

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1909.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 438, 27th July 1909.

Medical College.

Approving the levy of fees for private pathological work undertaken by the Professor of Pathology at the — and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit annually a report on the amount of private work done and the fees earned by the Professor and the sum of money credited to Government.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

1909.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 438, 27th July 1909.

Medical College.

Approving the levy of fees for private pathological work undertaken by the Professor of Pathology at the — and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit annually a report on the amount of private work done and the fees earned by the Professor and the sum of money credited to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

Letter—from Lieut.-Colonel F. J. CRAWFORD, M.D., I.M.S., Acting Principal, Medical College.
To—the Director of Public Instruction.
Dated—Madras, the 18th May 1909.
No.—Ref. on C. 1254.

I have the honour to submit the enclosed letter No. 111, dated 20th April 1909, from the Professor of Pathology. The pathological work was handed over to the Medical College in July 1908 and it seems only fair and just that the same arrangements should be sanctioned regarding private work carried out by the Professor.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from Captain A. C. INGRAM, M.D., I.M.S., Professor of Pathology, Medical College.
To—the Principal, Medical College.
Dated—Madras, the 20th April 1909.
No.—111.

I have the honour to represent to you that since the examination of pathological specimens have been transferred from Guindy to this laboratory, a certain number of specimens have been sent on behalf of private practitioners for examination and report.

2. I have the honour to request that the arrangement under which fees were permitted to be accepted by the Superintendent of the King Institute for such examinations, be sanctioned for the Medical College also on the same terms. A copy of the Government Order sanctioning the arrangement for the King Institute No. 114, dated 2nd February 1905, is attached for reference along with a letter containing the Sanitary Commissioner's proposition. The pathological work was handed over on 7th July 1908, in accordance with Surgeon-General's circular No. 43, dated 29th June 1908, and the cases are exactly parallel.

3. Copies of G.Os., No. 1474 L. of 4th November 1908, and No. 398 L. of 1st April 1909, are attached hereto.

Ref. on Cur. No. 3525, dated 5th June 1909.

Submitted to Government, Educational (through the Surgeon-General), for orders.

A. G. BOURNE,
 Director of Public Instruction.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, I.D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
Dated—Madras, the 30th June 1909.
No.—Ref. on C. 3525.

In reply to your letter No. T. 50 M/28 of the 14th June 1909, I have the honour to state that about twelve specimens were sent in to the Professor of Pathology for examination and for section-cutting and one or two blood specimens on behalf of private practitioners for the last six months.

The scale of charges recommended by Captain Ingram is the same as that given in the G.Os., No. 1474 L., dated 4th November 1908, and No. 398 L., dated 1st April 1909.

III

Letter—from Colonel H. St. C. CARRUTHERS, I.M.S., Officiating Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 6th July 1909.
No.—100/422.

Submitted and recommended.

2. The pathological work hitherto performed at the King Institute was transferred to the Medical College with effect from the 7th July 1908. It is reported that during the last six months one or two blood specimens and about twelve specimens for examination and section-cutting were sent in by private practitioners. Though this number of specimens is not large, yet it seems but reasonable that fees should be charged for such work, in the same way as is done at the King Institute, and according to the scale and on the terms stated in G.Os., No. 1474 L., dated 4th November 1908, and No. 398 L., dated 1st April 1909.

Order—No. 438, Educational, dated 27th July 1909.

The Government consider that fees should be charged for private pathological work undertaken by the Professor of Pathology at the Medical College, Madras. The fees should be levied in accordance with the scale and on the terms stated in G.Os., No. 1474 L., dated 4th November 1908, and No. 398 L., dated 1st April 1909.

2. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit to Government annually a report on the amount of private work done and the fees earned by the Professor of Pathology and the amount credited to Government.

(True Extract.)

W. FRANCIS,
 Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
 „ Surgeon-General.
 „ Public Department.
 Editors' Table (order only).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 438, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
27TH JULY 1909.

[SUBJECT.—*Sanction of Government to the Professor of Pathology, Medical College, accepting fees for the examination of specimens sent on behalf of private practitioners.*]

Previous papers.

