

Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 781

DATED 22/11-07.

order
pages: 33

C

(34)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from Examination Rules

Sanctioning the permanent exemption of
Certain Clerks in the amalgamated Local
Fund accounts and Audit Branches
of Certain Collectors' offices ~~from~~
from the Upper Secondary Examination

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CN ^o 2157	1909		12-12				
" 1792	1911						

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

K.O. not prepared
8/12/15
15/7/16

Ed No. 1616

Government of Madras.

3

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

7-7-

1907.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1434, 8th June 1907.

Local Fund Audit Establishment

Sanctioning the grant of personal allowances to certain clerks and attenders in the — in the districts of Gódvári, Anantapur, Bellary, Trichinopoly, the Nilgiris, South Canara and Malabar.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

Letter—from L. E. BUCKLEY, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of the Nilgiris.

To—the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Dated—Ootacamund, the 5th June 1906.

No.—R.O.C. 52/D.B. of 1905.

With reference to G.O., No. 76, dated 2nd January 1906, sanctioning the scheme for the reorganization and amalgamation of the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments in Collectors' offices with effect from 1st April 1906, I have the honour to submit for the favourable consideration of Government that the pay of the Local Audit clerk has been reduced from Rs. 70 to Rs. 50. The pay of the Local Audit clerk was fixed at Rs. 60 in G.O., No. 182 of 19th May 1885, and a special allowance of Rs. 10 for doing special fund work was sanctioned in G.O., No. 1289 L., dated 31st May 1892. The consolidation of this allowance with the substantive pay Rs. 60 was sanctioned in G.O., Mis. No. 2446 L., dated 1st November 1893. In sanctioning this scale of pay the case of the Nilgiris was treated as special.

2. The present Local Fund Audit clerk (or Auditor as he is to be called) has completed 22½ years' service. He was appointed to his present post about two years ago. The reduction of pay will seriously affect his pension. I therefore ask that in his case he may be allowed under article 158, Civil Service Regulations, to retain his old pay.

3. The case of the Accountant is different. He has about 8 years' service. As he has not passed the Revenue Lower Test nor been trained as a Revenue Inspector, he cannot hold a post carrying more than Rs. 35 without special exemption. Even if he is exempted, he has no immediate prospect of advancement and the introduction of the new scale cannot well be delayed till he vacates office. He is entitled to some consideration in view of the fact that he has to begin his service under different conditions at 31 years of age, and I, therefore, recommend that he may be exempted from the age bar and from the provisions of Board's Standing Order No. 140 (2), volume I, chapter XII, and allowed to hold the office of Accountant on Rs. 40 + 10, on the understanding that he is ineligible to hold any other office carrying over Rupees 35 per mensem until he passes Revenue Lower and does one year's service as Revenue Inspector.

4. The case of the attender is a hard one. His pay is reduced from Rs. 14 to Rs. 7 + 3. He has 8½ years' service. But I do not see my way to make any recommendation on his behalf.

II

Endorsement No. 1193 D/06-1, Revenue, dated 15th June 1906.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for remarks.

(By Order.)

F. B. EVANS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with Collector's letter to be returned.

III

Petition—from C. F. CHRISTIAN, Local Audit Attender, the Nilgiri Collector's office.

To—the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Deputy Collector and the Collector of the Nilgiris).

Dated—Ootacamund, the 9th June 1906.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,—That your honour's humble petitioner is an attender in the Local Audit department of the Nilgiri Collector's office for the past nine years on a salary of Rs. 14 per mensem.

2. That though he is designated an attender, he is practically doing the duties of a clerk since his entertainment in the post, such as registering, fair-copying, despatching, preparing returns, etc., referencing and keeping records and assisting in the preparation, compilation and auditing of accounts of the Local Audit department.

3. That the pay of Rs. 14, which the petitioner was hitherto getting, was specially fixed and sanctioned by Government owing to the costliness of living on the hills and the special nature of work expected of an attender-clerk.

4. That on the reorganization of the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments in the Collector's office which came into force from 1st April 1906, the Government have reduced the petitioner's pay of Rs. 14 to Rs. 10 (7 + 3), without considering the special circumstances which led to the pay of Rs. 14 being fixed and the petitioner at present drawing only a pay of Rs. 7 + 3 = 10 from 1st April 1906 according to the new scale.

5. That similar reduction made in the case of menials attached to the Revenue Department of the Nilgiri Collector's office, at the time of granting local allowances, was ordered by Government * to be held in abeyance till the present holders of the office vacated them and new men were brought on.

* G.O., No. 459, dated 18th August 1898, paragraph 5.

6. That under these circumstances, the petitioner most humbly begs to request that Government will be graciously pleased to consider the abovementioned circumstances, and to allow the petitioner to continue to draw the pay of Rs. 14 (from 1st April 1906) as per article 158 of the Civil Service Regulations, until the Collector is in a position to grant the petitioner any other post which is equivalent to the pay of Rs. 14 in any other department of the Nilgiri Collector's office in consideration of his nine years' service under Government.

7. That, the petitioner further begs to state that he submitted a petition to the Collector on this subject on the 12th April 1906.

8. That, in conclusion, the petitioner begs to submit that a sudden reduction of Rs. 4 out of Rs. 14—a considerably large proportion—is a real hardship to a poor subordinate like the petitioner, who has to contribute largely towards the maintenance of a large family on these costly hills, and petitioner, therefore, prays once more that his original pay of Rs. 14 may be restored to him.

For which act of charity the petitioner shall ever feel grateful.

No. , dated 9th June 1906.

Submitted for favourable consideration. The appointment was sanctioned in G.O., Mis. No. 2679 L., of 28th November 1893, upon the representation of the President of the District Board in his letter No. 1174, dated the 8th November 1893.

W. C. MACMURRAY,
Deputy Collector.

R.C. No. 52 D.B. of 1905, dated 14th June 1906.

Submitted.

2. Attention is solicited to paragraph 4 of my letter No. 52/05-D.B., dated 5th June 1906, in which I remarked that the case is a hard one but that I have no recommendation to make. I do not see how the reorganization can well be delayed till the present incumbent earns a pension 21 years hence.

3. His claims may be considered when there is a vacancy among attenders or muchis.

L. E. BUCKLEY,
Collector.

IV

Endorsement No. 1251 D/06—1, Revenue, dated 26th June 1906.

Referred to the Board of Revenue in continuation of endorsement No. 1193-D./06—1, dated 15th June 1906.

(By Order.)

F. B. EVANS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with enclosure.

V

Official Memorandum No. 1686 D/06—1, Revenue, dated 13th August 1906.

The Board of Revenue is requested to expedite the submission of the petition from P. Sundaram Aiyar in respect of his appointment as Local Fund Audit Head Clerk of the office of the Collector of Madura.

2. A duplicate of the above petition was received in this office on 13th March 1906.

(By Order.)

F. B. EVANS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

VI

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 3-G, dated 19th March 1907.

The Hon'ble Mr. J. N. ATKINSON.

The Hon'ble Mr. C. J. WEIR.

In connection with the introduction of the scheme for the amalgamation of the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments in Collectors' offices sanctioned in G.O., No. 76, Revenue, dated 22nd January 1906, the Collectors of certain districts

Rs.
reco

apply for the grant of the following concessions to deserving men of the old Local Fund Account establishments, whom they propose to retain in the new appointments:—

- (1) Exemption from the 25 years' rule.
- (2) Exemption from examination rules.
- (3) Exemption from the rule requiring training as Revenue Inspector for a year before promotion to posts carrying more than Rs. 35 per mensem.
- (4) Grant of personal allowances under articles 426 and 153, Civil Service Regulations.

2. The proposals made by the Collectors are tabulated in the accompanying statement. The Collectors represent that the unqualified men recommended by them have good knowledge of Local Fund accounts and that their retention is not only very desirable on public grounds but virtually indispensable especially in view of the changes in the Account and Audit procedure.

3. The Board considers that the circumstances of the case are peculiar and that, in order to avoid any dislocation of business from the change of system and hardship to individuals, the relaxation of the rules is desirable in respect of selected clerks who have done good work in the Local Fund service.

