

E.]
21-11-07.
Educational (1909) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 514

DATED 7/9/09

(20)

[Despatch Abstract.]

College of Engineering

Declining to permit the holding of a new examination for the benefit of old students who failed in the final examinations of 1908, but stating that the Government have no objection to such students being granted suitable certificates.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E]

2,000-15-7-0

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1543]	D.P. 9.	4828	10-8-09 1 to 10

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed —for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
240	26-4-09	O		
49	26-1-09	se		
556	22-7-08	se		
159	20-3-07	se		
37	20-1-06	se		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135.
4,000-1-8-08.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT. C. No. 1543

Received  190 , Registered 13-8-1909. 3

Subject.

Submitting for favourable consideration of Government two memorials from some of the independent candidates of the College of Engineering, Madras praying for a reconsideration of orders passed in G.O. No. 240 Educational dated 26th April 1909. Mis.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

Ref on CuNo. 4828/09

Station Madras, Dated the 10th August 1909.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc.F.P.S.C.I.E.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith two memorials addressed to His Excellency the Governor by some of the independent candidates of the College of Engineering who failed at the last Board's Examination, praying for a reconsideration of the orders passed in G.O. No. 240 Mis. Educational dated 26th April 1909, and to state that their case seems to deserve favourable consideration.

2. The hardship complained of by the memorialists was due to the introduction of the new rules under which failed students of the College could not appear again for the Board's Examination and to the fact that they could derive no benefit from the concession allowed in G.O. No. 556 Educational dated 22nd July 1908 for want, it is alleged, of sufficient notice of the examination held in December 1908. These students have undergone their

T.N.
13/8

2

4

course of study in the College at great expense and have had the required college training, but having failed at the final examination they are obliged to lose completely the benefits of their college course and could make no living for want of the prescribed certificates of qualification.

3. I beg, therefore, to request that Government will be pleased to reconsider their order No. 240 Mis Educational dated 26th April 1909 by sanctioning the holding of another special examination similar to that held in December 1908, or perhaps it would be better that the failed candidates be granted some sort of certificate saying exactly how they did in the College and in the Board's Examination so as to facilitate the employment of the holders of these certificates in temporary vacancies and in cases in which the services of qualified candidates may not be available.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

O. Krishnaswami

For Director of Public Instruction.

N.V.S.

To

His Excellency The Hon'ble

SIR ARTHUR LAWLEY, K.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.

Governor in Council,

FORT SAINT GEORGE.

(Through the Director of Public Instruction).

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

The students of the College of Engineering, Madras, who were unsuccessful in the Final Examination held in December 1908, most respectfully and humbly beg Your Excellency for the reconsideration, for the following few among other reasons, of the orders passed in G.O. dated 26th April 1909, No. 240 Mis., declining to grant any further concession in the matter of their request for allowing them a few more grace-years to appear and pass the several examinations for which they worked very hard after attending the College course regularly and punctually.

2. A student who has failed to obtain a certificate from the Board at the final examination may appear before the Board for examination in any subsequent year, provided he is certified to have put in the necessary attendance at the College and pays a fee as fixed in Rule No. 98 relating to the constitution and working of the College of Engineering. This exactly applies to the failed students who studied under the old rules and as such they are entitled to a concession for some more years.

3. As they were given notice only in the month of November 1908 stating that there would be no more examinations for the students that failed in the examination held in December 1908, the one month period between November and December was hardly sufficient to enable them to study very hard so as to equip themselves for the December Examination. Some of the students who were ill and some others who were otherwise miserably circumstanced, were in hopes of appearing, in the event of their failure, for the examinations of subsequent years. These students to their utter disappointment have now been deprived of the opportunity of appearing for any subsequent examinations. They did not take it into their head to idle away their time and appear for the subsequent examination. They endeavoured their very utmost to study and pass the examination of 1908 alone. Providentially, however, owing to unforeseen circumstances, they were unsuccessful notwithstanding the fact that they had passed the entrance examination of their respective classes and had successfully gone through their collegiate course as evidenced by the Session marks obtained in the College for unaided work turned out by them in the College.

