

2116

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1907.

Spare copies

1
order
page no. 49

(51)

G.O., No. 736, 4th November 1907.

Subsidy to the Local Boards.

Distributing a sum of Rs. 1,95,745 to District Boards for the maintenance of existing elementary schools, the construction, completion and equipment of elementary school buildings and payment of grants to aided schools, and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit proposals for the utilization of the balance of Rs. 32,253.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1907.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 736, 4th November 1907.

Subsidy to the Local Boards.

Distributing a sum of Rs. 1,95,745 to District Boards for the maintenance of existing elementary schools, the construction, completion and equipment of elementary school buildings and payment of grants to aided schools, and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit proposals for the utilization of the balance of Rs. 32,253.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907.

ABSTRACT.—Distributing from the special grant of six lakhs, in aid of primary education, a sum of Rs. 1,24,447 among the District Boards of this Presidency in order to enable them to revise the establishments of their elementary schools with effect from 1st July 1907.

II

G.O., No. 566, Educational, dated 29th August 1907.

ABSTRACT.—Making an allotment of Rs. 1,47,555 from the provision of five lakhs under "Adjusting heads—Contributions from Provincial to Local" for the payment of grants to District Boards in order to enable them to meet the cost of maintenance of new rural schools opened in 1906-1907 and 1907-1908.

III

G.O., No. 1368 L., dated 30th September 1907.

ABSTRACT.—Declining to approve the proposals of the District Board of Anantapur to abolish for six months the Sessional school under the Anantapur Taluk Board, to withhold the payment of capitation grants from the teachers of the Board schools, and to abolish the dispensary at Yadiki and convert the hospitals at Tadpatri and Penukonda into dispensaries and approving the proposal of the Board to effect the reduction of Rs. 1,000 made under grant 3, by reappropriation under grant 1.

Order—No. 736, Educational, dated 4th November 1907.

Out of the provision of five lakhs for elementary education under "Adjusting Heads—Contributions from Provincial to Local" made in the Civil Budget Estimate for the current year, grants aggregating Rs. 2,72,002 have been allotted to District Boards to enable them to meet the cost of revising the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with the provisions of the new Educational Rules and of maintaining the rural schools newly opened in 1906-1907 and 1907-1908.

2. A further sum of Rs. 1,95,745 will now be placed at the disposal of the District Boards, as shown in the annexure to these Proceedings, towards the completion of the elementary school buildings commenced in 1906-1907, the furnishing and equipping of schools newly opened, the construction of new buildings and the payment of grants to aided schools. In the absence of the specific sanction of Government, the funds allotted for expenditure on new buildings should be utilized only for the purpose of providing suitable accommodation for *existing* Board schools where such schools are at present held in rented buildings, or for the improvement of the existing accommodation where it is inadequate or defective.

3. The lump allotments specified in column 2 of the annexure represent the grants intended for the maintenance of existing elementary schools under the management of the Boards concerned, the grant of Rs. 1,500 for the Tanjore District Board being for the maintenance of the seven elementary schools under the Pattukóttai Taluk Board referred to in paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 513 L., dated 12th April 1907.

4. The Accountant-General is authorized to place the amounts shown in the last column of the annexure at the disposal of the local bodies concerned.

5. All Presidents of District Boards will be requested to make special arrangements to utilize in full, before the close of the current financial year, the grants now made. They are further requested to submit by the end of April 1908 a report showing the amount expended under each head and the number of school buildings constructed.

6. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit at an early date proposals for the utilization of the balance of Rs. 32,253 still remaining for distribution out of the provision referred to in paragraph 1 *supra*.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.
" Financial Department.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Presidents of District Boards.
Editors' Table (order only).

ANNEXURE.

Name of district.	Lump allotment.	Subsidy.	Completion of school buildings commenced in 1906-1907.	Furnishing and equipping schools.	Construction of new buildings.	Total.	Amounts reallocated in District Board budgets.	Present allotment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	2,000	..	6,252	995	750	9,997	4,810	5,187
Vizagapatam	2,000	..	14,087	3,220	2,000	21,307	..	21,307
Koraput	..	..	120	240	..	360	..	360
Górávari	2,500	..	2,040	605	9,000	14,145	..	14,145
Kistna	..	..	2,605	701	3,000	6,306	..	6,306
Guntúr	..	..	5,132	375	3,000	8,507	..	8,507
Kurnool	2,700	..	1,300	234	12,000	16,234	..	16,234
Bellary	2,700	..	4,330	960	5,000	12,990	9,730	3,260
Anantapur	2,000	..	2,897	75	7,000	11,972	1,390	10,582
Cuddapah	4,600	..	4,095	..	8,500	17,195	..	17,195
Nellore	..	..	5,500	450	5,000	10,950	..	10,950
Chingleput	..	..	2,850	..	5,000	7,850	1,900	5,950
North Arcot	..	2,500	2,607	1,290	1,500	7,897	5,720	2,177
South Arcot	..	2,000	1,370	740	2,000	6,110	2,920	3,190
Tanjore	1,500	..	9,720	1,220	6,500	18,940	7,080	11,860
Trichinopoly	..	..	5,802	..	2,000	7,802	..	7,802
Madura	..	..	10,815	3,255	5,500	19,570	2,720	16,850
Tinnevely	..	..	3,690	1,470	750	5,910	1,050	4,860
Coimbatore	..	..	2,722	862	3,000	6,584	1,080	5,504
Salem	..	..	210	1,775	8,355	10,340	10,340	..
Nilgiris, The	..	..	676	588	5,580	6,844	..	6,844
Malabar	..	..	860	350	4,005	5,215	1,070	4,145
South Canara	1,000	2,500	1,500	750	7,500	13,250	720	12,530
Total	21,000	7,000	91,180	20,155	1,06,940	2,46,275	50,530	1,95,745

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 736, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 4TH NOVEMBER 1907.

