature of work done. Record, Incometax and miscellaneous work.	Land Revenue D. C. B. Fishery & Miscellaneous work.

4. Petitioner begs to submit that he has been giving satisfaction all along in the performance of his work and that one and all of his Official superiors have borne high testimony to his good character and efficiency as a public servant.

5. That petitioner is aged 51 and may have to retire within four years. It will be a great boon to him if at this juncture Government are pleased to show some consideration to petitioner by permitting him - to hold a 25 rupee appointment and thus earn a higher pension, after an arduous and faithful service of 23 years in one of the heaviest Taluq offices of the Madras Presidency District.

- 6 - 5

b

For which act of kindness and condescension
petitioner will as in duty bound ever pray.

Your Excellency's humble
Memorialist,

J. Nathaniel a Row

From,

T. Vythianatha Rao.,

Clerk, Madura Taluq.

TO

THE T A H S I L D A R,

M A D U R A.

Sir,

I beg to submit my petition to the Govern-
ment of Madras praying for exempting me from the Upper
Secondary Examination to enable ^{me} to hold a 25 rupee
appointment and request you will be pleased to send
it to the Collector through the Deputy Collector -
Madura Division.

M A D U R A.

6th August '09.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.,

T. Vythianatha Rao

CHARGE Dy. COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
7-AUG 1909
1909/10/09
M.P.

COLLECTOR
MADRAS
1-AUG 1909

Submitted to the Dy.
Collector Madras on

2. The Dy. of fees is aware that the applicant is one of the best clerks in this Taluk office. He belongs to one of the old & respectable families in Madras. He is a man of excellent conduct and is a reliable public servant. His work has been uniformly good. He well deserves the concession he applies for.

D. Sam anna
Talukdar

Isis 2388/09
Submitted to the Collector
of Madras.

2. The applicant is a trustworthy and capable clerk. I have been personally impressed with his work

during my inspections of the Taluk office and I have heard no complaint against this clerk during the period I have been in this Taluk. The previous record of this clerk is also satisfactory. The applicant well deserves the concession he applies for.

V. Srinivasan

Dy. Collr

10/8/09

Res.

3481/09

8/13.8.09

General Off. No.

[E. & L.]
67

2,501-25-2-05

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
LEGISLATION

NOTES.

C. No. 1747 From the B^d of Revenue No. 2177, dated 15.9.1909

SUBJECT.

Exemption of T. Vaidyanatha Rao, clerk,
Madura Taluk Office, from the Exam-Rule.

R

Please see the notes and orders in G.O.
No. 357 Educational dated 16th June 1909, ^{on the} ~~with~~
^{Subject of} ~~regarding~~ the exemption from the examination
rules of L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar and
T. Vaidyanatha Rao of the Dindigul and
Madura Taluk Offices, respectively.

G.O. No. 357 Ed. R
16.6.09
* Pages 3 to 5 of Ct. File.

Para. 3 of the petition
on pages 2 & 4 of Ct. File.

Page 7 of Ct. File.

2. Vaidyanatha Rao whose exemption
was refused in the order above quoted, sub-
mits a memorial to Government in which
he states that "his case deserves a more
favourable consideration and greater sympathy
than ^{even} that of L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar."
3. The petition is supported by the Deputy
Collector in the following terms:—

"The applicant is a trustworthy
and capable clerk. I have been favour-
ably impressed with his work during my
inspections of the Taluk office and I have
heard no complaint against this clerk during
the period I have been in this Division.
The previous record of his work is also
satisfactory. The applicant well deserves
the concession he applies for"

Page 2 of Ct. file
Pages off. 357^{est} R.
16.6.09

R

Para. 3 of the Collector's
letter on page 2 of Ct. file.

Page 2 of Ct. file.

- 9 2 10
4. The ^{ag}Collector of Madura (Mr. Burn) in forwarding the memorial to the Board of Revenue, states that in para. 2 of his office letter to Board, Rec., No. 255 and 601 G.L. dt. 29.3.09, the date of ~~the~~ appointment to superior service of the petitioner, T. Vaidyanath Rao, was by mistake reported to be 25th March 1896 instead of 25th March 1886, ^{and} that the petitioner's actual service comes to 23 years and 4 months while that of L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar who has been exempted by Government in G.P. No. 357 Educational dated 16th June 1909 amounts only to 19 years. The Collector adds that for the reasons set forth in his previous letter, the petitioner may also be given the concession which the other clerk has had.
5. The Board of Revenue submits the case for the orders of Government.
6. From the comparative statement of the services of the two clerks given on page 4 of the Current file, it will be observed that the petitioner is decidedly senior to Pushpavanam Aiyar ^(petitioner) and that he has been acting on Rs 25 for one year.
7. It is for consideration whether in the circumstances ^{now} represented by

10 3 11

the Collector, Vaidyanathia Rao may also be ^{permanently} exempted from the examination rules to enable him to hold post on Rs 25 in a Taluk office.

Q
7.10.09 200
7.10.09 Cf. See

Pushpanatha Aiyar was exempted because he has been holding a post on Rs 25 for 3 years it was considered harsh to reduce him. Otherwise he would not have been exempted. There seems no reason whatever for giving any concession to Vaidyanatha Rao. There are scores of clerks in the same position as his who have no claim for exemption. The grounds advanced by the Collector are entirely personal others is nothing special about them. Sub^d that the case should not be reconsidered.

Cd
7.10.9.

H.M.
Agree with above.
Ours as indicated H.P. 10

Agree M. 10. 11. 10. Draft please H.P. 10

Para 3 & 4 Ret^d of
24.5.09. on p. 4 of 80.
387. 2. 16.6.09 sp. 3 of
the rules therein - R

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.
Box Ordinary
Reg Confidential No. 19

es
12/10

A draft order is placed below for
approval

12

Q
B.1009 100
13-10-09

Issue
Cld
14-10-09

” ” ” ” ” ” 190

C. No. 1747, From the B^d of Revenue, No. 2177, dated 15th Sept 1909.

" " " " ; ".....; "..... 190

99 " 99 " 99 " 99 " 190

No. 560, dated 15-10- 190

Miss.

The Government see no

reason to reconsider the order
already passed in G.O. No. 357 Ex. dated 16th June 1901
~~already passed on behalf of~~
declining to exempt

X T. Vaidyanatha Rao from the Upper

Secondary Examination

13.10.09

Old
14109

13. 10. 09

To The Board of Revenue &c.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190 .
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.