

Educational (1908) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 488

DATED 29-6-08.

B.D.

pp-15

[Despatch Abstract.]

Suboverseers' and Surveyors' Test

Declining to permit C.S.
Subrahmanya Aiyar and V. Kaliyanarama
Aiyar to appear for the examination prescribed
for the Suboverseers and Surveyors class of the
College of Engineering without the keeping the
College terms.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E.]

2440-15-7-67

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[367] P. W. D.	1006-e A.	13-2-8	166
Memo	367-1	4-3-08	7
[378] P. W. D.	1008-c	13-2-08	8 to 18
Memo -	378-1	4-3-08	19
[886] D. P. J.	1682	3-4-08	20 to 22

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed —for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
2040 159	1-2-08 20-3-07	0 SC		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.
L4M	9692	15-8-06	SC	
P.W.D.	1272W	10-7-05	SC.	

to 40/08R

on 29/08 dms

u 46/08R

u 159/07 20/

Subser 116th. 9

.7

End b

Educational Dept

Exo. 367.

no. 367-1 d 4/5/08

Referred to the Director of
Public Instruction for early
remarks.

3/3 5/3/8

29.2.08

3.3.8.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting on the left page]

19

Educational Sep

$\frac{21}{\text{Ensh}}$ CNo. 378
 No. 378-1 d 4/3/rd

Referred to the Director of
Public Instruction for early
remarks-

5/3/8
 $\frac{20}{29.208}$

Chd
 3.3.8

sp
 3/3/08

20
Edl cross-6
Educational
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.



Received..... 190 , Registered 6/4/ 190 1.

Subject.

Recommending that in the circumstances explained M.R.Ry C.S. Subrahmanya Aiyar and M.R.Ry V. Kalyanarama Aiyar be exempted from passing the Suboverseer and Surveyor Test or the Final Examination for Lower Subordinates .

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

Spare copies

Ref on Current No. 1 6 8 2 /08

Station M a d r a s , Dated the 3rd April 190 8.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational
Local and Municipal Department

Sir,

In returning herewith the papers referred to me with endorsements Nos. 367-1 and 378-1 Educational dated 4th March 1908, I have the honour to state that the principal, College of Engineering, thinks that M.R.Ry C.S. Subrahmanya Aiyar has had about 14 years varied experience and that he might well regard it as a hardship that with his practical experience he should be asked to undergo the ordeal of another examination in Surveying. In the case of M.R.Ry V. Kalyanarama Aiyar on the other hand, he thinks that the practical experience he seems to have had, can hardly have assisted very much in acquiring a knowledge of surveying and Levelling and Mr. James doubts the advisability of accepting merely an examination result if any

21
stress is to be laid on the educational qualification.

But, in any case, there is the difficulty in regard to the admission of outsiders to the examinations of the College of Engineering in the manner suggested by the Chief Engineer in as much as a large proportion of the marks -500 out of 1500 in the case of the suboverseer and surveyor class- is awarded during the college course for practical work done and it will not be possible to allot these marks in the case of an examinee who has not taken the college course.

If, however, what is required in the case of these persons is merely an examination test, Mr. James would suggest that they be asked to appear for specified Technical Examinations and then to appear at Madras before the Board of Examiners in Civil and Mechanical Engineering so that they as a body might report on the ability of the two candidates to pass an examination of the suboverseer and surveyor standard. But, I doubt if even this would be a suitable solution of the difficulty.

It will be seen that one of the candidates has passed the old Surveyor's Test of the college and the other the old Draftsman Test. Under G.O. No. 969 L dated 15th August 1906, the Draftsman Test of the College of Engineering has been prescribed as a qualification for the post of Suboverseer in local fund service and in my letter Ref on Cur No. 633/08 d/ 12th March 1908 addressed to Government, Local and Municipal Department, I have recommended that the old Surveyor Test of the College be accepted as equivalent to the Draftsman Test, so far as eligibility to the post of suboverseer under Local board is concerned. In these circumstances, I would suggest that Government accept the qualifications possessed by the two candidates and exempt them from passing the Suboverseer and Surveyor Test or the Final Examination for Lower Subordinates under the present rules for appointment to the Suboverseer grade

grade in view of their practical training and experience.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

W. K. K. K. K.

For Director of Public Instruction.

W. K. K. K. K.

N.V.S.

Exemption of Messrs C. S. Subramaniam Aiyar
And V. Kalyanarama Aiyar from the
Subverser's test of the Engineering College

NOTES.

~~E 20-4-08~~
~~R 15-4-08~~
~~E 20-4-08~~
~~R 15-4-08~~
~~P 13-6-08~~
~~W 16-6-08~~

C. No.367, from the P.W.D.,

No.1006-C., dated 13-2-1908.

C. No.378, from the P.W.D.,

No.1008-C., dated 13-2-1908.

C. No. 856, from the D.P.I.,

No.1682, dated 3-4-1908.

Subject.

Sub-Overseer and Surveyor test of the
College of Engineering.

Pages 1+8 of C.F.

In his notes dated 13th February 1908, Nos. 1006-C and 1008-C, the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., enquired whether

Page 1 of C.F.

(1) C.S. Subrahmanya Aiyar who had passed the Matriculation Examination, the Handwriting test and the Surveyor's test of the College of Engineering and

Page 8 of C.F.

(2) V. Kalyanarama Aiyar who had passed the Middle School examination and the Draftsman's test of the College of Engineering could be permitted to present themselves for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor test of the College of Engineering without keeping the College terms, so that they might qualify themselves for appointment in the Lower Subordinate establishment of the P.W.D. by passing the examination prescribed for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class of the College of Engineering, and whether the Educational Department could arrange for such an examination.

Pages 20+21 of C.F.