[SUBJECT.—Distribution of the six lakhs grant for primary education.]

Out of the five lakhs (of the special grant of six lakhs for primary education) which was placed in the Local section of the Civil Budget Estimate for the current year, Rs. 2,72,002* has been allotted to District Boards towards the cost of the revision of the establishments of their old elementary schools, in accordance with the Educational rules, and the cost of maintenance of the rural schools newly opened in 1906-1907 and to be opened in 1907-1908. The grants were distributed as shown below :—

	† G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907.	† " " 566 " " 29th August "	† On account of old elementary schools.	† On account of new rural schools.
Anantapur	..	..	RS.	RS.
Arcot, North	..	..	1,363	1,098
Arcot, South	..	..	4,730	9,784
Bellary	..	..	5,663	4,978
Canara, South	..	..	1,387	4,013
Chingleput	..	..	6,862	1,418
Coimbatore	..	..	1,791	5,214
Cuddapah	..	..	3,387	8,292
Ganjám	..	..	3,721	5,863
Górávari	..	..	3,505	9,272
Guntúr	..	..	14,639	5,502
Kistna	..	..	7,499	4,880
Koraput	..	..	17,755	11,088
Kurnool	..	..	548	576
Madura	..	..	5,229	2,642
Malabar	..	..	3,587	6,865
Nellore	..	..	9,741	4,997
Nilgiris, The	..	..	4,181	1,876
Salem	..	..	861	1,258
Tanjore	..	..	14,835	7,662
Tinnevely	..	..	2,473	15,667
Trichinopoly	..	..	4,462	7,309
Vizagapatam	..	..	2,363	11,659
	..	..	3,575	15,642
Total	..	..	1,24,447	1,47,555

RS.
5,00,000
2,72,002
2,27,998

2. The balance available for allotment in the current year out of this sum of five lakhs is Rs. 2,27,998. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to submit proposals for the allotment of this sum for items of non-recurring expenditure, such as—

Cf. paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907; also paragraph 4 of the Secretary's note on page 2 of K. W. G.O., No. 566, Educational, dated 29th August 1907.

- (1) equipment of school buildings;
- (2) completion of buildings already commenced but still incomplete;
- (3) provision of buildings for Board schools which are not now properly housed; and
- (4) lump grants to such of the District Boards as are unable to meet from their own funds their general educational expenditure.

3. From the replies to G.O., No. 411, Educational, dated 27th July 1907, which have till now been * received, it is observed that a portion of the allotments made in 1906-1907 from the six lakhs grant has been expended on the construction of buildings in villages where fairly efficient aided schools already existed, with the result that the number of Board elementary schools have been increased at the expense of aided schools. The employment of the special grant in this manner was, however, not contemplated; and it seems to be desirable that before a Board should be allowed to expend any more money on the construction of buildings for opening new schools, it should be required to provide suitable buildings and proper equipment to existing schools.

4. The Director of Public Instruction's attention may be drawn to paragraph 6 of G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906, in which the Government have already directed that in 1907-1908 the construction of new buildings should be limited to the provision of proper accommodation for schools already in existence and that in submitting proposals for the distribution of the grant for the current year he should make allowance for the unexpended balances of the grant allotted in 1905-1906.

5. The following statement shows the unexpended balances under the several District Boards and the amounts which the Government have ordered to be reallocated for expenditure on the same objects in 1907-1908:—

Serial number.	Name of district.	Amount of grant sanctioned.	Amount expended.	Balance.	Amount ordered to be reallocated in 1907-1908.
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1	Anantapur	9,000	7,609	1,218	1,390
2	Arcoot, North	37,000	31,285	5,715	5,720
3	Arcoot, South	16,000	13,082	2,918	2,920
4	Bellary	18,000	8,269	9,731	9,730
5	Canara, South	21,350	20,633	717	720
6	Chingleput	17,000	15,103	1,897	1,900
7	Coimbatore	27,700	26,617	1,083	1,080
8	Cuddapah	18,500	18,500	..	..
9	Ganjām	39,000	25,189	4,811	4,810
10	Gódvári	16,750	16,650	100	..
11	Guntūr	14,000	14,000	..	..
12	Kistna	35,600	35,520	80	..
13	Koraput	3,000	3,000	..	..
14	Kurnool	10,000	10,000	..	..
15	Madura	28,000	25,278	2,722	2,720
16	Malabar	35,000	33,925	1,075	1,070
17	Nellore	13,000	13,000	..	..
18	Nilgiris, The	9,100	9,045	54	..
19	Salem	62,000	51,657	10,343	10,340
20	Tanjore	44,000	36,919	7,081	7,080
21	Tinnevely	16,000	14,953	1,047	1,050
22	Trichinopoly	30,000	29,695	305	..
23	Vizagapatam	56,000	56,000	..	..
	Total	5,66,870	5,15,930	50,897	50,530

6. In proposing the distribution of the balance of the grant for the current year (Rs. 2,27,998), the Director of Public Instruction may be requested to take into consideration, in addition to the points mentioned in paragraphs 3 and 4 *supra*, the actual needs of the District Boards. Lump assignments should be made, though not in the same liberal scale as in the previous year, to the necessitous Boards such as those of the Ceded Districts, Chingleput, Nellore, Gódvári, Koraput and the Nilgiris. The lump grants given to these Boards in 1906-1907 were—

H. D. TAYLOR.
Vide paragraph 5 of memorandum No. 589, Educational, dated 23rd November 1906.
 H. D. TAYLOR.

* *Vide* page 7 of G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.
 This grant was subsequently surrendered by the Board as it was in excess of requirements—*vide* page 2 of K. W. G.O., No. 178, Educational, dated 25th March 1907.

