

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1909.

Enclosures

Spare copies

(13)

G.O., No. 136, 9th March 1909.

Subsidy to Municipalities.

Sanctioning, with remarks, the distribution in aid of education of a subsidy amounting to Rs. 87,880 among certain municipalities during the year 1908-1909.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1909.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 136, 9th March 1909.

Subsidy to Municipalities.

Sanctioning, with remarks, the distribution in aid of education of a subsidy amounting to Rs. 87,880 among certain municipalities during the year 1908-1909.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 2535 M., dated 24th December 1907.

II

G.O., No. 148, Educational, dated 27th February 1908.

ABSTRACT.—Making a provisional distribution for 1908-1909 of subsidies amounting to Rs. 53,000 and Rs. 6,500 among certain municipalities.

III

Memorandum No. 240-1, Educational, dated 10th February 1909.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit at an early date the proposals for the distribution of subsidies among Municipal Councils for the current year.

(By Order.)

C. A. SOUTER,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 16th February 1909.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 926.

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 240-1, Educational, dated 10th February 1909, I have the honour to submit a statement showing the revised amount of provincial contributions for education for municipalities proposed by Mr. Stone in the revised estimate for 1908-1909 in connection with the municipal budgets for 1909-1910.

2. Government have already made a provisional distribution of the contributions for 1908-1909 for the following purposes :—

	RS.
(1) G.O., No. 810, Educational, dated 3rd December 1907—University grant for the Arts Colleges under Salem, Palghat and Tellicherry Municipalities	6,500
(2) G.O., No. 2535 M., dated 24th December 1907—for revision of establishments of municipal elementary schools	17,897
(3) G.O., No. 148, Educational, dated 27th February 1908—for subsidies to municipalities	53,000
(4) G.O., No. 148, Educational, dated 27th February 1908—for net cost of municipal secondary schools	6,500

In the sanctioned municipal budgets for the current year the total amount of contributions, according to the above distribution with slight differences due to rounding and with the variations mentioned in the case of the three municipalities noted below, has been included in the amounts entered under "Grants and contributions from Government for other purposes". The variations referred to are—

Municipality.	Total amount as per Government Orders referred to above.	Amount entered in the sanctioned budget for 1908-1909.
	RS.	RS.
Anantapur	2,430	3,220
Conjeevaram	1,680	2,040
Ootacamund	2,090	5,000

The contributions on account of the net cost of municipal secondary schools which are paid separately with reference to the actual requirements as ascertained in each case and the University grant in the case of the three municipalities which has already been paid from a separate provision, have been excluded in the amounts shown in column 3 of the statement as noted therein.

3. In the case also of municipal budgets for the next year, Mr. Stone has, as in the case of District Board budgets, generally confined himself to the question of teaching grants, leaving the other charges proposed under grant 2 to the orders of Government. He has proposed in the revised estimate of the municipal budgets for the current year the revised allotments required for payment of teaching grants and has also mentioned the revised amounts of provincial contributions which may be paid, any increase in such contributions being met from provincial, if the additional funds required are available from that source and if Government think that they cannot be met by the municipalities concerned from their own resources. The revised amounts so proposed are shown in columns 4 and 5 of the statement. The revised contributions have been calculated as already explained in his remarks on the several municipal budgets on the principle contained in the following formula :—

$(A - B) + (C) = D$, where A is the revised allotment required for payment of teaching grants during the current year.

B is the allotment for teaching grants provided in the sanctioned budgets of the municipalities for the current year.

C is the provisional amount of contribution entered in the sanctioned budgets of the municipalities for the current year.

D is the revised amount of provincial contribution for education payable for the current year.

4. The total of the revised contributions shown in column 5 of the statement amounts to Rs. 91,570. But the total allotment available for the purpose in the current year's budget being * Rs. 71,000

only, an additional sum of Rs. 20,570 is required. I submit herewith the necessary reappropriation statement for meeting this additional amount and request that payment to the Municipal Councils of the revised contributions mentioned in column 5 of the statement may be sanctioned.

5. The revised allotments for teaching grants shown in column 4 have been calculated at the rates prescribed in rule 15 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, and any reduction should be avoided if possible; and in case the Municipal Councils cannot find the money for the increased allotment from their own resources, it can be met from the provincial contribution.

6. I request that I may be favoured with very early orders on this letter. A copy of this letter and of the reappropriation statement is also being sent through the Accountant-General.

ENCLOSURES.

(1)

REAPPROPRIATION statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget or for additional allotments.

