

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1908.

Enclosures

Spare copies

order
pym. 18
18

G.O., No 630, 3rd September 1908.

Examination Rules.

Informing the Director of Public Instruction that V. C. Srinivasachari, Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet, who did not hold a post on Rs. 15 per mensem or upwards on 1st July 1894 is not eligible for promotion under note (1) to the Public Service Notification, and stating that under G.O., No. 511, Educational, dated 9th July 1908, he is now eligible for promotion to an appointment the pay of which does not exceed Rs. 20 per mensem.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1908.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 630, 3rd September 1908.

Examination Rules.

Informing the Director of Public Instruction that V. C. Srinivasachari, Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet, who did not hold a post on Rs. 15 per mensem or upwards on 1st July 1894 is not eligible for promotion under note (1) to the Public Service Notification, and stating that under G.O., No. 511, Educational, dated 9th July 1908, he is now eligible for promotion to an appointment the pay of which does not exceed Rs. 20 per mensem.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 27th July 1903.

No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 3996.

In submitting, for the orders of Government, the accompanying memorial with its enclosures from V. C. Srinivasachari, Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet, I have the honour to offer the following remarks.

2. Srinivasachari passed the Middle School Examination in the first class in 1887 and entered service in the Teachers' College on the 18th June 1894. He contends that, under the notification dated 18th December 1893, a copy of which forms enclosure 3 to his petition, he is eligible for appointments classed as "Superior" without any limit to salary. The Public Service Notification of 1892 laid down (note 2 to article 1) that persons who passed the Middle School Examination in the first class before the 31st December 1890 were eligible for appointment to posts on Rs. 15 and upwards in the superior service till the 31st December 1893 and it was explicitly stated that this concession would be withdrawn after the above date. In the Public Service Notification of 1893 the same article was repeated. The notification of December 1893 relied on by Srinivasachari only stated that the changes made in the notification of 1893 should not be given effect to. So far as article 1 was concerned there was no change in the provision regarding candidates who had passed the Middle School Examination in the first class and Srinivasachari was, therefore, bound by the rule in the notification of 1892 under which he was not eligible for appointments in the superior service as he entered service after the 31st December 1893. Srinivasachari is, therefore, I consider ineligible at present for appointments on more than Rs. 20 per mensem.

ENCLOSURE.

Petition—from M.R.Ry. V. C. SRINIVASACHARI, Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet.

To—His Excellency the Governor in Council, Fort St. George (through the Director of Public Instruction and the Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet).

Dated—the 1st June 1903.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,—I, V. C. Srinivasachari, Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet, most humbly beg leave to submit the following few lines to your Excellency's kind consideration and orders.

2. I beg to submit that I passed the Middle School examination in the first class in 1887 and secured a permanent appointment on Rs. 12 on the 18th June 1894 as the Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet. I have now put in a service of about 14 years during which time I have been in charge of the fourth standard. In consideration of my good work and long service in the department, the Acting Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet, was pleased to forward my application to the Inspector of European and Training Schools, Madras, for an appointment carrying a salary of Rs. 15—1—20.

3. The Inspector informed the Principal that, under the Public Service Notification of 18th January 1892, I was not eligible to be appointed permanently for a post on Rs. 15—1—20 on the ground that I did not hold an appointment of not less than Rs. 15 per mensem before the 31st December 1893. Copy of the Inspector's letter to the Principal, No. 55-P., dated 11th October 1907, forwarded to me is enclosed.