H. D. TAYLOR.

	RS.
* Koraput	1,358
Nellore	10,000
Gódvári	10,000
Kurnool	10,000
Bellary	12,000
Anantapur	10,000
The Nilgiris	2,000
Cuddapah	10,000

The grant of Rs. 12,000 in the case of Bellary may, as pointed out in paragraph 5 of Memorandum No. 589, dated 23rd November 1906, be repeated this year also.

7. The balance of one lakh of the six lakhs grant which was retained on the Provincial side of the Civil Budget Estimate was provided under the following heads:—

	RS.
Page 128 of Civil Budget Estimate. (1) Lump grant for primary education, to meet the cost of provincializing the staff of supervisors of primary schools, of the reorganization of Muhammadam girls' schools, etc.	83,000
Page 140 of Civil Budget Estimate. (2) To meet the cost of reorganization of the practising sections of primary training schools	15,000
(3) For Karur Educational Museum	2,000

The last of these items has already been utilized, while the Director of Public Instruction has reported in another connection that only Rs. 10,000 out of item (2) will be required in the current year.

It is not likely that the scheme for the provincialization of supervisors of primary schools will come into force in the current year, while the additional expenditure involved by the reorganization of the Muhammadan girls' schools will be only about Rs. 1,200. The total saving that may be expected under this one lakh will thus be Rs. 86,800. Of this sum, however, Rs. 12,845 has already been allotted to Municipal Councils towards the extra cost entailed by the revision of the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with the Educational rules, and the Director of Public Instruction has asked for an additional allotment of Rs. 15,000 † for stipends to elementary teachers. The net saving available under this head is thus Rs. 58,955. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to submit proposals for utilizing this sum. It cannot now be transferred to the Local section of the budget and thereby made available for allotment to District Boards, without the sanction of the Government of India, but if the Government of India will have to be moved to sanction its transfer to the Local section if it is not to lapse. This question has, however, been separately raised.

Note.—The Government of India do not appear to have yet forwarded our scheme to the Secretary of State.
 G.O., No. 515, Educational, 8th August 1907.

RS.
 1,00,000
 13,200
 86,800

G.O., No. 498, Educational, 2nd August 1907.

† G.O., No. 629, dated 21st September 1907.

R. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—14-9-07.

Will Local and Municipal please note very urgently the cases in which lump assignments are required for District Boards in order to assist them in maintaining existing schools which would otherwise, for want of funds, have had to be closed? There are several files in which retrenchment of expenditure was ordered so as to provide the required minimum for communications, e.g., Ganjam. These should be examined very urgently and the financial condition of the District Boards concerned stated. A file of budget review of District Boards for 1907-1908 with notes should be put up.

H. D. TAYLOR—15-9-07.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

All the budgets of District Boards for 1907-1908 have been examined and a file of them is also submitted.

2. In the following cases, the allotments under Grant 2, Education, proposed by the District Boards in their budgets for the current year, have been reduced to the extent noted against each on account of excess in the recurring charges over normal receipts:—

G.O., No. 510 L., dated 12th April 1907.		RS.
" " 507 L. " 12th "	(1) Anantapur	2,000
" " 501 L. " 12th "	(2) Ganjam	2,000
" " 487 L. " 11th "	(3) Vizagapatam	2,000
" " 509 L. " 12th "	(4) South Arcot	2,000
" " 497 L. " 11th "	(5) South Canara	2,500
	(6) North Arcot	2,500

Rupees 870 was given last year to South Canara for existing schools. But the Director of Public Instruction may not have funds available for grant under subsidy to this extent. This special grant may be used for aid by way of subsidy also. Director of Public Instruction may be asked, in his discretion, to make allotments under this head. Ganjam also proposed a reduction of Rs 7,000 under subsidy, but provision is included in the District Board's budget.

H. D. TAYLOR.

3. Besides the cases noted above, in the following three cases, the District Boards were unable to provide funds towards the cost of elementary education, without raising the recurring charges over the normal receipts:—

Paragraph 4 (4), page 5 <i>infra</i> .		RS.
" 4 (5) " 6 "	Cuddapah	4,620
" 4 (6) " 6 "	Godavari	2,505
	Kurnool	2,700

In all these cases the required provision has been retained in the budgets.

In the case of Bellary, the District Board in framing its budget for 1907-1908 has reduced its expenditure under education by Rs. 2,710. The case of each of the above Boards is dealt with separately below.

4. (1) *Anantapur*.—In order to effect the retrenchment of Rs. 2,000 ordered * by Government, the District Board proposed to withhold the payment of capitation grants and to close a sessional school for six months. It has † been decided to disallow these proposals and to accommodate the Board with a further special grant of Rs. 2,000. The closing balance provided in the budget for the current year is Rs. 18,910 or 17.5 per cent. of the receipts.

* In G.O., No. 510 L., dated 12th April 1907.

† In file which is now with Financial for acceptance of draft.

H.D.T.

(2) *Ganjam*.—The proposal of the Board to transfer 19 of the elementary schools to private management so as to cut down the recurring expenditure under education as ordered by Government, was disapproved in G.O., No. 1255 L., dated 5th September 1907, "in view of the special grants that are being made for the extension of elementary education." The grants hitherto made to the District Boards have been on account of (a) the net additional cost of maintaining the old schools in accordance with the revised educational rules and (b) the entire cost of maintenance of new rural schools. The nineteen schools referred to by the Board are old schools in existence prior to 1906-1907. The original cost of maintenance of these schools, viz., Rs. 1,960 is not covered by the recent grants. It is therefore submitted that the amount of Rs. 2,000 cut down in the budget may be given to the Board as a further grant. The budget for the current year is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 88,830 or 25.2 per cent. of receipts.

In G.O., No. 838 L., dated 20th June 1907, and G.O., No. 1174 L., dated 29th August 07.

G.O., No. 944 L., dated 5th July 1907.

G.O., No. 1204 L., dated 31st August 1907.

