

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

Recd.

Enclosures

1908.

Legd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 436, 29th June 1908.

School of Arts.

Addressing the Government of India on the subject of permitting Mr. Hadaway, the Superintendent, —, Madras, to reside on the premises of the school free of rent.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

Recd.

Regd.

} 1908.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 486, 29th June 1908.

School of Arts.

Addressing the Government of India on the subject of permitting Mr. Hadaway, the Superintendent, —, Madras, to reside on the premises of the school free of rent.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O., Mis. No. 227, Educational, dated 24th March 1908.

ABSTRACT.—Permitting Mr. W. S. Hadaway, Superintendent of the School of Arts, Madras, to live on the premises of the school free of rent.

II

Letter—from A. ONTAGU BRIGSTOCKE, Esq., I.C.S., Accountant-General.
To—the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.
Dated—Madras, the 14th April 1908.
No.—G. 54/615.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 227, dated 24th March 1908, permitting Mr. W. S. Hadaway, Superintendent of the School of Arts, to live on the premises of the school rent free, I have the honour respectfully to invite the attention of Government to paragraph 3 (a) of the Government of India Resolution Nos. 319-331, dated 16th May 1906 (Home Department, Education), and to point out that unless definite duties have been assigned to Mr. Hadaway in connection with the supervision and the physical welfare of the students at the School of Arts, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to his being allowed free quarters.

Order—No. 486, Educational, dated 29th June 1908.

The Government of India will be addressed.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 487.

From

H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S.,

Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

HOME DEPARTMENT.

Dated Ootacamund, the 29th June 1908.

SIR,

I am directed to address the Government of India on the subject of permitting the Superintendent of the School of Arts, Madras, to reside on the premises of the school free of rent.

2. The Superintendent of the School of Arts is one of the officers included in the statement attached to letter from the Government of India in the Public Works Department, No. 201-B, Civil Works—Buildings, dated 15th June 1891, as being entitled to house-rent or free quarters in lieu thereof. The Superintendent was provided with free quarters and continued to live on the premises until the year 1898, when owing to the introduction into the school of the aluminium industry, additional accommodation was found to be necessary and it was decided to utilize for school purposes the quarters assigned to the Superintendent, paying him an allowance of Rs. 50 per mensem, which was the house-rent attached to the post. This arrangement continued until 1901 when the post of Superintendent became vacant and temporary arrangements were made for the discharge of the duties of the office, as reported in Mr. Hammick's letters Nos. 517 and 546, Educational, dated 23rd and 31st October 1900, respectively. The aluminium industry was subsequently handed over to a private company, and the building, which has till recently been used as the Office of the Director of Industrial and Technical Inquiries, is now available for residential purposes.

3. In the letter to the Government of India, No. 404, Educational, dated 1st June 1906, requesting them to address the Secretary of State regarding the selection of a new Superintendent for the School of Arts, no mention was made of the house-rent attached to the post of Superintendent and consequently no provision for house-rent or free quarters appears in the agreement of Mr. Hadaway, who was appointed Superintendent by the Secretary of State in October last.

4. Soon after the arrival of Mr. Hadaway, the Director of Public Instruction represented that it was very desirable that the Superintendent of the School of Arts should reside on the premises and the Governor in Council permitted Mr. Hadaway to live there on the understanding that if the rooms occupied by him were at any time required for the use of the school he should vacate them without any claim to house-rent.

5. The Accountant-General objects to this arrangement on the ground that unless, under paragraph 3 (a) of the Home Department Resolution Nos. 319-331, Education, dated 16th May 1906, definite duties have been assigned to Mr. Hadaway in connection with the supervision and physical welfare of the students of the school, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to his being allowed free quarters. I am to forward a copy of the Accountant-General's letter on the subject and to state that in view of the nature of the school, the Governor in Council considers it very advisable that the head of the school should reside on the school premises. Work is going on in the school practically all day long from 7 A.M. to 5 P.M., and there is much valuable property which requires to be watched. It is also desirable that the garden and precincts of the school should be under the constant supervision of the Superintendent and be neatly kept up. The Principal in fact should regard the school as his home for the time being. In these circumstances I am to request that the Government of India will be pleased to permit the Superintendent of the School of Arts, Madras, to reside on the school premises, free of rent, from the date of his occupation in February 1908.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. D. TAYLOR,
Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 486, 487, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 29TH JUNE 1908.