Major, minor, sub and detailed heads of budget.	Heads of estimate proposed to be increased.				Heads of estimate proposed to be reduced.			
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount of additional allotment required.	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount available for re-appropriation.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
22-I. EDUCATION—								
Miscellaneous contributions to Municipal Funds.	53,000	73,570	20,570	..	..	..	..	..
22-EDUCATION—								
B. Inspection—Salaries.	..	..	..	3,13,400	3,08,400	5,000		
D. Government Colleges—Professional ..	..	..	..	31,719	22,312	9,500		
Law College—Salaries ..	..	..	..	78,383	72,313	6,070		
F. Government Schools, special — Training Schools for Masters—Salaries	..	..	..					

(2)

STATEMENT showing revised distribution of Provincial contributions for Education to Municipalities for 1908-1909.

Municipalities.	According to sanctioned budget for 1908-1909.		Director's proposals in the revised estimate for 1908-1909 in connection with the budget estimate for 1909-1910.		Remarks.
	Allotment for teaching grants.	Provisional provincial contribution for education.	Revised allotment for teaching grants.	Revised amount of provincial contribution for education.	
1	2	3	4	5	6
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
1. Anantapur	500	(a) 1,010	500	1,010	(a) Excludes Rs. 2,210, net cost.
2. Adoni	800	..	900	100	
3. Bellary	2,400	(b) 3,720	2,630	3,950	(b) Excludes Rs. 1,170, net cost.
4. Ching'eput	1,710	1,060	2,670	2,020	
5. Conjeeveram	3,900	2,040	3,990	2,130	
6. Coimbatore	3,500	..	3,550	..	
7. Erode	1,350	910	1,460	1,020	
8. Karur	800	..	800	..	
9. Cuddapah	650	(c) 1,100	650	1,100	(c) Excludes Rs. 1,170, net cost.
10. Berhampur	830	..	1,490	660	
11. Chicacole	970	1,240	1,040	1,310	
12. Parlakimedi	800	1,320	850	1,370	
13. Cocanada	1,310	1,860	1,260	1,810	
14. Rajahmundry	1,900	2,450	2,170	2,720	
15. Guntur	3,400	250	2,760	..	
16. Ongole	1,600	1,280	2,570	2,250	
17. Boxwada	1,260	410	1,260	..	
18. Ellore	2,460	670	2,460	..	
19. Masulipatam	2,480	170	2,480	..	
20. Kurnool	1,500	(d) 2,190	1,800	2,490	(d) Excludes Rs. 650, net cost.
21. Nandyal	260	340	300	..	
22. Dindigul	2,840	2,840	2,800	2,800	

Statement showing revised distribution of Provincial contributions for Education to Municipalities for 1908-1909—cont.

Municipalities.	According to sanctioned budget for 1908-1909.		Director's proposals in the revised estimate for 1908-1909 in connection with the budget estimate for 1909-1910.		Remarks.
	Allotment for teaching grants.	Provisional provincial contribution for education.	Revised allotment for teaching grants.	Revised amount of provincial contribution for education.	
1	2	3	4	5	6
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
23. Kodaikanal	220	..	230	..	
24. Madura	8,140	..	8,140	..	
25. Palni	890	150	890	150	
26. Periyakulam	1,500	110	1,450	60	
27. Calicut	2,640	1,770	2,680	1,810	
28. Cannanore	..	1,810	300	2,110	
29. Cochin	900	240	1,040	380	
30. Palghat	2,030	(e) ..	2,260	230	(e) Excludes Rs. 2,130, University grant.
31. Tellicherry	2,900	(f) 3,710	2,620	3,430	(f) Excludes Rs. 2,370, University grant.
32. Nellore	1,220	..	1,460	..	
33. Coonoor	800	..	1,050	..	
34. Ootacamund	1,720	(g) ..	2,220	500	(g) Excludes Rs. 5,000, net cost.
35. Gudiyattam	270	1,290	270	1,290	
36. Tirupati	430	260	100	..	
37. Vellore	2,610	1,560	2,630	1,580	
38. Walajapet	360	1,220	360	1,220	
39. Salem	2,560	(h) 4,810	3,860	6,110	(h) Excludes Rs. 2,000, University grant.
40. Tirupattur	950	2,610	950	2,000	
41. Vaniyambadi	900	800	670	570	
42. Chidambaram	1,650	..	1,450	..	
43. Cuddalore	3,040	640	2,970	570	
44. Tiruvannamalai	900	1,070	930	1,100	
45. Mangalore	1,940	90	2,190	340	
46. Kumbakonam	3,430	250	4,160	98	
47. Mannargudi	2,000	..	2,290	290	
48. Mayavaram	2,020	2,010	2,300	2,290	
49. Negapatam	3,010	..	3,010	..	
50. Tanjore	6,740	460	5,460	..	
51. Palamcottah	3,160	910	3,270	1,020	
52. Srivilliputtur	1,500	250	1,220	..	
53. Tinnevely	(i) 2,960	300	(i) 4,750	960	(i) Excludes Rs. 120 for Puokle's Girls' school.
54. Tuticorin	2,100	..	2,600	..	
55. Srirangam	1,060	390	1,020	390	
56. Trichinopoly	7,500	940	7,620	1,060	
57. Anakapalli	890	370	890	370	
58. Bimlipatam	350	..	330	..	
59. Vizagapatam	4,230	300	4,120	190	
60. Vizianagram	3,160	250	3,610	700	
61. Corporation of Madras	36,700	17,840	51,990	33,130	
Total ..	1,56,600	71,270	1,79,790	91,570	

