

Educational (1908) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 613

DATED 28-5-08

order
pages 25-

25

[Despatch Abstract.]

College of Engineering

Informing the petitioner, R. Ponnambala Chetti, a failed student of the Draftsman class of the College of Engⁿ that the Govt. see no reason to interfere on his behalf as against the decision of the Board of Examiners of the —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

See No. 1898
1-9-08

[E.]

2,000-13-7-07

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

✓

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Cro. 1382 - R. Panuambala chetti		17-6-08	15 15
Memo	1382-1	1-7-08	16
[1768] D.P.J.	4674	7-8-08	17+18

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]


PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed —for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.



CN 1382
20.6-08

The HONOURABLE MR. J. N. ATKINSON,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George, Madras.

From
R. Ponnambala Chetti,
5, Appiya Muthu Street, Park Town,
Madras.

Honoured Sir,

With profound respect and submission I beg to
bring the following facts to your notice and to request that
my prayers may be granted.

I was a student of the Draftsman Class of the
College of Engineering, Madras, in 1902-1903 and I appeared
for the final examination held in December 1903 and failed
for want of a few marks. Since that time, I have been ap-
pearing for the above examination as an independent candidate
year after year, with unfavourable results. Last year as it
was rumoured that the examination would be the last one for
independent candidates, I devoted much time and attention to
my studies, with a view to get through the examination suc-
cessfully and appeared for the examination as usual and ans-
wered the papers to my entire satisfaction. While expecting
favourable results, the list of results pasted on the College
Notice Board announced to my sorrow, disappointment and sur-
prise my failure for want of only 6 marks in Board 's total.
On going through the details of the marks, I found that only
65 marks were allotted to me in Building Drawing out of a
minimum of 200 marks. With regard to this subject, I beg to
bring to your special notice that there were two papers, one
on Building drawing and the other on Bridge drawing, carrying
100 marks each. I expected not less than 60 per cent of the
marks in Building drawing and almost the maximum in Bridge
drawing

drawing, I compared my answers with those of Engineer students who had to answer the same papers and with those of some of the Draftsman candidates who passed the examination and found them to be correct. Doubting that such low marks as 65 might have been due either to loss of one of my answer papers or to an error or oversight in tabulation, I sent a petition to the Director of Public Instruction, as to the cause of my failure through the Principal College of Engineering, Madras. But the Principal refused to forward it to him- vide Exhibit (A). Thereupon I sent the petition to the Director of Public Instruction direct- vide Exhibit (B)- and it was forwarded to the Principal, College of Engineering, for disposal. The Principal, replied that nothing could be done in the matter- vide Exhibit (C). I again sent a petition to the Director of Public Instruction, stating the grounds of my appeal to him and requesting him to refer my case to the Board of Examiners for investigation- vide Exhibit (D). Receiving no reply to this, I appealed to the Secretary, Educational Department, stating the facts of my case and requesting him to issue orders for revaluing my answer papers or to award grace marks to me- vide Exhibit (E). My appeal was transferred to the Director of Public Instruction for disposal who sent me a reply to the effect that I must accept the decision of the Examiners- vide Exhibit (F). I do not grumble in the least to accept the decision of the Examiners. But the circumstances of my case being such as to deserve investigation and reconsideration, I was obliged to appeal to the higher authorities referred to above. In 1905, one Murugayya Pillai a student of the Draftsman class was notified in the first instance as having failed in Estimating and on his appealing to the higher authorities he was subsequently announced as having passed the examination. This year one Govindaraju Aiyengar and one Rajamannar Nayudu who appeared for the final examination of the Draftsman class as independent candidates with me and failed badly, appealed to the higher authorities. Their cases were referred to the Board of Examiners for investigation and were finally disposed of. No such action was taken in my case which

Page 8 of C1.

Page 6-7 - do.

Page 9 - do.

Pages 10-12.

Pages 13 & 14 - do.

Page 15 - do.