4. This notification relied on by the Inspector was cancelled by a subsequent notification, No. 88, dated 18th December 1893, and published on page 1461 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 19th December 1893, which rules that the notification of 2nd February 1893, which was only a repetition of the notification of 1892 relied on by the Inspector should not be given effect to for the present and that pending further orders recruitments should continue after the close of the year on the same principles as heretofore. It would thus appear that the notification of 19th December 1893 referred to above cancelled the notification of 18th January 1892 and the notification of 2nd February 1893 which was only a repetition of that of 1892 and placed both a Matriculate and a candidate who secured a first-class certificate in the Middle school examination on the same level in respect of public service. Finally, the Government passed new rules in the notification No. 56 issued on 9th May 1894 and published on page 623 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 29th May 1894. These rules came into force only on the 1st July 1894 and this notification clearly lays down "that the rules contained in this notification shall in no wise affect the promotion of persons who held permanent appointment before they first came into force on the 1st July 1894." As I held a permanent appointment in June 1894, my promotion could not be affected by the new rules which cannot have any retrospective effect in the face of a distinct ruling to that effect. The Inspector evidently ignored the subsequent notifications of 19th December 1893 and 29th May 1894. Even after they were pointed out to him it was to my misfortune that the Inspector declined to reconsider his order (*vide* a copy of his communication to the Principal, dated 18th January 1908).

5. Then I referred the matter to the Director of Public Instruction and prayed for an authoritative ruling by him as to my eligibility for promotion to posts on Rs. 15 and upwards. The Director of Public Instruction informs me in his Proceedings, Dis. No. 2563, dated 30th March 1908 (copy enclosed), that he considers the interpretation of the Inspector of European and Training Schools to be correct.

6. I respectfully beg to submit that the interpretation of the rules both by the Inspector and the Director of Public Instruction is far from correct and seriously affects my prospects. As I have secured a permanent appointment before 1st July 1894 my promotion to posts on Rs. 15 and upwards should not be affected according to the final notification of 29th May 1894 which is now in force. I need hardly submit that the Government have recently ruled that even unpassed men are qualified to hold appointments carrying a salary of up to Rs. 20 and that when this is in force, passed men of my standing and qualifications are *a fortiori* qualified to hold appointments carrying a salary of Rs. 20 and upwards. I therefore humbly request that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to pass orders declaring my eligibility for promotion to appointments on Rs. 20 and upwards and thus help the humble petitioner.

7. For which act of kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray for your Excellency's long life and prosperity. The typed copies of the notification of 1892 relied on by the Inspector and the notifications of 1893 one of which was a repetition of the former and the other cancelling the notification of 1892 and 1893 and the recent notification of 1894 now in force are enclosed for facility of reference.

Order—No. 630, Educational, dated 3rd September 1903.

The Director of Public Instruction will be informed that as V. C. Srinivasachari—who has passed, prior to 31st December 1890, only the Middle School Examination in the first class, and possesses no higher qualifications—did not hold a post on Rs. 15 per mensem or upwards on the 1st July 1894, he is not eligible for promotion under note (1) to the Public Service Notification. Under G.O., No. 511, Educational, dated 9th July 1903, however, the memorialist is now eligible for promotion to an appointment the pay of which does not exceed Rs. 20 per mensem.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 630, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
3RD SEPTEMBER 1908.

[SUBJECT.—*Interpretation of note (1) to the Public Service Notification.*]

Previous papers.

G.O.,	No. 485, Edl.,	dated 25th June	1891.
"	" 39 "	" 15th January	1892.
"	R., " 191 "	" 19th December	1893.
"	" 317 "	" 9th May	1894.
"	" 400 "	" 5th June	"
"	" 56 "	" 29th January	1896.
"	" 638 "	" 12th October	"
"	" 17 "	" 13th January	1897.
"	" 26 "	" 15th "	"
"	" 96 "	" 16th February	"
"	" 230 "	" 23rd April	"
"	" 511 "	" 9th July	1908.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 27th July 1908, No. 3996.

Previous to 1st July 1894, persons who had passed the late Middle School examination in the *first* class were eligible for appointments in Government service on pay of Rs. 15 and upwards. By the Public Service Notification issued under G.O., No. 317, Educational, dated 9th May 1894, and which came into force on 1st July 1894, it was ruled that "no person shall be eligible for appointment to a post carrying a pay of Rs. 15 per mensem and upwards and classed as "superior" in the Civil Service Regulations, unless he has passed the Madras Upper "Secondary examination or the Matriculation examination of the Madras University

2. Under the above notification, Middle school men became ineligible for appointments in superior service on Rs. 15 and upwards from 1st July 1894. A note was, however, added to the Public Service Notification saying that the rules contained therein "shall in no wise affect the promotion of persons who held *permanent* appointments before they first came into force on 1st July 1894; nor shall they apply to persons acting in appointments for which, though qualified under the rules in force on the 30th June 1894, they may not be qualified under the present notification, such persons being eligible for confirmation in the appointments in which they are officiating, if they fall permanently vacant before termination of the acting incumbency".