V

Endorsement No. Bud. 56/12264, dated 19th February 1909.

Forwarded.

2. The proposed reappropriation requires the sanction of Government in the Financial Department.

A. MONTAGU BRIGSTOCKE,
Accountant-General.

Order—No. 136, Educational, dated 9th March 1909.

The Government approve the distribution among the municipalities shown in the annexure to this order of a subsidy amounting to Rs. 87,880 during the year 1908-1909.

2. The excess expenditure of Rs. 16,880 under this head will be met by reappropriation from the allotment under 22-G. Education—Grants-in-Aid.

3. The Accountant-General will be requested to disburse the amounts immediately to the Municipal Councils concerned, who will be informed that the subsidies now sanctioned are subject to adjustment with reference to the orders which may be passed by Government on their revised estimates for the current year.

(True Extract.)

A. BUTTERWORTH,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Financial Department.
Editors' Table (order only with annexure).

ANNEXURE.

Name of Municipality.	Amount of subsidy.	Name of Municipality.	Amount of subsidy.
	RS.		RS.
1. Anantapur	1,010	25. Ootacamund	500
2. Adóni	100	26. Gudiyáttam	1,290
3. Bellary	3,950	27. Vellore	1,580
4. Chingleput	2,020	28. Walajapet	1,220
5. Conjeeveram	2,130	29. Salem	6,110
6. Erode	1,020	30. Tiruppattúr	2,000
7. Cuddapah	1,100	31. Vániyambádi	570
8. Berhampur	660	32. Cuddalore	570
9. Chicacole	1,310	33. Tiruvannámalai	1,070
10. Parlákimedi	1,370	34. Mangalore	340
11. Cocanada	1,810	35. Kumbakónam	980
12. Rajahmundry	2,450	36. Mannárgudi	290
13. Ongole	2,250	37. Máyavaram	2,290
14. Ellore	640	38. Palamcottah	1,020
15. Masulipatam	170	39. Tinnevely	960
16. Kurnool	2,490	40. Srirangam	390
17. Dindigul	2,800	41. Trichinopoly	940
18. Palni	150	42. Anakápalle	370
19. Periyakulam	60	43. Vizagapatam	190
20. Calicut	1,810	44. Vizianagram	700
21. Cannanore	2,110	45. Corporation of Madras	29,050
22. Cochin	380		
23. Palghat	230		
24. Tellicherry	3,430		
		Total	87,880

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 136, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
9TH MARCH 1909.

[SUBJECT.—*Distribution of Provincial contribution on account of Education to Municipalities for 1908-1909.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 16th February 1909, No. 926.

*Endorsement of the Accountant-General, dated 19th February 1909,
No. Bud. 56/12264.*

In the civil budget estimate for 1908-1909 a sum of Rs. 77,500 has been provided for payment of Provincial contribution to Municipalities for education in the current year under the following heads:—

* Including Rs. 6,500 for net cost of Municipal schools—G.O., No. 148, Educational, dated 27th February 1908.	(1) * Under 22-E. Education—Government schools	Rs. 24,500
	—General—Payment in support of Municipal schools.	
	(2) Under 22-I. Education—Miscellaneous—Con-tribution to Municipal funds.	53,000
	Total ..	77,500

Vide G.Os., No. 2535 M., dated 24th December 1907, and No. 148, Educational, dated 27th February 1908.

2. This amount has been provision-ally distributed among the various Municipalities as follows:—

MUNICIPAL.

