


[E.]
600-80-8-08.

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 846

DATED 19-12-07



V. C.
Press
Please find
G.O. notes & the
prop. & reply
Q
H. Wood

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the Examination Rules

Sanctioning the permanent exemption of Jiraji
Kasayan Takali from passing the Upper Secondary
examination for employment in the Bellary District.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Recd.


Regd.

} 1908

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 846, 19th December 1907.



Exemption from the Examination rules.

Sanctioning the permanent exemption of Jivaji Narayan Jakati from passing the Upper Secondary examination for employment in the Bellary district.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 4615/07,
dated 4th November 1907.

The Hon'ble Mr. C. J. WEIR.

Read—the following paper :—

Letter—from R. A. GRAHAM, Esq., Collector of Bellary.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Board
of Revenue, Land Revenue).
Dated—the 18th October 1907.
No.—Ref. on C.R. 3260.

Many old registers and accounts in my office being in the Mahratti language, it is necessary that there should be some clerks in my office who know the language. There has only been one for some time and he has now been promoted as Revenue Inspector. I have received an application from one Jivaji Narayan Jakati, a clerk of the District Court of Belgaum, who has passed the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University and the School Final examination. I have the honour to request the orders of Government as to whether these examinations may not be taken as equivalent to the Upper Secondary examination of this Presidency. If they cannot be so regarded, I request that, as a special case, the candidate selected may be exempted from passing the Upper Secondary examination.

Reference—No. 4615/07, dated 4th November 1907.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

A. R. LOFTUS-TOTTENHAM,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

II

Official Memorandum No. 2702-1, Educational, dated 20th November 1907.

With reference to his letter Ref. on C. No. 3260, dated 18th October 1907, the Collector of Bellary is requested to specify the maximum limit of salary in respect of which he proposes that Jivaji Narayan Jakati should be exempted from the Upper Secondary examination.

(By order.)

C. A. SOUTER,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Bellary.

III

Letter—from R. A. GRAHAM, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 26th November 1907.
No.—Ref. on C. 3260.

In reply to Government Memorandum No. 2702-1, dated 20th November, I have the honour to state that I do not know the degree of education needed for the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University; but I understand that a person who has passed it is regarded as a fully qualified person in the Bombay Presidency and has prospects of rising to the highest offices there. I did not propose to put any limit to the exemption of Jivaji Narayan Jakati, and doubt if he is likely to take a post here if any limit is imposed.

2. I have had applications from one or two others with similar qualifications and contemplated the possibility of taking more than one if the orders of Government permitted it.

Order—No. 846, Educational, dated 19th December 1907.

Jivaji Narayan Jakati will be permanently exempted from passing the Upper Secondary examination for employment in the Bellary district without limit of salary, subject to his qualifying himself by special tests for the posts for which a pass in those tests is required.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Bellary.
" Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 846, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
19TH DECEMBER 1907.

[SUBJECT.—Exemption from the Upper Secondary Examination of Jivaji Narayan Jakati who has passed the Bombay Matriculation examination and the school Final examination.]

From the Collector of Bellary, dated 18th October 1907, No. 3260.

The Collector of Bellary (Mr. Graham) states that as many old registers and accounts in his office are in the Mahratti language, it is necessary that there should be some clerks knowing that language and that for a vacancy caused by the promotion of one such clerk as Revenue Inspector, an application has been received from one Jivaji Narayan Jakati, a clerk of the District Court of Belgaum, who has passed the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University and the School Final examination. Mr. Graham requests the orders of Government as to whether these

Not the Matriculation.

H. D. TAYLOR.

examinations may not be taken as equivalent to the Madras Upper Secondary examination. If not, he recommends that, as a special case, the candidate may be exempted from passing the Upper Secondary examination. The Board of Revenue submits the Collector's letter for the orders of Government.

2. The entrance (Matriculation) examination of the Bombay University is not recognised as equivalent to either the Madras Upper Secondary examination or the Matriculation examination—*vide* note (1) to article 1 of the Public Service Notification. The candidate has therefore to be specially exempted from the examination rules. The Collector does not, however, state that qualified men are not available, nor does he specify the salary of the post to which it is proposed to appoint the candidate. Perhaps he may be asked to resubmit the application in the form prescribed in the Educational circular No. 603, dated 13th December 1906.

