

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1909.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 153, 15th March 1909.

Exemption from the Examination Rules.

Informing the Board of Revenue that under note (1) to the preamble to the Public Service Notification H. Srinivasa Rao, who held a permanent post on Rs. 15 on 1st July 1887, is eligible for promotion.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

✓

Recd.

Regd.

} 1909.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 153, 15th March 1909.

Exemption from the Examination Rules.

Informing the Board of Revenue that under note (1) to the preamble to the Public Service Notification H. Srinivasa Rao, who held a permanent post on Rs. 15 on 1st July 1887, is eligible for promotion.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 424/09,
dated 11th February 1909.

Read—the following paper:—

Letter—from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Anantapur.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Secretary
to the Commissioners of Land Revenue).
Dated—the 25th January 1909.
No.—D. Dis. No. 321.

Hindupur Srinivasa Rao, now a first-class Revenue Inspector in Kistna district, who was transferred from this district in 1898, had passed the Anglo-Vernacular General test and held a post on Rs. 15 in the Hindupur Taluk office on the 1st July 1887. Although he had not passed the Middle School examination in the first class or any higher educational test, he was promoted to the post of second-class Revenue Inspector on Rs. 35 in 1891 while he was serving in this district as he had passed the required special tests.

2. The Collector of Kistna now enquires the circumstances under which the individual who was not qualified for promotion to a post carrying Rs. 20 and above with reference to G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July 1886, communicated in Board's Proceedings, No. 1816, dated 13th August 1886, was promoted.

3. No information is available in this office to show why the rule was overlooked in this case. I have therefore the honour to request that Government will be pleased to sanction permanent exemption from the Examination Rules on behalf of H. Srinivasa Rao retrospectively to admit of his holding an appointment as Revenue Inspector.

Reference—No. 424/09, dated 11th February 1909.

Submitted for the favourable consideration of Government.

A. R. LOFTUS TOTTENHAM,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Order—No. 153, Educational, dated 15th March 1909.

The attention of the Board of Revenue will be drawn to note (1) to the preamble to the Public Service Notification published at pages 623 to 629 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 29th May 1894, under which H. Srinivasa Rao is eligible for promotion. No exemption is therefore necessary in his case.

(True Extract.)

A. BUTTERWORTH,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 153, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 15TH MARCH 1909.

[SUBJECT.—Permanent exemption from the examination rules of H. Srinivasa Rao,
Revenue Inspector, Kistna district.]

Previous papers.			
G.O., No.	482, Educational, dated 28th July	1886.	
" "	485 " " 25th June	1891.	
" "	39 " " 15th January	1892.	
" "	317 " " 9th May	1894.	

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th February 1909, No. 424.

The Collector of Anantapur recommends, and the Board of Revenue supports the recommendation, that H. Srinivasa Rao now a first-class Revenue Inspector (salary Rs. 40) in the Kistna district may be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary examination so as to enable him to hold a Revenue Inspector's place with retrospective effect from the year 1891. Srinivasa Rao has passed the General test. He held a permanent post on Rs. 15 per mensem on 1st July 1887 in the Anantapur district. As he had passed the special tests to qualify him for a Revenue Inspector's place, he was promoted to a second-class Revenue Inspector's post on Rs. 35 per mensem in 1891, and was transferred to the Kistna district in 1898.

2. The Collector of Kistna now enquires how Srinivasa Rao could be promoted in 1891 to a post on Rs. 35 per mensem, to which he could not be appointed under G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July 1886. No information being available in the office of the Collector of Anantapur to show how the rule came to be overlooked, he requests Srinivasa Rao's permanent exemption from the examination rules for employment as a Revenue Inspector with retrospective effect.

3. In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July 1886, the Government declared that "after the 1st July 1887 no person shall be appointed to any post carrying a salary of Rs. 15 a month or upwards unless he shall have passed the Middle School Examination in the first-class or the Matriculation Examination of the University together with the Handwriting test. Unpassed officers now in the service of Government will not be affected by this order so far as their present appointments are concerned but they will be ineligible for promotion to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15 per mensem until they have qualified by the tests".

4. Under note (1) (c) to article 1 of the Public Service notification issued with G.Os, No. 485, Educational, dated 25th June 1891, and No. 39, Educational, dated 15th January 1892, "candidates who have passed the General test may be appointed to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15 and upwards in the superior service of Government till the 31st December 1893 after which date this concession shall be considered as withdrawn". This concession was not, however, actually withdrawn from the 31st December 1893, but was continued by a notification issued on 18th December 1893 till the date of publication of the revised Public Service notification in July 1894. Note 1 to the preamble to the notification which came into operation on 1st July 1894 ran:—

"The rules contained in this notification shall in no wise affect the promotion of persons who held permanent appointments for which though qualified under the rules in force on 30th June 1894 they may not be qualified under the present notification . . ."

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 424/09, dated 11th February 1909.