[SUBJECT.—*Free quarters to Mr. Hadaway, Superintendent of the School of Arts.*]

Previous papers.

G.O., No.	1242, Pub., dated 20th Nov.	1896.
„ Nos. 296, 297, Edl.	„ 8th May	1905.
„ „ 299, 300	„ 8th „	„
„ No. 425	„ 22nd June	„
„ Nos. 78, 79	„ 10th Feb.	1906.
„ No. 392	„ 5th June	„
„ Nos. 401, 402	„ 9th „	„
„ „ 403, 404	„ 9th „	„
„ No. 457	„ 28th „	„
„ „ 500	„ 27th July	„
„ „ 507	„ 31st „	„
„ „ 712	„ 21st Oct.	1907.
„ „ 227	„ 24th March	1908.

From the Accountant-General, dated 14th April 1908, No. G. 54-615.

In G.O., No. 227, Educational, dated 24th March 1908, the Government permitted Mr. W. S. Hadaway, Superintendent of the School of Arts, to live on the premises of the school rent-free, on the distinct understanding that if they were at any time required for the use of the school he would be required to vacate them without any claim to house-rent.

2. With reference to the above order, the Accountant-General invites the attention of the Government to paragraph 3 (a) of the Home Department resolution No. 319 — 331 (Education), dated 16th May 1906, printed with G.O., No. 592, Educational, dated 5th June 1906, and states that unless definite duties are assigned to Mr. Hadaway in connection with the supervision and physical welfare of the students at the School of Arts, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to his being allowed free quarters.

3. The resolution of the Government of India referred to by the Accountant-General relates to the question of *providing* quarters for Principals and Professors of Government colleges. In paragraph 2 of the resolution, the Government of India state that the Local Governments, whom they consulted in the matter, considered that it was not desirable to lay down “precise directions as to the conditions on which free quarters should be tenable” but that it was recognized that officers residing in quarters provided by Government might reasonably be held responsible for supervising the students out of college hours and for seeing that the buildings and grounds were maintained in a proper manner. The Government of India accepted these views of the Local Governments and left it to the Local Governments to enforce such conditions as might be considered necessary and practicable.

G.O., No. 392, Educational, dated 5th June 1906. 4. Paragraph 3 (a) of the resolution which is specially referred to by the Accountant-General runs:—

“When a substantial proportion of the students of a Government college are resident in hostels adjoining or near to the college building, the Local Government may, without the sanction of the Government of India, provide free quarters for not more than the Principal and one Professor, on the condition that definite duties are assigned to the officers thus privileged in connection with the supervision and physical welfare of the students.”

5. The above rule seems to apply, it is submitted, to the provision, *i.e.*, construction of free quarters for Educational officers. In G.O., No. 227, Educational, dated 24th March 1908, the Government have not sanctioned the construction of any new quarters for Mr. Hadaway, but have only permitted him to occupy certain vacant rooms in the premises of the School of Arts; and the Government seem to have absolute discretion to do this under paragraph 2 of the India's resolution above referred to.

6. Besides, in this case, Mr. Hadaway cannot be required to supervise any students of the School of Arts out of school hours, for the simple reason that there are no students resident in hostels adjoining or near to the school building. He may, however, be required to see that the buildings and grounds of the School of Arts are maintained in a proper manner.

7. The Accountant-General may, it is submitted, be asked u.o. to state whether in view of the remarks in paragraphs 5 and 6 *supra* he still holds that the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to allow Mr. Hadaway to reside in the premises of the School of Arts.