C.S.—13-11-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—14-11-07.

The case is a very special one and perhaps the exemption may be granted.

C. A. SOUTER—14-11-07.

The Collector seems to ask for general exemption and specifies no limit of salary. He may be asked what limit he suggests.

Meanwhile obtain information as to the School Final examination of Bombay. That of all Allahabad is accepted. Note (1) to rule 1 does not refer to Bombay at all.

H. D. TAYLOR—15-11-07.

A draft memorandum is submitted. After issue, the point at A above will be dealt with.

C.S.—16-11-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—18-11-07.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—19-11-07.

[Memorandum No. 2702-1, dated 20th November 1907.]

From the Collector of Bellary, dated 26th November 1907, No. 3260.

Please see the Secretary's note *supra*.

Particulars of the School Final examination of the Bombay University were once before called for from the Registrar of that University; and his letter is printed on pages 2 and 3 of G.O., No. 9, Educational, dated 11th January 1901. It will be observed from this that the chief object of the examination is "to train boys for the various functions in civil life in which high learning is not so much required as general intelligence and power of adaptation". The subjects for the examination are printed on page 2 of the notes connected with the above Government order.

2. The Collector of Bellary states in reply to the reference made to him, that he understands that a person who has passed the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University is regarded as a fully qualified person in the Bombay Presidency and has prospects of rising to the highest offices there, that he (the Collector) does not propose to put any limit to the exemption of the applicant and that he doubts if he (the applicant) is likely to take up a post if any limit is imposed. He further states that he has had applications from one or two others with similar qualifications and that he had contemplated the possibility of taking more than one if the order of Government permitted it.

3. As regards the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University, attention is invited to the letter from the Director of Public Instruction No. 9901, dated 9th September 1896 disposed of in G.O., No. 603, Educational, dated 29th September 1896. The then Director of Public Instruction (Mr. Duncan) stated with reference to a case similar to the present one that the standard of the Matriculation examination of the Madras University seemed to be a little higher and more searching than that of the similar examination of the Bombay University and that from a comparison of the subjects of the two examinations it would seem that the standard aimed at in Bombay in English and History and Geography (the second language being left out of account) was lower than that aimed at in Madras. He could not therefore recommend that an order be passed recognizing the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University as equivalent to the Madras Matriculation examination. He, however, recommended that each case might be decided on its merits.

4. It is for consideration whether in the circumstances set forth by the Collector, the candidate selected by him may be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary examination of this Presidency. He has passed both the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University and the School Final examination of Bombay. The question of the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University has already been considered—*vide* G.O., No. 603, Educational, dated 29th September 1896. The case of the School Final examination does not appear to have been considered. For orders whether the Director of Public Instruction may be consulted as to whether the School Final examination of Bombay may be accepted as equivalent to the Madras Upper Secondary examination.

C.S.—11-12-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AITYANGAR—11-12-07.

Yes. *Vide* Secretary's note above.

C. A. SOUTER—12-12-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-12-07.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

[Un-official No. 167, dated 16th December 1907.]

If the case is to be governed by rules it would be necessary to find out when the candidate passed these examinations, the scope and severity of which have undergone several changes in recent years. Bombay School Final rules were published in the *Bombay Government Gazette*, 27th April 1905, and another set in that of 25th July 1907.

In this case a Mahratti knowing man is wanted and few such will be found who have passed a Madras examination. The character the man bore in the Belgaum office ought to carry more weight than any examination success.

If the Bombay Government accept their own School Final I should certainly advocate Madras accepting it for the few Bombay men they want.

A. G. BOURNE—15-12-07.

Submitted to the Secretary.

K. RANGASWAMI AITYANGAR—17-12-07.

C. A. SOUTER—17-12-07.

The questions involved in this file are—

(1) The specific exemption of Jivaji Narayan Jakati for employment in the Bellary Collectorate and the limit of pay in respect of which he may be exempted.