Cf. office-note on page 7 of the notes with G.O., No. 1225 L., dated 5th September 1907.

Cf. page 4 of G.O., No. 1225 L., dated 5th September 1907.

H.D.T.

Paragraph 9 of G.O., No. 507 L., dated 12th April 1907.

(3) *Vizagapatam*.—Even after making the retrenchments* ordered in G.O., No. 501 L., dated 12th April 1907, the recurring charges have not been brought within the normal income. The District Board does not appear to have either submitted proposals for effecting the reduction under Grant 2, or asked for additional grant on that account. The estimated closing balance is Rs. 65,090 or 15.6 per cent. of the receipts. No lump assignment for general educational purposes was made to the District Board in the last year. It is therefore for consideration whether any lump assignment should be made to this District Board in the current year.

* Includes elementary schools, Rs. 2,000.

H. D. TAYLOR.

Paragraph 11 of G.O., No. 501 L., dated 12th April 1907.

May be given Rs. 2,000.

H. D. TAYLOR.

(4) *Cuddapah*.—In submitting proposals for the retrenchment of expenditure in 1906-1907, the District Board suggested that 27 primary schools might be closed and that the services of 25 assistant teachers in certain other schools might be dispensed with. The Government, however, in G.O., No. 540 L., dated 5th May 1906, disapproved of these proposals, but promised to consider the question of a special assignment of grant for those purposes. The lump grant of Rs. 5,500 subsequently sanctioned † specially covered the charges for the above purposes. Please see pages 1 and 5 of the notes with G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

† In G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

Vide also column 6 of the annexure to G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

would be separately considered. The recurring charges in this District Board are still over the normal receipts—Cf. paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 504 L., dated 12th April 1907. The estimated closing balance is, however, Rs. 34,710 or 16.8 per cent. of the estimated receipts. The amount of Rs. 4,620 required for the maintenance of the 27 schools and for the provision of the pay of the 25 assistant teachers, referred to above, may perhaps be given to the Board as a further grant.

Rs. 4,600 may be given.

H. D. TAYLOR.

(5) *Gódavari*.—In submitting proposals for the retrenchment of expenditure in 1906–1907 the Taluk Board of Rajahmundry suggested the closure of 22 primary schools. The Government, however, on the advice of the Director of Public Instruction, declined to approve the proposals and made a special grant-in-aid of Rs. 2,505 from Provincial funds for the maintenance of those schools.

G.O., No. 546 L., dated 7th May 1906.
Column 6 of the annexure to G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906.

In the budget of the current year the recurring charges are still in excess of the normal receipts, the cost on account of the above schools being included among those charges. The budget, as revised by Government, is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 75,790 or 16·5 per cent. of the receipts. It is for consideration whether a special grant of Rs. 2,505 may be made to the Board as in last year in respect of the above schools.

Rupees 2,500 may be given. *Gódavari* is not the rich Board that it used to be.

H. D. TAYLOR.

Page 2 of G.O., No. 502 L., dated 12th April 1907.

- (1) for the maintenance of 16 of the Board elementary schools,
- (2) for the payment of capitation grants to Board school masters, and
- (3) for the maintenance of the elementary schools opened in 1905–1906 and in 1906–1907.

In paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 502 L., dated 12th April 1907, the Government observed that the question of making a provincial grant for these purposes from the special assignment in aid of elementary education would be separately considered, that further orders on the point would issue in Educational and that in the meantime the schools should not be closed. For the maintenance of new rural schools opened in 1906–1907 and to be opened in 1907–1908 the grant of Rs. 2,642 has been made to this District Board in G.O., No. 566, Educational, dated 29th August 1907, and provision for payment of capitation allowances is covered by the contribution sanctioned in G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907. No grant has been sanctioned for the maintenance of the 16 elementary schools above referred to for which Rs. 2,700 will be required.

In G.O., No. 838 L., dated 20th June 1907.

Rupees 2,700 may be given.

H. D. TAYLOR.

Paragraph 4 on page 3 of G.O., No. 502 L., dated 12th April 1907.

In the budget for current year, the recurring charges of the District Board are in excess of the ordinary receipts, but the balance under general funds is estimated at Rs. 34,210 or 19·9 per cent. of the receipts. It may perhaps be advisable to assist the District Board with a grant in respect of the maintenance of the 16 schools.

(7) *Bellary*.—In passing orders on the proposals of the District Board for curtailment of expenditure in 1906–1907, the Government reduced the allotment for elementary schools by Rs. 2,080 (G.O., No. 1434 L., dated 14th November 1906), which was met from the special contribution of Rs. 12,000 made to the Board from the six-lakhs grant. The Board has again placed the allotment for 1907–1908 at Rs. 2,710 below the original requirements. The reduced allotment

Page 3 of G.O., No. 485 L., dated 11th April 1907.

has been allowed in the budget. It might perhaps be desirable to subsidise the Board at least to this extent. The closing balance in the budget is Rs. 20,370 or 11·5 per cent. of the receipts.

Rupees 2,700 may be given.