3. The Director of Public Instruction submits for the orders of Government a memorial from V. C. Srinivasa Chari, Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet.

4. The memorialist passed the Middle School examination in the first class in 1887 and entered the service of Government on the 18th June 1894, as permanent Eighth Assistant, Teachers' College, Saidapet, on a pay of Rs. 12 per mensem. The

memorialist contends that as he entered the service of Government before the 1st July 1894, the date on which the revised Public Service Notification came into force, he is eligible for promotion to higher posts without limit of salary under note (1) to that notification.

5. The Director of Public Instruction, on the other hand, urges that as middle school men were not eligible for appointment to posts on Rs. 15 and upwards in the superior service after the 31st December 1893, the memorialist who secured a permanent appointment on Rs. 12 only on the 18th June 1894 is not eligible for promotion.

6. It is, however, submitted that the contention of the memorialist that under note (1) to the Public Service Notification he is eligible for promotion to a post on Rs. 15 and upwards and the argument advanced by the Director of Public Instruction for holding that the memorialist is not so eligible for promotion, appear to be untenable. The revised Public Service Notification which disqualified Middle School men for appointments on Rs. 15 and upwards came into force only on the 1st July 1894. Memorialist was eligible for an appointment on Rs. 15 and upwards before the 1st July 1894. He did not however secure such an appointment before that date, but only got a place on Rs. 12 per mensem for which under the rules then in force no educational qualification was necessary. He, therefore, became ineligible for an appointment on Rs. 15 and upwards after the 1st July 1894. If memorialist had held on 1st July 1894 a post in the superior service on Rs. 15 per mensem he would under note (1) to the Public Service Notification be eligible for promotion to higher posts.

7. It is, however, observed that under G.O., No. 511, Educational, dated 9th July 1908, the memorialist is now eligible for promotion to an appointment of which the pay does not exceed Rs. 20 per mensem. The petitioner may therefore be informed that because he did not hold on the 1st July 1894 a post on Rs. 15 per mensem, he is not under note (1) of the Public Service Notification entitled to get any promotion to posts on Rs. 15 and upwards without limit of salary, but that under recent orders he is eligible for appointments the salary of which does not exceed Rs. 20 per mensem.

8. The draft placed below is for approval.

T.K.—6-8-08.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—7-8-08.

I think that the Director of Public Instruction is right. The 1892 notification laid down that first-class Middle School men who passed before 31st December 1890 "may be appointed to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15 and upwards . . . till the 31st December 1893 after which date this concession shall be considered as withdrawn." The notification of 1894 laid down that the rules therein in nowise affected those who were qualified for promotion under the notification of 1892. Now the petitioner was appointed in June 1894, i.e., after the expiry of the concession granted in the 1892 notification. He therefore is clearly not eligible for promotion under the 1892 notification as he was not appointed before 31st December 1893. What the office says is no doubt verbally correct as the man has a post on only Rs. 12, but the petition can be rejected on the grounds stated by the Director of Public Instruction.

C. A. SOUTER—7-8-08.

Where is the notification of 1892 referred to? It is not flagged. I find only the notification of 1891, which contains the condition specified, in the file.

H. D. TAYLOR—8-8-08.

The Government Order containing the Public Service notification of 1892 has been obtained from Madras and is submitted. Please see G.O., No. 39, Educational, dated 15th January 1892. The notification is the same as the one of 1891 with only one verbal modification, viz., the word "appointed" being substituted for the word "admitted" in paragraph 3.

2. Note (2) to Article 1 of the above notification says that persons who passed the Matriculation examination and the Middle School examination in the first class before the 31st December 1890 and persons who have passed the General test "may be

"appointed to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15 and upwards in the superior service of Government till the 31st December 1893 after which date the concession shall be considered as withdrawn." The concession was not, however, actually withdrawn from the 31st December 1893 and is continued by a notification issued on 18th

Vide also copy of the notification.