District.	Serial number.	Name of Municipality.	Subsidies for teaching grants.	Subsidies for revision of establishments.	Net cost of Municipal secondary schools.	Total.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Anantapur ..	1	Anantapur	930	84	1,420	2,434
	2	Adoni				
Bellary ..	3	Bellary	3,520	196	1,170	4,886
	4	Chingleput	990	68		1,058
Chingleput ..	5	Conjeeveram	1,680			1,680
	6	Coimbatore				
Coimbatore ..	7	Erode	490	490		910
	8	Karur				
Cuddapah ..	9	Cuddapah	1,100		1,170	2,270
	10	Barhampur				
Ganjām ..	11	Chicacole	1,200	37		1,237
	12	Parlakimedi	480	840		1,320
	13	Cocanada	490	1,365		1,855
Godāvāri ..	14	Rajahmundry	1,290	1,156		2,446
	15	Guntūr		251		251
Guntūr ..	16	Ongole	1,280			1,280
	17	Bezwada	410			410
Kistna ..	18	Ellore	500	166		666
	19	Masulipatam		168		168
	20	Kurnool	2,190		650	2,840
Kurnool ..	21	Nandyal	310	25		335
	22	Dindigul	2,640	200		2,840
	23	Kodaikanal				
Madura ..	24	Madura				
	25	Palni	30	124		154
	26	Periyakulam	110			110
	27	Calicut	230	1,537		1,767
	28	Cannanore		1,808		1,808
Malabar ..	29	Cochin	90	152		242
	30	Palghat				
	31	Tellicherry	3,200	514		3,714

MUNICIPAL—cont.

District.	Serial number.	Name of Municipality.	Subsidies for teaching grants.	Subsidies for revision of establishments.	Net cost of Municipal Secondary schools.	Total.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Nellore ..	32	Nellore ..	..	..	..	..
Nilgiris, The ..	33	Coonoor ..	..	..	2,090	2,090
	34	Ootacamund ..	..	..	..	1,291
	35	Godiyáttam ..	480	811	..	1,291
	36	Tirupati ..	260	..	..	260
North Arcot ..	37	Vellore ..	..	1,564	..	1,564
	38	Walajapet ..	..	678	..	1,218
	39	Salem ..	2,450	2,355	..	4,805
	40	Tirupattūr ..	2,030	579	..	2,609
Salem ..	41	Vaniyambādi ..	610	188	..	798
	42	Chidambaram ..	..	..	..	..
South Arcot ..	43	Cuddalore ..	490	150	..	640
	44	Tiruvannāmalai ..	850	224	..	1,074
South Canara ..	45	Mangalore ..	..	92	..	92
	46	Kumbakonam ..	250	..	..	250
	47	Mannārgudi ..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore ..	48	Māyavaram ..	1,580	429	..	2,009
	49	Negapatam ..	..	..	..	..
	50	Tanjore ..	..	462	..	462
	51	Palamcottah ..	910	..	..	910
Tinnevely ..	52	Srivilliputtūr ..	250	..	..	250
	53	Tinnevely ..	300	..	..	300
	54	Tuticorin ..	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly ..	55	Srirangam ..	260	131	..	391
	56	Trichinopoly ..	..	943	..	943
	57	Arakāpalle ..	370	..	..	370
	58	Bimlipatam ..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam ..	59	Vizagapatam ..	170	132	..	302
	60	Vizianagram ..	200	48	..	248
	61	Madras ..	17,840	..	..	17,840
Total ..			53,000	17,897	6,500	77,397
			Or Rs. 77,500 in round numbers.			

NOTE.—The above statement excludes the special University grant (Rs. 6,500) paid to the Municipal Colleges of Salem, Palghat and Tellicherry—G.O., No. 810, Educational, dated 3rd December 1907.

3. Excluding the contribution on account of the net cost of Municipal secondary schools, which is paid separately with reference to the actual requirements as ascertained in each case and for which a sum of Rs. 6,500 has been set apart, the Director of Public Instruction now submits proposals for the distribution of the contributions on account of the revision of establishments of Municipal elementary schools and on account of teaching grants. The amount available for these purposes

RS.
53,000
18,000
71,000

is Rs. 71,000, consisting of Rs. 18,000 (Rs. 24,500 — Rs. 6,500) shown under 22-E. Government Schools and General and Rs. 53,000 under 22-I. Miscellaneous.

4. The Director of Public Instruction states that, according to the revised estimates of the various Municipalities for the current year, a sum of Rupees

* This sum may, if necessary, be verified in Local and Municipal.

C. A. SOUTER—25-2-09.

1,79,790 is required for the payment of teaching grants as against a total sum of Rs. 1,56,600 * allotted in the Municipal budgets. The revised estimate for teaching grants has been calculated at the rates prescribed in rule 15 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, and the Director of Public Instruction states that any reduction in them should be avoided, if possible. He therefore recommends that, if the Municipalities cannot find the money for the increased allotment from their own resources, the increase might be met from Provincial funds.

5. Assuming that the municipalities will not be able to meet the increased charge on account of teaching grants from their own resources, the Director of Public

Instruction proposes to raise the amount of Provincial contribution to them by a sum of Rs. 20,570 and suggests that it may be met by a reappropriation from savings in "Salaries" under the heads—*Inspection*, That is from Rs. 71,000, the budget allotment, to Rs. 91,570.