H. D. TAYLOR.

5. If the proposals in the above paragraphs are approved, the following will be the requirements :—

		Rs.
Paragraph 4 (1), page 4 <i>supra</i> .	Anantapur	2,000
4 (2) ,, 5 ,,	Ganjám	2,000
2, page 4, and paragraph 4 (3), page 5 <i>supra</i> .	Vizagapatam	2,000
4 (4), page 5 <i>supra</i> .	Cuddapah	4,620
4 (5) ,, 6 ,,	Gódavari	2,505
4 (6) ,, 6 ,,	Kurnool	2,700
4 (7) <i>supra</i> .	Bellary	2,710
	Total	18,535

6. From an examination of the orders passed on the proposals of the District Boards in respect of new rural schools, it is observed that in several cases the opening of new schools has been disapproved on the ground that buildings had not been provided for them. In allotting further funds to District Boards for construction of school buildings, if such grants are to be made at all, these cases may be taken into consideration. These are as follows :—

Paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 1198 L., dated 30th August 1907.	(1) South Arcot District Board ..	8 schools.
2 of ,, ,, 1197 L. ,, 30th ,, ,,	(2) Chingleput	12 ,,
(These were all the schools which the Board proposed to open in 1907–1908.)		
2 of ,, ,, 1199 L. ,, 30th ,, ,,	(3) Cuddapah	1 school.
2 of ,, ,, 1204 L. ,, 31st ,, ,,	(4) Ganjám	2 schools.
1 of ,, ,, 1196 L. ,, 30th ,, ,,	(5) Kistna	5 ,,
2 of ,, ,, 1189 L. ,, 30th ,, ,,	(6) Salem	1 school.
	Total	29

7. In the case of the Nilgiris, the opening of schools at Gudalur and Kotagiri was sanctioned, and it was decided that the Board should be helped to construct new buildings for them. The President was asked to report what will be the cost of constructing the buildings. He has now reported that the cost will be approximately Rs. 5,000. This item may also be taken into consideration in distributing the grant.

H. D. TAYLOR.

C.R.—19-9-07.

The balances at the credit of District Boards have, to some extent, been swelled owing to lump reductions made by India in the grants under "Local" under the various heads. It is not therefore possible to allot additional funds from those balances for the current year for education unless some source of reappropriation can be clearly indicated ; and as the budget proposal under Civil Works in the Local section was also cut down by Rs. 4 lakhs, it is not possible to anticipate savings under that head from which reappropriation to any extent can take place.

The sums therefore which are required—as recurring items—to maintain schools which would otherwise have had to be abolished may be allotted as lump assignments from the Rs. 5 lakhs which was retained in the budget in the Local section out of the 6-lakh grant.

2. The Director of Public Instruction in submitting proposals for the allotment to Local Boards of the balance of Rs. 2,27,998 remaining unallotted out of that 5 lakhs (these proposals having perforce had to lie over until it was known what balance would remain for distribution after providing for revision of establishments and for rural schools) may be asked in the first instance to allot as lump sums for the maintenance of existing schools which would otherwise have to be closed the following amounts:—

			RS.
	South Canara		1,000
	Anantapur		2,000
	Ganjām		2,000
	Vizagapatam		2,000
	Cuddapah		4,500
	Górávari		2,500
	Kurnool		2,700
	Bellary		2,700
	Total		19,500

The Director of Public Instruction may also be asked to *consider* whether, with reference to the budget provision for grants-in-aid, any grant for that purpose should be made out of the special grant in respect of South Canara, South Arcot and North Arcot, with reference to the notes on page 4 *supra*.

3. After deducting the Rs. 19,500 specified at **A** *supra* there will remain for distribution Rs. 2,08,498, and the Director of Public Instruction may now be asked u.o. to submit proposals for its distribution for the following proposes in order:—

- Allotments, if any, for grants-in-aid as at **B** *supra*.
- Allotments for completion of incomplete buildings of 1906-1907. In this connection the unexpended balances of 1905-1906, which have been reallotted on the budget for 1907-1908, should be taken into consideration (page 2 *supra*).
- Equipment of school buildings.
- Construction of new buildings at centres where Board schools already exist. In allotting these sums Rs. 5,000 may be given to the Nilgiris and the other districts referred to in paragraph 6 of the Local and Municipal office-note on page 7 should be provided for, if necessary.

In making further allotments reference should be made to the needs of the more necessitous districts, *e.g.*, the Ceded districts, Chingleput and Nellore and perhaps South Canara, the District Boards of which are in impoverished circumstances, and reference should also be had to the grants made for this purpose in the past two years when some of the richer District Boards got the lion's share partly perhaps because they were more clamorous and partly because they were able to spend the money with greater expedition.

4. In his u.o. note of 24th August 1907 (para. 1), the Director of Public Instruction asked whether he might include proposals in respect of the balance of Rs. 1 lakh in the *Provincial* section. Financial have separately objected to this until the Rs. 5 lakhs have been completely allotted, and until it is seen whether the balance referred to can be usefully expended within the *Provincial* section. The distribution of that balance will form the subject of a separate u.o. reference.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-9-07.

I quite agree.

G. S. F[ORBES]—21-9-07.

To the Director of Public Instruction for favour of submission of proposals as early as may be practicable for distribution of the balance of Rs. 2,08,498 which remains after the lump assignment of Rs. 19,500 specified at **A** *supra*.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-9-07.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

I think that Tanjore should be added to the list on page 8 of these notes and a sum of Rs. 1,500 allotted for the seven elementary schools under the Pattukkottai Taluk Board. This would reduce the balance to Rs. 2,06,998. To this amount may be added Rs. 50,530 on account of reallotments (page 2 of these notes). The total available for distribution is Rs. 2,57,528 and the proposals must include *at least* the amount of the reallotment for each Board which it has to reallot.

2. The Secretary specifies four purposes marked *a, b, c, d*. I give these the following short titles:—

- Subsidy.
- Completion.
- Equipment.
- New construction.

To these I presume may be added—

- Lump assignments.

These lump assignments are dealt with in Memorandum No. 589, dated 23rd November 1906. (These are not the same as the "lump sums" dealt with on page 8 *supra*.)

3. I deal with the lump assignments first. On receipt of the Memorandum No. 589 Mr. Logan communicated with the Presidents of the Boards therein mentioned, *i.e.*, Ceded Districts, Chingleput, Nellore, Górávari, Koraput, and the Nilgiris.