[G.O., No. 227, Educational, dated 24th March 1908, was put up with another file and became available only this day.]

T.K.—8-5-03.

It is submitted that the file may be sent u.o. to the Financial Department for remarks as to the correctness of the Accountant-General's contention. The resolution of the Government of India quoted by that officer seems to apply to the provision of quarters in "colleges" and does not seem to be applicable to the case under reference.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—8-5-08.

C. A. SOUTER—9-5-08.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

In paragraph 4 of Home Department letter No. 59, dated 24th January 1905, in which the opinion of this Government was invited by the Government of India on the question of the provision of quarters for Principals and Professors of colleges, the Government of India remarked:—

"The provision of free residence should undoubtedly carry with it the obligation on the part of the occupant to discharge certain specified duties in connection with the supervision of the students and the direction of their studies and recreations. This condition might equally well be imposed, if this has not already been done, upon the present occupants of rent-free quarters"

2. Reading the foregoing extract along with paragraphs 2 and 3 of Home Department resolution No. 319—331, dated 6th May 1906, it appears that the Accountant-General is correct in his remark that unless definite duties have been assigned to Mr. Hadaway in connection with the supervision and the physical welfare of the students at the School of Arts, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to his being allowed free quarters.

V.G.K.—16-5-08.

The Accountant-General seems to be beside the point. The quarters are there—at the School of Arts—and were there long before India's resolution of 1906. The Accountant-General's contention amounts to this—that unless a hostel is started at the School of Arts the Principal should not be allowed to occupy the quarters which have been occupied by his predecessors—they should lie vacant. Educational might point out the correct situation to the Accountant-General.

C. M. SCHMIDT—19-5-08.

Yes.

J. N. ATKINSON—20-5-08.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the file may be forwarded u.o. to the Accountant-General for remarks as to whether he accepts the above view.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—22-5-08.

C. A. SOUTER—23-5-08.

To the Accountant-General.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE.

I do not think that the fact that the quarters were in existence at the time of the Government of India resolution referred to, makes any difference. Indeed, the extract from the Government of India letter of 1905 quoted on page 2 of these notes makes it clear that the then existing quarters should come under the same rules. As far as the officer himself is concerned the concession is obviously the same whether he lives in previously existing quarters or whether new ones are built for him, and I think it is from this point of view that the question should be regarded. Unless some definite duties are assigned to Mr. Hadaway, which could not be conveniently carried out without his living on the spot, I do not think he can be allowed the privilege of rent-free quarters. If he is allowed to occupy these quarters, without doing any special duties, rent should be charged at the usual rate.

A. MONTAGU BRISTOCKE—26-5-08.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

In view of the Accountant-General's remarks it appears better to address India and to ask that Mr. Hadaway may be allowed to occupy the quarters rent-free from date of occupation.

H. D. TAYLOR—28-5-08.

Yes, draft to India please. Point out that previous Superintendents have lived in the premises free of rent, that owing to the nature of the school it is very advisable that the head of it should live on the spot. Work is practically going on all day from 7 A.M. to 5 P.M. There is much valuable property on the place which wants looking after, and it is advisable that the garden and precincts should be kept up and constantly looked after.

M. H[AMMICK]—3-6-08.

Draft please.

H. D. TAYLOR—4-6-08.

A draft is submitted for approval.

T. K.—5-6-08.

C. A. SOUTER—8-6-08.

The last part of paragraph 2 of the draft "All former Superintendents . . . school" does not appear to be correct in the light of Accountant-General's remarks in paragraph 4 of his letter on page 4 of G.O., No. 346, dated 8th May 1908.

Mr. Brand did not draw any allowance, I think, except as being a Public Works Department officer lent to the Educational Department? The Government Order under which the arrangement was altered in 1898 is not put up in the file.

Is the exact date of Mr. Hadaway's occupation of the quarters known?