4. It is an admitted fact that invariably all the proposals of the Director of Public Instruction, the best authority in Educational matters, are sanctioned by Government; and the poor students expected that his suggestions to grant concessions to them would be approved. It is, they are obliged to consider, highly disappointing and surprising to see

that their poor and humble request was not taken into consideration by the benign Government who always encourage education especially a technical one, in spite of the interference and recommendation of the Director.

5. Even graduates of the Madras University are unable to secure a calling in any department under Government at the outset and have to wait very long before they can get appointments even in the lower grades to start with. While so, the poor students of the College of Engineering whose educational qualifications in most cases are not beyond the Lower Secondary and Middle School Standard cannot be expected to get any appointment until they qualify themselves for the posts of Draftsman and Sub-Overseer. Thus, when they are deprived of a further concession, they are even in a hopeless condition, being unable to eke out their livelihood in any direction and seeing that all their efforts, labour, expenditure and hard study in the long period of two or more years in the Engineering College, have become futile; nor is there any chance of their studying in the High Schools or Colleges hereafter as they are advanced in age and unable to cope with young students in such Schools or Colleges.

6. It may not be out of place to mention here that the Crystal Palace Institute of Civil Engineers in London and other Technical Institutions in the highly civilized parts of the world, such as Great Britain, United States, France, Germany, &c., allow failed students to appear for further examinations for the issue of required certificates. It would appear that unless such concession is granted, the failed students could not prosper in other directions, it being too late for them to do so.

7. Moreover, the concession prayed for by the memorialists, if granted, would not put the Government into any extra charge on this account. As a matter of fact, the Government have been pleased to abolish the special Board of Examiners of the College, and the College staff has been authorized to do the work hitherto done by the Board as part of their ordinary duties. There is, therefore, no necessity for the payment of any remuneration to the Examiners as the College staff can itself examine the old students without further cost and trouble. The ex-students are also, it may be said here, ready to pay the fee prescribed for the examination for the issue of the required certificate.

8. The poor students most respectfully beg to add that in the order of the College of Engineering, Madras, dated 29th July 1908, the Principal was pleased to communicate the following:—

"It is notified for the information of those concerned that Government have sanctioned the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction that the students who joined this College under the old rules and who have as yet failed to obtain a certificate from the Board of Examiners by passing the final examination, should be given a further opportunity to pass the examination according to the standard prescribed by the old rules." This order of 29th July 1908, was communicated to the poor students so late as 1st and 2nd November 1908. So, such of the students as were miserably circumstanced and in consequence were unable to meet the examination with success, should be given, as a matter of fact a further concession for appearing for the subsequent one or two examinations.

It is respectfully begged "that the further opportunity" referred to in the above order may be taken as one which would entitle those unsuccessful students of the examination of 1908 to appear and pass the examination of 1909.

9. Similar concessions have been granted by the High Court in the case of articted clerks who underwent training so long ago as 1893 and 1894 and who are not now subject to new rules which entitle only graduates to become articted clerks;

and in the case of the old L.M. & S., students of the Medical College also similar concessions have been granted. The present University Act also allows students of the Matriculation, F.A., and B.A., classes to appear for their respective examinations for three years consecutively. As the poor students of the College of Engineering are permanently disallowed from taking up any other course in their life-time beyond the one they have already undergone, they may be granted similar concessions referred to in this para.

10. Under the above circumstances and having regard to the fact that these are hard days in which to eke out livelihood is very difficult, Your Excellency may be graciously pleased to reconsider the hard case of these poor and miserable students and grant their prayer.

For which act of justice and kindness the memorialists shall ever pray.

Your Excellency's most humble
and obedient servants.