If the Government approve of the proposal and grant exemption from educational tests, the Board will sanction the necessary exemption from the operation of the 25 years' rule and from the rule requiring a year's service as Revenue Inspector, in deserving cases. The exemption from age limit will of course be subject to the production of the usual health certificate. The Board's recommendations in regard to the exemption from the educational tests are noted in the accompanying statement.

4. The Collectors recommend the grant of personal allowances in certain cases. G.O., No. 629, Revenue, dated 17th May 1906. The Board observes that in their orders specified in the margin Government have sanctioned such allowances to the Local Audit Head Clerks in Nellore and Chingleput, and to the Local Fund Accountant in the latter district. The Board recommends that the concession may be extended to the clerks similarly circumstanced in other districts, and that they may be granted allowances as indicated in the accompanying statement. The total cost amounts to Rs. 73-8-0 per mensem or Rs. 882 per annum.

5. The Collector of Madura has apparently retained Vengu Nayudu, the old Local Fund Head Accountant as Head Accountant in the new scheme, but has made no recommendation in his favour as Mr. Thornton intended to turn him out and appoint in his stead P. Sundaram Aiyar who requires to be exempted from the rule requiring Revenue Inspector's training. The Collector has not adduced sufficient reason to justify the removal of Vengu Nayudu who has served for over 23 years in the Local Fund Department. He may have since had opportunities of judging of Vengu Nayudu's competency to hold the new appointment. He will now be requested to make a definite recommendation and to state whether he wishes to grant him any exemption.

6. The Collector of Madura has forwarded a copy of a petition from P. Sundaram Aiyar praying for exemption from the Hand-writing Test. The petitioner has, however, since passed the test and the Collector states that there is no longer any necessity to address Government to exempt him from that test. But as the petition is addressed to Government and refers also to his claim to the Head Clerk's post on Rs. 70 it is submitted to Government with reference to Government Memorandum No. 1686 D/06, dated 13th August 1906. The appointment is in the discretion of the Collector and the Board has no recommendation to make on the petitioner's behalf.

F. B. EVANS,
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, through the Accountant-General with a statement and three petitions returned.

ENCLO

District.	Name of officer.	Designation and pay of the appointment held before the amalgamation.	Designation and pay of the appointment provided at the amalgamation.	Total service.	Presentage.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ganjam	1. K. Gopalasawmi Pantulu.	Assistant Local Fund Accountant on Rupees 20-1-25 actually drawing Rs. 21.	A clerk in the audit branch on Rs. 20	13 years in the Local Fund Department.	31 years
Vizagapatam	2. N. Ramadas.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rupees 30-1-40 actually drawing Rs. 31.	No appointment was provided in the arrangements made after the amalgamation under the impression that Government will not exempt unpassed men.	9 years and 6 months in the Local Fund branch and 3 years and 7 months in estate branch.	33 years
	3. C. Vaidyanathan.	Local Fund Second Accountant on Rupees 17½-1-22½ actually drawing Rs. 20.	Do.	5 years and 9 months in the Local Fund Branch and 7 years and 4 months in estate branch.	35 years
	4. B. Kameswara Rao.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rs. 60.	Head Accountant on Rs. 50.	14 years 8 months	36 years
Gódvári	5. C. Srinivasa Rao, Nayudu.	Local Fund Second Accountant on Rs. 30-40 actually drawing Rs. 31-10-8.	First clerk on Rs. 30	10 years 8 months	43 years
	6. Y. Subbarayudu.	Attender on Rs. 10	Attender on Rs. 8	16 years 8 months	36 years
	7. L. Sriramulu.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rs. 50-2-60.	Not specified, but he is to be kept as Head Accountant on Rs. 50 apparently.	About 18 years	About 39 years.
Kistna	8. K. Rajagopalam Nayudu.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 20.	Not specified	About 18 years, of which 6 years spent in Local Fund Accounts branch.	33 years
	9. M. Subba Rao.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15 sub. <i>pro tem</i> .	Do.	About 18 months	28 years
	10. M. Kotilingam.	Attender on Rs. 8	Attender	Not specified	40 years

SURE.

Educational qualifications.	Concessions recommended by Collectors.				Board's recommendation.
	(a) Exemption from 25 years' rule	(b) Exemption from examination rules.	(c) Exemption from Revenue Inspector's training.	(d) Grant of personal allowance.	
7	8	9	10	11	12
Unpassed man, but has a fair knowledge of English.	Exemption recommended to enable him to hold appointments on a salary not exceeding Rs. 25 which he would have drawn in the usual course under the old system.	Exemption recommended so far as appointments not exceeding Rs. 25 are concerned.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying salaries up to Rs. 25 only. The appointment of Local Fund Accountant in the district having been pensionable before the amalgamation, the exemption from 25 years' rule is unnecessary.
Matriculation without Hand-writing Test but good and experienced Accountant.	Exemption recommended so far as appointments on a salary not exceeding Rs. 30 are concerned.	Exemption recommended so far as appointments on a salary not exceeding Rs. 30 are concerned.		Rs. 10 recommended provided that the maximum salary of Rs. 30 per mensem is not exceeded.	Exemption from examination rules for appointments up to a salary of Rs. 30 only is recommended as the Collector proposes to provide for these men. The grant of Ramadas is unnecessary as he was thrown out from a non-pensionable appointment. The Board will grant the necessary exemption from 25 years' rule on receipt of the orders of Government.
Unpassed man, but good and experienced Accountant.	Do.	Do.			
F.A., Hand-writing Test, Revenue Lower, Translation Lower, Précis Test, Account Test Lower.				Rs. 10 recommended. He was drawing Rs. 60 minus pensionary contribution of Rs. 6 from 10th October 1898 to 31st December 1904 and Rs. 60 in full from 1st January 1905 to 31st March 1906.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 10 is recommended.
Matriculation and Hand-writing Test.				Rs. 5 recommended. He was drawing Rs. 31-10-8 from 1st September 1905 to 31st March 1-06.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 2 is recommended.
....				Rs. 2 recommended. He was drawing Rs. 10 from 1st July 1894.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 2 is recommended.
Middle School examination, first class, Matriculation, Précis Test, Account Test Lower, Revenue Test Lower, Translation Test Lower.	Exemption from age limit is recommended as his services are necessary in the combined section.		Exemption recommended in this case.		The Board will grant the exemptions from the 25 years' rule and the rule requiring Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.
Unpassed man	His exemption recommended as his services are necessary in the combined section.	His exemption recommended as his services are necessary in the combined section.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary up to the limit of Rs. 30 only. The Board will grant exemption from the 25 years' rule.
Middle School examination.	Exemption recommended in order to enable him to hold appointments up to Rs. 15.	Exemption recommended up to Rs. 15 as it is not easy to secure the services of passed men.			Exemption from examination rules for appointments on Rs. 15 only is recommended. The Board will grant exemption from 25 years' rule.
....	Temporary exemption from age limit recommended for the period from 1st April 1906 to 21st May 1906.				The exemption applied for will be granted by the Board.

District.	Name of officer.	Designation and pay of the appointment held before the amalgamation.	Designation and pay of the appointment provided at the amalgamation.	Total service.	Presentage.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Guntur	11. M. Brahmanandam.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rs. 50-2-60 actually drawing Rs. 52.	Auditor on Rs. 50	Nearly 14 years' service on the Provident Fund system.	33 years ..
	12. N. Ramachandra Rao.	Clerk on Rs. 30	Audit Head Accountant on Rs. 40.	Not specified ..	Not specified ..
Nellore	13. A. Subbarayalu Nayudu.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 25-1-35 actually drawing Rs. 27.	Abkari clerk, Collector's office, Nellore.	13 years' pensionable Local Fund service.	32 years ..
	14. M. T. V. Duraisawmi Aiyangar.	Audit Head Clerk on Rs. 60.	Auditor on Rs. 50 and English Head Accountant acting with effect from 26th April 1906.	Not specified ..	Not specified ..
Cuddapah	15. T. Guruswami Mudaliar.	Local Fund Accountant.	Audit clerk	16 years ..	Do. ..
	16. K. Narayana Rao.	Do.	Do.	16 years ..	Do. ..
	17. C. Vijayaragavelu Nayudu.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 30-2-50 actually drawing Rs. 40.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rs. 40.	7 years ..	Do. ..