A

which is a fit one for investigation and reconsideration. I beg most respectfully to add that I lost no time in appealing to the higher authorities soon after the announcement of the results and as I did not get any justice which, when my pitiable case is taken into consideration, I ought to get from the authorities to whom I have addressed. I have as a last chance venture to approach your honour with the fervent hope that my humble representations may be viewed by your honour successfully in my favour. I may also beg respectfully to submit that when the cases of one Govindaraja Aiyengar and Rajamannar Naidu who, when compared with my case failed badly, were taken into consideration and referred to the Board for final disposal, the reason as to why this unfortunate petitioner's case which deserves reconsideration and justice at the hands of the authorities addressed were not taken into consideration is not known. I think this is due to nothing but to my ill-luck. I am a very poor man and as the scanty moneys which my father had with him were spent entirely in gaining success in this examination and as I am now driven to utter ruinous state, I humbly and respectfully appeal as a last resource your mercy and sympathy and ~~pr~~ pray that your honour with your honour's usual kindness and mercy towards helpless and deserving people issue orders for investigation and reconsideration of my case.

For which act of kindness and mercy I shall as in duty bound ever pray for your prosperity and long life.

I beg to remain,

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant,

Madras }
17-6-08 }

R. Ponnambala Chetti

B

u
4
b

From

R. Ponnambala Chetty,

Draughtsman,

No. 20 Chembada Pilliyar Covil Street,

Park Town, Madras.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Most Respected Sir,

I, who appeared last year for the Draughtsman's examination, beg most respectfully to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration with the fervent hope that my humble prayers may be viewed successfully in my favour.

I beg to state that I first appeared for this examination in the year 1903 through the College of Engineering and from 1904 to 1907 as an independent candidate. Misfortune has knocked me down from securing a pass in any one of these years notwithstanding my hard and untiring work. In order to become successful in this examination and to adhere to the saying "try, try, try again" I have been appearing for this examination all these years and deeply discouraged for the misfortune that befel on me. Year after year I worked very hard to secure a pass and especially last year I turned out unusually hard work both day and night and formed a firm opinion in my mind that I would come off successful in the examination in this year. But to my misfortune, after seeing my name in the failure column of the published results, I am not only indulged in deep sorrow but completely discouraged.

I may beg to add that from a perusal of the accompanying statement of marks obtained by me in the last examination, I have passed in all the subjects with the exception of 6 marks less in gaining the required minimum in the Board's total only. I have also gained more than the required number of marks in the grand total.

I now beg to bring my case before your honour, and request that your honour will be graciously pleased to take ~~me~~ pity

on

5 4 5
on me by giving me the 6 marks I am now in wanting in the Board's total as grace marks and to pass favourable orders declaring me as a passed candidate or to kindly order a revision of my answer papers. I humbly and respectfully beg to state that a similar concession was allowed to one Murugayya Pillay in the year 1905.

I may beg to add for your honour's kind information that I have passed the following Technical examinations:-

1. Building Materials & Construction-Intermediate in the first class in the year 1906.
2. Mensuration - Elementary in the second class in the year 1907.
3. Earth work & Road making - Elementary, in the second class in the year 1907.
4. Free hand & Outline drawing - Elementary, in the second class in the year 1908.

I beg to submit, Respected Sir, that of the candidates that failed I am the only man who stands a nearest chance and whose case deserves reconsideration at your hands.

I submitted my appeal to your honour through the Principal of the College of Engineering 3 days ago but I regret very much to state that I was sadly disappointed by getting a reply from him stating that he is not prepared to forward my humble appeal to your honour--vide attached copy. Hence another copy of the appeal is submitted direct to your honour.

I am a very poor man and as my circumstances are very straitened I beg most respectfully to request that your honour will take pity on my humble appeal and to pass favourable orders. I may state that my destiny is in your hands and if by the Will of Providence the order turns out otherwise, not only all my prospects will go in vain but also I will be driven to utter ruinous state by the large debt I have already run into on account of these examinations.

Under the above circumstances I beg to throw myself at your feet and request that your honour will be graciously pleased to take a merciful view of my case and pass favourable orders.

For

6
For which act of kindness I shall feel very grateful.

I beg to remain, 7

Most Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Ponnambala Chetti

Madras,

30-1-1908.