H. D. TAYLOR—9-6-08.

The former Superintendents of the School of Arts, Messrs. Havell, Holder and Porterfield, appear to have been living on the premises of the School of Arts. In the year 1898, the quarters assigned for the Superintendent were utilised for school purposes and the Superintendent was granted an allowance for house-rent of Rs. 50 *per mensem*—*vide* G.O., No. 772, Educational, dated 14th December 1898.

2. Mr. Porterfield's services terminated on the 8th February 1901 and from that date to the date of arrival of Mr. Hadaway in November last the school had no permanent Superintendent. From the 8th February 1901 to the 12th April 1904 Mr. Chatterton who was on special duty in connection with the development of technical trades and industries held charge of the school and Mr. Brand served under him as Industrial Assistant in the school on Rs. 400. On the 12th April 1904 Mr. Chatterton went on leave and Mr. Brand was with the special sanction of the Secretary of State appointed to act as Superintendent of the school with an acting allowance of Rs. 100 *per mensem*. Under this arrangement the Accountant-General restricted Mr. Brand's salary to Rs. 300 (pay in the Public Works Department Rs. 200 + acting allowance Rs. 100). But as Mr. Brand complained that his salary as acting Superintendent was less than his pay as Industrial Assistant, he was then granted a monthly salary of Rs. 500 with the sanction of the Government of India and he continued to draw the same salary until relieved by Mr. Hadaway. Mr. Brand was not granted any increments and no question arose as to the payment of a house-rent allowance to him—*vide* G.O., No. 457, Educational, dated 28th June 1906.

The above note shows how no house-rent was paid to the Superintendent of the School of Arts after the departure of Mr. Porterfield in February 1901.

3. G.O., No. 772, Educational, dated 14th December 1898, has been obtained from Madras and added to the file.

4. The exact date of Mr. Hadaway's occupation of the quarters is not known.

T.K.—11-6-08.

It is submitted that the following may be substituted for the second and third sentences of paragraph 2 of the draft letter:—

"The Superintendent was provided with free quarters and continued to live *vide* Director of Public Instruction's letter on page 2 of G.O., No. 772, Educational, dated 14th December 1898. "on the premises until the year 1898, "when owing to the introduction into "the school of the aluminium industry, "additional accommodation was found to "be necessary and it was decided to utilize for school purposes the quarters assigned

"to the Superintendent paying him an allowance of Rs. 50 *per mensem*, which was "the house-rent attached to the post. This arrangement continued until 1901 when "the post of Superintendent became vacant and temporary arrangements were made "for the discharge of the duties of the office, as reported in Mr. Hammick's letters "Nos. 517 and 546, Educational, dated 23rd and 31st October 1900, respectively."

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—12-6-08.

Yes, that is more correct. Please retype accordingly.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-6-08.

Draft retyped and submitted.

T.K.—13-6-08.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—13-6-08.

To the Financial Department for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-6-08.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

This is for Educational to decide.

J. N. ATKINSON.

May be accepted, but paragraph 4 of the draft letter might perhaps explain how accommodation again became available for quarters for the Superintendent.

C. M. SCHMIDT—18-6-08.

Draft accepted.

J. N. ATKINSON—19-6-08.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

I don't think any addition is necessary. We have stated that temporary arrangements were made in 1901 and that Mr. Hadaway arrived only in October last. I have, however, made a slight addition to paragraph 2.

The draft is for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—22-6-08.

Approved.

M. H[AMMICK]—26-6-08.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—29-6-08.

[G.O., Nos. 486, 487, Educational, dated 29th June 1908.]

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 190 , Registered 21-4-1908.

Subject.

Stating with reference to G.O. permitting Mr. W. S. Hadaway to live on the premises of school of Arts rent free that unless definite duties have been assigned in connection with the supervision and the physical welfare of the students the sanction of the Govt of India is necessary

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Station Madras , Dated the 14 April 1908.