December 1893 till the date of publication of the revised Public Service notification in July 1894. Please see the notification extending the concession in G.O., R. No. 191, Educational, dated 19th December 1893. Please see also G.O., No. 400, Educational, dated 5th June 1894, and the Accountant-General's letter therein.

3. As the concession was thus in force till the 1st July 1894, the date of publication of the revised Public Service Notification, the memorialist, who passed the Middle School examination in the first class before the 31st December 1890, was eligible for appointment to a post in the superior service on Rs. 15 and upwards before the 1st July 1894; but he did not get such an appointment before the date and thus became ineligible for such an appointment after that date.

T.K.—15-8-08.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—15-8-08.

The two papers, make a difference and should have been referred to before, because the concession granted in 1892 was extended to 1st July 1894. In these circumstances the draft seems correct.

H. D. TAYLOR.

C. A. SOUTER—17-8-08.

I think the draft is incorrect. The real point is the application in this case of note (i) to the Public Service notification. The note is not restricted to *permanent appointments on Rs. 15 and upwards*. Had the man, on 18th June 1894, been appointed to a post on Rs. 15, no doubt could have arisen. But as a matter of fact, the note has appeared in all notifications. It makes no distinction between permanent appointments on Rs. 15 and upwards and permanent appointments below Rs. 15.

2 We have to look at the position of this man on 30th June 1894.

He had passed Middle School examination in 1st class before 31st December 1890. He held a permanent appointment on Rs. 12 before 30th June 1894, on which date he was eligible for appointment to any post. Under the notification of 1894 on page 25 of G.O., No. 317, Educational, dated 9th May 1894, it appears to me that the man is not debarred from promotion to posts over Rs. 15, if deemed otherwise fit to hold such posts.

3. The decision may make no difference in the present case, as the man is not likely now to be promoted to a post the maximum salary of which exceeds Rs. 20. There is, however, a principle involved which may help in the decision of future cases.

4. I think that the draft should be as follows:—

"The Director of Public Instruction will be informed that as V. C. Srinivasa Chari held a permanent post in superior service on 30th June 1894, and as he was, on that date, eligible to hold appointments on Rs. 15 and upwards, having passed the Middle School examination in the first class prior to 31st December 1890, he is eligible for promotion to posts on Rs. 15 and upwards for which he may be deemed fit."

H. D. TAYLOR—18-8-08.

With reference to the personal instructions of the Secretary, the file is resubmitted with the following papers, which were obtained from Madras:—

(1) G.O., No. 17, Educational, dated 13th January 1897.

(2) G.O., No. 96, Educational, dated 16th February 1897.

(3) B.P., No. 394, Land Revenue, dated 28th October 1895, page 3 of G.O., No. 638, Educational, dated 12th October 1896.

In all these cases, it appears to have been held that persons qualified by the General Test or by the Middle School examination in the first class, who did not hold an appointment carrying a pay of Rs. 15 per mensem or upwards on 1st July 1894, were not eligible for promotion.

2. Attention is also solicited to G.O., No. 230, Educational, dated 23rd April 1897, and to the letter of the Inspector-General of Registration disposed of therein, from which it will be observed that the Government declined to ratify the promotion to Rs. 15 of some clerks in the Registration Department who had passed the General Test or the Middle School in the first class and who held permanent appointments in the department on Rs. 14 before the 1st of July 1894.

G.O., No. 16, Educational, dated 29th January 1896.

G.O., No. 26, Educational, dated 15th January 1897.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—25-8-08.

There are no notes in any of the orders quoted above, but they all agree in saying that Middle school men like the petitioner cannot be promoted.

C. A. SOUTER—26-8-08.

The point at issue does not appear to have been examined in detail before. Precedents however show that it was not the intention of Government that persons who held permanent posts on less than Rs. 15 before 1st July 1894, should be eligible for promotion to higher posts, unless qualified. If this is so, the point may be made clear, and the present order may be printed and made a standing precedent on the principle involved. It makes little difference now, as under the revised rules all such men are eligible for promotion to Rs. 20 and are not likely to be recommended for further promotion beyond that salary.