From

R. Ponnambala Chetty,
20, Chembada Pilliyar Covil Street,
Park Town, Madras,

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Most Respected Sir,

In continuation of my humble appeal dated 30th January 1908, I beg most respectfully to state that I have since passed also in Geometrical Drawing -- Intermediate Grade--Second Class (Vide No. 2204, page 3, Part I-B of the Fort Saint George Gazette dated 4th February 1908) in addition to the several Technical examinations mentioned in the appeal.

I humbly beg to add that I am given to understand that there will be no assembly of the draughtsman's class in future years. I specially preferred this line and spent on its account several years and large sums of money thinking that I would better my prospects. But to my disappointment my proposal has been disposed of by Providence otherwise. If my presumption that the abolition of the draughtsman's class is correct, I beg to state that I will have no other means to achieve the aim I had in me originally. Respected Sir, I have stated already everything in detail in my humble appeal and as I do not like to intrude upon your honour's much valuable and precious time, I beg most respectfully to request that your honour will be graciously pleased to pass favourable orders on my appeal submitted to your honor on the 30th January 1908.

For which act of benevolence I shall as in duty bound ever pray for your honour's long life and continued prosperity

Madras,

7th February 1908.

I beg etc

R. Ponnambala Chetty

A

No. 1397 C. 10

From

The Principal

College of Engineering,

Madras,

To

R. Ponnambala Chetti.

Dated 27th January 1908.

Reference:- Your letter dated 27th January 1908.

The Principal is not prepared to forward your application to the Director.

(Sd). W.H. James,

Principal.

C
No. 1514 C.

From

The Principal,
College of Engineering,
Madras,

To

R. Ponnambala Chetti,
20, Chembada Pilliyar Covil Street, Madras.

Dated 17th February 1908.

Reference:- Your letter dated 30th January 1908 to the
Director of Public Instruction.

The above has been forwarded to the Principal for disposal. The Principal can only repeat, what has already been told the candidate that nothing can be done in the matter.

(Sd). W.H. James,
Principal.

Madras, 19th February 1903.

From

R. Ponnambala Chetty.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Most Respected Sir,

With reference to my letters submitted to you on the 30th January and 7th February 1903, I beg most respectfully to state that I was under the impression that the letters in question would be forwarded to the Board for favour of reconsideration with your honour's kind support. But to my disappointment I regret to say that from the attached copy of reply from the Principal, College of Engineering the papers relating to my case were forwarded to that officer for disposal. I may here respectfully submit that if your honour be pleased to forward all papers connected with my case to the Board with your kind support, I dare say that I will get justice at their hands. From the following representations and from a kind comparison of the marks secured by me in each of the subject with the total assigned to each in the sub-joined statement, I think in my humble opinion I am entitled to get justice not only in your hands but also in the hands of the Principal and the Board.

Subjects.	Board.		College 1st and 2nd year.	
	Total number of marks.	Marks obtained	Total number of marks.	Marks obtained
Geometrical drawing and perspective	75	50	--	--
Free hand and Model	75	41	--	--
Building construction	125	73	--	--
Building drawing 1st and 2nd paper	200	65	75	69
Machine drawing	75	32	75	56
Estimating	200	108	50	33
Drill	--	--	50	33
Total	750	369	250	191

Minimum to secure a pass in the Board---375 marks
Total number of marks gained by me in
the Board, ---369---Difference 6 marks.