Law College and Training schools. The Accountant-General states that the proposed reappropriation requires the sanction of Government in the Financial Department.

6. In the case of District Boards, the Government have accepted a similar proposal made by the Director of Public Instruction to raise the amount of Provincial contribution to District Boards to enable them to meet the increased allotment required for payment of teaching grants. The file on the subject is now with the Financial Department, who have addressed the Government of India for an additional allotment for the purpose. It is for consideration whether the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction in the case of municipalities also may be accepted.

7. Before, however, finally settling the question, the file may be sent to the Local and Municipal Department for remarks as to whether the municipalities will be able to provide the whole or part of the additional allotment for teaching grants from their own resources. If the municipalities would not be able to meet the increased charge, the Financial Department may then be asked to sanction the proposed reappropriation of funds.

Yes, but I think we have in each case provided for the excess being met from Provincial.

A.B.—25-2-09.

When Municipal has settled this point Financial can see.

A.B.

T.K.—24-2-09.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—24-2-09.

I have checked the above figures which are correct so far as Educational knows. Local and Municipal may remark as suggested above.

C. A. SOUTER—25-2-09.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

The statement submitted by the Director has been examined in this department.

The total amount of grant provided in the budget estimates of municipalities for 1908-1909 is Rs. 71,270, including the grant of Rs. 17,840 for the Madras Corporation. The total grant provided by the Councils in the revised estimate for 1908-1909 is Rs. 69,600 and the present recommendation of the Director is for a grant of Rs. 91,570. The following statement shows in detail the grants, provided in the budget, entered by the Councils in the revised estimate, recommended by the Director of Public Instruction in connection with the Municipal budgets for the next year and allowed by Government in the budgets on which final orders have been passed.

Districts.	Serial number.	Name of Municipality.	Amount provided in the budget estimate for 1908-1909.	Amount entered by the Council in the revised estimate for 1908-1909.	Amount recommended by the Director of Public Instruction.	Amount allowed by Government in the revised estimate.	Remarks.
Anantapur	2	Anantapur Adoni	Rs. 1,010	Rs. 1,010	Rs. 1,010	Rs. 1,010	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 100 under teaching grants.
Bellary	3	Bellary	3,720	3,090	3,950	3,950	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 230 under teaching grants.
Chingleput.	4	Chingleput	1,060	1,060	2,020	2,020	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 90 under teaching grants and observes that the grant sanctioned is Rs. 1,680 and not Rs. 2,040.
	5	Conjeeveram	2,040	2,040	2,130	2,130	
Coimbatore.	6	Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 660 under teaching grants.
	7	Erode	910	910	1,020	1,020	
	8	Karur	..	..	..	..	
Cuddapah	9	Cuddapah	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 660 under teaching grants.
	10	Berhampur	..	..	660	660	
Garjam	11	Chicacole	1,240	1,240	1,310	1,310	Director of Public Instruction recommends decrease of Rs. 50 under teaching grants.
	12	Parlakimedi	1,320	1,320	1,370	1,370	
	13	Cocanada	1,860	1,860	1,810	1,810	
Godavari	14	Rajahmundry	2,450	2,450	2,720	2,450	Director of Public Instruction accepts Council's proposal to reduce teaching grants by Rs. 530.
	15	Guntur	250	250	..	..	
Guntur	16	Ongole	1,280	2,030	2,250	2,250	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 40 under teaching grants.
	17	Bezavada	410	..	..	..	
	18	Ellore	670	640	640	640	
Kistna	19	Masulipatam	170	170	170	170	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 300 under teaching grants.
	20	Kurnool	2,190	2,190	2,490	2,490	
	21	Nandyal	340	340	..	..	
Kurnool	22	Dindigul	2,840	2,800	2,800	2,800	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 40 under teaching grants.
	23	Kodaikanal	..	..	..	..	
	24	Madura	..	..	..	..	
Madura	25	Palni	150	150	150	150	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 40 under teaching grants.
	26	Periyakulam	110	110	60	60	
	27	Calicut	1,770	1,770	1,810	1,810	
	28	Cannanore	1,810	1,810	2,110	2,110	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 300 under teaching grants.
	29	Cochin	240	540	380	380	
	30	Palghat	..	200	230	230	
Malabar	31	Tellicherry	3,710	3,710	3,430	3,430	Director of Public Instruction accepts Council's proposal to reduce teaching grants by Rs. 280.
	32	Nellore	..	..	..	..	
	33	Coonoor	..	..	..	..	
Nilgiris, The	34	Ootacamund	..	..	500	500	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 240 under teaching grants.
	35	Gudiyattam	1,290	1,290	1,290	1,290	
	36	Tirupati	260	260	..	..	
North Arcot.	37	Vellore	1,560	1,560	1,580	1,580	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 500 under teaching grants.
	38	Walajapet	1,220	1,420	1,220	1,220	
	39	Salem	4,810	4,810	6,110	6,110	
	40	Tirupattur	2,610	2,000	2,000	2,000	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 1,300 under teaching grants.
	41	Vaniyambadi	800	800	570	570	
Salem							Director of Public Instruction recommends reduction of teaching grants by Rs. 230.