A. K. Ramanyam *(Draftsman)*
No 18 Ciel Manger's street, Pondicherry

J. H. Somasundaram *(Draftsman)*
No 5, Vallabha Agram, Triplicane

K. A. Subramania sastri *(Draftsman)*
109, Sella Pilliar Koil St. Mount Road

A. Latchmana Naicker *(Sub. Overseer Class)*
No 68, Mangapathi Vaidyan street,
Chindadripet.

P. Subba Rao *(Draftsman Class)*
Bichepet. Maslipatam

M. S. Thammaji Rao *(Draftsman Class)*
Nageswaram street
Kumbakonam.

R. Sreenivasa Iyer *(Sub. Overseer Class)*
No 12 Arminian Street
George Town.

C. P. Rangaswamy Iyer *(Draftsman Class)*
East Chetty street
Saint Thomas Mount

P. Sundarasa Iyer
Chetty Street, Ponnammallu

(Please turn over.)

c/4828/9

6 X Sarangapani Das.
(Sub. Draughtsman class.)
No 68 Narayanamudali
Street, George Town.

A.M. Seshayee (Draughtsman class.)
Toungoo, Upper Burma.

K. C. Dorasami Sengul
No. 17 Chittakulam
Street
Triplicane

Swia Rama Krishna Sengul
No 5 Chumbu Chetty Street
George Town.

C. Lakshma Kausik
No. 6. Mangammal
Street George town

S. Jaganatha Row
No. 25. Vakkiran
Street. Vepary

H. Vankota Janki
Rangay

Robert Lopez.
Muscatapur.

M. Krishnamachari
No. 9. Poyalwar Kovil Street
Triplicane.

B. M. Chinubhangan Achari.
No 3. Annamalai Achari Braistony Street.
Triplicane.

Reply may be kindly sent to
M.C. Krishnamachari
No 9. Poyalwar Kovil Street
Triplicane Madras

Triplicane, Madras
9th July 1909

7

H.E. The Hon: Sir Arthur Lawley, K.C.M.G., G.C.S.E.,
Governor-in-Council,
Fort Saint George.

(Through the Director of Public Instruction)

May it please Your Excellency,

Adverting to Memorial dated July 1909 in regard to the case of students of the late Draftsman and Sub-Overseer classes of the Civil Engineering College, Madras, who, after a successful College course, were not granted the diploma for want of a few marks in spite of concessions of previous years, nor allowed the chance of again appearing for examination by a sudden abolition of the same.

Memorialists beg to submit an expression of public opinion on the case, vide Exhibits marked A and B enclosed, being respectively Editorial and Correspondence in the 'Hindu' of the 14th and 30th June 1909, and humbly pray for gracious adoption of the course in B. It stands based, Memorialists would very respectfully point out, upon the procedure once in vogue, is simple, and at the same time settles the harassing case satisfactorily in every way.

For this act of kindness Memorialists shall, as in duty bound ever pray.

Memorialists beg to remain,
Your Excellency's obedient servants,

Triplicane
22nd July 1909

A. Lakshmana Naicker
S.O.S.I. of 1907

J. H. Somasundaram Aiyar
Draftsman class,
No 5, Vallabha - Agam, Triplicane.
Madras.

(Please return over.)

I. b. Swamathaw. $\frac{8}{1}$

Draytonman Class. Last Set. Jan 07 to 08.

$\frac{1}{4}$ Another Calath. St.

Triph' cane.

A. K. Kamanayam Goods

N^o 18. Ael Zwinger's Strich, Padupakam

Matras

K. G. Subramania sastri

109. Sella friidhar koid street.

Mount Road post

Madras.

M. W. Boraiswami Aiyengar.

17 Chirukulam South Brahmia Street.

My father
Mauds.

S. Jagannatha Rao.

Draftman class

No. 105 High Road

Triplicane

Madras.

B. Y. Vincenthalmany Jun Achary.

No 3 Arimathos Acharis waistay Street.