19 11
From the above it appears that I secured more than 50 per cent of marks in each paper. Even in College total I obtained more than 75 per cent of marks. I got only 65 out of 200 marks in Building drawing paper and I think one of my papers in this subject might have escaped notice in valuing. Why I am led to say this is there are two papers one relating to Building drawing and the other bridge. In the first paper I admitted having made a mistake as to marking the height. This I have also mentioned in my answer paper on this subject. So deducting one-third of the marks for this mistake, I think I would expect to get two-thirds of the marks that is 66 marks. To the best of my belief I have answered the latter paper that is Bridge drawing quite correctly and most satisfactorily and compared my answer papers with the Engineer and Draughtsman students who passed the examinations, after the examination was over and found my answers quite correct. For this reason I expected more than three-fourths of the marks in this subject. But to my utter disappointment and sorrow I found that very low marks were given to me in that subject. The least number of marks that I expected to get in this paper is 75 even if the Examiner values this paper strictly. Adding both marks 66 plus 75 = 141 in these two papers which from the way in which I have answered I have had the assurance of getting, I think either in valuing the papers in question or in adding one of the marks assigned to me in one of these two papers something of this sort might have escaped notice. If my above surmise is found not to be correct and that these two papers have been correctly valued I respectfully appeal to your ~~honour~~ sympathy and humbly request that your honour will be graciously pleased to request the Board to revalue these papers by any other examiner whom the Board may be pleased to appoint. I may submit that if any one of my alternative request be granted I am quite certain that I will get more than the required marks for passing in the examination.

I am a very poor man and beseech your honour not to ruin me without getting a fair chance from your hands. I spent all the

19 12
the scanty money left by my late grand father on account of these examinations and I am now driven to a very poor condition. Besides the above grievances of mine, I beg to bring to your kind notice that I have passed in five subjects in Technical Examination which are more difficult than this examination.

Under the above circumstances I humbly and respectfully request that your honour will be graciously pleased to forward this to the Board with your kind support for favour of their reconsideration in the light of my above grievances and to take up my cause who is quite helpless and ~~almost~~ almost ruined from want of mere justice and thus save me from eternal ruination.

For which act of kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray for your honour's long life and prosperity.

I beg to state that a true copy of this appeal has been sent to the Principal, College of Engineering and the Board for their information.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Ponnambala Chetti

E

13

15

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department,

Fort Saint George.

The humble petition of R. Ponnambala
Chetti, 20, Cembadapilliyar Kovil Street,
Park Town, Madras.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your petitioner was a student of the College
of Engineering in the Draughtsman's class in the years 1902
and 1903 and appeared for the final examination in the latter
year but unfortunately failed.

That he has since then been appearing for the same
examination as an independent candidate, the last occasion he
appeared as such being in 1907.

That as may be seen from the subjoined statement in
the examination held that year he secured the required mi-
nimum in all the subjects of the examination but failed to
secure for want of 6 marks the total number of marks awarded
by the Board of Examiners.

Subjects.	Board.		College 1st and 2nd year.	
	Total num- ber of marks.	Marks ob- tained.	Total number of marks.	Marks ob- tained.
Geometrical drawing and perspective	75	50	--	--
Free hand and Model	75	41	--	--
Building construction	125	73	--	--
Building drawing 1st and 2nd paper.	200	65	75	69
Machine drawing	75	32	75	56
Estimating	200	108	50	33
Drill	--	--	50	33
Total	750	369	250	191

Minimum to secure a pass in the Board--- 375 marks.

Total number of marks gained by me in
the Board..... 369 "

Difference 6 marks.

That in the building drawing he has secured only 65 for 200 but for the way in which he did his papers he confidently expected to get about 140 marks and he ventures to think that some serious omission must have occurred in the valuation of his papers there being two separate examination papers for the subject.

That in cases where a candidate's failure is due to want of a few marks in total it is the practice now and then to give grace marks and declare the candidate to have passed. As an instance of this, petitioner begs to cite the case of one Murugayya Pillai, who was declared to have passed in 1905.

That the petitioner first addressed the Principal, College of Engineering and then the Director of Public Instruction praying that his case might be referred to the Board of Examiners, but got no favourable reply--vide copies attached. Petitioner's second communication to the Director of Public Instruction in which he stated his case fully remains unanswered.

That as a last resort, petitioner has been forced to approach Government and humbly requests that the Honourable Government would be pleased either to order a revaluation of his paper on the subject referred to above or order the reconsideration of his case for grace marks.

Petitioner is aged 25 and is in indigent circumstances and as the failure in this examination will seriously affect his situation in life he humbly solicit that his case may be mercifully considered.

For which act of kindness petitioner as in duty bound ~~every~~ will ever pray.

