

Educational (190 9) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 357

DATED 16-6-'97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the rules

(Permanently) exempting A. B. S.
Kavanan alias from the above secondary school
to hold a post on Rs 25 in a Taluk
office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E.]

2,000-15-7-07

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[1080] The Board of Revenue.	1214.	25-4-09	1-5

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed — for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
787	22. 11. 07			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Educational DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 1080

Received 22-11-07 1 JUN 1909 190 , Registered 1-6-1909.

Subject.

Examination rules.

Submitting letter from the Collector of Madura recommending
the permanent exemption of two taluk clerks from -----

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Reference No. 1214/09-1

Spare copies

Station Madras , Dated the 24th. May, 1909

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

The Hon'ble Mr. J. Andrew, Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read the following:-

I.C.S.,
Letter- from H.F.W. Gillman Esquire, Collector of Madura.
To- the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue,
Madras.

Dated-29th. March, 1909.

No. R.O.C. 255 and 601 Gl. of 1909.

With reference to Board's Proceedings No. 268 Routine,
dated 1st. March, 1909, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar and T. Vidhynatha Rao are the 4th
clerks on Rs. 20 of the Dindigul and Madura Taluks respectively.
The former was appointed to superior service as Special Fund
clerk on Rs. 12 on 1st. August, 1890, and the latter as gumasta
on Rs. 15 on 25th. March, 1896. Both of them started their
services

10
1/6/09

service in the inferior, and were afterwards promoted to the superior grade.

3. When the Taluk establishment was reorganised in 1899, vide Board's Proceedings No. 154, dated 21st. June, 1899, Land Revenue, T. Vidhyanatha Rao was promoted to Rs. 20 and L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar who was first appointed to Rs. 20 was reverted to Rs. 17½ on appeal by some other Clerk. The latter was subsequently promoted to Rs. 20 in the year 1903 by the Sub-Collector Dindigul and no exemption in this case seems to have been then applied for.

4. T. Vidhyanatha Rao was appointed as 2nd clerk of the Madura Taluk office on Rs. 25 once in 1904, but the appointment was vetoed by the then Collector for want of educational tests. He was again appointed by the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, as acting 2nd clerk of the Madura Taluk office, from 5th. September, 1908, and ~~and~~ as Foreign service Sub-protem 2nd clerk, from 1st. January, 1909, in a long arrangement. This order of the Deputy Collector has been kept in abeyance. L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar, on the other hand, appears to have acted for some months in 1903 and 1904 as 2nd clerk, Dindigul Taluk, on Rs. 25 and was again appointed to the same post by the Divisional Officer, Dindigul, in December, 1905. He continued to act till 1st. November, 1906, and after having been on leave for 3 months and 19 days he was allowed to join the same post which he now continues to hold. The

arrangement

-3-
arrangement has however, been kept in abeyance from 1st. November, 1908. When the appointments were made by the Divisional Officers concerned, no exemption from the examination rules was applied for.

5. Both the clerks are aged 51 years and are reported to be efficient and reliable and to have been doing ^{responsible} ~~reasonable~~ work. T. Vidhyanatha Rao has been doing Land Revenue Collection work, in the heavy Taluk Office of Madura and L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar, the Record, Income Tax and other miscellaneous work in the Dindigul Taluk.

6. In the statement submitted with my letter D.Dis. 3290 Revenue of 1907, dated 27th. October, 1907, in reply to the Board's Reference, dated 26th. September, 1907, the names of these two clerks were included. I may mention here that out of the 9 unpassed men shown in the statement, above referred to, Nos. 1 and 9 have since retired, No. 2 was exempted from the rule requiring a year's service as Revenue Inspector, in Board's Proceedings No. 211 Land Revenue, dated 29th. July, 1907, and Nos. 3 to 6 have been permanently exempted from the examination rules, vide Government Order No. 781 Mis., Educational, dated 22nd. November, 1907, communicated with Board's Proceedings No. 3521 Mis., dated 17th. December, 1907, when the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments were lately amalgamated. The remaining two persons (Nos. 7 and 8) are the two clerks whose cases

form

form the subject of this report. In this statement, No. 8 has been shown as 4th clerk on Rs. 20 and acting 2nd clerk on Rs. 25 in the Dindigul Taluk.

