

Educational (1908) Department.

ORDINARY MS. ✓

G.O., No. 852

DATED 26 - 12 - 08

(9)

[Despatch Abstract.]

C. M. S. College, Limerick;

Returning the D.P. I. the plans
and estimates for extending the building
occupied by the ———.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed —for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
934	14-12-95			
194	27-3-99			
205	18-3-08			
136	24-2-08			
209	19-3-08			
66	25-1-08			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135.
4,000—27-4-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 190 , Registered 25 OCT 1908

Subject.

Recommending that a grant of Rs 3,716 be sanctioned towards
the cost of extending the building occupied by the C.M.S.
College, Tinnevely.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Current No. 5958/08

Spare copies

Station Madras , Dated the 22nd October 1908.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc. F.R.S.C.I.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

in Madras

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the enclosed plans
with estimate amounting to Rs 11,150/- for the extension of
the building occupied by the C.M.S. College, Tinnevely, and
to recommend that a grant of Rs 3,716/- be sanctioned to be
paid when funds become available.

2. The proposed extension is necessary to meet the
requirements of the new University regulations and the increase
in the High School classes. It is also proposed to transfer
to this building the lower secondary department which is now
located elsewhere in the town. It is a step in the right
direction. The enclosed rough plan marked A exhibits the
different classes with the probable number of pupils in each
to be accommodated in the building when the proposed extension
is carried out.

3. The present building was constructed at a cost

F.
g
of Rs 57,250/- and a grant of Rs 11,450/- was paid from
Provincial funds as per G.O. Nos. 934 Educational dated 14th
December 1895 and 194 Educational dated 27th March 1899.

4. The management is anxious to begin the work, as
early as possible. It is, therefore, recommended as a special
case that the necessary permission to do so may be granted
without prejudice to the application for aid, herewith submitted,
but on the distinct understanding that no guarantee is given
that any particular amount of grant or any grant whatever will
be sanctioned towards its cost.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Arthura Krishna Rao

For Director of Public Instruction..

N.V.S.

Note by the Consulting Architect to Govt

18th November 1908.

As it is proposed to use the existing terrace roof as the floor of the new top storey, the condition of the existing roof should be reported upon by competent authority; the scantlings of existing joists and R.S.girders should be given, together with their distance apart from centre to centre, and the position of R.S. girders in the existing terrace roof should be shown upon the plan.

2. Scantlings should be calculated and standard sizes adopted as per Memo, 234-C.

3. The composition of the existing walls of the building should be stated carefully.

4. Stairs leading to the new top floor should be shown on the top floor plan.

5. The plans show insufficient protection to prevent people falling off the top verandah. Balustrade should be shown.

6. Some of the rear verandah piers (vide plan on drawing paper of top floor) have no visible means of support underneath.

(Id) W.H.N.
18-11-08.

NOTES.

SUBJECT

Building Grant toward the cost of extending
the building occupied by the C.M.S. College, Tinnevely.

Para. 1 of D.P.D. letter

The D.P.D. submits plans and estimate amounting to Rs 11,150 for the extension of the building occupied by the C.M.S. College, Tinnevely and recommends that a grant of Rs 3716, representing one-third of the estimated cost, may be sanctioned to be paid when funds become available.

Para. 2 - *ibid* -

2. Mr. Bowme says that the proposed extension is necessary to meet the requirements of the new University Regulations and the increase in the High School classes.

Para. 4 - *ibid* -

3. The D.P.D. also recommends that, as the management is anxious to begin the work as early as possible, permission to begin the work may be granted "without prejudice to the application for aid" but on the distinct understanding that no guarantee is given that any particular amount of grant or any grant whatever will be sanctioned towards its cost."

4. It is for consideration whether the permission sought should be granted in this case. Attention is invited to the precedents in G.O. No. 205 Educational, dated

24th February 1908, in which permission was given in similar cases: also to G.O. N^o 66 Educational, dated 25th January 1908 and N^o 209 Educational, dated 19th March 1908 where permission was refused.

8
7.11.08.

The D.P.D. does not specify the circumstances under which the management is anxious to begin the work at once. In the absence of any adequate explanation as to the urgency, there seems to be no reason why the usual procedure should not be followed of getting the plans & estimates scrutinized by the P.W.D. before a grant is sanctioned. Cf. G.O. 66 Ed., dated 25th January 1908 & 209 Ed., dated 19th March 1908.

80
9.11.08

See.

Lab^r that the plans & estimate should be sanctioned first. P.W.D. sometimes raises them after the cost of a grant should be paid only on plans approved by Gnt.

Qbs
9.11.8.

10.11.08

P.W.D. no.



P.W.U.O.R No. 1824
D 10.11.08

Ed. u. d. 2303-1
10-11-08.

yes. The two cases referred to were of a very special nature. It is undesirable to multiply precedents. The rule must be adhered to. P.W.D. may be asked to give early attention to the matter.

10.11.08

10.11.08. D.

A.

NOTES.

x p 4 - CP



with 3 plans +
2 drawings

the plans sent
returned from herewith letters
with a note by the consulting
architect, stating further
information required. X

1/17/12

Quadrant no.

23/10/12

23/10/12

P.T.O

NOTES.

Educational Department

The draft order placed below is submitted for

approval.

PA
24-12-08
24-12-08

Issue. The plans are
apparently drawn up
carelessly.

Oct
24.12.8

" " " " " " 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2203, From the 209, No. 5958, dated 22-10-1908

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 190

99 99 99 99 99 99 190

ORDER.

No. 852, dated 26-12- 1908

mis

Dr
muro8

Page 4 of 7

vide para. 4 of 202^d letter
on page 2 of Cf.

Compare. memo. on page 2 of
Gono. 209 of 19-3-08.

V. 108 Art. - 57
in and Code.

To, the D.O. I write application
plans, estimates & ^{correct} ~~CA~~
note

The plans and estimates received with his letter read alone are returned to the D.P.O. for revision, with reference to the Consulting Architect's note of November 1908 a copy of which is herewith forwarded.

2. The Govt are unable to permit the work to be commenced before the plans and estimates are scrutinized and accepted by the P. W. D in the usual manner as required by the provisions of the Grant in aid.

Code.

24-12-08

Chas
24.12.8.