If the Honourable Member approves, the draft placed below may issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—27-8-08.

Is not the point also that he had not qualified by examination and not merely that he held a post under Rs. 15?

G. S. F[ORBES]—27-8-08.

Please see addition made by me to the draft. I hold, however, that the draft is, on the *exact wording* of the Public Service notification of 1894, incorrect—*vide A* of my note on page 3 *supra*. On a strict interpretation of the notification I consider that the man is eligible for promotion. The notification of 1894 did not expressly refer to appointments on Rs. 15 and upwards. In practice, however, there are many precedents in which the intention of the note has been interpreted by Government as restricting its application to persons holding permanent posts on Rs. 15 and upwards.

G.Os., No. 17, Educational, dated 13th January 1897; No. 96, Educational, dated 16th February 1897; and No. 638, Educational, dated 12th October 1896.

holding permanent posts on Rs. 15 and upwards.

H. D. TAYLOR—31-8-08.

It is for Government to interpret their own notifications and they appear to have consistently given it one interpretation. If the wording of the notification is not clear it can be altered by a stroke of the pen to make it clear.

The draft may issue as now modified.

G. S. F[ORBES]—1-9-08.

Issue.

Print these notes and index.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-9-08.

[G.O., No. 630, Educational, dated 3rd September 1908.]

Encl 1 to C. 3996/83

RULES FOR ADMISSION TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE.

Fort Saint George, January 18th 1892. (Relied on by the Inspector)

Notification.

No. 11.— The following notification is published for general information.

1. No person shall be eligible for appointment to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15/- per mensem and upwards and classed as "Superior" under the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations who -

- (1) is not a graduate of a University in the British Empire
- (2) has not passed the First-in-Arts Examination of the Madras University;
- (3) is not a "passed candidate" in the Upper Secondary Examination; or
- (4) has not passed the Matriculation Examination of the London University.

Note.— (1) After the 31st December 1893, Graduates and F.A. Candidates must pass, if Natives, the Translation Test in Branch I or, if Europeans or Eurasians, the Translation Test in Branch II Section B, of the Upper Secondary Examination, before they can be admitted to any such appointments.

(2) (a) Persons who passed the Matriculation Examination before the 31st December 1890, and also the Hand-Writing Test;

(b) persons who passed before that date the Middle School Examination in the First Class; and

(c) candidates who have passed the General Test; may be appointed to posts carrying a salary of Rs. 15/- and upwards in the superior service of Government till the 31st December 1893, after which date this concession shall be considered as withdrawn.

(3) Persons not being Natives of India shall not be appointed to posts open to such, unless they are qualified under Art. 1.

4

supra, or have passed some Public examination in the British Empire, such as the Oxford or Cambridge Senior Local Examination or satisfy this Government that they have received an education at least up to the Standard of Upper Secondary Examination .

x x x x x x x

x x x x x x x

1-6-08

(True extract)
H. C. Trivasa/harry

5 Encl 2 to C 3996/8

Educational Department.

8

Notification.

Fort Saint George, February 2, 1893. (Repetition of Draft of 21/12/92)
Rules for admission to the Public Service.

No: 19.- The following notification is published for general information.

1. No person shall be eligible for appointment to posts carrying ~~on~~ a salary of Rs.15/- per mensem and upwards and classed as " Superior " under the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations who --

- (1) is not a graduate of a University in the British - Empire
- (2) has not passed the First-in-Arts Examination of the Madras University
- (3) is not a " passed candidate " in the Upper Secondary Examination, or
- (4) has not passed the Matriculation examination of the London University.

Note. (1) After the 31st December 1893 Native Graduate and F.A. candidates must pass the Translation Test in Branch (1) (English) of the Upper Secondary Examination; and European and Eurasian graduates and F.A. candidates ^{and passed candidates} at the Upper Secondary Examination must pass the Translation Test in Section B of Branch II (i.e) of the Upper Secondary Examination in some vernacular language of the Presidency; before they can be admitted to any such appointment.

