

Educational (1909) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 238-239

DATED 26-4-09

(14)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Technical Institute, Secunderabad

Declining Informing the Resident
at Hyderabad that this Govt ^{advisable} declines to
recognise the — for the purposes of the
Government Technical Examinations

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[8]
2,000-25-2-08.

Educational LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE. ²

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[493] The Resident, Hyderabad	1462-	6-3-09	1 to 3
Memo	493-1	11-3-09	4
[747] D.P.J.	1811	3-4-09	546

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
633-4	5-10-98	D		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.



1 Sol. C. W. 493
9-3-9
POLITICAL OFFICE.

No. 1462 of 1909

From

The Honourable Sir Charles Bayley, K.C.S.I., I.C.S.,
Resident at Hyderabad,

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras,



MADRAS.

Dated Hyderabad Residency, the 6th March 1909

SIR,

W
I have the honour to refer to letter No. 634, dated the 5th October 1898, from the Secretary to the Government of Madras in the Educational Department, on the subject of the recognition of the Secunderabad Technical Institute for the purposes of the Government Technical Examinations.

2. This recognition was withdrawn for the reason given in the enclosed copy of the Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, No. 3165, dated the 21st May 1907. The Institution is however recognised by His Highness the Nizam's Government, and there are other similar institutions in His Highness' Territory. I would therefore ask, whether, if arrangements could be made with His Highness the Nizam's Government for the periodical inspection of the Institute by a competent official

of

2
of His Highness' Government, the Government of Madras would be willing once more to extend recognition to it.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. S. Anand

For Resident.

W. H.

3
4
Director of Public Instruction. Madras.

Please quote this in the reply, Dis.No.3165 of 1907, dated the 21st May 1907.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Read the following paper:-

Letter from the Manager, Secunderabad Technical Institute, Secunderabad, No.70-R., dated the 2nd April 1907.

The Manager is informed that his school was not included in the list of Technical Schools published in the Fort St. Georges Gazette, because the school is beyond the jurisdiction of the -- Director and no arrangements could be made for its periodical -- inspection.

Signature illegible,

20-5-1907.

For Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Manager.

--:0:--

"True Copy"

Superintendent

Superintendent, Issue Branch,

Resident's Office.

Educational Dept.
(Cuo 493)

Encl. No. 493-1 of 11-3-9.

Referred to the D.P.I.

for report.

Sp
11/30/9
12/3/9

7A
10.309

RD
10.309

CM
11.8-9

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

C.W. 747

Received



190

, Registered

5-4-

1909.

b

Subject.

Returning with remarks Government Endorsement No. 493-1 Edl. d/
11th March 1909 in regard to the question of according privileges
of recognition to the Secunderabad Technical Institute.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Cur No. 1811/09

Spare copies

Station

Madras

, Dated the

3rd

April

1909.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Pension Department.

Sir,

In returning herewith the letter No. 1462 of
09 of the Resident at Hyderabad received with your endorsement
No. 493-1 dated 11th March 1909, I have the honour to state that
so far as I know the only two results which would follow
acquiescence in the Resident's proposal would be (1) Pupils
of the school who pass the Government Technical Examinations in
any subject would have the name of the school published along
with their names in the Fort Saint George Gazette and (2) pupils
of the school could appear for these examinations without the
special permission of the Commissioner for Government Examina-
tions even although they had not previously passed any general
education test /vide Rules for the Government Technical examina-
tions.

I know nothing about this school but if it is

TR
5.4.09

6 X
technical and not industrial, the latter result is to be avoided /the rules will in any case require to be altered at an early date/ the former result is not of sufficient importance to warrant deviation from a general principle. I see, however, little objection to entering the name of the school against the name of any successful candidate without according formal recognition. The Manager wants this as an advertisement.

3 We might, in this case, accept the opinion of an official of His Highness the Nizam's Government but other cases will occur much nearer the border line and it is a sound general principle not to recognize any school or institution which we do not inspect.

4 Finally the whole question of the Government Technical Examinations must be taken up, at an early date, by the Director of Public Instruction in consultation with the Director of Industries and it would be inadvisable to make any innovation pending such consideration.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. G. Bowring

For Director of Public Instruction.

H. V. S.

H. V. S.

C.No.493, from the Hon'ble the Resident, Hyderabad, No.1462, dated 6-3-09.

Subject.

Recognition of the Secunderabad Technical
Institute for purposes of the Government
Technical Examinations.

The Resident's letter may it is submitted
be referred to the Director of Public Instruction
for report.

2. The draft below is for approval.

T.K.
10-3-09.

K.R.
10-3-09.

I s s u e.

C.A.S.
11-3-09.

(Memorandum No.493-1, dated 11th March 1909).

(From the D.P.I. No.1811, dated 3rd April 1909).