Read—the following paper :—

Letter—from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Anantapur.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue).
Dated—the 25th January 1909.
No.—D. Dis. No. 321.

Hindupur Srinivasa Rao, now a first-class Revenue Inspector in Kistna district, who was transferred from this district in 1898, had passed the Anglo-Vernacular General test and held a post on Rs. 15 in the Hindupur Taluk office on the 1st July 1887. Although he had not passed the Middle School examination in the first class or any higher educational test, he was promoted to the post of second-class Revenue Inspector on Rs. 35 in 1891 while he was serving in this district as he had passed the required special tests.

2. The Collector of Kistna now enquires the circumstances under which the individual who was not qualified for promotion to a post carrying Rs. 20 and above with reference to G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July 1886, communicated in Board's Proceedings, No. 1816, dated 13th August 1886, was promoted.

3. No information is available in this office to show why the rule was overlooked in this case. I have therefore the honour to request that Government will be pleased to sanction permanent exemption from the Examination Rules on behalf of H. Srinivasa Rao retrospectively to admit of his holding an appointment as Revenue Inspector.

Reference—No. 424/09, dated 11th February 1909.

Submitted for the favourable consideration of Government.

A. R. LORTUS TOTTENHAM,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Order—No. 153, Educational, dated 15th March 1909.

The attention of the Board of Revenue will be drawn to note (1) to the preamble to the Public Service Notification published at pages 623 to 629 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 29th May 1894, under which H. Srinivasa Rao is eligible for promotion. No exemption is therefore necessary in his case.

(True Extract.)

A. BUTTERWORTH,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 153, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 15TH MARCH 1909.

[SUBJECT.—Permanent exemption from the examination rules of H. Srinivasa Rao, Revenue Inspector, Kistna district.]

Previous papers.

G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July	1886.
" " 485 " " 25th June	1891.
" " 39 " " 15th January	1892.
" " 317 " " 9th May	1894.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th February 1909, No. 424.

The Collector of Anantapur recommends, and the Board of Revenue supports the recommendation, that H. Srinivasa Rao now a first-class Revenue Inspector (salary Rs. 40) in the Kistna district may be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary examination so as to enable him to hold a Revenue Inspector's place with retrospective effect from the year 1891. Srinivasa Rao has passed the General test. He held a permanent post on Rs. 15 per mensem on 1st July 1887 in the Anantapur district. As he had passed the special tests to qualify him for a Revenue Inspector's place, he was promoted to a second-class Revenue Inspector's post on Rs. 35 per mensem in 1891, and was transferred to the Kistna district in 1898.

2. The Collector of Kistna now enquires how Srinivasa Rao could be promoted in 1891 to a post on Rs. 35 per mensem, to which he could not be appointed under G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July 1886. No information being available in the office of the Collector of Anantapur to show how the rule came to be overlooked, he requests Srinivasa Rao's permanent exemption from the examination rules for employment as a Revenue Inspector with retrospective effect.

3. In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 482, Educational, dated 28th July 1886, the Government declared that "after the 1st July 1887 no person shall be appointed to any post carrying a salary of Rs. 15 a month or upwards unless he shall have passed the Middle School Examination in the first-class or the Matriculation Examination of the University together with the Handwriting test. Unpassed officers now in the service of Government will not be affected by this order so far as their present appointments are concerned but they will be ineligible for promotion to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15 per mensem until they have qualified by the tests".

4. Under note (1) (c) to article 1 of the Public Service notification issued with G.Os., No. 485, Educational, dated 25th June 1891, and No. 39, Educational, dated 15th January 1892, "candidates who have passed the General test may be appointed to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15 and upwards in the superior service of Government till the 31st December 1893 after which date this concession shall be considered as withdrawn". This concession was not, however, actually withdrawn

from the 31st December 1893, but was continued by a notification issued on 18th December 1893 till the date of publication of the revised Public Service notification in July 1894. Note 1 to the preamble to the notification which came into operation on 1st July 1894 ran :—
G.O., No. 317, dated 9th May 1894.

"The rules contained in this notification shall in no wise affect the promotion of persons who held permanent appointments for which though qualified under the rules in force on 30th June 1894 they may not be qualified under the present notification."

No. 153, EDUCATIONAL, 15TH MARCH 1909.

5. Srinivasa Rao held a permanent appointment on Rs. 15 in 1887. He had passed the General test and the Special tests required for a Revenue Inspector. The rules issued in 1894 did not therefore affect the promotion of Srinivasa Rao. In these circumstances no exemption appears to be necessary. It is for consideration whether the Board may be referred to note 1 to preamble to the notification issued with G.O., No. 317, Educational, dated 9th May 1894, under which Srinivasa Rao was eligible for promotion. The Board may also be informed that no exemption appears to be necessary in Srinivasa Rao's case.

P.R.—8-3-09.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—8-3-09.

The above note seems clearly correct.