G.O., No. 1733, Public, dated 26th December 1877.	
" " 114 L. " 2nd February 1905.	
" " 1474 L. " 4th November 1908.	
" " 398 L. " 1st April 1909.	
" " 722 L. " 10th June "	

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 5th June 1909, No. 3525.

The Director of Public Instruction submits, for the orders of Government, a letter from the Professor of Pathology, Medical College (Captain A. C. Ingram, M.D., I.M.S.) in which the latter officer requests that he may be permitted to receive fees for the examination of pathological specimens sent by private practitioners.

2. In G.O., No. 114 L., dated 2nd February 1905, the Government permitted the Superintendent of the King Institute, Guindy, to undertake bacteriological or microscopical work on behalf of private persons and to levy fees for the work so done. In G.O., No. 1474 L., dated 4th November 1908, a scale of fees to be charged for the private work done at the King Institute was laid down; and in G.O., No. 398 L., dated 1st April 1909, it was ruled that 50 per cent. of the fees levied should be credited to Government, the remaining 50 per cent. being appropriated by the Director of the Institute.

3. From the 7th July 1908, all the pathological work done at the King Institute has been transferred * to the Medical College, Madras, where it is attended to by the Professor of Pathology. The Professor of Pathology now requests that the arrangement under which the Superintendent of the King Institute was permitted to accept fees for doing bacteriological and other work for private persons may be extended to him also.

* In accordance with the Surgeon-General's Circular No. 43, dated 29th June 1908 (copy not submitted)—*vide* page 4 of G.O., No. 722 L., dated 10th June 1909.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR.

4. The Surgeon-General recommends that the request of the Professor of Pathology may be granted. He observes that during the last six months one or two blood specimens and about 12 specimens for examination and section-cutting were sent to the Medical College by private practitioners and that, though this number is not large, it is but reasonable that fees should be charged for such work in the same way as is done at the King Institute and according to the scale and on the terms stated in G.Os., No. 1474 L., dated 4th November 1908, and No. 398 L., dated 1st April 1909.

5. It is for consideration whether the Surgeon-General's recommendation may be accepted. It is observed that the Chemical Examiner to Government and Civil Surgeons are allowed to receive fees for all analyses not effected on behalf of Government—*vide* articles 1105 and 1106 of the Civil Medical Code.

T.K—20-7-09.

Under G.O., No. 398 L., dated 1st April 1909, 50 per cent. of the fees levied for *private* bacteriological and analytical work undertaken at the King Institute should be credited to Government and the remaining 50 per cent. is appropriated by the Director of the Institute. The same rule may be made as regards pathological (private) work done at the Medical College. The scale of fees may be the same as that laid down in G.O., No. 1474 L., dated 4th November 1908.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—21-7-09.

I submit as in the above note.

C. A. SOUTER—22-7-09.

Approved.

W. FRANCIS—23-7-09.

The draft order placed below is for approval.

P.R.—24-7-09.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—24-7-09.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—26-7-09.

[G.O., No. 438, Educational, dated 27th July 1909.]

Don't print

69. King Institute of Preventive Medicine.

Acceptance of fees by the Superintendents for work undertaken by him on behalf of private individuals.

In the following letter the Sanitary Commissioner recommended that the Superintendent, King Institute, should be permitted to accept remuneration for bacteriological or microscopical work undertaken by him on behalf of private individuals and Government in the subjoined order sanctioned the proposal with remarks.

From Lieut-Col. W.G. King, C.I.E., I.M.S., Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated 22nd November 1904, No. 4423. S.

I have the honour to request the favour of the approval of Government to fees being accepted by the Superintendent King Institute, for bacteriological or microscopical work performed on behalf of private persons and Medical Officers in Government employ where the interest of private patients only are concerned, and where the matter is not of official interest or connected with enquiries affecting public health. A considerable amount of work of this nature has been received and more may be ^{expected} accepted. I propose that the fees required shall range from Rs. 2-3-0 to Rs. 40-0-0 according to the complexities of the work. This scale in its maximum, rates would follow closely those already sanctioned by Government for the Chemical Examiner. I do not propose to define the work for which specific fees should be charged, firstly, because I consider that for certain examinations it would be almost impossible to predict before starting work precisely how much trouble would be involved, and secondly, because I think such points may be safely left in the incumbent's hands.

font print

3

4

46

hands. It is quite certain that the present tone of the Institute is not the making of money but the advance of specialism, and the latter object has no chance of being killed for the sake of the former. As it is not possible to differentiate the cost of material used which may belong to Government, I propose that 2 per cent of all fees received should be credited to Government.

