

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1909.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 416, 14th July 1909.

Exemption from the examination rules.

Declining to exempt A. P. Vasudeva Mudaliyar, E. J. Simpson and C. W. Stevens from the Matriculation examination for employment as Sub-Inspectors of Police and drawing the attention of the Inspector-General to the undesirability of appointing unpassed men as Sub-Inspectors.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1909.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 416, 14th July 1909.

Exemption from the examination rules.

Declining to exempt A. P. Vasudeva Mudaliyar, E. J. Simpson and C. W. Stevens from the Matriculation examination for employment as Sub-Inspectors of Police and drawing the attention of the Inspector-General to the undesirability of appointing unpassed men as Sub-Inspectors.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

READ—the following:—

I

Applications for exemption from the Examination rules for employment as a Sub-Inspector of Police from D. W. G. Cowie, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

(i)

No. 461 A.R., dated 6th January 1909.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	The examination from which exemption is sought.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
A. P. Vasudeva Mudaliyar, 21 years, studied up to the Matriculation class.	Sub-Inspector of Police. Permanent.	Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.	Matriculation examination.	Permanent.	Permanent.	This is the first application.	It is difficult to obtain a sufficient number of non-Brahmin candidates with a knowledge of <i>Telugu</i> , who have passed the requisite examination.	I have seen this young man and am of opinion that he is likely to make an efficient Police officer.	

(ii)

No. 438 A.R., dated 6th January 1909.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	The examination from which exemption is sought.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Edgar John Simpson, 22 years.	Sub-Inspector of Police. Permanent.	Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.	Matriculation Examination.	Permanent.	Permanent.	This is the first application.	It is extremely difficult to obtain Eurasians who have passed the requisite examination.	I have seen the young man and am of opinion that, with training, he is likely to make an efficient Police officer.	

(iii)

No. 448 A.R. of 1908, dated 12th January 1909.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	The examination from which exemption is sought.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Charles William Stevens, 18 years.	Sub-Inspector of Police. Permanent.	Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.	Matriculation examination.	Permanent.	Permanent.	This is the first application.	European candidates of a suitable description are difficult to find.	This applicant would, in all probability, have passed the Matriculation examination, had not his private affairs compelled him to leave school. I have seen him and consider that he is likely to prove a useful Police officer.	

II

Official Memorandum No. 315-1, Judicial, dated 26th January 1909.

With reference to his letters Nos. 438 and 461, dated the 6th January and No. 448, dated the 12th January 1909, the Inspector-General of Police is requested to be so good as to report in greater detail on the qualifications of the three candidates recommended for exemption from the Matriculation examination. In particular, information should be furnished as to where they studied and in what classes. Some account of the parentage of the three nominees should also be given.

(By Order.)

C. A. SOUTER,
Ag. Under Secretary to Govt.

To the Inspector-General of Police.

III

Letter—from D. W. G. COWIE, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 1st February 1909.
No.—R. C. 85/Govt.

With reference to Judicial Memorandum No. 315-1, dated the 26th January 1909, I have the honour to furnish in the enclosed statement the further details required by Government regarding the three candidates recommended for exemption from the Matriculation examination.

ENCLOSURE.

Name.	Institution in which the applicant studied.	Class in which the applicant studied last.	Parentage.
Edward John Simpson	Bishop Cotton's school, Bangalore.	Fifth form (passed Mysore Lower Secondary).	(Father) George Simpson (deceased), Superintendent of Telegraphs, Ceylon.
A. P. Vasudevan	Hindu High school, Triplicane.	Matriculation class	(Father) A. Parthasarathy Mudaliyar, First-grade Sub-Inspector, Salt and Abkari, Revenue Department.
Charles William Stevens	St. Andrew's Boys' High school, Bangalore.	Fifth form	(Father) Charles Bavin Smythe Stevens, Company Sergeant-Major, Royal Engineers.

Order—No. 416, Educational, dated 14th July 1909.