The ~~Superintendent~~ Secunderabad Technical
Institute was recognised for the purposes of the
Government Technical Examinations by the Director
of Public Instruction in his Proceedings No.11076
dated 24th September 1898 - Vide page 14 of G.O.
No.633/4 Educational, dated 5th October 1898.
The Director of Public Instruction has in 1907
withdrawn this recognition for the reason that
the school is beyond his jurisdiction and that
no arrangements could be made for its periodical
inspection - vide page 3 of current file.

Page 1 of C.F.

Page 5 of C.F.

Page 63 of Govt. Manual Calendar
for 1909-1910

Page 6 of C.F.

8
2. The Resident at Hyderabad ~~therefore~~ enquires whether this Government would be willing to restore the recognition if arrangements could be made for the periodical inspection of the Institute by ^a competent official of H.H. the Nizam's Government.

3. The Director of Public Instruction, to whom the Resident's letter was referred, for remarks, does not recommend the recognition of the Institute. He states that only two results would follow the recognition of the Institute, namely, -

(1) Pupils of the school who pass the Government Technical Examinations in any subject would have the name of the school published along with their names in the Fort St. George Gazette, and

(2) Pupils of the School could appear for the Government Technical Examinations without the special permission of the Commissioner for Government Examinations, even though they had not previously passed any general education test - Vide paragraph 6 (ii) of the Government Technical Examination Notification.

4. The Director of Public Instruction states that the latter result is to be avoided and that the former result is not of sufficient importance to warrant deviation from a general principle. He says, however, ^{that} he has little objection to entering the name of the school against the name of any successful candidate without according formal

formal recognition.

5. The manager of the school apparently requires the recognition of the school in order to send the pupils of the school to the Government Technical Examination without obtaining in each case the special sanction of the Commissioner for Government Examinations.

6. It is for consideration whether a reply may be sent to the Resident stating that the Institute cannot be recognised.

7/2/10
10-4-09.

AD
10-4-09

See.

Please see paras 3 & 4 of the D.P.D.'s letter at p 6 c.f. His reasons for refusing formal recognition seem sound.

2. The Resident may be told that the Govt regrets their inability to recognize the school but that the name of the school will be entered against the name of any successful candidate.

Chd
21-4-9.

Wm.

The rule is that the Govt Technical Examinations are open to all persons who are certified by the head of a recognized technical school or class to have completed the prescribed course in the subject or subjects in which they appear. No general educational qualifications are required in the case of

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.

Box Ordinary No. 50
Box Confidential 22-4-9

11

Such persons.

This privilege which students in this Presidency enjoy the D.P.I. objects to extend to the Secunderabad Institution on the ground that there is no inspection of it by our Educ. officers.

The objection seems sound enough & I do not see why the desire of the Manager for an advertisement should be gratified by entering the name of his school against the name of any successful candidate herefrom. If this concession is allowed to this institution it can hardly be refused to other similarly situated institutions.

All
22/4

I agree with Sec

S. Fryer

Draft please

All
23/4

Draft submitted for approval.

T.H.
24-4-09

Lee
Obs
26/4/9

Done
All
26/4/9

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 190

“ ” ” ” ” ” ” 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 493, From the Resident at Hyderabad, No. 1462, dated 6-3- 1909.

" " 747 " " ~~Q.P.1~~ " 1811 " 3-4-1909.

99 99 99 99 99 99 99 190

ORDER.

mis

No. 238, dated 26 - 7 - 1909.

The following letter will be despatched to the Hon'ble the Resident at Hyderabad.

7K.
24.4-09

Chs. $\frac{Ans}{2649}$

Letter to W.O. 239 of 26.4-9

The Hon'ble the Resident
at
Hyderabad.

Sir,

~~I am directed to acknowledge~~
In reply to
~~the receipt of~~ your letter no. 1462
dated 6th March 1909, on the

best 7/12
16/4

Subject of the Recognition of
the Secunderabad Technical
Institute for the purposes of the
Govt. Technical Examinations.

2. In reply I am ^{to} state
that the Governor in C. regrets
~~that he is~~ ^{that he is} unable to recognize the
Institute ~~it is~~ ^{unless} it is ~~not~~ inspected
by an Educational officer of
this Presidency.

^{7R.}
^{24.4.09} to accept ^{the} proposal
that the Govt of Madras should
extend recognition to the
Institute if arrangements
are made by H. H. the Nizam's
Govt for its periodical inspection
by an official of ~~the Govt~~ that
Govt. ~~the~~ ^{should} recognition ~~can~~ be
^{to a School} accorded only when it can
be inspected by an officer
of the Madras Educational
Service and with that opinion H. E. & C.

Cd
26.4.9. concurs.
All
26/4

The D.P.S. Madras is
of opinion that

[Faint, illegible handwritten text]

[Faint, illegible handwritten text]

[Faint, illegible handwritten text]

[Faint, illegible handwritten text]

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No., DATED
190

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only
- Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A

14