Educational qualifications.	Concessions recommended by Collectors.				Board's recommendation
	(a) Exemption from 25 years' rule.	(b) Exemption from examination rules.	(c) Exemption from Revenue Inspector's training.	(d) Grant of personal allowance.	
7	8	9	10	11	12
Matriculation, Hand-writing Test, Account Lower, Translation Lower.	Exemption from age limit is recommended as this is the first appointment in the Provincial line.		Exemption from Revenue Inspector's course is recommended.		The Board will grant the necessary exemption from the 25 years' rule and the exemption from the Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.
B.A. ..			Exemption from Revenue Inspector's course recommended so long as he holds the appointment of Audit Head Accountant. The Collector states that he will be given Revenue Inspector's training before he is further promoted.		The Board will grant exemption from Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.
Middle School, first class.		Exemption from examination rules recommended if it is considered necessary with reference to G.O. No. 468 R., dated 12th March 1895.	Exemption from Revenue Inspector's course is recommended so that his future promotions may not be barred in respect of appointments for which special tests are not required.		Exemption from examination rules is recommended so far as the appointments up to Rs. 30 are concerned. The question of exemption from Revenue Inspector's training does not arise in this case.
Not specified ..				A personal allowance of Rs. 10 from 26th April 1906 in addition to acting allowances recommended.	In G.O., Mis. No. 629, dated 17th May 1906, Government sanctioned the grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 10 per mensem to this clerk until he could be provided with an appointment carrying a salary of Rs. 60. As he was appointed as acting English Head Accountant on Rs. 85 the grant of the personal allowance in addition to the acting allowance of Rs. 17 is inadmissible under article 61, Civil Service Regulations, and the Board does not recommend the Collector's proposal.
....		Exemption from examination rules recommended if such exemption is considered necessary.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary up to the limit of Rs. 30.
....		Do.			
Matriculation, Hand-writing Test, Précis-writing Test, Translation Lower.			Exemption from Revenue Inspector's course is recommended if the exemption is not covered by the Government Order sanctioning the scheme for the amalgamation of Local Fund Account and Audit Branches.		The Board will sanction the exemption from Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.

District.	Name of officer.	Designation and pay of the appointment held before the amalgamation.	Designation and pay of the appointment provided at the amalgamation.	Total service.	Present age.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Anantapur	18. P. Ramaswami Aiyangar.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 20-1-25 actually drawing Rs. 25.	Local Audit clerk on Rs. 20.	17 years	37 years ..
	19. K. Garudachari.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15-1-20 actually drawing Rs. 16.	Local Audit clerk on Rs. 15.		42 years ..
	20. P. Nagabhushanam.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15.	Local Fund Audit clerk on Rs. 15.	8 years	40 years ..
Bellary	21. Not specified.	Local Audit Head clerk on Rs. 55.	Audit clerk on Rs. 50 ..	26 years	Not specified ..
Kurnool	22. S. Ramachandra Rao.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 22-1-32 actually drawing Rs. 29.	Clerk on Rs. 20 in the scheme.	22 years of pensionable Local Fund service.	37 years ..
	23. C. Madhava Rao.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15.	Clerk on Rs. 15	3 months and 24 days ..	23 years ..
Chingleput	24. M. Ramachandra Aiyar.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 20-1-30 actually drawing Rs. 21.	Audit clerk on Rs. 20 ..	9 years and 9 months ..	33 years ..
North Arcot	25. N. Devanada Chari.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 36.	Head Accountant on Rs. 50.	17 years 11 months and 10 days.	47 years and 2 months.
South Arcot	26. T. Perumal Nayudu.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15-1-20 actually drawing Rs. 16.	Local audit clerk on Rs. 15.	11 years 2 months and 18 days.	34 years 9 months and 6 days.

Educational qualifications.	Concessions recommended by Collectors.				Board's recommendation.
	(a) Exemption from 25 years' rule.	(b) Exemption from examination rules.	(c) Exemption from Revenue Inspector's training.	(d) Grant of personal allowance.	
7	8	9	10	11	12
Middle School, second class.	Exemption recommended in order to enable him to hold the appointment of Rs. 20 in Government service.	Exemption recommended in order to enable him to hold the appointment of Rs. 20 in Government service.		Rs. 5 recommended.	Exemption from examination rules for appointments carrying a salary of Rs. 20 and a personal allowance of Rs. 5 are recommended. No exemption from the 25 years' rule is necessary.
....	Exemption recommended in order to enable him to hold an appointment of Rs. 15 in Government service.	Exemption recommended in order to enable him to hold an appointment of Rs. 15.		Re. 1 recommended.	Exemption from examination rules for appointments on Rs. 15 is recommended and the grant of a personal allowance of Re. 1 to K. Garudachari is also recommended. The appointment of Local Fund accountants in the district having been pensionable before the amalgamation, no exemption from 25 years' rule is necessary.
....	Do.	Do.			
Not specified				Rs. 5 recommended under article 158, Civil Service Regulations, till he vacates the office.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 5 is recommended.
Unpassed man	Exemption recommended if such exemption is considered necessary. He is already in the pensionable service of the Local Boards.	Exemption recommended if it is considered necessary.			Exemption from examination rules recommended for appointments carrying a salary up to the limit of Rs. 30. No exemption from the 25 years' rule is necessary.
....		Exemption recommended.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary up to the limit of Rs. 30.
Middle School, second class.		Exemption from examination rules recommended and permission to appear for (1) Revenue Lower, (2) Account Lower, (3) Translation Lower, and (4) Précis-writing.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary up to the limit of Rs. 30, but the special permission asked for to appear for the special tests is not recommended.
Matriculation, Hand-writing Test, Revenue Lower with Account Code, Précis-writing.			Exemption from Revenue Inspector's course recommended if the rule be applicable to his case. He is not likely to have any further change of appointment before retirement.		The exemption from Revenue Inspector's training will be granted so far as the present appointment is concerned.
....		Exemption from examination rules recommended in order to enable him to hold appointments carrying salary not exceeding Rs. 20. Government have already sanctioned his exemption for employment as third Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15-1-20- <i>vide</i> G.O., No. 585 L., dated 29th May 1905.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary of not more than Rs. 20.

District.	Name of officer.	Designation and pay of the appointment held before the amalgamation.	Designation and pay of the appointment provided at the amalgamation.	Total service.	Present age.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Tanjore ..	27. M. Sundareesam Pillai.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 40—50 actually drawing Rs. 40-8-0.	Audit clerk on Rs. 40 ..	25 years' service, of which 18 years is pensionable.	46 years ..
	28. Not specified.	Head Local Audit clerk on Rs. 55.	Auditor on Rs. 50 ..	Not specified ..	Not specified ..
Trichinopoly ..	29. B. A. Nagaswami Aiyar.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rs. 30—50 actually drawing Rs. 42.	Head Accountant on Rs. 40.	24 years—Local Fund service 11 years and service qualifying for pension from General Revenues 13 years.	51 years ..
	30. Not specified.	Accountant on Rs. 20—30 drawing Rs. 24.	Audit clerk on Rs. 20 ..	Do.	51 years ..
	31. Rajam Nayudu.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 25 to 30 drawing Rs. 28.	Clerk on Rs. 30 ..	19 years ..	41 years ..
	32. R. Subba Rao	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 20—25 drawing Rs. 21.	Clerk on Rs. 20 ..	21 years ..	45 years ..
Madura ..	33. S. Murthuza Khan.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15—20 drawing Rs. 16.	Clerk on Rs. 15 ..	18 years ..	42 years ..
	34. T. Venkata Rao.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15—20 actually drawing Rs. 16.	Clerk on Rs. 15 ..	27 years ..	52 years ..
	35. P. Sundaram Aiyar.	Clerk, District Board's office, on Rs. 30—1—40 actually drawing Rs. 38.	Head Accountant on Rs. 50 in the place of Vengu Nayudu, the old Local Fund Accountant, whom it is proposed to replace.	25 years ..	50 years ..
Tinnevely ..	36. M. S. Sundaram Aiyar.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rupees 35—2—45 actually drawing Rs. 39.	Local Fund Audit Head Accountant on Rs. 50.	19 years and 2 months of which the service from 1st January 1901 is pensionable.	45 years ..
Coimbatore ..	Nil ..				..