With reference to his letters read above on the subject of exemption from the Matriculation examination of A. P. Vasudeva Mudaliyar, E. J. Simpson and C. W. Stevens for employment as Sub-Inspectors of Police, the Inspector-General of Police will be informed that in the absence of stronger reasons than those he has so far adduced in support of their exemption his recommendation cannot be accepted.

2. The Matriculation examination is only the minimum educational qualification required of candidates for employment as Sub-Inspectors of Police and the attention of the Inspector-General will be drawn to the fact that one of the main objects of the recent reorganization of the subordinate Police establishments was to secure a higher and better educated class of men for the efficient discharge of the very responsible duties, involving prosecutions of crime, that are entrusted to them—an object which, the Government consider, would be entirely frustrated if unpassed candidates are employed to those posts.

(True Extract.)

W. FRANCIS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
„ Judicial Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 416, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 14TH JULY 1909.

[SUBJECT.—Permanent exemption from the Matriculation examination of certain candidates for employment as Sub-Inspectors of Police.]

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 6th January 1909, No. 461.

„ „ „ 6th „ „ 438.
„ „ „ 12th „ „ 448.

The Inspector-General of Police (Mr. D. W. G. Cowie) recommends the permanent exemption from the Matriculation examination of the following persons for employment as Sub-Inspectors of Police:—

(1) A. P. Vasudeva Mudaliyar, age 21 years. Recommended on account of the difficulty of obtaining a sufficient number of qualified non-Brahmin candidates with a knowledge of Telugu. Mr. Cowie is of opinion that the candidate is “likely to make an efficient Police officer.”

(2) E. J. Simpson, age 22 years. Recommended as “it is extremely difficult to obtain” qualified Eurasians. Mr. Cowie is of opinion that, “with training, he is likely to make an efficient Police officer.”

(3) C. W. Stevens, age 18 years. Recommended, because “European candidates of a suitable description are difficult to find.” The Inspector-General considers “that he is likely to prove a useful Police officer.”

2. The Government of India have fixed the University Matriculation examination as the minimum educational qualification for direct appointment as Sub-Inspector of Police. Please see also the Honourable Member's remarks on pages 13 and 14 of G.O., No. 341 R., dated 8th July 1907, on page 4 of G.O., No. 587, dated 7th September 1907, on page 2 of K.W. G.O., No. 767, Educational, dated 14th November 1909, and on pages 3 and 4 of G.O., No. 77, dated 16th November 1908.

3. Of the three candidates recommended by the Inspector-General of Police No. (1) is stated to have studied up to the Matriculation class. But no information is furnished as to the educational attainments of the other two. It is for consideration whether, before the file is sent to the Judicial department for remarks, the Inspector-General may be requested to obtain and to submit to Government certificates showing the educational attainments of all the three candidates.

P.R.—18-1-09.

The file may, it is submitted, be sent u.o. to the Judicial Department for remarks and for obtaining the information referred to at A.

K. RANGASWAMI AITANGAR—18-1-09.

C. A. SOUTER—18-1-09.

To the Judicial Department.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

If it is decided to obtain further particulars about the three candidates, the draft official memorandum placed below may issue.

N. ANNAJI RAO—20-1-09.

The Inspector-General does not give us much to go on. Simpson may have had little education of any kind and it is merely stated of Stevens that he if he had stayed on at school he would probably have passed the Matriculation examination. It might also be as well to get some information regarding the parentage of these two men.

C. J. WEIR—23-1-09.

C. A. SOUTER—21-1-09.

Draft submitted.

N. ANNAJI RAO—23-1-09.

C. A. SOUTER—25-1-09.

[Memorandum to Inspector-General of Police, dated 26th January 1909, No. 315-1.]
From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 1st February 1909, No. 85.

The statement furnished by the Inspector-general gives the information wanted by the Chief Secretary.

N. ANNAJI RAO—3-2-09.

Please see the statement. Simpson and Stevens seem to have had a fair education. The Mudaliyar has been in the Matriculation class, but presumably failed to pass.