7. I recommend that both these clerks may be exempted from the examination rules for appointments in the Taluk Office carrying a salary up to Rs. 30.

Reference No. 1214/09-1, dated 24th May, 1909.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board does not consider that there are sufficient grounds for the exemption of either Pushpavanam Aiyar or Vidhyanatha Rao from the Examination rules to enable them to hold appointments up to Rs. 30 in taluk offices. The case of these clerks differs materially from that of the unpassed men who were exempted in Government Order No. 781 Mis., Educational, dated 22nd November, 1907.

3. Pushpavanam Aiyar has held a post on Rs. 25 in the Dindigul taluk office for about three years, and it would be hard to revert him now to a post on Rs. 20. The Board recommends that he may be permanently exempted from the Examination rules so as to enable *to continue* him to hold a post on Rs. 25 in a taluk office.

A. Gokanham.
26.5.09.

Secretary.

To the ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government, Educational Department
with a copy of the statement referred to in paragraph 6 of the
Collector's letter.

C.B.M. 26/5

Wm
26.5.

Statement Showing The names of persons holding clerical appointments without passing the general educational tests in the several offices of the District of Madura.

Serial number	Name	Designation	Pay	Permanent or acting; if permanent, authority for exemption from the general educational test	Remarks.
Collectors office, Madura					
1	Rama Rao	Pound Fund clerk, mudukulathur Deputy Tahsildars office	15	Permanent	General Test- Ternacular
		Ternacular Accountant, Collectors office	15	Acting	
		Local Fund Audit Branch			
2	M. Venku Naidu	Head Accountant	50	Permanent	General test-Anglovernacular
3	B. Rajam Naidu	Audit clerk	30	Do	Middle School, 2 nd class
4	R. Subba Rao	Do	20	Do	Nil
5	S. Murthuzakhan	Do	15	Do	Matriculation without Handwriting
6	T. Venkoba Rao	Do	15	Do	Nil
Divisional offices					
Nil					
Talug offices					
7	T. Tydhinatha Rao	4 th clerk Madura Talug	20	Permanent	X —
8	L. A. Pushparaniam aiyar	4 th clerk, Diindigul Talug	20	Permanent	
		2 nd clerk, Diindigul Talug	25	Acting	
Deputy Tahsildars offices					
9	T. P. Venkatarama aiyar	Head clerk, Deputy Tahsildars office, Triuppathur	20	Permanent	G. O. no: 374 dated 14-6-99. Communicated in B. P. no: 154 dated 21-6-1899
		Stationery Magistrate's office			
		Nil			

Regd. Sent 16/9/09

Madura Collectors office
Dated 27th October, 1907

A. Tottenham.
185.9
Secretary

Sw 27-5-09 / 27.5

Note. In the case of Audit-clerks nos 2 to 6 recommendation for exemption has been made to Government in the Educational Department vide G. O. no: 1434 Revenue Department, dated 8th June 07

Reg. Secy 16/09

[E. & L.]
67
1901-20-2-10

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT
EXECUTIVE

NOTES.

C. No. 1080 From the Board of Revenue No. 1214-I, dated 24-5-1909.

SUBJECT. Exemption from the examination rules of two clerks in the Madura and Dindigul Taluk offices.

Para. 7 of Collector's letter.
page 4 of Cf.

Para. 2 of Collector's letter.
pages 1+2 of Cf.

Vide Art. 1 of the Public Service
Notification. L.

Vide para 3 of Collector's
letter - page 2 of Cf.

Para. 4 — do —

The Collector of Madura (Mr. Gillman) requests that L.A. Pushpavanam Aiyar and T. Vaidyanatha Rao, 4th clerks on Rs 20 of the Dindigul and Madura Taluk Offices, respectively, may be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary Examination for employment as clerks on salaries up to Rs 30 per mensem in the Taluk Office.