Triplianus

8
 L. S. Sumanth
 12. 10. 1909
 18. 10. 1909
 19. 10. 1909
 20. 10. 1909
 21. 10. 1909
 22. 10. 1909
 23. 10. 1909
 24. 10. 1909
 25. 10. 1909
 26. 10. 1909
 27. 10. 1909
 28. 10. 1909
 29. 10. 1909
 30. 10. 1909

10. 10. 1909
 11. 10. 1909
 12. 10. 1909
 13. 10. 1909
 14. 10. 1909
 15. 10. 1909
 16. 10. 1909
 17. 10. 1909
 18. 10. 1909
 19. 10. 1909
 20. 10. 1909
 21. 10. 1909
 22. 10. 1909
 23. 10. 1909
 24. 10. 1909
 25. 10. 1909
 26. 10. 1909
 27. 10. 1909
 28. 10. 1909
 29. 10. 1909
 30. 10. 1909

10. 10. 1909
 11. 10. 1909
 12. 10. 1909
 13. 10. 1909
 14. 10. 1909
 15. 10. 1909
 16. 10. 1909
 17. 10. 1909
 18. 10. 1909
 19. 10. 1909
 20. 10. 1909
 21. 10. 1909
 22. 10. 1909
 23. 10. 1909
 24. 10. 1909
 25. 10. 1909
 26. 10. 1909
 27. 10. 1909
 28. 10. 1909
 29. 10. 1909
 30. 10. 1909

Exhibit B

THE HINDU. JUNE 30. 1909.

The Late Draftsman's Class.
 GRIEVANCES OF STUDENTS.
 TO THE EDITOR OF THE "HINDU."
 SIR,—Your advocacy of the cause in your valuable journal in the issue of the 14th instant is just; but the class having been abolished, as also the Board of final examiners, chances of future examinees without the aid of class studies can have no practical bearing. The number of students affected, besides, is but small. Out of hundreds of passed Lower Secondary candidates examined at the entrance, only 30 were selected, and except in the case of Muhammadans, Eurasians and Native Christians, a high order of efficiency was enforced in selection. They sank money, passed the training period successfully at all stages and finished the prescribed two years course. They are fairly entitled to consideration, and ought not to be left adrift. On admission, they all produced medical certificate of physical fitness, and any demand for high degrees of expertness in physical exercise is unmeaning and yet would appear to have contributed largely to the failures in many cases. In years past the percentage of passes was often raised by increase of marks. A similar concession might now be made, and the remaining—if any, granted a 3rd class certificate once in vogue, and the matter thus ended once for all with entire satisfaction to every body.
 FAIR PLAY.

Handwritten: A. E. ...

Handwritten: Exhibit A.

Handwritten: 9

THE HINDU. JUNE 14. 1909.

The Draftsman Class of the Engineering College which had existed for several years past has now been abolished. In the revised rules relating to the constitution and working of the College, approved by the Government some time ago, the Draftsman class has no place and is found to have been absorbed in the Lower Subordinate class. This latter class is for the training of lower subordinates, surveyors, and draftsmen for the Public Works, Survey, Revenue, and other departments. The course of instruction extends over three years, and candidates are required to have passed the Matriculation Examination and to be under 22 years of age. Before the coming into force of the new rules, the Draftsman class was a class by itself, whose object was the training of Mechanical draftsmen and estimators. The course of instruction for this class extended to two years only and the educational qualification required of the candidates was the passing of the Lower Secondary Examination or the late Middle School Examination. A fair number of students were availing themselves of the benefit of the instruction imparted in this class and were coming out as qualified draftsmen. The last time candidates applied for the Draftsman Certificate Examination was in October 1908. While registering the applications of candidates for the examination which was held in December last, the Principal of the College informed them for the first time of a College order, dated 29th July preceding, an order which was about three months old, which warned the candidates that the then ensuing December examination was the last one and that no further examinations would be held. It also stated that no hope of exemption or appointment could be held out to such of the students as might fail to qualify at that examination. This was, indeed, a shock to the candidates. They had undergone a two years' course, passed the class examinations and shown substantial progress in their course. To be told on a sudden that they were to have one chance and one only, and that barely a month before the examination, was certainly hard. The candidates strove their best to succeed in the examination, and some of them failed. The lot of these candidates is really pitiable and deserves sympathy. They cannot now join the lower subordinate class for want of educational qualifications. They have no