Educational qualifications.	Concessions recommended by Collectors.				Board's recommendation.
	(a) Exemption from 25 years' rule.	(b) Exemption from examination rules.	(c) Exemption from Revenue Inspector's training.	(d) Grant of personal allowance.	
7	8	9	10	11	12
F.A., Revenue Test Lower, Translation Lower, Précis Test.			The Collector forwards a petition from the clerk praying for exemption from the rule requiring Revenue Inspector's training, but the concession is not recommended.	The Collector forwards a petition from the clerk praying for the grant of personal allowance of As. 8, but the concession is not recommended.	The Board will grant the exemption from Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned. No personal allowance is recommended.
Not specified ..				Rs. 5 recommended for one year from 1st April 1906 to 31st March 1907. It will be cheaper to grant him a personal allowance than to pension him as the monthly cost to Government would then be Rupees 27-8-0 (his pension) + Rs. 50, the pay of the successor for one year.	The grant of the personal allowance of Rs. 5 is recommended.
General Test (Anglo-Vernacular).			Exemption recommended if it is necessary.	Rs. 2 recommended until he is otherwise provided for.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 2 is recommended. The Board will sanction the exemption from the rules requiring Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.
Do.				Rs. 4 recommended until he is otherwise provided for.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 4 is recommended. Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary of Rs. 30.
Middle School, second class.		Exemption from examination rules recommended.			14-15
....		Do.			15-17
Matriculation ..		Do.			Exemption from examination rules is recommended for appointments carrying a salary up to a limit of Rs. 30.
....		Do.			
Matriculation, Hand-writing Test (since passed Criminal Lower, Revenue Lower, Translation Lower, and Précis-writing).			Exemption recommended to enable him to hold the appointment of Head Accountant in the amalgamated scheme.		The Board will await the receipt of the further report called for.
Matriculation and Hand-writing Test.			Exemption recommended if the rule requiring a year's service as Revenue Inspector for promotion to posts carrying more than Rs. 35 is applicable to his case. He cannot be sent out as Revenue Inspector as he has not passed the special tests required for the appointment.		The Board will grant the exemption applied for so far as the present appointment is concerned.
....					

District.	Name of officer.	Designation and pay of the appointment held before the amalgamation.	Designation and pay of the appointment provided at the amalgamation.	Total service.	Present age.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Nilgiris	37. A. P. Ramaswami Aiyar.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 45-1-55 actually drawing Rs. 52.	Accountant on Rs. 40 + 10 (local allowance).	7 years and 10 months.	31 years ..
	38. O. N. Kandaswami Pillai.	Local Audit clerk on Rs. 70 + 15 (local allowance).	Auditor on Rs. 50 + 15 (local allowance).	23 years	43 years ..
	39. C. F. Christian.	Local Fund attender on Rs. 14.	Attender on Rs. 7 + 3 (local allowance).	9 years	Not specified ..
Salem ..	40. N. R. Srinivasa Rao.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 30-1-40 drawing Rs. 38.	Audit Head Clerk on Rs. 50.	14 years and 11 months.	Not specified ..
South Canara ..	41. C. L. Mascarenhas.	Local Fund Audit clerk on Rs. 55.	Head Auditor on Rs. 50.	29 years and 4 months.	49 years and 4 months.
	42. A. F. Coelho.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 25-1-30.	Fourth English clerk on Rs. 30.	19 years	46 years ..
Malabar	43. E. Sankaran Nair.	Local Fund Head Accountant on Rupees 36-52.	Head Accountant on Rs. 50.	22 years and 4 months.	46 years ..
	44. K. M. Ramawariar.	Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 22-8-0 to Rs. 38-8-0 actually drawing Rs. 26-8-0.	Audit clerk on Rs. 20 ..	14 years and 10 months.	36 years ..

Educational qualifications.	Concessions recommended by Collectors.				Board's recommendation.
	(a) Exemption from 25 years' rule.	(b) Exemption from examination rules.	(c) Exemption from Revenue Inspectors' training.	(d) Grant of personal allowance.	
7	8	9	10	11	12
F.A., Hand-writing Test, B.A. (Tamil only), Account Test, Higher.	Exemption recommended for appointments in Government service.		Exemption recommended on the understanding that he is ineligible to hold any other office carrying more than Rs. 35 per mensem until he passes Revenue Lower and has undergone one year's training as Revenue Inspector.		The Board will grant the exemption from the rule requiring Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned. The appointment of Local Fund Accountant in the district having been pensionable before amalgamation, no exemption from the twenty-five years' rule is necessary.
Middle School, first class (1887), Precise - writing, Account Test Higher.				Rs. 20 recommended under article 158, Civil Service Regulations.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 20 is recommended.
....				The Collector forwards a petition from the attender praying that he may be allowed to continue to draw Rs. 14 under article 158, Civil Service Regulations. He considers that the reorganization cannot be delayed till the petitioner earns a pension 21 years hence, but that his claims may be taken into account when there is a vacancy among attenders or muchis.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 4 per mensem is recommended.
B.A. (Language Branch), Precise-writing, Translation Lower, Account Test Lower.			Exemption recommended if the Board considers that such exemption is necessary in his case. He has been drawing more than Rs. 35 per mensem since 1900.		The Board will grant the exemption from Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.
Matriculation and Deputy Collector and Magistrate's Tests with Account Code and third class Vernacular Test.				Rs. 5 recommended under articles 426 and 158, Civil Service Regulations. The auditor has been drawing a pay of Rs. 55 from 8th February 1896 or for more than ten years.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 5 is recommended.
Matriculation and Hand-writing Test.	Exemption recommended as the appointment of Local Fund Accountant has been provincialized under the amalgamation scheme.				The appointment of Local Fund Accountant in the district having been pensionable before amalgamation, no exemption from the twenty-five years' rule is necessary.
Middle School, first class, Matriculation, Translation Test Lower Grade, Precise-writing Test.			Exemption recommended. He has been drawing more than Rs. 35 since 7th August 1893.		The Board will grant the exemption from Revenue Inspector's training so far as the present appointment is concerned.
Matriculation and Hand-writing Test.				Rs. 8-8-0 recommended. The clerk would have been entitled to draw Rs. 28-8-0 on 1st April 1906 if the scheme for the amalgamation had not been sanctioned. The personal allowance is to be granted until the clerk can be promoted to a suitable appointment on Rs. 28-8-0 or on higher pay.	The grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 8-8-0 is recommended.



VII

Endt. T. M. No. 21/198, Revenue, dated 5th April 1907.

Forwarded to Government.

There is no audit objection to Government sanctioning personal allowances to the clerks and accountants recommended by the Board.

W. DOBBIE,
Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Order—No. 1434, Revenue, dated 8th June 1907.

Miscellaneous.

The Government agree with the Board in considering that the concessions suggested should be granted to those members of the old Local Fund Account establishments mentioned in the Board's Resolution who have been retained in the newly amalgamated account and audit establishments. The grant of the personal allowances recommended by the Board in each case is accordingly sanctioned with effect from 1st April 1906 provided that in no case shall the salary and personal allowance hereafter drawn by any of these officers exceed the sum which he drew as salary prior to amalgamation and which he is now permitted to draw as salary *plus* personal allowance.

2. It is presumed that in the case of the clerks in the Bellary and Trichinopoly districts whose names have not been given, the personal allowances will be paid only to those who were holding appointments under the old scheme and who have been retained in the new scheme.