C. A. Souther—9-3-09.

Yes. It is right. The order of 1886 was always a nuisance and I think, I am right in saying that it was on my suggestion that the notes referred to above were inserted. No exemption is necessary. The Educational Department must be smarter. Papers are kept too long in the office.

A. BUTTERWORTH—10-3-09.

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval.

2. The provisions of the Public Service notification for a number of years and the papers in which alterations were made from time to time in the notification, had to be looked up and this rendered it necessary to collect a number of old papers which had to be traced and obtained from the general records. It is submitted that the delay was entirely due to this cause and not to any remissness on the part of the office.

P.R.—11-3-09.

The file had to be returned twice to the record office, before the references wanted could be had.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—11-3-09.

For perusal. The order is for approval.

C. A. SOUTER—12-3-09.

Issue.

A. BUTTERWORTH—14-3-09.

[G.O., No. 153, Educational, dated 15th March 1909.]

[$\frac{E. \& L.}{76.}$]
2,500-25-2-08.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 190

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 304, From the Board of Revenue, No. 424, dated 11-2- 1909

[illegible]

99 99 99 99 99 99 190

ORDER.

No. 153, dated 15-3-1909

RS

The attention of the Board of Revenue will be drawn to note (1) to the preamble to the Public Service Notification published at pages 623 to 629 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette of 29th May 1894, in which H. Srinivasa Rao ^{is} ~~was~~ eligible for promotion. No exemption is therefore necessary in his case.

PA

11.3.00

20

11.3.09

Ca.

11.3.9

7

The Bd of Rev, L. R.

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

EDUCATIONAL
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Current No., dated 190 .

G.O., No. 153, dated 15-3-1909.

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence including order or orders
(b)
Notes (b¹)

Docket. *Exemption from the Exam. rules.*

*Directing the attention of the Secy. of the
to the (1) to the Secy. of the Pub. Service for publication
in the Govt. Gazette, 22-5-1909, under which H.
Gunn, Secy. of the Govt. is eligible for promotion.*

Number (c) of copies required when struck off (not including
copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained
in the Press).

Complete
Correspondence
and orders.

Notes

FOR RECORD.*

Madras Records 33
Ooty Records 3
For filing with original 1

Total ... 34

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O. (d)		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....					
India Office.....					
Govt. of India.....Dept.					
Public Department.....					
Judicial Department.....					
Financial Department.....					
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....					
Local and Municipal Department.....					
.....Dept.					
Total ...					

Spare copies.

The Director of Public Instruction.....
The Comr. for Govt. Examinations.....
The Superintendent, Govt. Museum.....
The Surgeon-General.....
The Accountant-General.....
The Collector of.....
The P. D. B.....
The *Beary of Pond R*.....
The.....
The.....
The.....
The.....
The.....
The.....
The.....
The.....

Total ...

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE
SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed
urgently, the Superintendent of the section
concerned should sign the Press slip. The
Superintendent should exercise a careful discre-
tion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set
of orders, in the file of correspondence should be
headed in succession with the Roman numeral I,
II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink
or blue chalk above the item. The particular
papers to be printed in the special issue-copies
should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I,
II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in
the correspondence file. All matter, including
marginal remarks or references, to be printed
should be written in ink and matter which should
not be printed should be encircled. Initials and
signatures that are not quite legible should be
re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes,
see the rules in G.O., No. 1220, Public, dated
30th November 1900.

(c) The number of copies and the details of
distribution should be filled in by the Superin-
tendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to
the Press to keep matter standing for a specified
period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under
will be kept standing for one week, and larger
papers for two weeks only. The type of notes
and of all confidential papers is distributed
immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely
filled in, should accompany every paper sent to
Press; it will be returned by the Press when
proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the
Press when a call is made for revised proofs or
when a corrected proof is sent for striking.
When finally returned by Press it should be
filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of
the Press, a paper has been marked urgent
unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to
the Deputy Registrar with a remark to that
effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made
against the matter, pencil entries should not
be printed. The Superintendent of the Press
may bring to the notice of the Deputy Registrar
any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the
Secretary of State or to the Government of
India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press
to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it
will be sent back to the Press when proofs
are returned corrected and should be finally
returned to the Secretariat when struck-off
copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members
should be printed in full when they occur on
the right hand side, but only the first time when
they appear on the left side, the initials alone
being printed later.

* Copies distributed on.....*(Press Clerk.)

† Copies distributed on.....*(Despatcher.)

* To be initialled and dated.

TO PRESS, MADRAS.
OOTY.

A	B	C
Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.	Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.	As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.
	Type may be released <u>after usual interval</u> at once.	Type may be released after usual interval.
.....Clerk.	Clerk.	Clerk.
.....Supdt.	Supdt.	Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	19/3/03- [Signature]
First proof furnished on.....	23-3-03 [Signature]
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	25/3/03 [Signature]
Final copies supplied on.....	30/3/03 [Signature]

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	34	15	
" " " Issue.....	6		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E. T.....			
Total ...	56	15	