- (2) Persons who (a) passed the Matriculation Examination before the 31st December 1890, and also the Hand-Writing Test (b) passed before that date the Middle-School Examination in the First Class;
- (c) have passed the General Test; may be appointed to posts - carrying

(See Draft of 21/12/92)
per Government

80 J-1

carrying a salary of Rs.15/- and upwards in the Superior Service of Government till the 31st December 1893, after which date this concession shall be considered as withdrawn.

x	x	x	x	x	x	x
x	x	x	x	x	x	x

(True extract)

1.6.08

Chunawachary

7 Encl 3 to C 3996/8

N.88 dated 18-12-1893 Ootacamund, Published in the Fort Saint
George Gazette, 19th December, 893, Page 1461.

9

Notification.

All heads of Departments are informed that the
changes notified in the Public Service notification of the 2nd
February 1893 to come into effect from the end of the present
calendar year should not be given effect to for the present as
the notification is under revision. Pending further orders --
therefore recruitment should continue after the close of the -
year on the same principles as heretofore.

(Sd)

J.F. Price,
Chief Secretary.

(True Extract)

1.6.08

Chewasephary

May 29 1894. Page 623 of the Fort St., George Gazette

Public service Notification No 56. 9th May 1894 Ootacamund.

The following Notification is published for general information
It will come into operation on the 1st July 1894.

Note(1)- The rules contained in this Notification shall in nowise affect the promotion of persons who held permanent appointments before they first came into force on the 1st July 1894 provided that their promotion was not already barred by any rules previously in force, nor shall they apply to persons acting in appointments for which, though qualified under the rules in force on the 30th June 1894, they may not be qualified under the present Notification, such persons being eligible for confirmation in the appointments in which they are officiating if they fall permanently vacant before ^{the} termination of the acting incumbency.

Note(2)-These rules apply, unless otherwise expressly provided, to all appointments, whether pensionable or non-pensionable, temporary or acting.

Note(3)- Appointments carrying incremental pay are, for the purpose of this Notification, treated as appointments carrying a fixed pay equal to the average pay calculated in accordance with Article 164 of the Civil Service Regulations.
1. No person shall be eligible for appointment to a post carrying a pay of less than Rs.15 per mensem but classed as 'superior' in the Civil Service Regulations, nor from 1st January 1897 shall any person be eligible for appointment to any of the posts classed as 'inferior' which are specified in column 1 of schedule A appended to this notification, unless he has passed the Primary examination, or some other examination accepted by Government for the purpose of this notification as equivalent to it.

Note.- The following examinations shall be accepted as equivalent to the Primary examination:-

(1) The late Upper primary examination, if the certificate was granted before 1st December 1889

(2) The late Special upper primary examination

9
(3) The late general test examination. //

(4) The late Middle school examination.

(5) The Lower Secondary examination.

(6) The fifth and sixth standard examinations conducted by Primary examination boards.

Note(2)-Though the Primary examination qualification will not be insisted upon till 1st January 1897 in the case of inferior appointments, heads of offices and departments shall in making such appointments give preference as a rule to passed "men"

2. No person shall be eligible for appointment to a post carrying a pay of Rs. 15 per mensem and upwards and classed as 'superior' in the Civil Service Regulations, unless he has passed the Madras Upper Secondary examination with English as his first language or the Matriculation examination of the Madras University, or some other examination accepted by Government for the purpose of this notification as equivalent to them. Persons not being natives of India, who have passed no public examination, must satisfy Government that they have received an education at least upto the standard of the Upper secondary or the Matriculation examination.

Note(1)-The following examinations shall be accepted as equivalent to the Upper Secondary or the Matriculation Examination:-

(1) The late Higher examination for women.

(2) The Oxford or Cambridge senior local examination

(3) The Matriculation examination of the London University

The cases of persons who have passed other examinations of a similar character may be referred for the special orders of Government.

Note(2) -All undergraduates shall be required to have passed in handwriting as prescribed under first language(English) in the Upper Secondary examination notification.