3. The Board of Revenue is requested to suggest a reappropriation of funds in the prescribed form to meet the extra expenditure sanctioned herein.

4. Separate orders will issue in the Educational Department regarding the exemption from examination rules of the clerks recommended by the Board.

5. The petition, dated 25th January 1906, from P. Sundaram Aiyar, late Acting Local Fund Audit Head Clerk, Collector's office, Madura, will be treated under paragraph XII of the rules for the submission of petitions to the Government of Madras.

(True Extract.)

A. G. CARDEW,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" the Local and Municipal Department.
" the Accountant-General (through the Financial Department).
" the Educational Department, with a copy of the list and copy of notes.
" P. Sundaram Aiyar (paragraph 5 only).

Forwarded to the *Educational Department*
(By Order.)

R. Sundaram
Registrar.

memo. No. 1616-1812-8-07

With reference to their Ref. No. 3-Ld.

19th March 1907, the Board of Revenue are requested to report the pay of the posts held by J. Guruswami Mudaliar and K. Naraya Rao (nos. 15 and 16 in the list) before the re-organization and amalgamation of the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments of the Cuddapah Collectorate, the pay they were actually drawing at the time of the reorganization and the pay of the posts now provided for them. It is also requested that information may be furnished as to the age of these men and their educational qualifications, and also as to the educational qualifications of C. Madhava Rao and R. Subba Rao (nos. 23 and 32 in the list).

To. The Board of Revenue (L.R.).

10-8-07

12-8-07

12-8-07

Local and Municipal

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

Received

2019-1907

Registered

1907

Subject.

Local Fund Audit establishment

Submitting to Government the information called for in respect of certain clerks of -----

ENCLOSURES.

No.

No. 2135 Routine

Spare copies

Station Madras

Dated the 16th September 1907

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue



Mr. R. H. Shipley
(Commissioner of Land Revenue)

Resolution :-

The Board begs to submit to Government the following statement giving the information called for in their Memorandum, No. 1616-1, dated 12th August 1907.

(H.F. statement)

(True Extract)

To

R.H.
17-9-07

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department, with a staff

A. S. Sokenham
Secretary
18/9/07

NOTES.

[illegible]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

NOTES TO G.O., No. 1434, REVENUE, DATED 8TH JUNE 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Concessions to certain clerks and attenders on account of the introduction of the scheme of amalgamation of Local Fund Accounts and Audit branches of Collectors' offices.*]

Previous papers.

G.O.,	No.	182 L.F.,	dated 19th May 1885.
"	"	1289 L.	" 31st " 1892.
"	Mis.	2649, Revenue	" 17th July 1893.
"	"	2446 L.	" 1st Nov. "
"	"	2679 L.	" 28th " "
"	"	76, Revenue	" 22nd Jan. 1906.
Lodged O.	"	582 D.	" 15th Mar. "
"	Mis.	629	" 17th May "
"	"	495-R.	" 25th " "

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 39, dated 19th March 1907.

To Under Secretary—

As a result of the reorganisation and amalgamation of the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments in Collectors' offices, the following practical difficulties were felt:—

- (i) Some of the old clerks do not possess sufficient educational qualifications to hold posts under the new scheme.
- (ii) Some of the persons have not passed through the course of one year's Revenue Inspector's training prescribed in Board's Standing Order No. 140 (2).
- (iii) The pay of certain posts under the new scheme has become less than what it was in the old scheme before the amalgamation, and
- (iv) Some have passed the age of 25 years.

2. In June last, representations were received from the Collector of the Nilgiris on behalf of his Local Audit clerk and from C. F. Christian, an attender in the same office, regarding their reduced pay. Both these were referred to the Board of Revenue for remarks.

3. The Board has taken the opportunity to consult all the Collectors and has submitted a consolidated report embodying the concession needed in the several districts on account of the introduction of the new scheme for the reorganisation and amalgamation of Local Fund Account and Audit establishments in Collectors' offices.

4. From the statement submitted by the Board of Revenue, it will be seen that as many as 44 clerks are affected by the new scheme in the different districts. The recommendations made by the several Collectors come under the following heads:—

- (1) exemption from the twenty-five years' rule;
- (2) exemption from Examination rules;
- (3) exemption from the rule requiring training as Revenue Inspector for a year before promotion to posts carrying more than Rs. 35 per mensem; and
- (4) grant of personal allowances under articles 426 and 158, Civil Service Regulations.

5. The Board considers that the circumstances of the case are peculiar and that, in order to avoid any dislocation of business from the change of system and hardship to individuals, the relaxation of the rules is desirable in respect of selected clerks who have done good work in the Local Fund service.

6. The Board further states that, if the proposals are approved, it is prepared to sanction exemptions from the operation of the twenty-five years' rule and the rule regarding one year's training as Revenue Inspector.

7. If, therefore, the present proposals are approved, the Government have to sanction the recommendations made regarding personal allowances and exemption from Examination rules. Orders relating to Examination rules have to issue in the Educational Department. The only question that has to be dealt with in this department is the grant of personal allowances. The financial effect of this recommendation is worked out below :—

District.	Name of officer or attender.	Pay under the old scheme.	Pay under the present scheme.	Personal allowance recommended.
		RS.	RS.	RS.
Gódvári	B. Kameswara Row	60	50	10
	C. Srinivasa Row Nayudu	30—40 (drawing 31-10-8)	30	2
	Y. Subbarayudu	10	8	2
Anantapur	P. Ramaswami Aiyangar	25	20	5
	K. Garudachari	15—1—20 (drawing 16)	15	1
Bellary	Not given	55	50	5
	Do.	55	50	5
Trichinopoly	B. A. Nagaswami Aiyar	30—50 (drawing 42)	40	2
	Not given	20—30 (drawing 24)	20	4
	O. N. Kandaswami Pillai	70 + 15	50 + 15	20
Nilgiris	C. F. Christian	14	10	4
South Canara	C. L. Mascarenhas	55	50	5
Malabar	K. M. Rama Warriar	22½—38½ (drawing 26-8-0)	20	8-8-0
Total		490 2-8	428	73-8-0

The proposal thus comes to a monthly cost of Rs. 73-8-0 or Rs. 882 per annum.

8. With reference to the petition from P. Sundaram Aiyar, Acting Local Fund Head Audit clerk, Madura, it is now reported that he has since passed the Hand-writing test and the Collector states that there is no longer any necessity to address Government on the subject. But the petition has been forwarded to Government since he prays for confirmation in the post of Head clerk on Rs. 70. It is submitted

D.T.C. | that the petition may be treated under
15-4-07. | rule XII of C. rules.

9. It is submitted that, if the proposal is approved, this file may be sent to the Local and Municipal Department and the Financial Department for remarks on the proposal to grant personal allowances to the clerks named in paragraph 7 *supra* and to the Educational Department as regards exemption from Examination rules.

10. For orders. As regards the debit of cost please see paragraph 1 of the Financial Department note, dated 29th November 1905, at page 5 of the notes to G.O., No. 76, dated 22nd January 1906.

C.R.V.
12-4-07.

C.R.
12-4-07.

D. T. CHADWICK.
15-4-07.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the proposal to grant personal allowances to the clerks mentioned in paragraph 7 of the note of the Revenue Department may be accepted in this department. As pointed out by the Board of Revenue in paragraph 4 of their Ref. No. 3-G., dated 19th March 1907, such allowances have already been

* G.O., Mis. No. 629, Revenue, dated 17th May 1906.

† G.O., R. No. 495, Revenue, dated 25th May 1906.

sanctioned in the case of certain clerks in Nellore* and Chingleput†, and it seems but fair that this concession should be extended to other clerks similarly circumstanced in other districts. The

financial effect of this proposal will be as follows :—

District.	Increased cost per annum.
	RS.
Gódvári	168
Anantapur	72
Bellary	60
Trichinopoly	132
Nilgiris	288
South Canara	60
Malabar	102
Total	882

The District Boards concerned will, it is submitted, be able to meet this small extra cost by reappropriation of funds in 1907-1908.