Districts.	Serial number.	Name of Municipality.	Amount provided in the budget estimate for 1908-1909.	Amount entered by the Council in the revised estimate for 1908-1909.	Amount recommended by the Director of Public Instruction.	Amount allowed by Government in the revised estimate.	Remarks.
	42	Chidambaram	Rs. 640	Rs. 640	Rs. 570	Rs. 570	Director of Public Instruction accepts Council's proposal to reduce teaching grants by Rs. 70.
	43	Cuddalore	..	..	..	..	
South Arcot.	44	Tiruvannamalai	1,070	1,070	1,100	1,070	Council reduces teaching grants from Rs. 1,940 to Rs. 1,790. Director of Public Instruction raises it to Rs. 2,190.
	45	Mangalore	90	90	340	340	
South Canara.	46	Kumbakonam	250	250	980	980	Director of Public Instruction accepts Council's proposal to raise teaching grants by Rs. 730.
	47	Mannargudi	..	..	290	290	
Tanjore	48	Mayavaram	2,010	2,010	2,290	2,290	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 290 under teaching grants.
	49	Negapatam	..	..	..	..	
	50	Tanjore	460	..	..	..	Director of Public Instruction accepts Council's proposal to reduce teaching grants by Rs. 1,290.
	51	Palameottah	910	910	1,020	1,020	
	52	Srivilliputtur	250	250	..	..	Director of Public Instruction recommends increase of Rs. 660 under teaching grants over provision in revised estimate.
	53	Tinnevely	300	300	960	960	
Tinnevely.	54	Tuticorin	..	..	..	..	Council has raised teaching grants from Rs. 2,100 to Rs. 2,600.
	55	Srirangam	390	390	390	390	
Trichinopoly.	56	Trichinopoly	940	..	1,060	940	Council has raised teaching grants from Rs. 2,100 to Rs. 2,600.
	57	Anakapalle	370	370	370	370	
	58	Bimlipatam	..	..	..	..	Council has raised teaching grants from Rs. 2,100 to Rs. 2,600.
	59	Vizagapatam	300	300	190	190	
Vizagapatam	60	Vizianagram	250	250	700	700	Council has raised teaching grants from Rs. 2,100 to Rs. 2,600.
	61	Madras	17,840	17,840	33,130	29,050	

From the above it will be seen that, in twenty-eight * cases, the recommendations of the Director have been accepted by Government, that in the two cases of Ellore and Masulipatam, the Councils' figures have been retained, though the Director did not specifically recommend that the grants should either be omitted or allowed to remain, and that in the three cases of Rajahmundry, Tiruvannamalai and Trichinopoly, the Director's proposals to increase the grants were not adopted, as the amounts were small and the Councils were otherwise able to provide the additional teaching grants proposed by the Director. In his current communication, the Director has omitted provision for the grants to the Ellore (Rs. 640) and Masulipatam (Rs. 170) Councils. If these amounts are also added, the total amount of grants for the

* Anantapur.
Chingleput.
Coimbatore.
Erode.
Karur.
Cuddapah.
Chicacole.
Parlakimedi.
Ongole.
Kurnool.
Nandyal.
Dindigul.
Kodaikanal.
Palni.
Periyakulam.
Palghat.
Coonoor.
Gudiyattam.
Tirupati.
Vellore.
Tirupattur.
Chidambaram.
Palameottah.
Srivilliputtur.
Srirangam.
Bimlipatam.
Vizagapatam.
Vizianagram.

Vide G.Os., No. 262 M., dated 23rd February 1909, and No. 231 M., dated 19th February 1909.

thirty-three municipalities above referred to, as finally accepted by Government, will be as follows:—

	RS.
For thirty-three Municipalities, as proposed by the Director	.. 27,860
Add—	
Grants for Ellore and Masulipatam	 810
Total	.. 28,670
Deduct—	
Reductions of grants in the case of Rajahmundry, Tiruvannamalai and Trichinopoly	 420
	28,250

In respect of the remaining twenty-seven District Municipalities, in respect of which final orders have not been passed, it is submitted that the Director's figures may generally be adopted, as there had been only a slight variation in the case of those already examined. A detailed examination of all the twenty-seven cases at the present stage may not be possible. From the statement on pages 4 and 5 *supra*, it will be seen that out of these twenty-seven, the Director's present figures correspond