The only means which have been asked for as the result of Mr. Logan's communication are —

	RS.
Górávari	1,420
Kurnool	10,000
Nilgiris	2,000
Anantapur	5,000

RS.
4,333
1,420
5,753
2,500
3,253

The Górávari case is complicated. The President asks for Rs. 4,333 for the maintenance of existing Board schools and Rs. 1,420 in "aid of Elementary Education", Rs. 2,500 is already allotted for the former so I propose Rs. 3,253 for the latter.

Bellary has not asked for anything, but Memorandum No. 589 states that the provision of Rs. 12,000 will be repeated.

The other Presidents have not asked for anything in this connection, so I propose —

	RS.
Górávari	3,253
Kurnool	10,000
Nilgiris	2,000
Anantapur	5,000
Bellary	12,000
Total	32,253

If this or any part of it is disapproved, it may be added to the "new construction" fund; I have proposals ready.

4. *Subsidy*.—This means earmarking a portion for aided schools. I thought, perhaps wrongly, that this was not the original intention. There is nothing distinctly against using it for aided schools (*i.e.*, schools under private management) in G.O., No. 282, Educational, dated 2nd May 1905; I have not seen the original letter from the Government of India No. 1763-A, dated 23rd March 1905, since its first arrival. My office cannot say exactly what will be wanted on the whole as subsidy for a fortnight or more, but it is expected that the budget allotment Rs. 5,64,000 for Provincial

contributions for Board Schools *plus* subsidy can be fully utilized with perhaps more if available. I therefore take this opportunity of proposing the following amounts to be added to the subsidy :—

								RS.
South Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,000
South Canara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,500
North Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,500
Total ..								7,000

See page 4 of the notes in regard to these three districts.

5. *Completion*.—This includes completing and extending buildings under construction during the last two years and adding walls or fences and wells. The proposed distribution is given at the end of this note, it is based upon details of the actual requirements. The total is Rs. 91,180.

6. *Equipment*.—The same remarks apply. The total is Rs. 20,155.

7. *New construction*.—The balance now left for this is Rs. 1,06,940. The proposals made by the Boards relate, as directed, to new buildings for existing Board Schools only. The amount applied for is Rs. 2,12,073.

The Boards which have not had a "lion's share" are — Koraput, Nellore, Gó dávari, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur, Cuddapah, the Nilgiris, Chingleput and South Canara. Of these Koraput can spend nothing in this particular way; to the Nilgiris I propose the full amount asked for (Rs. 5,580); to the others — about three-fourth of the full demands.

The sums proposed for the other Boards amount to about 35 per cent. of the demands. In all cases the reallotment is fully absorbed by the Board making it. The allotment for Guntúr district includes Rs. 560 for a school at Addanki (G O., No. 347, Educational, dated 5th June 1907).

I append a statement embodying the above proposals except the Rs. 1,500 for Tanjore and amounting therefore to Rs. 2,57,528.

A. G. BOURNE—2-10-07.

Appendix.

	Lump assignment.	Subsidy.	Completion.	Equipment.	New construction.	Total.	Reallotment.	Present allotment.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjám	..	..	6,252	995	750	7,997	4,810	3,187	7,997
Vizagapatam	..	..	14,087	3,220	2,000	19,307	..	19,307	19,307
Koraput	..	..	120	240	..	360	..	360	360
Gó dávari	3,253	..	2,040	605	9,000	11,645	..	11,646	14,898
Kistna	..	..	2,605	701	3,000	6,306	..	6,306	6,306
Guntúr	..	..	5,132	375	3,000	8,507	..	8,507	8,507
Kurnool	10,000	..	1,300	234	12,000	13,534	..	13,534	23,534
Bellary	12,000	..	4,330	960	5,000	10,290	9,730	560	22,290
Anantapur	5,000	..	2,897	75	7,000	9,972	1,390	8,582	14,972
Cuddapah	..	..	4,095	..	8,500	12,595	..	12,595	12,595
Nellore	..	..	5,500	450	5,000	10,950	..	10,950	10,950
Chingleput	..	..	2,850	..	6,000	7,850	1,900	5,950	7,850
North Arcot	..	2,500	2,607	1,290	1,500	7,897	5,720	2,177	7,897
South Arcot	..	2,000	1,370	740	2,000	6,110	2,920	3,190	6,110
Tanjore	..	..	9,720	1,220	6,500	17,440	7,080	10,360	17,440
Trichinopoly	..	..	5,802	..	2,000	7,802	..	7,802	7,802
Madura	..	..	10,815	3,255	5,500	19,570	2,720	16,850	19,570
Tinnevely	..	..	3,690	1,470	750	5,910	1,050	4,860	5,910
Coimbatore	..	..	2,722	862	3,000	6,584	1,080	5,504	6,584
Salem	..	..	210	1,775	8,355	10,340	10,340	..	10,340
Nilgiris	2,000	..	676	588	5,580	6,844	..	6,844	8,844
Malabar	..	..	830	350	4,005	5,215	1,070	4,145	5,215
South Canara	..	2,500	1,500	750	7,500	12,250	720	11,530	12,250
Total ..	32,253	7,000	91,180	20,155	1,06,940	2,25,275	50,530	1,74,745	2,57,528

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The Director of Public Instruction (Dr. Bourne) submits proposals for the distribution of the balance of the grant of five lakhs for Elementary education placed in the Local section of the current year's budget estimate. The balance available after the allotments sanctioned in G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907, and No. 566, Educational, dated 29th August 1907, is Rupees 2,27,998.

2. The Secretary proposed in paragraph 2 of his note on page 8 *supra* that lump grants as shown below might be granted to the following Boards :—

								RS.
South Canara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,000
Anantapur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,000
Ganjám ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,000
Vizagapatam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,000
Cuddapah ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	4,600
Gó dávari ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,500
Kurnool ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,700
Bellary ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,700

Dr. Bourne would add to the above list a grant of Rs. 1,500 for Tanjore. If this is approved the total of the lump grants is Rs. 21,000.