second chance, because the Draftsmen's Certificate Examination has been done away with. What are they to do? No doubt a change in the arrangements at any time is bound to operate to the hardship of a certain number who are to be affected by it. But this hardship should be mitigated as far as possible, because it is not their fault they come under the influence of the change which is determined by others. In this case, the change was ordered rather abruptly by Government, and the College authorities only intimated the fact to the candidates at the last moment. The candidates cannot be said to have had sufficient notice. Besides it is unreasonable that any candidates should be asked to consider that they are to have only one chance and no more. In the College order communicated to the students, we find it stated that "Government have sanctioned the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction that the students who joined this College under the old rules and who have as yet failed to obtain a certificate from the Board of Examiners by passing the final examination should be given a further opportunity to pass the examination according to the standard prescribed by the old rules." Any natural or reasonable interpretation to be put on this would not admit of declaring the examination held in 1908 as the final one, as the candidates who joined the class in 1907 and underwent a two years' course cannot be considered to have failed to obtain a certificate, as they appeared for the examination for the first time in December 1908. Nor, again, can the examination of 1908 be regarded as a "further opportunity to pass the examination," for in effect the College order made it their only opportunity. We should think the order has been unfairly given effect to, so far as these candidates are concerned. We are surprised that Government should have declined to rectify the mistake even when pointed out by the candidates in a memorial, and notwithstanding the sympathy and support which the Director, we understand, accorded. The Government have dealt with these students very harshly, and we trust that without further persisting in their own course they will see the justice of the students' case and come to their help by granting any of the alternative prayers contained in their memorial.

200
A. S. S. S. S.



[E. & L.]
67
2,501-26

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES.

C.No.1543, from the D.P.I., No.4828, d/10-8-1909. 10

Subject.

Grant of another chance to the old students of the College of Engineering who have not passed the final examination of the College.

A The D.P.I. submits for the orders of Government two petitions from the old students of the College of Engineering, wherein they pray for a reconsideration of G.O.No.240, Edl., dated 26th April 1909, refusing to grant them a further chance of passing the final examination of the college.

F 2. These students were educated under the old rules for the College of Engineering which were in force previous to the 1st April 1907. Under these rules - vide rule 98 on page 14 of G.O.No.32, Edl., dated 20th January 1906 - a student who had failed to obtain a certificate from the Board of Examiners at the final examination might appear before the Board for examination in any subsequent year, provided he was certified to have put in the necessary attendance at the College and paid the prescribed fee. Thus a student who failed to pass at a final examination may appear for the examination any number of times subsequently, provided he satisfied the conditions prescribed in Rule 98. But under the new rules which came into force on the 1st April 1907, a student who fails to obtain qualifying marks at a sessional or final examination will not be promoted or pass out, but must either

leave

vide Rules 14, 20 + 25 on pages
16 to 18 of G.O. 1912 Edl - B +
29.3.09
also G.O. 159 Edl - E.
20.3.07

12. 11
leave the College or join the class division next below his own - vide rules 14, 20 and 25 on pages 16, 17 and 18 of G.O.No.191, Edl., dated 29th March 1909.

B
3. In view of this change in the rules, Dr.Bourne in his letter No.4871, dated 26th May 1908, recommended that the students of the college, who had failed to obtain a certificate under the old rules and who might claim to be re-examined in accordance with the provisions of rule 98 of those rules, should be shown some consideration and proposed that those students should be given a further opportunity to pass the examination according to the standard prescribed by the old rules. He accordingly suggested that they should be warned to appear for their ^{respective} ~~own~~ examinations in 1908, as they would have no opportunity of appearing for a special examination thereafter, but that they would receive certificates stating, as nearly as possible, the value of their college work and that for posts for which no better qualified applicants might be forthcoming, applications for exemption would be made on behalf of the holders of those certificates.

4. The Government approved only the first proposal of the D.P.I., i.e., to hold a re-examination for the old students in the year 1908, but with regard to the second proposal, they said that no hope of exemption or of appointment should be held out to such of those students as might fail to qualify at the re-examination - vide G.O.No.556, Edl., dated 22nd July 1908.