* Bezwada, Nil.	Tanjore, Nil.	with the grants taken credit for by the Councils in the revised estimates, in seven * cases, that an excess of grant has been recommended by the Director, over the Council's figure in thirteen † cases, and that in the remaining seven ‡ cases, the grants taken credit for by the Councils are higher than the Director's figures. The variations between the Director's and the Councils' figures are due to the corresponding differences in the allotments for teaching grants proposed. In all the thirteen cases above referred to, there is a total excess of Rs. 6,060 in the amount of grants recommended by the Director over those entered in the Council's revised estimates, while there is a counterbalancing increase of Rs. 1,240 in respect of the seven cases in which the Council's figures exceed the Director's. The higher figures recommended by the Director of Public Instruction in the 13 cases may be accepted. Of the seven cases, in Cocanada, Guntur, Tellicherry, Vaniyambadi and Cuddalore, the budget grants were repeated by the Municipal Councils in the revised estimates. The Director only recommends a reduction of grant to correspond with a similar reduction in the allotment of teaching grants; while in the remaining two cases of Cochin and Walajapet, the revised estimate grant is in excess of the budget grant. The reduction of grant in the case of Walajapet has since been accepted by Government, and in the case of Cochin, no explanation is forthcoming as to why credit was taken by the Council for a larger grant. The Director's figure exactly represents the excess in the allotment for teaching grants in the revised estimate. As regards the Madras Corporation, the revised estimate takes credit only for the budget grant. The reason for the excess in the grant proposed by the Director is not known. If the Director's figure in this respect is also approved, there is an increase of only Rs. 390 in the figures adopted by this department over the Director's present estimate. This trifling amount may be passed over, and the Director's proposal may be accepted in this department.
Madura "	Tuticorin "	
Nellore "	Anakapalle "	
Negapatam "		
† Adoni.	Ootacamund.	
Bellary.	Salem.	
Conjeeveram.	Mangalore.	
Berhampur.	Kumbakonam.	
Calicut.	Mannargudi.	
Caunanore.	Máyavaram.	
Tinnevely.		
‡ Cocanada.	Walajapet.	
Guntur.	Vaniyambadi.	
Tellicherry.	Cuddalore.	
Cochin.		

The Director of Public Instruction wants teaching grants to be increased from Rupees 36,700 to Rs. 51,990.

P. T. THARYAN—3-3-09.

department over the Director's present estimate. This trifling amount may be passed over, and the Director's proposal may be accepted in this department.

C. RAMANUJA CHARI—2-3-09.

P. T. THARYAN—3-3-09.

Approved.

A. BUTTERWORTH—3-3-09.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft is submitted for approval; which, if approved, may be sent to the Financial Department u.o. for acceptance. The reappropriation statement has to be sanctioned by that department.

T.K.—4-3-09.

In connection with the proposals for the distribution of subsidies to Local Boards, the Director of Public Instruction proposed to reappropriate from the head "22-G. Grants-in-aid" a sum of about 75 lakh. But as it was decided that 21 lakh of the additional subsidy required for District Boards should be met from Local funds, only an additional sum of 54 lakh was necessary for subsidy to Local Boards, and it was noted that the balance of 21 lakh available for reappropriation might be utilized for subsidy to municipalities. The Director of Public Instruction, however, proposes to find the additional sum of Rs. 20,570 required for subsidy to municipalities by reappropriation from the allotment for "salaries" under "Inspection", "Law College" and "Training schools for masters." This reappropriation requires the sanction of the Financial Department.

2. The file regarding subsidies to Local Boards is still with the Financial Department who may be requested u.o. to expedite its disposal, as it is very necessary that timely orders should issue if the subsidies are to be paid before the close of the year.

Reply has just been received from India, so this reappropriation will not apparently be necessary.

A. BUTTERWORTH—5-3-09.

3. The Financial Department may be requested to deal with this file also very urgently.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—4-3-09.

The figures are correct.

C. A. SOUTER—5-3-09.

Submitted that the additional sum of Rs. 20,570 required for subsidy to municipalities may be met by reappropriation from the savings under "22-G. Education—Grants-in-aid." Of the 76 lakh which the Director of Public Instruction proposed to reappropriate from the above head for subsidies to Local Boards, only 54 lakh was required for that purpose and the remainder 22 lakh is still available. This reappropriation does not require the sanction of the Financial Department and may be sanctioned by the administrative department of Government.

G.O., No. 482, Financial, dated 9th October 1908.

