

[5.]
300-21-11-47.
Educational (1909) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 93

DATED 13 - 2 - 09

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the Examination rules:-

Declining to exempt G. Parthasarathy
Nayudu and J. A. Sequeira from examination
rules for employment as Sub-Inspector
of Police.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[197] - 24 of Police	32 AR	1.2.08	1-2
[198] 50	3 AR	1.2.08	3-4

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed —for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135
5,000—10-3-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT. C.No. 197

Received



190 , Registered 2 - 2 - 190 9.

Subject.

Recommending one G. Parthasarathy Nayudu
for permanent exemption from passing the Matriculation
Examination for employment as a Sub-Inspector of
Police.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

No. 32
AR.

Station Madras , Dated the 12 January 190 9.

From (Name) D.W.G. Cowie, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Inspector-General of Police, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Educational Department.

Sr.

100
2-2-09

Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of a Sub-Inspector of Police.

1	Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	G. Parthasarathy Nayudu Age 24 years Has read upto the Pre-Matriculation class.	
2	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. pro tem. or temporary.	Sub-Inspector of Police. Permanent.	
3	The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	Rs.50/- to Rs.100/-.	
4	The Examination from which exemption is sought.	Matriculation Examination.	
5	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	Permanent.	
6	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Permanent.	
7	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	This is the first application.	
8	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Suitable non-brahmin candidates are difficult to obtain.	
	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	The applicant knows both Tamil and Telugu and is likely to make an efficient Police officer. He is strongly recommended by Dewan Bahadur P.Rajaratna Mudaliyar.	
	Remarks.	Note.- This candidate does not now hold any appointment under Government. Parentage: (1) Father's Chennai Kesavulu Nayudu (now deceased), a recognised Local Fund and Public Works Contractor, Special Magistrate and Municipal Councillor, Conjeevaram, Chingleput district; (2) Maternal grand-father J. Athuktha Nayudu, First Assistant Engineer amongst natives. (3) Father's maternal grand-father Ramaswami Nayudu, Subadar Major, Sirdar Bahadur, First Regiment, Madras Command, etc. etc. etc.	

Office of the Insp.-Genl. of Police, Madras.

Dated

1/12 January February 1909

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Signature

Mudaliyar

Designation for Insp.-Genl. of Police.

1699 Jms
7/10/08

3

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT. C.No. 198

Received 2-FEB-1909 190 , Registered 2-2-1909



Subject.

Recommending one John Antony Sequeira
for permanent exemption from passing the Matriculation
Examination for employment as a Sub-Inspector of
Police.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

No. $\frac{3}{AR}$

Station Madras , Dated the 1st February 1909

From (Name) D.W.G. Cowie, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Inspector-General of Police, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.
Educational Department.

Su.

1699 Jms
7/10/08

Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of a Sub-Inspector of Police.

1	Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	2	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem</i> , or temporary.	3	The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	4	The Examination from which exemption is sought.	5	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	6	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	7	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	8	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	9	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	10	Remarks.
	John Antony Sequeira 24 years. Has read in the Matriculation class. St. Aloysius College, Mangalore.		Sub-Inspector of Police. Permanent.		Rs.50/- to Rs.100/-.		Matriculation Examination		Permanent		Permanent		This is the first application		Suitable non-brahmin candidates are difficult to get.		I have seen the applicant. He is likely to make an efficient officer. Mr. M.A. Sequeira, He is the son of a retired Tahsildar of a good South Canara family.		Note.- This candidate does not now hold any appointment under Government.


Office of the Insp. - Genl. of Police, Madras.

Dated

17th January 1909.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Signature



Designation for Insp. - Genl. of Police.

[6. & 1.]
67
7,500-25

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
~~CHICAGO~~

NOTES.

C. No. 197 From the D. G. of Police No. 32+3, dated 1.2.1909.
198 A.R.

SUBJECT: Exemption of G. Partha Sarathy
Nayudu & John Antony Sequeira
from the examination rules for
employment as Sub-Inspectors
of Police

In his Letters no. 32/A.R. and no 3/A.R., dated 18th Febry
1909, the D. G. of Police recommended the exemption
of two men — G. Partha Sarathy Nayudu & John
Antony Sequeira — from the matriculation examⁿ.
for employment as sub-Inspectors of Police.
Neither of them do not hold any appointment under Govt
at present. A Sub-Inspector of Police starts with
50 Rs. and will rise to 100. The qualifications of the two
candidates are —

- (1) G. Partha Sarathy Nayudu. Age 24 years. Has read
up to the pre-matriculation class, knows both Tamil
& Telugu & is strongly recommended by Shri B. B. B. B.
Rajaratna Mudaliyar, C.B.E., late D. G. of Registration.
- (2) John Antony Sequeira He is the son of Mr
M. A. Sequeira, Retired Tahsildar of a good South
Canara family.

The D. G. of Police submits these two cases to Govt for sanction
as suitable non-Brahmin candidates are difficult to get.
2. The grounds advanced for the exemption do not seem
to be sufficient for granting it. The Judicial
Dept may, however be first consulted & O.