Madras,
5th April 1908.

R. Ponnambala Chetty

11.
15
17
F

Dis No. 3379 of 1908.

Dated 5th May 1908.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

With reference to his petition dated 5th April 1903, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, transferred to the undersigned for disposal, R. Ponnambala Chetti is informed that he must accept the decision of the examiners.

(Sd). V. Krishnaswami
for Director of Public Instruction.

To

R. Ponnambala Chetti, 20, Chembada Pilliyar Kovil Street,
Park Town, Madras.

16
16
Ct. 1382 Sol. 18

memo No 1382-131-7-08

The petition from R. Pannambala Chetti will be forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction with the request that he will report whether the allegation of the ^{is true} petitioner that two ^{Candidates} ~~men~~ (Gounda-raju Aiyangar and Rajamannar Nayudu) who appeared for the final examination of the draftsman class, last year, along with the petitioner and failed, had their cases looked into by the Board of Examiners, while ~~the~~ a similar concession was refused in the case of the petitioner. If the above allegation is true, the D.P.I. is requested to state why the petitioner's case was not treated ^{similarly to} ~~in the same~~ ~~as~~ the other two cases.

DESPATCHED with
3 JUL 1908 1 to 15 pages
94 9 C 76

To The D.P.I.
with petitioner's enclosures
in original. to be returned.

20
29 608
17-5

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 1908, Registered 1908

Subject.

Submitting remarks on the petition of one R. Ponnambala Chetti
a failed student of the Draughtsman class of the College of
Engineering, Madras.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Current No. 4 6 7 4 /08

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 7th August 1908.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D. Sc., F. R. S. C. I. E.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 1382-1 dated 1st
July, forwarding for report petition from R. Ponnambalachetti,
I have the honour to state that the allegations made therein
do not appear to be altogether true. The Principal, College
of Engineering, reports that N. Rajamannar Nayudu in his complaint
made reference particularly to the marking of the paper on
"Estimating", that he quoted the actual quantities stated to
have been obtained by him and said that students who had given
approximately the same figures had gained higher marks, and that
as it was possible that a mistake had been made in his case, his
letter was sent to the President, Board of Examiners, for consi-
deration. But the examiner could not see his way to make any
alteration in the marks already awarded.

In the case of V. Govindaraja Aiyangar, I understand
that his letter was not referred to the Board. The student

18 20
suggested that possibly a mistake had been made in the addition of the marks for the two building drawing papers, and as the Examiner's mark sheets were in possession of the Principal, that officer verified the figures and found that the student had obtained low marks in both the papers and informed him accordingly.

3. R. Ponnambala Chetti's petition on the other hand was simply an appeal that he might be given extra marks and allowed to pass when he had been declared to have failed. As the President of the Board of Examiners had refused to make an investigation in connection with a similar application sent to him direct, the Principal, it is stated, did not think it advisable to address him in the matter in regard to this case.

4. The enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Ch. Krishna Rao

For Director of Public Instruction.

BP
N.V.S.

51.
[]
1913-14

NOTES.

C.No. 1382 From the R. Ponnambala Chetti No. , dated 17. 6. 1908.

SUBJECT. Appealing against the decision of the Board of Examiners of the College of Engineering.

T.

With ^{their} endorsement No. 868-1 d. 14th April 1908 the Government transferred to the D. P. I. for disposal, a petition from R. Ponnambala Chetti a former student of the Draftsman class of the College of Engineering stating that he apprehended that some omission had taken place in the valuation of his answer papers and requesting that his paper might be revalued or that he might be allowed some grace marks. The D. P. I. thereupon informed the petitioner that he must accept the decision of the examiners.

Page 15 of Cf.

* Please see the marks given on page 10 of Cf.

vide pages 8 & 15 of Cf.

D

2. The petitioner again urges that such low marks as he has obtained "might have been due either to loss of one of his answer papers or to an error or oversight in tabulation". Both the D. P. I. and the Principal, College of Engineering, refused to bring the petitioner's case before the Board of Examiners.

