

Educational (1909) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 82

DATED 11-2-9

(7)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Panchama Schools:-

Informing R. Parthasarathi Pillai, ^{Madras,} that the Govt. declines to adopt his proposal to appoint special Panchama Inspectors for ——— & that his request for special preferment on behalf of his sons cannot be complied with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[H. & L.]
65
5,000-25-2-05.

SC
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

[E. & L.]
67
1891-25 11-11

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
LEGISLATIVE

NOTES.

C.No.124, petition from R. Parthasarathi Pillai, Madras, dated 19-1-1909.

✓

Subject.

Requesting ^{that Panchamas may be appointed Inspectors of Panchama Schools and} that his two sons may be provided with higher appointments in public service.

The petitioner, K. Parthasarathi Pillai, who is a member of the Panchama community, requests

(1) that His Excellency the Governor may be pleased to appoint Panchamas of education to be Inspectors of Panchama schools, and

(2) that his two sons - one a matriculate employed in the Postmaster-General's office on a salary of Rs.40 per mensem and the other a graduate, now a Sub Registrar of five years' standing, may be appointed as Inspectors or Assistant Inspectors of Schools or that they may be given some independent and lucrative appointments under the direct control of European officers.

2. As regards the first request, attention is invited to G.O. No.763 Educational dated 22nd November 1905 in which an almost similar request was disposed of. In that case, the Revd. P.S.D. Muthuswami Pillai an Indian Christian, Missionary of St. Thomas Mount urged that Eurasians or Native Christians or Panchamas should be appointed as "special Sub-Assistant,

Inspectors

Page 3 of 4 File.

Page 3 of 4 File.

G

Page 3 of 4 G.O. No. 763 of 22.11.05 G

Pages of G.O. No. 763 Sol
22-11-05 G

~~Page 7 of~~

K

Page 7 of — ibid —

Sup. 7 of 8.0
— ibid — 5.10.05 G

G.O. No. 763 Sol
22-11-05 G

Page 4 of the C.F. file.

Page 3 — ibid —

There are plenty of
rich Panchamas &
they are increasing
every day H

6 3

"Inspectors of Elementary schools for the lower
"and backward orders of people". The petition
was referred to the Director of Public Instruc-
tion for consideration at the Conference of
Educationists ordered to be convened in G.O.
No. 373 Educational, dated 3rd June 1905 in
connection with the revision of the Grant-in-Aid
Code. The Conference considered that the
suggestion to appoint special Native Christian
or Panchama Sub-Assistant Inspectors for Panchama
schools should not be adopted. The Director of
Public Instruction concurring in this view,
the Government informed the petitioner that they
were unable to appoint special native Christian
or Panchama Sub-Assistant Inspectors for Panchama
schools.

4. It is for consideration whether a similar
reply may be given to the present petitioner.

5. The second request of the petitioner ^{with regard to the appointments to be provided for his sons} is
purely a personal one. In connection with this
request, the petitioner points out "that the
"rules requiring certain educational qualifica-
"tions for filling up the higher appointments
"should be a little relaxed in favour of the
"Panchamas as the Government was pleased to do
"in the case of the Eurasians in certain depart-
"ments and when appointing the sons of some
"well-known Indians for the post of Dy. Superinten-
"of Police quite recently, having regard to the
"fact that the Panchamas have to prosecute their
"studies under most harassing and discouraging
"circumstances".

Page 3 of file.

namely those of Inspectors
and assistant Inspectors
of Schools.

6. The two sons on whose behalf the petitioner
addresses Government are already in the service
of Government. The appointments of ^{Inspectors and} ~~Sub-Assistant~~
~~are in the hands of the Director of Public~~

~~Instruction while for the Assistant Inspectors of~~
~~Schools are undoubtedly made by Government, but~~
~~not~~ the Director of Public Instruction submits
^{for these posts}
nomination for the approval of Government.

7. Under paragraph XII of the C petition
rules, applications for situations in the gift
of heads of departments will remain unnoticed. ^{But as}
^{in this case the application is for posts in the gift of Govt.,}
~~It is for consideration whether the petitioner~~
~~may be informed in the terms of para. XII of~~
~~the petition rules or whether the petition may~~
be transferred to the Director of Public Instruc-
tion for such disposal as he may deem fit.

5.2.09.

See.

I would say at once
that pet's requests are inadmissible

OK
6/2/15

H.M.

There is perhaps a certain amount of truth
in the statement that caste inspecting
officers treat Panchama schools with
contempt or want of sympathy but
there are financial & other objections
to the appointment of special Panchama
inspecting officers. The Pet^r may therefore

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.

Box Ordinary
Bag Confidential No. 36

A | be told, following the precedent of
 P.O. 22/11/05 No. 763, that Govt. cannot
 adopt his proposal.

B | As regards his requests on behalf of
 his sons he should (or, rather, his
 sons should) address the D.P.I. when
 vacancies occur.

Alb
 8/2

Lafree as to A. (Nice evidence
 of the tolerance & unity of
 castes & classes)
 As to B ~~this~~ request cannot
 be complied with.

8/2

Office Draft

Alb
 9/2

The draft ^{or 821} below is submitted for approval

CS
 10.2.09

AD
 10.2.09

Issue
 Col
 10.2.09

[G.O. No. 82 of ~~10.2.09~~ 11.2.09]

CS
 Draft
 9.2.09

190

C. No. 124, From the R. Partasarathi Pillai, No. 19, Jan 1909.
Proprietor, Mameadi Disp^y.

" " 1 " " , " , " 190

No. 82, dated 11-2-1909

This

1072
 Recd 11-2-9

The petitioner is informed that the Government are unable to adopt his proposal to appoint special panchamas ~~to be~~ Inspectors ~~of~~ for panchama schools and that his request ^{for special preferment} on behalf of his sons cannot be complied with.

CS
2
10.2.09

Cal
10.2-8.

20
10-2-09

The petitioner.

12/2/9

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 190 ,

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

APPROVED FOR FILING UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

A = Copy in full
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only
 Q = Copy heading only.