From (Name) A. Montague Brigstocke Esq., I.C.S.,
(Designation) Accountant-General,

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.227 Mis., dated 24th March 1908, permitting Mr. W. S. Hadaway, Superintendent of the School of Arts, to live on the premises of the school rent-free, I have the honour respectfully to invite the attention of Government to para 3(a) of the Government of India Resolution Nos.319-331, dated 16th May 1906(Home Department Education), and to point out that unless definite duties have been assigned to Mr. Hadaway in connection with the supervision and the physical welfare of the students at the School of Arts, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to his being allowed free quarters.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Montague Brigstocke
Accountant-General.

6
Educational Department.

Read again G.O. No. 227, Edl., d/24--3-1908. *7 ready*

Read also C.No. 929, from the Accountant General, No. G. 54/615, d/14-4-08.

ORDER:
P.S.

486 7 29 6 08 3P

29/6/08
The Government of India will be addressed.

13-6-08

482 16-6-08

LETTER:

487 7 29 6 08

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Home Department

Sir,

I am directed to address the Govt. of India on the subject of permitting the Superintendent of the School of Arts, Madras, to reside on the premises of the school, free of rent.

2. The Superintendent of the School of Arts is one of the officers included in the statement attached to letter from the Govt. of India in the P.W.D., No. 201-B, Civil Works - Buildings, dated 15th June 1891, as being entitled to house rent or free

quarters

quarters in lieu thereof. The Superintendent was provided with free quarters and continued to live on the premises until the year 1898, when owing to the introduction into the school of the Aluminium industry, additional accommodation was found to be necessary and it was decided to utilize for school purposes the quarters assigned to the Superintendent, paying him an allowance of Rs 50 per mensem, which was the house-rent attached to the post. This arrangement continued until 1901 when the post of Superintendent became vacant and temporary arrangements were made for the discharge of the duties of the office, as reported in Mr. Hammick's letters Nos. 517 and 546 Edl., dated 23rd and 31st October 1900, respectively. /

3. In the letter to the Govt. of India No. 404 Edl., dated 1st June 1906, requesting them to address the Secretary of State regarding the selection of a new Superintendent for the School of Arts, no mention was made of the house rent attached to the post of Superintendent and consequently no provision for house rent or free quarters appears in the agreement of Mr. Hadaway, who was appointed Superintendent by the Secretary of State in October last.

G.O. no. 772 Edl §
14.12.98

^h The aluminium industry was
subsequently housed over to the
private company. The building,
which has till recently, been
used as the office of the Director
of Industrial & Technical Education,
is now available for residential
purpose.

Slip 15
Slip W

m

3.

3P
10

4. Soon after the arrival of Mr. Hadaway, the D.P.I. represented that it was very desirable that the Superintendent of the School of Arts should reside on the premises and the Governor in Council permitted Mr. Hadaway to live there on the understanding that if the rooms occupied by him were at any time required for the use of the school he should vacate them without any claim to house-rent.

5. The Accountant-General objects to this arrangement, on the ground that unless, under paragraph 3(a) of the Home Department Resolution, Nos. 319-331, Education, dated 16th May 1906, definite duties have been assigned to Mr. Hadaway in connection with the supervision and physical welfare of the students of the school, the sanction of the Govt. of India is necessary to his being allowed free quarters. I am to forward a copy of the Accountant-General's letter on the subject and to state that in view of the nature of the school, the Governor in Council considers it very advisable that the head of the school should reside on the school premises. Work is practically going on in the school all day long from 7 A.M. to 5 P.M. and there is much valuable property which requires

to

No 54 dated 14-4-08
615

6 P 11

to be watched. ~~The Governor in Council considers~~ ^{it} is also desirable that the garden and precincts of the school should be under the constant supervision of the Superintendent and be neatly kept up. In these circumstances I am to request that the Govt. of India will be pleased to permit the Superintendent of the School of Arts, Madras, to reside on the school premises, free of rent from the date of his occupation in February 1908.

I have etc.

207
13.6.08

1457
16605

DESPATCHED with
30 JUN 1908 incl.

9A.

32. S copies destroyed.

16/7/8

The Principal in fact should regard the school as his home for the time being.