(2) The general question of the acceptance of the Bombay Matriculation and Bombay School Final examination for employment in posts in this Presidency.

As to (1) Jivaji Narayan Jakati may be exempted permanently for employment in the Bellary district without limit of salary, subject to qualifying by special tests for all posts for which a pass in those tests is required.

Certainly.
G. S. F[ORRES]—18-12-07.

As to (2) it has already been decided not to accept the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University—but the School Final examination of Bombay may be accepted generally as qualifying for admission to the public service in the Ceded districts, where there is a scarcity of passed candidates. The hand-writing test need not be insisted on.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-12-07.

It is ridiculous to differentiate the Matriculation examination of Bombay from Madras. In any case the Matriculation examination has now been revised at all the Universities.

As to the School Final, please resubmit with some further information about it.
A | Meantime issue orders about Jivaji Narayan Jakati.

G. S. F[ORRES]—18-12-07.

Draft please as at A.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-12-07.

A draft is submitted for approval.

C.S.—19-12-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AITYANGAR—19-12-07.

Issue and note further.

C. A. SOUTER—19-12-07.

[G.O., No. 846, Educational, dated 19th December 1907.]

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[Cm 2702] <i>the Coll. of Ballany</i>	3260	18-10-07	1-2
[Cm 2855] <i>do do</i>	3260	26-11-07	4

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed — for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
9	11-1-01			
586-69	7-11-00			
118	24-2-98			
246	28-4-97			
602	29-9-96			
162	23. 3-96			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Educational REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Post Box No. 79,
Madras, S.E.

SL. No. 2702

CURRENT No.

Received 190 , Registered 9-11-1907.

Subject.

Examination rules

Submitting, for the orders of Government, letter from the Collector of Bellary recommending the exemption from ^{the} Upper Secondary Examination of one Jiveaji Narayan Jakati who has passed the Bombay Matriculation Examination.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Reference No. 4615/07

Spare copies

Station Madras , Dated the 4th November 1907

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue

Mr. C. J. Weir
(Commissioner of Land Revenue)

Read the following :-

Letter - from R.A.Graham Esquire, Collector of Bellary.

To - the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department, through the Board Land Revenue.

Dated - the 18th October 1907.

No.- Ref. on C.R.3260.

Many old registers and accounts in my office being in the Mahretti language, it is necessary that there should be some clerks in my office who know the language. There has only been

one for some time and he has now been promoted as Revenue Inspector. I have received an application from one Jivaji Narayan Jakati, a clerk of the District Court of Belgaum, who has passed the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University and the School Final Examination. I have the honour to request the orders of Government as to whether these examinations may not be taken as equivalent to the Upper Secondary Examination of this presidency. If they cannot be so regarded, I request that, as a special case, the candidate selected may be exempted from passing the Upper Secondary Examination.

Reference thereon, No. 4615/07, dated 4th November 1907.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

A. Tottenham
6. 11. 07.

Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

H.L.
6-11-07



RECEIVED
6/11/07



2/ 8 24
Educational Department

[Cm 2702]

O.M. No. 2702-1 d/ 20/11/07

Off return to CS.
after despatch. R.D.
19/11/07

With reference to his letter dep. on S.P. 326 dated 15th October 1907, the Collector of Bellary is requested to specify the maximum ^{built up} salary in respect of ~~which he~~ ^{for which it is proposed} that ~~to exempt~~ Jivaji Narayan Jakati should be exempted from the Upper Secondary Examination.

Q
16-11-07
R.D.
16-11-07

Chd
16. 11. 07.

To The Collector of Bellary. Sent 21/11/07

Memo 2702-1

20/11/07

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

X Cuo. 2858 9

Received 190 , Registered 29. 11 1907



Subject.

Replying that no limit to the exemption of Jeevaji Narayan Jakhati of Bombay can be imposed lest he should decline any post here having prospects of rising to highest posts in the Presidency.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spate copies

Ref. C. No. 3260 of 1907

Station BELLARY, Dated the 26th November 1907

From (Name) R. A. Graham Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) COLLECTOR.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department,
MADRAS.