2. The Director of Public Instruction to whom the papers were referred for remarks reports, after consulting the Principal of the College

Page 21 of C.F.

Vide rule 22 on page 6 of
G.O. no. 37 Bdt 220-1-06 A

Page 21 of C.F.

Page 21 of C.F.

XII

But the status of these men
L-7, surveyor, etc. etc.,
very difficult from that point of view.
AD

2.

of Engineering, that there is a difficulty in regard to the admission of outsiders to the examinations of the College of Engineering in the manner suggested by the Chief Engineer for the following reasons:--

(1) A large proportion of the marks - 500 out of 1500 in the case of the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor class - is awarded during the College course for practical work done; and

(2) It will not be possible to allot these marks in the case of an examinee who has not taken the College course.

Mr. James, , suggests that the candidates may be required to appear for specified Technical examinations and then to appear before the Board of Examiners so that they might report on their ability to pass the Sub-Overseer's test, but Dr. Bourne doubts whether this would be a suitable solution of the difficulty.

3. The Director of Public Instruction therefore suggests that the two persons referred to by the Chief Engineer may be exempted from passing the examination prescribed for the Sub-Overseer and Surveyor or the Lower Subordinate class, as it is now called, of the College of Engineering, on the grounds

(1) that the men have passed - one the old Surveyor and the other the Draftsman test of the College of Engineering,

(2) that under G.O. No. 969 I., dated 15th August 1906, the Draftsman test of the College of Engineering has been prescribed as a qualification for the post of Sub-Overseer in the

Local

11

F

on the ground that it is
equivalent to the draftman's
test.

Only up to a certain
date, i.e. the 18th
two or ~~three~~
slaves were admitted

7.4. two
28.4.08

28.4.08

Lee.

Cast

29.6.8.

2. Para 5 of the C.E. reference must
swear in the negative. *W. J. 20405*



Dated 30.4.08

Edw 856-1
30.6.08

C. spirochetae be answered in the negative. *W. 2000*

P. no

12

DW

In. limited.

TPM
4-5-08



Applicant will be informed that he is not qualified for appl.

8/6

J. the Educ. Dept. (no.)

Clos
15/5/8

Educational Dept.

The papers may, if submitted, be "recorded". It is presumed that the C. E. ^{has} ~~will~~ informed the applicants that they are not qualified for appointment.

2. The draft placed below is for approval.



72.
18-5-08

20
18-5-08

Clos
19-5-8

27
19-5-08

Ed No 856-2
19-5-08

P.W.D. no. for acceptance

DW

P. W. U. O. R No. 549
Dated 19-5-08



ap. sent to be kept

As the applicants are to be answered in the P.W. Dept, the most convenient course would perhaps be for the Educational to return the applications with notes to this Dept. for final disposal.

Ed. U.O.

19/6

22-5-08

The reference relates to a question of principle in
 some degree - a revised para 5 of the P.W.U. & the
~~works~~ the great reference for P.W.D. was
 for a C.O. reference.

It would be more correct procedure to pass an order
 by the M. & to send copy into the original
 communication - copy of notes to P.W.D.
 The is, I take it, what P.W.D. ask for

Wm
 13.6.08

The revised draft placed below is submitted for
 approval.

The Registrar of the Public Works Depart.
 who was consulted, says that ^{what} that Department asks for
 is the return of the original papers with the notes in
 original for the issue of the necessary orders in
 that Department. As pointed out by the Secretary,
 it appears to be the more correct procedure to pass
 an order ^{in 1908} saying that the Govt. cannot approve the
 proposal made in para. 5 of the Chief Engineer's
 note dated 13th February 1908 & in para. 4 of
 his note No. 1008-C of the same date & to send to
 P.W.D. a copy of this order with copy of the notes & the app^r in original.
 2. The revised draft placed below is for approval.

12.6.08 I am afraid that we must at P.W.D. accept the
 revised order - what involves a question of principle
 and must be a record in this Dept.

Wm
 13.6.08

The reference was made
 up reference - but
 a clear reference asking
 for a definite ruling

by
 13.6.08

This was only on
 the assumption that
 P.W.D. was to issue
 orders on the app^r -
 terms R.A.
 13.6.08

P.W.U.O. R. No. 656
 Dated 13.6.08

Sp 608
 13.6.08
 R
 13.6.08
 13.6.08

W The revised draft which is in accordance with the established procedure may be accepted.

P.W. Dept

W. C. J.
16.6.08

Accepted
JH
28/6/08

Educational V.O *Educational Dept*
For approval

Had
25.6.08

Ad.



Ex. no

CIRCULATED IN EDL.
AND LEG. DEPT.
Box Ordinary No. 13.
Confidential

Appd
in
26.6.08

Done
28.6.08

DEPARTMENT.

[$\frac{E.}{12}$]
1,000--10-10-07.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____, 190

33 21 11 11 9 11 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 367, From the P.W.D., No. 1006.e, dated 13. 2. 1908.

" " 378, " " " " " 1008-9 " 13. 2 - 1908.

32 31 856 30 2-7-9. 29 1682 28 3. 4. 190 8.

ORDER.

Muse!

No. 488, dated 29. 6. 1908

The Chief Engineer, P. W. D.,
will be informed that the Govt.
are unable to permit ~~the~~
~~individuals referred to in his~~
C. S. Subramania aiyar and V.
Kaliyanarama aiyar to appear
for the examination prescribed
for the Suboverseer and Surveyor
Class of the College of Engineering
without keeping the College
ferms.

DESPATCHED with
30 JUN 1908 C No 3674 378
with connected
papers to P.W. &
JH

20 The G.W.D. with Canto 3672378
in original copy quotes.

.. 879. ✓

When given for copying _____ }
 When copied _____ } 190
 When examined _____ }
 When signed _____ }

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A