C. A. SOUTER—4-2-09.

What does studying in the 5th form represent? I have forgotten.

C. J. WEIR—6-2-09.

The fifth form in schools not under the European Schools Code is the pre-Matriculation class. In European schools there is a *fifth standard* which is in the middle school. Both the schools mentioned are European schools, but it is probable that what is referred to is the pre-Matriculation class. The European Code came into force only in 1906 and it is not known whether it has been introduced in Bangalore.

C. A. SOUTER—9-2-09.

For orders.

C. J. WEIR—10-2-09.

Please resubmit this with the note I asked to be drawn up on another file as to the duties of Sub-Inspectors under the new organization.

G. S. F[ORBES]—14-2-09.

Put up note.

C. J. WEIR—16-2-09.

Under the new scheme, Sub-Inspectors will be employed in the following capacities:—

Paragraph 20 of Home Department resolution, dated 21st March 1905.

3. (II). They will be investigating

Ibid.

2. (I). They will be station-house officers taking the place of the present head constable station-house officers. Investigation of offences will, under the new scheme, not be done by constables and head constables.

4. (III). They will be "readers" to Superintendents and Assistant or Deputy Superintendents in charge of sub-divisions. "Readers" are a sort of personal assistants to the superior staff and will "have to deal with crime reports, special diaries and other similar documents relating to crime".

Paragraph 8 of Home Department letter, dated 31st March 1905, on pages 3-4 of G.O., No. 1045-A-46, dated 1st July 1905.

5. (IV). They will be assistants to the Prosecuting Inspectors of Police. Each district has a Prosecuting Inspector and each Prosecuting Inspector will have a Sub-Inspector to assist him.

Paragraph 8 of Home Department letter, dated 31st March 1905, on pages 3-4 of G.O., No. 1045-A-46, dated 1st July 1905.

6. (V). They will be instructors in criminal law and procedure in the recruits' schools. There will be four such schools in the Presidency.

7. (VI). A small number of them (at present 9) is also attached to the Criminal Investigation Department.

N. ANNAJI RAO—22-2-09.

Sub-Inspectors will also be the recruiting ground for Inspectors.

C. A. SOUTER—23-2-09.

Submitted. The office cannot find Honourable Member's request in another file for this note.

C. J. WEIR—24-2-09.

I also asked, on another file I presume, for a reference to the arguments of the Police Commission and of the Government of India for requiring a better stamp of officer as Sub-Inspector of Police. Please put up.

G. S. F[ORBES]—10-3-09.

Put up.

C. J. WEIR—11-3-09.

Attention is solicited to the following papers—

Page 42 of report.

(i) Paragraph 58 of Commission's report.

(ii) Paragraph 20 of Home Department resolution, dated 21st March 1905.

(iii) Paragraph 1 of Home Department letter No. 924, dated 18th September 1905, printed in G.O., No. 1578, dated 2nd October 1905.

2. In the last mentioned paper, India while accepting this Government's proposal to fix Matriculation as the minimum educational qualification for direct appointment as Sub-Inspector, suggested that "endeavour should be made to obtain candidates with higher qualifications". They also said "the Government of India understand that there would be no difficulty in securing a sufficient number of such candidates (i.e., those with higher qualifications) among the Tamil and Malayali castes."

N. ANNAJI RAO—11-3-09.

C. A. SOUTER—12-3-09.

Submitted.

C. J. WEIR—13-3-09.

I have spoken personally to Mr. Cowie pointing out the new duties imposed upon Sub-Inspectors and the need of educated men.

G. S. F[ORBES]—5-7-09.

J. N. ATKINSON—7-7-09.

Will Educational please draft?

C. A. SOUTER—7-7-09.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

I am not at all sure that the Honourable Member really meant to veto these applications men of higher educational attainments in their particular class being difficult to find and recruits of those classes are said to be needed.