5. A re-examination for these students was accordingly held in December 1908, but the petitioners

Page 2 of G.O. 556 Edl. 22-7-08 D

Vide the D.P.I.'s letter on p. 2 of G.O. No. 556 Edl. 22-7-08 - D

petitioners were unsuccessful at that examination. They accordingly memorialized Government urging that sufficient notice was not given of the fact that the examination ^{of December 1908} would be the last of the kind for which students educated under the old rules would be allowed to appear - vide petitions under cover of G.O.No.240, Edl., dated 26th April 1909, and requesting for the continuation of the examination under the old rules for three years more or for the grant to them of a certificate of lower qualification than that for which they would be eligible had they been successful at that examination.

6. The D.P.I. while ^{Conceding} ~~conceding~~ that there was some truth in the complaint of the students that they had not sufficient notice that the examination held in December 1908 was the last ^{at least} chance for them, recommended that one more chance might be allowed them to pass the final examination, ^{on the ground} ~~so that~~ that, had it not been for the change in the rules, ^{students} ~~these~~ would have been able to continue to appear for the examination as 'independent candidates' for any number of years until they passed. The Government, however, refused to give the students any further chance.--vide G.O.No. 240, Edl., dated 26th April 1909 and the notes on pages 23 & 24 of the G.O.

7. In the petitions now submitted by the D.P.I., the students pray for a reconsideration of the above order. Dr.Bourne observes that "these students have undergone their course of "study in the college at great expense and have "had the required college training, but having "failed at the final examination they are obliged "to lose completely the benefits of their college "course and could make no living for want of the

Page 18 of G.O. 240 Ed. 26.4.09 A

The examinations being conducted under the old rules by the College staff held in December next along with the college examinations,

Pages 1 & 2 of C.F.

Page 2 of C.F.

14. 13

"the prescribed certificate of qualification." He therefore requests that Government will be pleased to reconsider their order and sanction the holding of another special examination similar to that held in December 1908 or sanction the grant of some sort of certificates to the failed candidates saying how they did in the college and in the Board's examinations so as to facilitate the employment of the holders of such certificates in temporary vacancies or in cases in which the services of qualified candidates may not be available.

8. It is for consideration whether the request of the D.P.I. may be granted. The holding of another special examination similar to the one held in December 1908 will not cost the Government anything, as the College of Engineering examinations are now conducted by the College staff - vide G.O.No.49, Edl., dated 26th January 1909.

T.H.
19-8-09

Please see the notes on pages 23 and 24 of G.O. No. 240 Ex. dated 26th April 1909, in which Mr. Butterworth expressed the opinion that there was no ground whatever for the grant of any concession to the petitioners. This opinion was concurred in by the H.M. (Sir George Forbes).

2. The D.P.I. now requests that the above order may be reconsidered. The petitioners, who joined the College of Engineering, when the old rules were in force, would, but for the revision of the rules in

in 1907, have the right to appear for the Board's final examination for any number of years, without limit, until they passed. The new rules, which came into force in April 1907, do not contain this provision. The petitioners, who failed at the Board's final examinations held under the old rules, can perhaps claim to be re-examined in accordance with those rules, as the new rules make no provision for them, and the only course open to them, having the chance of appearing for and passing at the re-examinations held under the old rules, would be to ~~go~~ begin their course of study in the college afresh under the new rules. In his letter No. 4871, dated 26th May 1908, the D.P.I. pointed out that "if in accordance with the old rules the principle of re-exams in the case of these candidates is to be followed, it will be necessary to continue to provide complete sets of papers under the old syllabuses, so long as any of them chose to apply." This he considered ^{was} impracticable. Considering the difficulties ^{then} experienced in the matter of financing the ordinary college examinations, and he therefore proposed to hold a re-examination in December 1908, giving due warning to the candidates concerned that that was their last and only chance.

Paper 2 of 556601 - D
22.7.08

do

chance and that they would have no more chances. The Govt. approved this proposal in G.O. No. 556 Esol. dated 22nd July 1908.