Scale of fees which the Chemical Examiner may receive from Foreign Governments, Municipalities, and private parties, for analysis: vide G. O. No. 1733. Public, dated 26th December 1877.

	Rs.	As.	Ps.
Ores of iron, lead, copper and other minerals, including coal ...			
Soils ...	40	0	0
Manures ...			
Organic analysis of grains &c ...			
Medico-legal Cases ...	25	0	0
Malt liquors ...			
Spirits ...			
Wines ...			
Raw sugars ...			
Potable water ...	20	0	0
Drugs (assay of) ...			
Soils (partial analysis of) ...			
Manures (partial analysis of) ...			
Oil cake ...			
Tan barks ...			
Cinchona barks ...			
Tea ...			
Lime stones ...			
Salts ...			
Nitre ...			
Waters (qualitative examination of) ...	10	0	0
Petroleum oils ...			
Acids ...			
Animal charcoal ...			

Endorsement No. 4349, dated the 13th December 1904.
Referred to the Surgeon-General for remarks.

(Signed) A.Y.G. Campbell,
Under Secretary to Government.

From Surgeon-General W.R. Browne, M.D., I.M.S.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief
Secretary

3
6
51
Secretary to Government, dated 15th December 1904, No. 393.

In reply to your endorsement No. 4849, Public, dated the 13th December 1904 I have the honour to state that I consider that the permission solicited by the Sanitary Commissioner, for the acceptance of remuneration by the Superintendent, King Institute, for purely private work undertaken by the latter officer, should be granted.

Order- No. 114. L., dated 2nd February 1905:-

The Government accept the proposal of the Sanitary Commissioner that the Superintendent of the King Institute should be permitted to undertake bacteriological or microscopical work on behalf of private persons and Government Medical Officers, where the interests of private persons only are concerned.

2. As regards the disposal of fees for this work, a statement should be submitted to Government at the end of every month showing:-

- (i) Name of the applicant for the service.
- (ii) The nature of the work done on his behalf.
- (iii) The time spent on the work.
 - (a) During office hours.
 - (b) Out of office hours.
- (iv) The fee levied and the reason for fixing the amount

3. The Government will thereon pass orders as to how much of the fee should be allowed as remuneration to the Superintendent, and will be able to understand whether such private work is duly unduly occupying the Superintendent.

(True Extract.)

(Sd.) H. D. Taylor,

Ag. Secretary to Government.

(True copy.)

M.D.,

Captain I. M. S.,

Acting Professor of Pathology.

The fees proposed by the Sanitary Commissioner in his letter No.2266S, dated 21st August 1908, for private work undertaken at the King Institute, Guindy, appear to be unduly high; it is considered desirable that the fees should be fixed on a moderate scale so as to induce private persons to avail themselves as freely as possible of the advantages offered by the institute which is maintained at the public cost. Sanction will be accorded provisionally to the levy of fees at the following rates:-

(a) For a single complete bacteriological and chemical analysis of water	Rs 32
(b) For bacteriological & chemical analysis of water undertaken at fixed intervals	20
(c) For chemical and bacteriological examination of milk	16
For chemical examination of adulteration with water or chemicals only.	16
(d) For chemical examination of butter and other food or drug.	16
(e) For examination of blood films, sputum, plague smears, and the like	5
(f) For examinations necessitating the cutting of sections or making cultivations	10
(g) For examinations necessitating the inoculation of a small animal, such as a rat, rabbit or guinea pig.	16

All expenses incurred in collecting the samples, if personal collection by officers of the institute is necessary, should be defrayed by the party concerned.