L. M. WYNCH—8-7-09.

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval.

P.R.—9-7-09.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—10-7-09.

For approval.

C. A. SOUTER—10-7-09.

Yes. I do not think the reasons so far given are sufficient to justify exemption in these cases.

M. H[AMMICK]—13-7-09.

Issue.

W. FRANCIS—14-7-09.

[G.O., No. 416, Educational, dated 14th July 1909.]

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 190_____

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 35, From the I.G. of Police, No. 461, dated 6-1-1909.

" " 36 , " " - do . , " 438 , " 6-1- 1909 .

" " 75 " - do . , " 448 , " 12 - 1 - 1909 .
" " 662 gms . " - do - , " 85 " 10 - 2 - 9 .

ORDER.

P.S.

No. 416, dated 14. 7. 1909

With reference to his letters read above on the subject of exemption from the matriculation examination of A. P. Vasudeva Mudaligar, E. J. Simpson and C. W. Stevens for employment as Sub. Inspectors of Police, the I. G. of Police will be informed that in the absence of stronger reasons than those he has so far adduced in support of their exemption his recommendation cannot be accepted.

The Matriculation Examination is only the minimum educational qualification required of Candidates for employment as Sub Inspectors of Police and

2. The attention of the I.G. will be
drawn to ~~para 2 of Genl. H. Sedley's~~ the

~~March 1909~~ and to the fact that one of the main objects of the recent reorganization of the Subordinate Police establishments was to secure a higher and better ^{educated} class of men for the efficient discharge of the very responsible duties, involving prosecutions of crime, that are entrusted to them — an object which, the Government consider, would be entirely frustrated if unpassed candidates are ~~the~~ employed to those posts.

9-7-09

20
10-7-09

268
10-7-9

To,

The L.G. of Police. ✓

" Judicial Dept. ✓

Despatched
14-7-09

Current No. 354, dated 8-1-1909.

G.O., No. 416, dated 14-7-1909.

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence including order or orders
(b¹)
Notes.....

Docket Exemption from tax on rules:-

Declining to exempt
T. P. Vasudena Madalappa, C. T. Simhaiah and
C. W. Shewas from the notification in re-
fer to employment as, safe-inspector of
Police and drawing the attention of the

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	Complete correspondence and orders	Notes

FOR RECORD.*

Madras Records	...	...	...	...	25	24
Ooty Records	...	...	...	...	3	3
For filing with original	...	...	...	...	1	1

Total ...		
-----------	--	--

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies.....					
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--

[illegible][illegible]

Public Department:						
--------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Judicial Department.....	5			
--------------------------	---	--	--	--

Financial Department

Revenue Department.....					
-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

The Director of Public Instruction—

The Comr. for Govt. Examinations.....				
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

[illegible][illegible]

The Accountant-General					
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

The Collector of.....

The P. D. B.

The Inspector General of Police

The

The			
-----	--	--	--

The				
-----------	-------	-------	-------	-------

The.....				
----------	--	--	--	--

The			
-----------	-------	-------	-------

The.....			
----------	--	--	--

The			
-----------	-------	-------	-------

[illegible]

Total	...	...	...	...	...
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

* Copies distributed on.....*(Press Clerk)

† Copies distributed on..... * (Despatcher.

* To be initialed and dated

(P.T.O.)

TO PRESS, MADRAS
OOTY.

A	B	C
Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.	Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.	As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.
	Type may be released <u>after usual interval.</u> at once.	Type may be released after usual interval.
.....Clerk.	Clerk.	Clerk.
.....Supdt.	Supdt.	Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.		
Received in Press on.....	17-7-09	Mog	
First proof furnished on.....	22-7-09	Lb	
Call for revised proof received on.....			
Revised proof furnished on.....			
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	28-7-09	Lb	
Final copies supplied on.....	28-7-09	Lb	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	29	24	
" " " Issue.....	16		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E.T.....			
Total ...	55	24	