Sir,

In reply to Government Memo No. 2702-1 dated 20th November, I have the honour to state that I do not know the degree of education needed for the Matriculation Examination of the Bombay University; but I understand that a person who has passed it is regarded as a fully qualified person in the Bombay Presidency and has prospects of rising to the highest Offices there. I did not propose to put any limit to the exemption of Jeevaji Narayan Jakati, and doubt if he is likely to take a post here if any limit is imposed.

2. I have had applications from one or two others with similar qualifications and contemplated the

page 3 of Ct file.

200
XO

61

possibility of taking more than one if the orders of Government permitted it.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. F. L. Guppy 25/11/17
For Collector.

JR
24/11

NOTES.

Recd

[S.E.]
2,100-27-6-10.

no 846 Col
19-12-07

10
Educational DEPARTMENT.
1P

C. No. 2702 From the Collr of Bellary No. ²²⁶⁰~~444~~, dated ¹⁸~~10~~ 1907.

SUBJECT

^{Upper Sec}
Exemption from the ~~Exam~~ ^{Examination} of Jiraji Narayan
Jakati who has passed the Bombay matriculation exam

The Collector of Bellary (Mr Graham) ^{states} that ^{as} many old registers and accounts in his office are in the Marathi language, it is necessary that there should be some clerks knowing that language and that for a vacancy caused by the promotion of one such clerk as Revenue Inspector, an application has been received from one Jiraji Narayan Jakati, a clerk of the St. Court of Belgaum who has passed the matriculation examination of the Bombay University and the School final examination. ^{Mr Graham} ~~the Collector~~ requests the orders of Government as to whether these examinations may not be taken as equivalent to the Madras Upper Secondary Examination. If not, he recommends that, as a special case, the candidate may be exempted from passing ^{the Upper Secondary} ~~that~~ examination. The Board of Revenue submits the Collector's letter for the orders of Government.

Not to ^{be} ~~be~~ ^{replied}

2. The Entrance (Matriculation) Examination of the Bombay University is not ~~recognised~~ ^{recognised} as equivalent to either the Madras

Recd

2

11 2P

XXIX

Upper Secondary Examination of the Matriculation Examination - Vide note (1) to article 1 of the Public Service Notification. The Candidate has therefore to be specially exempted from the Examination rules. The Collector does not, however, state that qualified men are not available, not does he specify the salary of the post to which it is proposed to appoint the candidate. Perhaps he may be asked to resubmit the application in the form prescribed in the Educational Circular No 603 dated 13th December 1906.

XXX

13-11-07
14-11-07

Rec.

The case is a very special one & perhaps the exemption may be granted.

Cal

14.11.7.

% Coll. seems to ask for usual exemption & specifies salary of salary. He says that he will be supplied.

Headmaster's signature

at the School District of Bombay. That of Allahabad is accepted. But at Pune does not refer to Bombay at all.

A

107
15/11/07

Recd

CS. Taketus up
balance
RD
19-11-07

3

12

3P

A draft memorandum is submitted. After issue, the point at A on the previous page will be dealt with.

CS
16-11-07
RD
18-11-07

iscc
Cal
19-11-07

[Memo No. 2702-1 dated 20-11-07]

Cm: 2858, From the Colln. of Bellary, Dated 26 Nov 1907, No 3266.

Please see the Secretary's note on page 2 ^{supra}.
Particulars of the School ^{before} Final Examination of the Bombay University were once called for from the Registrar of that University; and his letter is printed on pages 2 and 3 of G.O. No. 9 Educational, dated 11 January 1901. It will be observed from this that the chief object of the examination ^{is} ~~was~~ "to train boys for the various functions in civil life in which high learning is not so much required as general intelligence and power of adaptation". The subjects for the examination are printed on page 2 of the notes connected with the above G.O.
2. The Collector of Bellary states in reply to the reference made to him, that he understands that a person who has passed the Matriculation examination of the Bombay University is regarded as a fully qualified person in the Bombay Presidency and has prospects of rising to the highest offices there, that he ^(the Collector) does not

00

00

Page 4 of 6 file
+ Page 3 - ibid -

propose to put any limit to the exemption of the applicant and that he doubts if he (the applicant) is likely to take up a post if any limit is imposed. He further states that he has had applications from one or two others with similar qualifications and that he had contemplated the possibility of taking more than one if the order of Government permitted it.