N.C.
26-4-07.

K.R.
26-4-07.

The proposals for the grant of personal allowances may be accepted in Local and Municipal.

H. D. TAYLOR.
29-4-07.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

To Chief Secretary—

The extra cost involved in the proposal to grant personal allowances is Rs. 73-8-0 per mensem or Rs. 882 per annum. The charge is in the first instance debited to the head "3-A. LAND REVENUE—Charges of District Administration—Local and Special Fund Audit establishments in Collectors' offices" and is subsequently recovered along with pensionary contribution from Local Funds through "ADJUSTING HEADS—CONTRIBUTIONS FROM LOCAL TO PROVINCIAL".

Paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, No. 3-G., dated 19th March 1907.

Paragraph 1 of Financial office-note, dated 29th November 1905, on page 5 of notes to G.O., No. 76, Revenue, dated 22nd January 1906.

* G.O., No. 76, Revenue, dated 22nd January 1906.

† The Board of Revenue entered a lump provision of Rs. 1,000 in the budget estimate for 1907-1908, but this was deleted by Government note, dated 7th December 1906, on page 18 of budget notes for 1907-1908 under 3. LAND REVENUE.

2. The personal allowances will have to be granted with effect from the 1st * April 1906, the date on which the Local Fund Account and Audit establishments were amalgamated. No † provision has been made in the budget estimate for 1907-1908. The Board of Revenue should be asked to suggest a reappropriation.

V.G.K.
3-5-07.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
6-5-07.

H. BRADLEY.
7-5-07.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Draft.

D.T.C.
9-5-07.

To Under Secretary—

A draft order is submitted for approval. If approved, it may be sent to the Local and Municipal, Educational and Financial Departments for acceptance.

M.G.
10-5-07.

To Secretary—

Please put up the case of a Local Fund Head Accountant on which the Honourable Member passed orders the other day. Is his case included in this general list?

A. G. CARDEW.
11-5-07.

To Under Secretary—

G.O., No. 208, Educational, dated 9th May 1907, which contains the Honourable Member's remarks regarding the exemption of M. Brahmanandam, Local Fund Head auditor in the Collector's office, Guntur, from passing the Précis-writing test, is submitted.

2. His case for exemption from this test is not included in the general list. His name is included in the list only in connection with his exemption from the age limit and from the one year's Revenue Inspector's training which the Board proposed to grant themselves—*vide* the remarks in the last column against individual No. 11 in the statement printed in the Government Order.

M.G.
17-5-07.

To Secretary—

To the Honourable Member—

The draft is submitted for approval.

D.T.C.
17-5-07.

A.G.C.
18-5-07.

G. S [TOKES].
21-5-07.

The draft is forwarded to Educational for acceptance of paragraph 4.

A.G.C.
22-5-07.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that paragraph 4 of the draft order may be accepted in Educational.

K.R.
23-5-07.

To Secretary—

C. A. SOUTER.
24-5-07.

Accepted. Out of the 44 cases included in the list exemption from Examination rules is required in 17 cases. Revenue may be asked to send a copy of or extract from the list, so far as it relates to these cases, with the order. It seems doubtful whether exemption should be given in respect of *higher* posts in all the cases recommended.

H.D.T.
26-5-07.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

To Secretary—

The point raised by Local and Municipal Secretary does not rightly arise on this file. It will arise on the file which terminates with the Educational order exempting the clerks from the rule. In no case is exemption asked for for posts over Rs. 30.

It cannot do much harm to allow a man on Rs. 25 who has long experience to ultimately rise to Rs. 30. The prospects of these unpassed men have been diminished by the amalgamation; and I submit that it is bad policy to absolutely debar a man from all chance of promotion especially when he has 18 or 20 years' service, and the bar is not due to any fault of his. Educational will presumably send us their order for acceptance and it can be discussed then. Meanwhile this file may go to Local and Municipal and Financial for acceptance.

D.T.C.
28-5-07.

The draft has been accepted in Educational. It may go to Local and Municipal and Financial now for acceptance.

A.G.C.
28-5-07.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

To Chief Secretary—

The draft order may be accepted.

T.H.R.
1-6-07.

C.M.S.
3-6-07.

Accepted.

H.B.
4-6-07.

G.O., No. 1434, REVENUE, 8TH JUNE 1907.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Please see the notes of this department on pages 2 and 3 *supra*. There appears to be no objection to accept paragraphs 1 and 2 of the draft in this department. Presumably the Revenue Department will forward to this department a copy of the list of the clerks, to whom personal allowance is sanctioned.

C.R.
5-6-07.

Accepted.

H.D.T.
6-6-07.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

D.T.C.
7-6-07.

[G.O., No. 1434, Revenue, dated 8th June 1907.]

Educational Department.

C. No. 1616, from the Revenue Department, No. 1434, dated 8th June 1907.

As a copy of the notes connected with G.O. No. 1434, Revenue, dated 8th June 1907, is required for the disposal of this current, it is submitted that the Revenue Department may be requested u.o. to furnish a copy.

P.R.
10-7-07.

K.R.
10-7-07.

C.A.S.
11-7-07.

Revenue u.o.

Revenue Department.

To UNDER SECRETARY.

The copy of notes connected with G.O. No. 1434, dated 8th June 1907, placed below may be forwarded u.o. to the Educational Department.

S.R.G.
16-7-07.

D.T.C.
17-7-07.

Educational u.o.

Educational Department.

The following list gives the particulars of the persons recommended for exemption from the Examination Rules by the Board of Revenue:--

no. 1 in the statement on
page 6 of current.

Ganjam St

1. K. Gopalaswami Pantulu - Assistant Local

Fund Accountant on Rs. 20-1-25 before
the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs. 31;
now clerk, audit branch, on Rs. 20;
has a total service of 13 years in
the Local Fund Department; and is
31 years old.

Board recommends his exemption for
posts carrying salaries up to Rs. 25
only.

no. 2 - do -

Majapalau

2. N. Ramadas - Local Fund Head Accountant on

^{before the amalgamation}
Rs. 30-1-40; ^{was} actually drawing Rs. 31; no
appointment was provided under the
impression that Government would not
exempt him from Handwriting test;
has put in a service of 13 years
and one month; and is 33 years old.

The Board recommends his exemption
for posts on a salary up to Rs. 30, as
the Collector proposes to provide
for him otherwise.

no. 3 - do -

Kishu

3. K. Rajagopalam Nayudu - Local Fund Accountant

^{before the amalgamation}
on Rs. 20; the appointment provided at
the amalgamation is not specified;
has put in a ~~XXXXX~~ service of about
13 years; and is 33 years old.

The Board recommends ~~his~~ his exemp-
tion for posts on a salary up to
Rs. 30 only.

An unpaired man
I would except him
for Rs. 25 at
most. There seems no
sufficient reason to go beyond
his present pay of Rs. 20

no. 4 - do -

1832

4. M. Subba Rao - Local Fund Accountant on Rs. 15

^{before the amalgamation}
sub pro tem; the appointment pro-
vided at the amalgamation is not
specified; has a service of about

18 months; and is 28 years old.
The Board recommends his exemption
for posts on Rs.15 only.

no. 13 on page 8 of current.

Nellore

5. A. Subbarayalu Nayudu - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.25-1-35 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.27; now Abkari clerk, Nellore Collectorate; has put in a service of 13 years; and is 32 years old. The pay of the appointment provided for, is not stated.

The Board recommends his exemption
for posts carrying a salary up to Rs.30.

no. 15 - do.

Cuddalore

Educational qualifications
not specified
do

6. T. Guruswami Mudaliyar - Local Fund Accountant before the amalgamation; pay not given; now audit clerk; has a service of 16 years; age not specified nor present salary. The pay of the appointment provided for also is not stated. The Board recommends his exemption for posts carrying a salary up to Rs.30.

no. 16 - do.

7. K. Narayana Rao - Local Fund Accountant before the amalgamation; pay not given; now audit clerk; has a service of 16 years; age not specified nor present salary. The pay of the appointment provided for is not stated. The Board recommends his exemption for posts carrying a salary up to Rs.30.

do

no. 18 on page 10 of current.