3. The balance that will then be left for distribution is Rs. 2,06,998. Adding to this the unexpended balances of the grants for 1905-1906, which the several Boards have been ordered to reallot in 1907-1908, namely, Rs. 50,530, the total amount available for distribution is Rs. 2,57,528. The Director of Public

Instruction's distribution of this sum is given in the statement on page 10 *supra*. It is for consideration whether the distribution may be accepted.

4. In paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 1363 L., dated 30th September 1907, the Government disapproved the proposal of the District Board of Anantapur to abolish for six months the sessional school under the Anantapur Taluk Board and to withhold the payment of capitation grants from the teachers of the Board schools, in order to effect the reduction * in the allotment under Grant 2, Education, ordered in G.O., No. 510 L., dated 12th April 1907; and stated that the question whether the funds of the Board should be augmented with additional special grants from Provincial funds in order to meet the cost of education would be separately considered. The Director of Public Instruction proposes to give a lump assignment to this Board of Rs. 5,000, † while according to paragraph 2 *supra* a special allotment of Rs. 2,000

is to be made to this body. These grants will, it is submitted, enable the Board to meet its educational expenditure without difficulty.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—9-10-07.

Dr. Bourne's distribution seems very reasonable.

C. A. SOUTER—10-10-07.

I don't quite understand the necessity for the lump grants proposed at A *supra*.

Last year we gave Bellary Rs. 12,000 and the Board utilised it mainly on buildings—for which purpose it was not given. It was given in relief of general funds, so again the allotment to Kurnool seems unduly large.

Will Local and Municipal please examine this sharp with reference to the District Board budgets?

H. D. TAYLOR—11-10-07.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the assignment of lump grants in 1906-1907, under G.O., No. 738, Educational, dated 14th November 1906, to the eight District Boards appears to have been made more with reference to the general financial condition of the District Boards than on account of the ascertained needs of the local bodies in the matter of education. From the previous examination of the budgets, it was observed that the *actual needs* of the District Boards would be sufficiently met if the grants specified at **A** on page 8 *supra* were made. Of the five District Boards mentioned at **K** in the Director of Public Instruction's note on page 9 above, the assignments

* Godáviri. The Nilgiris.
Kurnool. Anantapur.

proposed for four * have been based merely on *their* requests for the grants. In the absence of the letters from these

District Boards, it does not seem possible to say on what basis these claims have been preferred. Kurnool and the Nilgiris have merely repeated the lump assignments of last year, while Anantapur asks for half of the last year's allotment. But the request of Godáviri does not seem to have any reference to the grant of last year. These District Boards have formulated their claims, without any reference to the grants, which the Government contemplate to make to meet the actual needs on account of education, as determined on page 16. It therefore appears that the lump assignments for the four District Boards should be taken to represent the maximum requirements, *including* the grants specified on page 8 *supra* for those District Boards, and not supplementary to them.

2. The assignment proposed for Bellary was based on paragraph 5 of the Memorandum No. 589, Educational, dated 23rd November 1906, in which it was stated that the last year's lump assignment of Rs. 12,000 for that Board would be repeated. It is not understood on what notes this order was based.

3. The following statement shows how far the lump assignments made in 1906-1907 to the five District Boards referred to by the Director were utilized for the object for which they were intended, viz., for the maintenance of the existing schools:—

District Boards.	Grants for specific purposes.	Lump assignments.	Total grant in 1906-1907.	Total expenditure on the specific purposes.	Amount appropriated from the lump assignments, for specific purposes.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Godáviri	20,500	10,000*	30,500	27,581	7,081
2. Kurnool	13,900	10,000	23,900	19,696	5,796
3. Anantapur	10,300	10,000	20,300	8,013	..
4. Nilgiris, The	7,968	2,000	9,968	7,907	..
5. Bellary	29,300	12,000	41,300	35,928	9,505

From the above, it will be seen that only the District Boards of Anantapur and the Nilgiris utilized the lump assignments for general educational purposes, while the others, especially Bellary and Godáviri, spent the amount mostly in the construction of buildings, thereby showing that the general funds of the Boards were able sufficiently to provide for the maintenance of the existing schools.

4. As the cases in which retrenchment of proposed expenditure on education have been ordered by Government, and the cases in which District Boards were unable to provide the full allotments for existing institutions from their ordinary revenues, have already been noted in the notes on pages 4 to 7 *supra*, it may perhaps be desirable to examine whether the five District Boards named by the Director actually need any further help with reference to their general financial condition. The following statement shows in one view the allotments made for education by those Boards and the state of their balances:—

District Boards.	Total allotment for education in the budget estimate for 1907-1908.	Allotment for Board elementary schools in the budget.	Total grant from Government taken credit for Board schools.	Estimated closing balance.	Excess of the balance over 5 per cent. of the normal income.	Actual balance at the end of 1906-1907.	Excess of the actual balance over 5 per cent. of the income.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Godáviri	82,840	* 56,120	† 16,200	75,790	52,962	1,03,774	80,736
Kurnool	41,330	19,900	..	34,210	25,615	48,539	38,427
Anantapur	30,250	15,560	2,500	18,910	13,507	63,507	57,344
Nilgiris, The	7,390	5,870	480	4,970	2,298	11,150	8,477
Bellary	38,130	15,500	3,000	20,370	11,513	35,045	24,090

* Proportionate deductions on account of "probable savings" have not been made.
† Separate figures for elementary and secondary schools are not available.

In the case of the District Boards of Kurnool and Anantapur, recurring charges provided in the budget are in excess of the normal receipts to a large extent, while in that of Godáviri such charges are only slightly in excess of the receipts. From the above statement it will be observed that Kurnool, Bellary and Anantapur have taken credit for little or no grant on account of existing schools, when compared to the other Boards; but such grants are determined only with reference to the financial needs. It therefore appears that there is not as much necessity for the lump assignments in the current year as in the previous year.