3. As regards the Matriculation Examination of the Bombay University, attention is invited to the letter from the Director of Public Instruction N^o 9901 dated 9th Sept. 1896 disposed of in G.O. N^o 603 Educational, dated 29th September 1896. He then S.P.D.

XVIII

(with reference to a case similar to the present one) ~~the Director~~ (Mr. Duncan). Stated that the standard of the Matriculation Examination of the Madras University seemed to be a little higher and more searching than that of the ^{similar} Bombay Examination ^{of the Bombay University}, and that from a comparison of the subjects of the two examinations it would ~~be~~ seem that the standard aimed at in Bombay in English and History & Geography (the second language being left out of account) was lower ^{than} that aimed at in Madras. He would not therefore recommend that

Rev
R. 02

5

14 5P

an order be passed recognizing the matriculation examination of the Bombay University as equivalent to the Madras Matriculation examination. He, however, recommended that each case might be decided on its merits.

Page 4 of the Cl file

Old paper had been turned
from the General Records
C2

4. It is for consideration whether in the circumstances set forth by the Collector, the candidate selected by him may be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary Examination of the Presidency ~~the matriculation examination of the Madras University.~~

C2
11/12/07

He has passed both the Matriculation of the Bombay University examination, and the School Final Examⁿ of Bombay. The question of the matriculation examination of the Bombay University has already been considered - vide G.O. No. 603 Est. dated 29th September 1896. The Case of the School Final Examination does not appear to have been considered for orders whether the S.P.S. may be consulted as to whether the School Final Examination of Bombay may be accepted as equivalent to the Madras Upper Secondary Examination.

It is: vide Sec's note above.

AD

11-12-07

2858/1 Solus

12.12.07

12/12/07

XVII

14-12-07

Edworo.

Register please

Rev.

1
to 7P

The questions it involves in this file are

- (1) The specific examination of Secoy Navar, Dabali, for employment in the Bellary Collectorate & the limit of pay ~~is~~ is asked & what he may be accepted.
- (2) The general question of the acceptance of the Bellary Matriculation & Bombay School Trial exam. for employment in both at this Presidency.

As to (1) D. N. Dabali has been recommended for employment in the Bellary District within limit of salary, subject to qualifying by special Test for all posts for which a pass in those tests is required.

Certainly
S. F.
18

As to (2) it has already been decided not to accept the Matric. Exam. of the Bombay University - - All the School Trial Exam. of Bombay may be accepted specially for a qualifying for service in the Public Service in the Ceded Districts, where there is a scarcity of prepared candidates. The Local writing Test need not be insisted on.

RVII
No. 21
29.9.86.



Percolated 14th 51
Rev. No. 3.

STN

18. 12. 87

207

8/17/07

It is ridiculous to differentiate the Matric. Examⁿ of Bombay from Madras. In any case the Matric. Examⁿ has now been revised at all the Universities.

As to the School Final please resubmit with some further info. about it.

Meantime issue orders A/ about JN Jaggi

R. T. D. 10/7

(Encl)

Sup please see it

15/12/07

A draft is submitted for approval.

19.12.07 AGD

Issue order further.

19.12.07

CS
The letter
up for 10/12/07

11 11 11 11 ***** 11 ***** 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2702, From the Coler. of B. Kary, No. 3260, dated 18-10-1907

" " 2858 , " " " " 26-11-1907

[illegible]

ORDER
Mis.

No. 846, dated 19-12- 1907

Optoday return
time alone.

Jivaji Narayan Jagati will be permanently exempted from passing the Elphet Secondary examination for employment in the Bellary District without limit of salary subject to his qualifying himself by special tests for the posts for which a pass in those tests is required.

19.12.07

Chs
19.127

To The Collr. of Bellary - Dup 19 1/2
under the Board of Revenue.
Thru the Post Dec 11

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.