3. Under rule 70 of the Rules for the working of the College of Engineering on page 14 of G.O. 159 Edl d. 20.3.07 "the decision of the Board of Examiners in regard to the qualifications of any student appearing for examination shall be final." It is for consideration whether the petitioner may be informed that the Govt. regrets to be unable to interfere in the matter or whether

the

the petition may be referred to the D. P. I for report.

AD

23.6.08

It does not appear that there are any grounds for the interference of Govt in this matter; but perhaps, the D.P.I. may be asked to state if the allegation made by the petitioner at A on page 2 of p. is correct?

AD
24.6.08

The pet: has informed that the Govt. are unable to interfere on L-behalf

AD

AD
25.6.08

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.
Box Ordinary
No. 13.

I should certainly like to know whether the two men in this year failed with the appellants & had their cars looked into with the results that they passed by the No. of examiners while the main car was not so refused. Please ask if the allegation at A as regards the two men is true & if so why the main car was not similarly treated -

AD
26.6.08

Then report refused accept
AD

3 21 29

The draft reference to the D.P.I. placed below is for approval.

29.6.08

Issue
Cal
1.7.8

[Memo. no 1382-1 bdl dated 1-7-08]
From the D.P.I. no 4674 dated 7th Aug. 1908.

Page 16 of C.F.

Page 17 of C.F.

Page 17 of C.F.

Please see the remarks of the Hon'ble Mr. Hammick on page 2 supra. With reference to the orders contained in that note, the D.P.I. was requested to report whether the allegation made in the petition that the cases of two other candidates who failed along with the petitioner were looked into by the Board of Examiners was true and if so, why the petitioner's case was not also similarly treated.

2. The D.P.I. states that the allegations made in the petition do not appear to be altogether true. He observes that the case of N. Rajamannar Nayudu, one of the candidates ~~mentioned~~ ^{mentioned} by the petitioner, was, as stated in the petition, referred to the Board of Examiners, but that the case of V. Govindaraja Aiyangar, the other candidate ~~alluded~~ ^{alluded} to by the petitioner, was not so referred.

3. In the case of N. Rajamannar Nayudu, it is stated, that the petition made reference particularly to the marking of the paper on "Estimating", that the petitioner quoted the actual quantities obtained by him and said that students who had given approximately the same figures had gained higher marks. As it was possible that a mistake had been made in

this

this case, the petition was sent to the President, Board of Examiners ^{for consideration} but the Examiner could not see his way to make any alteration in the marks already awarded.

4. In the case of Govindaraja Aiyangar, Dr. Bourne states, that his petition was not referred to the Board. The petitioner suggested that possibly a mistake had been made in the addition of the marks in the two "Building drawing" papers and as the Examiner's mark sheets were with the Principal, that officer verified the figures, and as the petitioner had obtained low marks in both the papers, ^{the Principal} informed him accordingly.

5. As regards the petitioner's case, the Director states that the petition was simply an appeal that he might be given extra marks and allowed to pass when he had been declared to have failed and that as the President of the Board of Examiners had refused to make an investigation in connection with a similar application sent to him by the petitioner direct, the Principal did not think it advisable to address him in regard to this case.

6. It is for consideration whether the petitioner may be informed that the Government see no reason to interfere on his behalf.

Page 17 of C.F.

Page 18 of C.F.

Page 18 of C.F.

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.

Box Office No. 22

20
24.8.08

Us: Draft please.

Obs
24.8.8.

The draft order below is submitted for approval

20
24.8.08

AM

See

20.8.8. 458
26.8.08

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 190 .
" " " " _____, " _____ 190 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 1382, From the R. Pannambala Chetty, No. _____, dated 17. 6. 1908.
" " 1768, " the S. P. J., " 4674, " 7. 8. 1908.
" " _____, " _____, " _____, " _____ 190 .

ORDER.
Msi

No. 613, dated 28. 8. 1908.

The petitioner will be in-
formed that the Government see
no reason to interfere on his
behalf.

20p
24.8.08

Cal
25.8.8.

26.8.08

DESPATCHED
28 AUG 1908

To The Petitioner (g of i. n. ct 10)
" S. P. J. 10.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.