2. Both Pushpavanam Aiyar and Vaidyanatha Rao started service as inferior servants and were afterwards promoted to the superior service - Pushpavanam Aiyar on 1st August 1890 as Special Fund Clerk on Rs 12 and Vaidyanatha Rao on 25th March 1896 as Gumasta on Rs 15. The appointment of Vaidyanatha Rao, an unpassed man, to a post in the superior service carrying a pay of Rs 15, without the special sanction of Government, was irregular. Both these men were subsequently promoted to posts on Rs 20 in 1899 and again to posts on Rs 25. All these appointments were in contravention of the examination rules. Though the Collector once cancelled the appointment of Vaidyanatha Rao to a post on Rs 25 on the ground that he had not passed the required educational test, yet the Deputy Collector, Madura Division, has again appointed him to a post on Rs 25, ignoring the previous order of the Collector. No explanation whatever is offered for not observing the examination rules

End of para 4 of Collector's letter -
page 3 of cf.

Para. 4 - page 2 of cf.

— do — do —

Para. 5 of Collector's letter -
page 3 of cf.

Para. 2 of Board's Refe -
page 4 of cf.

in respect of any of these appointments. The Collector simply states that when the appointments were made the Divisional Officers concerned did not apply for any exemption from the examination rules.

3. Pushpavanam Aiyar is now acting as 2nd clerk, Dindigul Taluk, pay Rs. 25. He has been holding this appointment since December 1905. Vaidyanatha Rao ^{has been} ~~is~~ acting 2nd clerk of the Madura Taluk Office since 5th September 1908 and foreign service sub protem 2nd clerk since January ¹⁹⁰⁹ in a long arrangement. It is, however, reported that these arrangements are now held in abeyance, pending presumably the exemption of the clerks concerned from the examination rules.

4. The Collector observes--

"Both the clerks are aged 51 years and
"are reported to be efficient and reliable and to
"have been doing responsible work. T. Vaidyanatha
"Rao has been doing Land Revenue collection work
"in the heavy Taluk office of Madura and L.A.
"Pushpavanam Aiyar, the Record, Income tax and
"other miscellaneous work in the Dindigul Taluk"

5. The Board of Revenue states that there are no sufficient grounds for the exemption of either Pushpavanam Aiyar or Vaidyanatha Rao from the examination rules to enable them to hold appointments up to Rs. 30 in Taluk offices. But as Pushpavanam Aiyar has held a post on Rs. 25 in the Dindigul Taluk Office for about three years and as it would be hard to revert him now to a post on Rs. 20 the Board recommends that he may be permanently exempted from the examination rules so as to enable him to continue to hold a

post on Rs.25 in a Taluk Office.

6. It is for consideration whether the Board's recommendation may be accepted and Pushpavanam Aiyar exempted from the examination rules so as to enable him to continue to hold a post on Rs.25 in a Taluk Office. Under G.O.No. 511, Educational, dated 9th July 1908, posts on Rs.20 are now exempt from the examination rules and Vaidyanatha Rao does not require to be exempted from the examination rules to continue to hold a post on Rs.20.

PD
9.6.09
74.
9.6.09.

See.

Pushpavanam Aiyar may be exempted ^{so as} to hold a post on Rs.25. There seems no reason whatever for exempting him further or for exempting Vaidyanatha Rao.

Chs
1062

HM.

Unto

11/6/09-

G. F. 11/6/09

Draft please.

Unto. 14/6/09-

K.
cf. also art. 1 of the Public Service
Notification. L.

Unto

Make this
clear
G. F.

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.
Box Ordinary No. 27
Box Confidential 11.6.09

The draft order placed below is for approval.

PR
14.6.09
7.4.
14.6.09

Issue
Cost
15.6.09

” ” ” ” 190 .

ORDER.
mis.

No. 357, dated 16-6-1909.

L. A. Pushpavaram aiyar will be exempted permanently from the Upper Secondary examination so as to enable him to hold a post on Rs 25 in a Taluk office. The Govt see no reason whatever for ^{frantic} exempting him ^{away} in respect ~~of any higher appointment or for exempting J. Vidhyanatha Rao.~~

best $7\frac{1}{2}$
15.609

Vaidya

14.6.09

Chf
1569

To, The Board of Revenue
L.R.

7. H.
14 6-09

16-6-69

21/10/1901

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190 .
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.