8. P. Ramaswami Aiyangar - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.20-1-25 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.25; now Local audit clerk on Rs.20; has put in a service of 17 years; is 37 years old. The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary of Rs.20.

N^o. 19 on page 10 of current.

9. K. Garudachari - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.15-1-20 before the amalgamation; was actually drawing Rs.16; now Local audit clerk on Rs.15; the period of his service is not stated; ~~and is~~ he is 42 years old.

The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary of Rs.15.

H2

N^o. 22 on page 10 of current.

10. S. Ramachandra Rao - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.22-1-32 before the amalgamation; was actually drawing Rs.29; now clerk on Rs.20; has put in a service of 22 years; and is 37 years old.

The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

H2

N^o. 23 -

Do -

Educational Qualification
not given.
has been exempted from
the Rist.

H2

11. C. Madhava Rao - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.15 before the amalgamation; now a clerk on Rs.15; has a service of 3 months and 24 days; and is 23 years old.

The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

N^o. 24 -

Do.

12. M. Ramachandra Aiyar - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.20-1-30 before the amalgamation; was actually drawing Rs.21; now audit clerk on Rs.20; has put in a service of 9 years and 9 months; and is 35 years old.

The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

H2

no. 26 on page 10 of current. 13. T. Perumal Nayudu - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.15-1-20 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.16; now audit clerk on Rs.15; has a service of 11 years, 2 months and 18 days; and is 34 years old.
The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.20.

no. 31 on page 12 of current. 14. Rajam Nayudu - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.25--30 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.28; now clerk on Rs.30; has put in a service of 19 years; and is 41 years old.
The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

no. 32 - do - 15. R. Subba Rao - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.20--25 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.21; now clerk on Rs.20; has put in a service of 21 years; and is 45 years old.
The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

Is reason acceptable for
Rs.20 will suffice
has merit of his service for

no. 33 - do - 16. S. Murtuza Khan - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.15--20 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.16; now clerk on Rs.15; has put in a service of 18 years; and is 42 years old.
The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

Mathematically
Rs.20 will suffice?
JH

no. 34 - do - 17. T. Venkatesa Rao - Local Fund Accountant on Rs.15--20 before the amalgamation; ^{was} actually drawing Rs.16; now clerk on Rs.15; has 27 years' service; and is 52 years old.
The Board recommends his exemption for posts on a salary up to Rs.30.

Is qualification
Rs.20 will suffice
JH

Vide Secy's note dated 26-5-07
on page 5 supra.

It is better to settle the question
now for all in a
single order
H2

2. It is for consideration whether any exemption that may now be granted may not be limited to the appointments provided for these men at the amalgamation, the Collectors being left to come up for further exemptions in the case of these men, if and when it is proposed to appoint them to posts carrying higher salaries.

3. In the case of No. 2, no appointment appears to have been provided for him at the amalgamation, but the Collector proposes to provide him with one otherwise. Previous to the amalgamation he was drawing a salary of Rs. 31 in a post on Rs. 30-1-40, but the proposal to exempt him for posts on Rs. 30 may perhaps be approved.

H2

for
6.8.07.
7/4
6.8.07

Sec
Cus
7.8.7.

I can see no objection to the proposal for exemption in cases 1. 2. 4. 5. 8. 9. 10. 12. 13 & 14. In all these cases the scale of salary in respect of which exemption is asked for ~~is~~ does not exceed ~~exceeds~~ the maximum of the scale which these men drew on the old establishment.

No 3. K. Rajagopalas Nayudu - is an untrained
man & was in receipt of R. 20. The
Board recommends exemption for R 30.
I think R 25 will suffice having
regard to the man's lack of qualifications.

No 6-7. - both employed in Cuddapah.

Further information may be called for
as to their pay before the amalgamation,
the pay of the post now provided, age
& educational qualifications.

No 11. ^{C. K. Rave Rao} Can have no special claim as he
has only 4 months' service. His
ED^l-qualifications are not stated -
as he received R 15 before, and
received R 15 now I can see no
special reason to exempt him for
post up to R 20. Details of his
ED^l qualification may be called for.

No 15 R Subba Rao - details of his ED^l
qualification may be called for.

No 16. S. Murugesu Khan. - a hulasadan
Mathematical. I suggest exemption
up to R 25 - but in view of his caste &
qualification perhaps the Board's
recommendation to exempt up to
R 30 may be accepted.

No 17 T. Venkata Rao Has no qualifications
worthy of R 15-20, drawing R 16

The object of the ~~pro~~ recommendation in the case
to exempt for R30 is clearly to count of
promotion in last 3 years of service (L652)
so as to give an enlarged pension. He
would not apparently ever have reached
more than R20 on the old scale. He may
be exempted for R20 only.

Please draft upon Mr. Cole
for the information required in respect of
No. 6. 7. 11. & 15

[Signature]
8.8.07

The draft memo placed below is submitted for approval.
As the recommendation for exemption, now
before Govt. is from the Board of Rev,
a single memo. calling for all the info.
required from that body, instead of
from individual collectors, is submitted.

[Signature]
10.8.07

[Signature]
12.8.07

(memo. no. 1616-187 12. 8-07)
From the Board of Revenue. No. 2135 dt 16. 9-07.

vide pages 4 & 5 of ref.

[Signature]

10.8.07

Observe in the letter from the Board of Revenue
no. 1616-187 12. 8-07, which is submitted for information
in respect of the above mentioned case.
Superintendent of Police, Hyderabad

Page 19 of ef.

Page 17 of ef.

Page 9 of notefile.

— do —

— 10 —

— 11 —

Col. 8 of stat on page 9 of ef.

Col 4 — do.

col. 6 — do.

Please see the list forwarded by the Board of Revenue which furnishes the information called for in memo. no. 1616-1 dt 12-8-07 in respect of the following individuals: -

b. J. Guruswami ^{Mudaliyar} - no. 15 in the list in the current file

7. K. Narayana Rao - " 16 — do —

11. C. Madhava Rao. " 23 — do —

15. R. Subba Rao - " 32 — do —

2. It is observed that Guruswami Mudaliar has passed the Middle School examination in the Second class, while the rest have not passed any examination. It is also observed that Guruswami Mudaliar and Narayana Rao were actually getting Rs 22 & Rs 15 respectively, before the reorganization ^{the} that pay of the posts now provided for them is Rs 20 and Rs 15, respectively.

3. It is submitted that the Revenue Department may, ~~perhaps~~, be consulted as to whether they have any remarks to offer in respect of the exemptions asked for.

18-10-07

Rev. no.

REVENUE
2132

22-10-07

To Secretary.

Revenue sept

The Educational Dept accept the Board's recommendations in the case of individuals Nos 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13 & 14. (Please see their note at page 12 supra.)

26

It is submitted that this department may also agree to the Board's recommendations being accepted in these cases.

2. Orders are required only in the case of individuals Nos. 3, 6, 7, 11, 15, 16 & 17.

K. Rayagopalam Nayudu.

As regards individual No. (3), please see Mr. Taylor's note at page 13 supra.

He is an unpassed man. His pay prior to the amalgamation was Rs 20. The Board's recommendation for exemption for posts up to Rs 30. Mr. Taylor wants to recommend it for posts up to Rs 25. Submitted that the Educational Dept recommendation may be concurred in by Revenue.

p7, c7


Individual No. 6 — T. Kurusain Sundar —
His pay before the amalgamation was Rs 22. His present pay is Rs 20. He has passed the middle school examination in the second class. His service is 16 yrs. ~~He~~ The Board recommends his exemption for posts up to Rs 30. Orders are solicited whether this ~~will~~ be accepted in this department.

p9, c7

Individual No. 7 — K. Narayana Rao — His former pay was Rs 15. His present pay is also Rs 15. He is an unpassed man and has a service of 16 years. The Board recommends his exemption for posts up to Rs 30. Orders are solicited as to whether this will be accepted and recommended in this Dept.

p9, c7

in Col 12
at pgs 7, 9, 11, 13
15, c7.