1-6-08

(True copy extract)

Chunasa Chetty

No. P
55

10
Encls to C 3996/8
12
Office of the Inspector of European
and Training Schools.

Madras, 11th October 1907.

From

H. S. Duncan Esq.,

Inspector of European and Training
Schools

To

The Principal

Teacher's College, Saidapet,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 849 dated
24-9-07, I have the honour to state that, under the
Public Service Notification dated 18th January 1892,
V.C. Srinivasa Chari is not eligible to be appointed
permanently to a post on Rs.15-1-20 as he was not
given a post on not less than Rs.15 per mensem, before
the 31st December 1893.

(Please turn over)

I have etc.,

(Sd) H.S. Duncan

Inspector

No. 929 Teacher's College
Saidapet, 16-10-1907.

Copy communicated to V.C. Srinivas
chari.

X X X X X X X

X X X X X X X

(Sd) R.G. Grieve

As. Principal.

(True copy)

6.7.08 } *Thumasa Chetty*
Saidapet

No P
6

Encl 66 C3996/8

Office of the Inspector of European
and Training Schools,

Madras, 18th January 1908

From

E. W. Middlemast Esq., M.A.

Inspector of European and Training Schools,

To

The Principal,

Teacher's College,

Saidapet,

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No.
1041 dated 31-10-1907, I have the honour to state that
I am not prepared to appoint a Lower Secondary wanto
a post on Rs. 15-1-20.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) E.W. Middlemast

Inspector of European

and Training Schools.

6.7.08 } *Thumasa Chetty*
Saidapet (True copy)

To,
The Director of Public Instruction,
M A D R A S.
Through
The Principal,
Teachers' College, Saidapet.

Most Honored Sir,

I most humbly beg leave to submit the following few lines for your honor's kind consideration.

I am the 8th Assistant in the teachers' college Saidapet, I entered the educational department on the 18th June 1894 and have put in a service of about 13 years during which time I have been in charge of the 4th standard in the Teachers College, Saidapet. I passed the Middle School Examination in the first class in 1887, and thus qualified myself for superior service, carrying a salary of Rs15 and upwards.

In consideration of my good work and long service the Acting Principal Teachers College, Saidapet was pleased to forward my application for an appointment on Rs 15-1-20 to the Inspector of European and Training Schools and to my misfortune, the Inspector has declined stating that under the public service notification dated 18-January 1892, I am not eligible to be permanently appointed on Rs15-1-20 on the ground that I was not given a post on not less than Rs15/- before 31st December 1893. I beg to add that the notification relied on by the Inspector has been cancelled by a subsequent notification published in page 1461 of the Fort Saint George Gazette of the 19th December 1893, and the old rules placing a Matriculate and a candidate who secured 1st class in Middle School Examination on the same level with regards to public service was in force till 1st July 1894, The notification of 1894 published in page 623 of the Fort Saint George Gazette clearly says that the rules contained therein shall in no wise affect the promotion of persons who held a permanent appointment before 1st July 1894. I am perfectly qualified under the notification of 1894 to hold an appointment in superior service of Rs 15 and upwards. As the Inspector seems to hold different view and as view he holds is detrimental to my prospects,

No. 121 Teachers' College
Saidapet, 22nd Jan. 1908

Copy communicated to V.C. Srinivas Charri with reference to his application dated 28th October 1907.

(Sd) R.G. Grieve
Ap. Principal

*True Copy
6.7.08
Saidapet*

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

80-60

14

I request that your honor will be pleased to declare that I am eligible to hold an appointment on Rs15 and upwards, as otherwise I have little or no chance of promotion in spite of my long service and good work, and to extend your honor's kind patronage to this poor man by giving him some promotion either permanent or temporary.

For which act of kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray.

I beg to remain,

Most honored Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

(Signed) V.C. Streenavasa Charry

8th Assistant

Teachers' College.

Saidapet.

(True copy)

6.7.08 V. Chennavasa Charry

15 Dec 68 6C 3996/8. 15

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Dis No. 1719 dated 3rd March 1908.

Read the following paper:----

Endorsement of the Principal, Teachers' College, Saidapet, No. D/13 dated 30th January 1908, submitting a petition from V.C. Srinivasa chari, 8th assistant of the College.