2. Please see paragraph 2 of the draft now added.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—5-3-09.

C. A. SOUTER—5-3-09.

The estimate for teaching grants in 1908-1909 in the case of municipalities is to be exceeded, according to the Director of Public Instruction, by Rs. 20,570.* This figure has been reduced to Rs. 16,880 by changes proposed since by the Director of Public Instruction or ordered on individual municipal budgets. He proposes to charge the excess on Provincial and meet it by reappropriation under certain heads.

A.B. When he sent up recently proposals for an additional subsidy for teaching grants for Local Boards, he proposed to meet the excess of Rs. 73,550 by reappropriation from savings under 22-G. Education—Grants-in-aid. It was thereupon

ordered (in linked file—order under issue) that a sum of Rs. 21,467 would be recovered from District Boards, thus reducing the excess to Rs. 52,083.

A reference to the Government of India resulted in the raising of the allotment for education from Rs. 36,50,000 to Rs. 37,37,000.

There is now money available to meet the extra subsidy of Rs. 20,570 * required

* Really Rs. 16,880 as noted above. for municipalities and it can be met by reappropriation from grants-in-aid because Rs. 21,467 having been charged to Local Boards instead of having been provided by reappropriation from that head, this sum is now available as a saving under Grants-in-aid.

C. M. SCHMIDT. Such reappropriation may be sanctioned in the Educational Department and need not go to Financial.

The draft may be approved.

A. BUTTERWORTH—6-3-09.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft may, it is submitted, be accepted.

V.G.K.—6-3-09.

C. M. SCHMIDT—6-3-09.

C. J. WEIR—7-3-09.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted to Honourable Member. Please see my note above.

A. BUTTERWORTH—8-3-09.

G. S. F[ORBES]—8-3-09.

Issue at once advance copies in manuscripts.

A. BUTTERWORTH—9-3-09.

[G.O., No. 136, Educational, dated 9th March 1909.]

[E. L.]
2,500—25-2-10.

10
Educational DEPARTMENT.

10
Check already in my file 8
READ AGAIN G.O., No. 2535 M, dated 24th December 1907.
" " " " 148 Edl, " 27th February 1908.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 313, From the D.P. J., No. 240-1, dated 10-2-1909.

" " 339, " " Ag., " 56/12264 " 19-2-1909.

" " " " " " " " 11P 190.

ORDER.

P.S.

No. 136, dated 9-3-1909.

desp in mo-
80
9-3-09
The Govt. approve the distribution among the municipalities shown in the annexure to this order of a subsidy amounting to Rs 87,880 during the year 1908-09.

2. The excess expenditure of Rs 16,880 under this head will be ~~recovered~~ met by reappropriation from the allotment under 22. G. Education. Grants in aid.

3. The Accountant General will be requested to disburse ^{at an early date} the amount ^{immediately} to the Municipal Councils concerned who ^{are}

Page 146
C.B.R.

who will be ^{informed} ~~made~~ to understand that the Subsidies now sanctioned ^{are} ~~will be~~ subject to adjustment with reference to the orders which may be passed by Govt on their revised estimates for the Current year.

20
6.3.09

6/3

Scpt. Dept
18/4/9

Deposited with
annexure
9/3/9
with
annexure

To
The S.O. [✓]
A.G. [✓]
Lt. Depy. [✓]
Financial Dept. [✓]

[Annexure

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

EDUCATIONAL
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Current No., dated 190 .

G.O., No. 136, dated 9 3 1909.

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence including order or orders (b)
(b¹)
Notes.....

Docket, *Subsidy to Municipalities*
remarks to distribution in aid of education of a Subsidy
amounting to Rs 27,880 during the year 1908-09
among certain municipalities during the year

Number (c) of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press). Complete Correspondence and orders. Notes

FOR RECORD.*

Madras Records	...	30	15
Ooty Records	...		
For filing with original	...		
Total	...	30	15

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O. (b)		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....					
India Office.....					
Govt. of India.....Dept.					
Public Department.....					
Judicial Department.....					
Financial Department.....					
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....					
Local and Municipal Department.....					
.....Dept.					
Total					

Spare copies.

The Director of Public Instruction....		5			
The Comr. for Govt. Examinations....					
The Superintendent, Govt. Museum....					
The Surgeon-General.....					
The Accountant-General.....		10			
The Collector of.....					
The P. D. B.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
Total		25			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see the rules in G.O., No. 1220, Public, dated 30th November 1900.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Deputy Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Deputy Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, but only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on.....*(Press Clerk.)

† Copies distributed on.....*(Despatcher.)

A
Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B
Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released ^{after usual interval} at once.

C
As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

.....Clerk.

.....Clerk.

.....Clerk.

.....Supdt.

.....Supdt.

.....Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	27/3/05
First proof furnished on.....	2/4/05
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	8/4/05
Final copies supplied on.....	17/4/05

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	34	15	
" " " Issue.....	24		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E. T.....			
Total ...	74	15	