2. The fees should be levied in advance and a detailed return of the amounts received on this account should be furnished every quarter to this Government. Pending further orders, the fees should be credited to Government.

3. It should be understood that no charge will be made for work done on behalf of any Municipal Council or Local Board.

(True Extract)

H.D.Taylor,
Secretary to Government.

(True Extract)

W. H. Taylor

M.B., B.Sc.,

Ag: Superintendent.

5 *8*
G.O.No.398 L., dated 1st April 1909. *A^a* *7*

bonifant
In their order No.1474 L., dated 4th November 1908, the Government, while sanctioning the levy of fees for private bacteriological and analytical work undertaken at the King Institute, decided that pending further orders the fees should be credited to Government. For the future fifty per cent of these fees should be credited to Government, the remaining fifty per cent being appropriated by the Director of the Institute.

2. The Sanitary Commissioner will be requested to submit to Government annually a report on the amount of private work done and the fees earned by the Director of the King Institute, and the amount credited to Government.

(True Extract)

(Sd., C.A.Souter.,

Under Secretary to Government.

(True Copy)

W. H. Maitland Jones

M.B., B.Sc.,

Ag: Superintendent.

[E. & L.]
76.
2,500-25-2

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .

" " " " " " " " 190 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 1326 , From the D.P. 9 , No. 3525 , dated 5. 6. 1909 .

" " " " " " " " 190 .

" " " " " " " " 190 .

ORDER.

R.S.

No. 430 , dated 27-7-1909 .

The Government Consider that fees should be charged for private pathological work undertaken by the Professor of Pathology at the Medical College, Madras. The fees should be levied in accordance with the Scale and on the terms stated in

C Gono 1474.2 d 4-4-08 and Gono 398.
A d 1-4-09.

2. The D.P. I will be requested to submit to Govt annually a report on the amount of private work done and the fees earned by the Professor of Pathology

Cf. para 2 of G.O. to 3985- A
1. 4. 09

7 / NOTES.

1100 / Page 2

Pathology and the amount Credited to Government.

PR
24-7-09

Chd
26.2.9.

The S.P. 9 ✓ Disb'd
" S.P. ✓ 27.7.9
" Public Dept ✓ Disb'd
28.7.9

scribbled
16/8/9

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a)

EDUCATIONAL
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Current No....., dated.....19

G.O., No 438....., dated 27th July 19 09Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence including order or orders
(b)
Notes.....Docket..... Medical College..... Approving the levy of
fees to private pathological work undertaken
by the Professor of Pathology at the and
requesting the to submit annually
a report on the amount of private work done
+ the fees earned by him + the sum of money
credited to GovtNumber of copies required when struck off (not including
copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained
in the Press). Complete correspondence and orders. Notes

FOR RECORD.*

Madras Records	...	...	...	25	15
Ooty Records	...	...	...	3	3
For filing with original	...	...	...	1	1
Total	...	...	...	1	19

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O. (b)		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....					
India Office.....					
Govt. of India..... Dept.					
Public Department.....					
Judicial Department.....					
Financial Department.....					
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....					
Local and Municipal Department.....					
..... Dept.					
Total					
Spare copies.					
The Director of Public Instruction.....					
The Comr. for Govt. Examinations.....					
The Superintendent, Govt. Museum.....					
The Surgeon-General.....					
The Accountant-General.....					
The Collector of.....					
The P. D. B.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
Total					

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see the rules in G.O., No. 1220, Public, dated 30th November 1900.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Deputy Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Deputy Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, but only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on..... (Press Clerk.)

† Copies distributed on..... (Despatcher.)

TO PRESS, MADRAS.
OOTY.

A

Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.
at once.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (6) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

.....Clerk.

.....Clerk.

.....Clerk.

.....Supdt.

.....Supdt.

.....Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	31-7-09 <i>MB</i>
First proof furnished on.....	4/8/09 <i>MB</i>
Call for revised proof received on.....	<i>MB</i>
Revised proof furnished on.....	<i>MB</i>
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	10/8/09 <i>MB</i>
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	29	19	
" " " Issue.....	13		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E.T.....			
Total ...	58	19	