17. 36 24

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Individual No. 11 — C. Mathara Rao. —

His pay prior to the new scheme was Rs 15. His present pay is also Rs 15; and he is an unpassed man, having a service of three months & 24 days only. Mr. Taylor is of opinion that there is no need to exempt him for more than Rs 15. ~~He is not~~ The Board recommends his exemption ^{for ports} up to Rs 30. It is submitted that this Dept. may agree with Mr. Taylor. Orders are solicited.

Individual No. 15 — R. Subba Rao. —

His former pay was Rs 21 in ~~an appointment of~~ Rs 20—25 appointment. His present pay is Rs 20. He is an unpassed man. The Board recommends his exemption for ports up to Rs 30. His age is 45 years with a service of 21 years. Mr. Taylor is of opinion that there is no reason to exempt him for more than Rs 25, the maximum limit of his present pay. His opinion may be concurred in by Revenue.

Individual No. 16 — S. Murtaza Khan — his former pay was Rs 16 in a 15-20 Rupee appointment. His present pay is Rs 15. He is a Muhamedan with 18 years service and ^{is} aged 42 years. Please see Mr. Taylor's note on this individual's case at page 13 supra. His exemption for ^{post up to} Rs 30 may, it is submitted, be recommended, as he is Muhamedan.

Individual No. 17 — T Venkoba Rao —

His former pay was Rs 15-20, drawing Rs 16.
His present pay is Rs 15. A reference is solicited
to Mr. Taylor's remarks in his case at pages 13 &
14 supra. It is submitted that this depart-
ment may concur with his review and re-
commend his exemption for posts up to Rs 20.

Wd
23-10-07

Mem.

These men have hitherto been in L.T. service. On
the transfer of their appointments to Provincial,
they come under the Ed. rules, & as they are
deserving men applic^t for exemption is submitted
by Colbrs & the Bd.

2. In dealing with the matter some uniform
principle should, I submit, be applied. Where the
men have got an appreciable amount of
service, say 5 years & upwards, it will be a hardship
to turn them out, provided they are certified, as
is here the case, to be useful & efficient. Where
the men have less than 5 years' service, it seems
a little undesirable to keep them on, as they will be
without prospects of promotion. I submit, therefore,
that exemption of ^{the following} NOS in the Bd's list may

"Uniform principle"
"Rules" are often
Gills in admir!

ST

19 38 29

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

be refused; if Ed. agrees—

- N^o 9. M. Subba Rao. Service 18 m^s. — Ed. recommends exemption up to Rs. 15 pm. — means that he will have no prospects.
23. C. Madhava Rao. Service 4 m^s. — Ed. recommends exemption up to Rs. 30 pm.

In these 2 cases there cannot be any gr reason for retaining unqualified men in the service.

3. In the remaining cases, where the men have over 5 years' service, I am inclined to agree with Mr. Chadwick's Note d. 28 May 07 that it is inexpedient to debar the men from promotion up to the very modest limit — Rs. 30 — proposed by the Ed. The Secy in the Ed. Dept has suggested a limit of Rs. 20 + Rs. 25 in certain cases. The line of distinction which he draws is a fine one, ~~and it is difficult to draw~~ ^{throughout have} Either the men should have exemption only for the present pay or a certain amount of promotion should be left open to them, & if the latter course is adopted, I submit that the same limit may be fixed for them all — i.e. Rs. 30 pm. The Ed. has, in a few cases, fixed a lower limit — e.g. Rs. 25 pm for N^o 1. This is evidently based on the Coll's proposals, but if an unpassed man in one Dt. (e.g. N^o 8 in Kistna) may rise to Rs. 30 pm, it is not apparent why an unpassed man in another (e.g. N^o 1 in Ganjam) may not rise to the same point. The recommendations are not based

p5

Proc No. 11

Bo's proposals.

Exemption up to Rs 15	— 2
" " Rs. 20	— 2
" " Rs. 25	— 1
" " Rs. 30	— 9
Not stated	— 1
	<u>15</u>

These differences merely follow Collectors' proposals & the Collectors of course do not arrive at uniformity except by accident.

on differences in the merits of individuals, & therefore, I submit, that a uniform limit should be imposed. The Board proposes* Rs. 30 in nine cases out of fifteen. We might, I think, recommend to Edul the adoption of the Rs 30 limit in all the fifteen cases except the 2 referred to in para. 2 - in w^{ch} exemption may be refused.

24.10.07.

I am for I do not like uniformity in dealing with these cases. I think our wisest course as well as the fairest will be to accept the C.W.'s proposal ^{as offered by the C.W.} in fixing his limit I think the C.W. considered to what the man might have attained under his old conditions of service (for example in cases 1 & 2 in the list) and probably tried to have his prospects unaffected. I do not see that the man w^{ld} gain anything by wth the loss. I rather think we had better accept the H^{rs} recommendation in the.



30/10/07.

Rev. No 2132 D/2-1 Resp. 1-11-07 31/10

Edul no.

31.10.07

Educational Dept

To assess whether the proposals of the Board as regards the exemption have been accepted or not.

C. 29/11
A. 31/11

21
21

For the statement on 19 file it will
be seen that P. Kastoor Rao ~~was~~
with 4 North's service, and M. Subba Rao
with 18 North's service, have passed
no examinations.

Had there been come up for exemption,
without holding any permanent post, it
is doubtful whether they would have
been exempted for post beyond
R 15, or at any rate beyond R 20.

It seems hardly fair to permit
candidates to exempt themselves up to
R 30.

The Hon. Mr. Stokes is however in favour
of accepting the Board's proposal
to exempt them up to R 30.

WM
2.11.07

I accept the Hon. Mr. Stokes recom-
mendation. The men are in
an exceptional position & the
case will not create any
precedent of Value

8/11/07

Planned after
HOD
G. H. O.

22
6/11

22

The draft order placed below may, if approved, issue with the Concurrence of the Revenue and L & M departments.



Dp
14.11.07

14.11.07
14.11.07

Cbs
14.11.7.

Rev. no.

Revenue Department

No. no. 2485-1
15.11.7.
Rev. no 21320/07-2
15.11.07

Accepted in Rev.
15.11.7.

L & M Dept.

Accepted in L & M

18.11.7.



19/11

L & M
4463-1L
20.11.7

issue.
21.11.07

Issue
21.11.7.

The draft order may, it is submitted,

21.11.7



33
Educational Department.

Read again G.O. No.1434 Rev., dated 8th June 1907.

Read also:-

C.No.2485, from the Board of Revenue, No.2135, dated 16th September 1907.

ORDER:-

781 of 22/11/07

The following persons will be permanently exempted from the Upper Secondary examination so as to enable them to hold appointments carrying salaries not exceeding the amounts specified against their names:-

Serial	No.	Name of individual Officer.	District.	Pay
				Rs.
1.	1.	K. Gopalaswami Pantulu	Ganjam	25
2.	2.	N. Ramadas	Vizagapatam	30
3.	8.	K. Rajagopalam Nayudu	Kistna	30
4.	9.	M. Subba Rao	"	15
5.	13.	A. Subbarayalu Nayudu	Nellore	30
6.	15.	T. Guruswami Mudaliar	Cuddapah	30
7.	16.	K. Narayana Rao	"	30
8.	18.	P. Ramaswami Aiyangar	Anantapur	20
9.	19.	K. Garudachari	"	15
10.	22.	S. Ramachandra Rao	Kurnool	30
11.	23.	C. Madhava Rao	"	30
12.	24.	M. Ramachandra Aiyar	Chingleput	30
13.	26.	T. Perumal Nayudu	South Arcot	20
14.	31.	Rajam Nayudu	Madura	30
15.	32.	R. Subba Rao	"	30
16.	33.	S. Murthuza Khan	"	30
17.	34.	T. Venkoba Rao	"	30

in the Current file.

To the Board of Revenue L.R.
" Reven
" L. &

P.R.
11-11-07.

14.11.07

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 190 .
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

34

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

URGENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.