The Director is not prepared to interfere with the decision of the Inspector in whom the power of appointment vests.

(Sd) V. Krishnaswami

for Director of Public Instruction.

(True copy)

Saidapet

6.7.08

V. Chennavasa Charry

8th assistant Teachers' College
Saidapet

6.7.08
V. Chennavasa Charry
8th assistant Teachers' College
Saidapet

(True copy)
6.7.08 V. Chennavasa Charry

Encl 9 to C 3996/8 Saidapet.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

Through

The Principal,

Teachers' College, Saidapet.

Most Honored Sir,

With reference to your honor's Proceedings Dis.No.1719, dated 3-3-08, I respectfully beg to submit that I requested your honor in my previous application to pass an order declaring my eligibility to hold an appointment on Rs. 15/- and upwards and to give me ^a lift either permanent or temporary. In your honor's proceedings quoted above, it is stated that your honor is not prepared to interfere with the decision of the Inspector in whom the power of appointment vests. This may apply to my second request viz., of giving me a lift. But the foremost and important prayer in my application was for your honor's declaration as to my eligibility for holding an appointment on Rs 15/- and upwards. I beg leave to add that giving me promotion is one thing and my eligibility to hold a certain appointment is altogether a separate thing. The denial of promotion on a certain time on account of administrative exigencies will not affect my prospects as much as holding an erroneous view as to my eligibility for holding an appointment in superior service. I have clearly explained in my previous application that the notification of 1892 relied on by the Inspector was cancelled by the subsequent notification of 1893 and 1894 quoted therein.

I therefore request that though your honor may not be inclined to give me any promotion at present your honor will be pleased to declare whether or not I am eligible under the rules to hold an appointment on Rs. 15/- and upwards as, without this declaration, I cannot expect to better my prospects at least to the extent of my qualification.

I beg to remain,

Most honored Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

(Signed) V.C.Streenivasa Charry

(True copy)
6-7-08 *Shewas Chetty*

*Copy of Proceedings
Dis.No.1719
dated 3-3-08
Saidapet
(True copy)*

Principal.

H. A. V. Hall

X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Vase chart, for information.

Copy communicated to V.C. Streenivasa

Saidapet, 6th March 1908.

Teachers' College

No. 311

Encl 10 to 3996/8

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Dis No. 2563/08, dated 30-3-08.

Read the following paper:--

Endorsement of the Principal Teachers' College, -----
Saidapet, No. D47, dated 18th March 1908.

V. C. Srinivasa Chari should be informed that -----
the Director considers the interpretation of the -----
Inspector of European and Training Schools to be -----
correct.

(Sd) V. Krishnaswami

For Director of Public Instruction

(True copy)

Saidapet }
6-7-08 }

Chinnasa Chari
8th Assistant
Teachers' College
Saidapet

C.No.1672, from the D.P.I., No.3996, d/27--7-1908.

38

ORDER:
S.P.S.

630 dy 3. 9. 08

- Who has been / been to 31st Dec 1890,
on the middle school & an in the
first class, and has been / been to 31st Dec 84?
He was appointed on 18th Dec 84.

The D.P.I. will be informed that
as V.C. Srinivasa Chari did not hold a
post on Rs 15 per mensem or upwards on
the 1st July 1894 he is not eligible
for promotion under note (1) to the
Public Service Notification. Under
G.O.No.511, Bd1., dated 9th July 1908,
however, ^{memorialist} ~~then~~ is now eligible for pro-
motion to an appointment the pay of
which does not exceed Rs 20 per mensem.

Sp
3.9.08

7A.
6.8.08

10
7.8.08

Cad
n.r.r.
An
seor

DESPATCHED
4th SEP 1908
JA

To the D.P.I.

No.392 Teacher's College
Saidapet, 31st March 1908.

Copy communicated to V.C.Srinivas
chari, for information.

XXX XXXXXX XXX XXXX
X X X X X X X

(Sd) A.A. Hall
Principal.

[Handwritten notes in Telugu script]

[Handwritten notes in Telugu script]