3. It is alleged by the petitioners that this order was not communicated to them until the 1st and 2nd November 1908, and that they had not timely notice of the fact that the examination of December 1908 was their last chance.

4. From the D.P.I.'s letter No. 1235, dated 24th March 1909, it will be observed that the Principal of the College of Engineering notified G.O. No. 556 Esol. dated 22nd July 1908 in the College order book "in conformity with the recognized procedure of publishing orders affecting the past and present students", that to disseminate the information as widely as possible it was notified in the Fort St. George Gazette in September and October 1908, and that finally a printed copy of the College order was sent to each candidate who applied for the examination ~~who applied for the examination~~, which apparently reached these candidates in November 1908, as stated in paragraph 3 Supra.

5. In his letter on p. 2 of G.O. No. 556 Esol., dated 22nd July 1908, the D.P.I. stated that there were approximately 86 ^{failed} candidates who might claim a re-examination under the old rules, but from

D

Para. 8 of the petition
p. 4 of cf.

p. 18 of G.O. No. 240 Esol. - A
26.4.09

D

do — do —

D

vide para. 5, imp. 4 of G.O.
No. 338 Est - 2.
9.6.09

from the College report for 1908, it appears that only 65 of them ^{appeared} ~~applied~~ for the exam. held in December 1908, & that 27 of them were successful, as shown below:—

	No. of failed Candidates (Approximately)	No. who appeared at the Examination of December 1908	Number passed
Engineer Candidates.	6	4	1
" Subordinates.	20	9	7
Suboverseers.	30	14	1
Draftsmen	30	38	18
Total	86	65	27

$86 - 65 = 21$

$86 - 27 = 59$

6. From the above, it will be evident that at least 21 of the ^{failed} Candidates, the Separate ~~print~~ printed copy of the order said to have been sent by the Principal was not communicated. There are still about sixty students who will avail themselves of any further concession which it may be decided to grant, and it is for consideration whether in view of the circumstances explained in paragraph 2 of the D.P.'s letter, his recommendation to hold another Special Examination similar to that held in December 1908, may be accepted.

p. 22 of G.O. No. 191 Est. B
29.3.09

7. Under Rule 69 of the revised College rules, the College examinations are now to be conducted by the College staff & not by a separate

Separate Board of Examiners as under the old rules, and the difficulty about financing the examinations, which was anticipated by the D.P.I., in his letter No. 4871 dated 26th May 1908, will no longer exist.

20. 2. 09

See.

There seems no reason to reconsider the order of April last. The men are failures & they must recognize it.

Chd.
21. 8.

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.

Box Ordinary No. 11
Reg. Confidential 23.8.9

AM.

The D.P.I. may be told that for. has no objection to his directing that the certificates referred to in the letter part of para 2 of his present letter may be printed.

23/8

Yes. They may get such certificates as to Examiners & College authorities. Think they may be entitled to. No. New examinations can be permitted.

3. 10. 09.

Left pens Male

18

Draft submitted for approval.

T.H.
4.9.09

RD
4.9.09

Issue

cal
4.9.9.

See for personal before mine

AD
4/9

See 7/9

19

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1543, From the D.P. 9, No. 4828, dated 10 - 8 - 1909.

" " , " " , " 190

" " , " " , " 190

Sept 1919

Mis

No. 574, dated 7-7 1909

reconsider their decision in G. No. 240
Edl. dated 26th April, 1909 or to
permit the holding of a new
examination for the benefit
of the memorialists. They ~~sent~~
he however no objection to
these Candidates' being granted

The Govt are not prepared to
~~sanction the holding of another
special examination for the benefit
of the old students of the College of
Engineering who have not passed
the College final examination.~~

~~The Students may be granted~~ such
certificates as the Examiners and
the College Authorities ^{may} consider ~~fit~~
suitable in each case ~~they may be entitled to~~ as proposed
in paragraph 3 of the D.P. is better
7.7.1 referred to

7.7.
4-9-09

$$\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 4.909 \end{array}$$

CHS
• 4-9-9.

To The D.P.I. 140
Petro - 940

Despatched 873

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

} 190.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 ,

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only.