CMV 5.2.09.

Obt
6.2.09.

CURRENT NO.
0823
JUDICIAL

pp 2+4 of file

p. 2 of file

p. 4 of file

Col 8. of appln
on pp 2+4 of file

Est. no 19827
8.2.09

Bo. 11. 52
Circ 11. 2. 09
Rd. 11. 2. 09

NOTES.

Page 2

Just depts

Submitted for orders.

2. The percentage of the two candidates
is given in the nominal rolls on pages
2 + 4 C.F.

W.H.
8.2.09.

C.F.

The grounds for exempting
either nominee are not very strong.
Sequeira is the better as he has
been in the Matric class.

C.F.
8.2.09.

H.M.

I should gravely doubt the wisdom
of exempting either. Their parents
belong to a class who have
appreciated the importance of passing
matric, & their failure to do so argues
either stupidity or idleness.

C.F.
10.2.09

The J.S. must be given to
understand that it is
useless proposing men with
insufficient educational
advantages which ^{must} render
them incapable of understanding
intelligently ^{the arguments} legal procedure

legal evidence & the crime, laid
which is necessary if they
are to be of the ~~best use~~
is the for real use in carrying
out the important duties
more especially when they
are changed to ~~inspectorates~~.
The ~~apparent~~ ^{apparent} inefficiency
of the police in prosecuting
crime is largely due to
the intellectual incapacity
of the superior agency &
one of the main objects
of the recent reorganization was
to improve secure a higher
class & better educated class
of men for superior responsible
duties - an object which would
be quite frustrated if
untrained candidates are
to be enrolled. The fact are
not aware that there is
any difficulty in getting
educated men



Judl No. 823-1
CRN AD 12.2.08
13.

draft.
806
12.2

S. F. 11/2
Edl 11/2

17.2.08.

A draft order refusing the exemption in both the cases is submitted. As to informing the D. G. of Police in the terms of H's note of 11.2.09 about his proposing men with insufficient educational advantages for appointment as Sub-Inspectors of Police, it is submitted that it would perhaps be better if the Judicial Deptt, which is the administrative department concerned, instructs the D.G. by a.D.O. or otherwise in the matter.

CAN. 13.2.09.

Issue order &

AD
13.2.09

Transfer to Juv.

Cad
13.2.09.

[G.O. No. 93 G.O. dated 13.2.09]

The G.O. has issued. The file may be forwarded up to the Judicial Department for further action with reference to H's note on pages 6 & 7 supra.

AD
15.2.09

Cad
16.2.09.

Jud. no.

Ed. no. 198-2
16.2.09

CURRENT NO.
1007
JUDICIAL

Regd. 16.2.09

To Under Secy.

If H's observations are to be commd to D.G. by D.O., the draft sent is for approval.

Ad.
17.2.09.

C.S.

The D.O. is ~~rejection~~ H.M.s note. It ~~which is drafted~~ seems best to issue a D.O. and a formal order.

Cbs

14.2.9.

Very well. issue. When has this file been since 17.2.09,

bto
13.3.09

^x
(Draft 16.3.09)

⊗ Regarding duties of Sub Insps re - now in circ with HM.

⊗ W

^x
Issue resubmit to C.S.

This file has been linked with the other file throughout. Please see the slip herewith.

Cbs

15.3.9.

Subd to C.S.

Seen.

bto
18.3.09

9-1.
17.3.09.

These notes may now be returned to Est.


Cbs
20.3.9.

Ind 2 no 1007-1
20.3.9

9-1.
19.3.09.

Est. no

PR
25.3.09



CURRENT NO.
1622
JUDICIAL

Regd. 15.3.09

11 / 19 2

of the Superior agency + one
 of the main objects of the
 recent reorganization was to
 secure a higher + better
 educated class of men for
 responsible duties - an object
 which would be quite frus-
 trated if unpassed candi-
 dates are to be enrolled.
 The Govt are not aware
 that there is any difficulty
 in getting educated men.

Yours Sincerely.

Chs
 19.2.9

Nh
 17.2.09

Distd 16.3.9
 D. G. Collier
 D. G. Collier Esq. D.C.S.
 16/3

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, dated _____, 190_____

” ” ” ” ” 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 197, From the 9 G. of Police, No. 32, dated 1-2- 1909

198 " " " $\frac{3}{4 \cdot R}$ " 1. 2.)

[illegible]

ORDER.

No. 93, dated 13-2-1909.

mis

Sp return home

13 208

Recd 5-16 P.M.

The Government ^{See no sufficient reason} ~~are not prepared to~~
to exempt from the examination rules
G. Parthasarathy Nayudu and John
Antony Sequeira for employment
as Sub-Inspectors of Police.

CRS
13-209

Cal
13-2-9

13.209

To the D.C. of Police &c

To the Indl Dept. &c.

~~with copy of H. H. H. H.~~

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
 190 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

- A = Copy in full
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only
- Q = Copy heading only.