C.R.—14-10-07.

The lump assignments suggested on page 8 *supra* were calculated as the sums necessary to produce financial equilibrium without reducing the existing schools and I do not think that any real case has been shown for the additional lump assignments now proposed by the Director of Public Instruction to the five districts specified at **K** on page 9 *supra*.

Last year these special grants were not profitably utilized by the Boards.

2. The Director of Public Instruction has proposals ready for the utilization of G. S. F[ORBES]. this sum, Rs. 32,253, and he may be asked to submit those proposals.

The special needs of District Boards in the matter of lump contributions have been fully considered in making the allotments at **A** on page 11 *supra*.

G. S. F[ORBES]. The grant of Rs. 1,500 for schools in Pattukkottai, Tanjore district, may be approved.

Orders may issue accepting the distribution with the exception of the Rs. 32,253 referred to, or the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to submit separate proposals in regard to the distribution of this sum.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-10-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-10-07.

G. S. F[ORBES]—15-10-07.

Please draft for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-10-07.

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval. It may issue with the concurrence of the Financial Department.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—21-10-07.

C. A. SOUTER—23-10-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may be accepted in Financial.

A.H.—24-10-07.

The draft seems to be unobjectionable so far as Financial is concerned. It distributes money already in the budget and is not intended to commit Provincial to recurring expenditure.

C. M. SCHMIDT—25-10-07.

H. BRADLEY—29-10-07.

This is not in accordance with orders. The draft and statement are incomplete and leave out of account the sum of Rs. 19,500 which has to be allotted to the various District Boards as lump assignment in aid of their balance—*vide* page 8 *supra*—to which Rs. 1,500 should be added for Tanjore.

A | The additional lump allotment proposed by the Director of Public Instruction must be specifically disallowed.

Paragraph 3 requires amplification. A report as to the expenditure incurred under each head and of the number of buildings constructed be submitted by the end of July 1908.

Please revise accordingly.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-10-07.

A revised draft order is submitted for approval.

2. With reference to the portion of the Secretary's note marked A *supra*, it is submitted that the proposals regarding the special lump allotments to certain District Boards made by the Director of Public Instruction are contained in a u.o.

Need not be referred to. It will only give a handle to Boards to make claim. I have shown the file to Director of Public Instruction personally and explained matters.

H. D. TAYLOR.

note and it does not therefore appear that the proposed lump allotments can be specifically disallowed in the draft order. The draft (paragraph 3) however says that the Government are not satisfied of the necessity for any further grants to the District Boards referred to.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—1-11-07.

I am sorry I did not notice the omission of the lump grants amounting to Rs. 19,500 at page 8 *supra*. It escaped me owing to the subsequent unofficial reference to Director of Public Instruction. Financial has accepted the principle of the draft which may now issue. The last sentence of paragraph 3 seems a little incongruous perhaps.

C. A. SOUTER—2-11-07.

The draft should issue in manuscript to *Director of Public Instruction* and Accountant-General *at once* (to reach them on *Monday*). Please send fair copy to me for signature at 11-15 A.M. on Monday. Then print with notes very urgently.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-11-07.

[G.O., No. 736, Educational, dated 4th November 1907.]

[L. & M.]
207.
1,000-17-12-10



to 510 L
12/4/07 W
Ed 2 CR 2437
12

augmented with... grants from Provincial funds,
in order to enable it to meet the charges on account of education,
will be separately considered.

Received 190 Registered 27/10/1907

3. In view of the importance of the terms mentioned, the
Government are unable to approve the proposal of the District
Board to abolish the sessional school at Anantapur. The
Abstract.

Passing orders on the proposals submitted by the
Dt. Board of Anantapur for the curtailment of
expenditure under certain heads.

2P

5. The proposal of the Board to effect the reduction of
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., No. 1368 L., dated 30th September 1907.

Read the following paper

ENCLOSURES.

No.

From the President, District Board, Anantapur, P.C.

No. 33, dated 26th July 1907.

From the same officer, No. 33, dated 23rd September 1907.

ORDER:- Assistant Secretary to Government.

The Government are unable to approve the proposal
of the District Board of Anantapur to abolish for six
months the sessional school under the Anantapur Taluk
Board in order to effect the reduction under the allot-
ment under Grant 2, Education, ordered in G.O. No. 510 L.,
dated 12th April 1907. A sessional school is one of the
most needed institutions. Nor are the Government prepared
to entertain the proposal of the Board to withhold, for the
same purpose, the payment of capitation grants from
the teachers of the Board schools. The question whether
whether the resources of the Board should be further

augmented

13 38

augmented with additional special grants from Provincial funds, in order to enable it to meet the charges on account of education, will be separately considered.

2. In view of the importance of the towns mentioned, the Government are unable to accept the proposal of the District Board to abolish the dispensary at Yadiki and to convert the hospitals at Tadpatri and Penukonda into dispensaries. The funds required for the maintenance of these institutions in their present state may be met by reappropriation from the assignment made to the District Board from the special 14 lakhs grant, the programme of works to be charged to the allotment from that grant being modified accordingly.

3. The proposal of the Board to effect the reduction of Rs.1,000 made under Grant 3 - Sanitation, by reappropriation under Grant 1 is approved. But the reduction of Rs.1,000 proposed in the allotment under grant 1 for "incomplete works" need not be made.

(True Extract)

Ch. Saiter

Assistant Secretary to Government.

To
The President, District Board, Anantapur.
The Educational Department.

End

Communicated to the D.P.
2. It is presumed that the point referred to in para 2 of the G.O. will be considered in connection with the distribution of the five lakhs grant.

5/10



1907

Received

Passing order on the proposals submitted by the District Board of Anantapur for the establishment of expenditure under certain heads

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

1907

dated

G.O. No.

EXHIBIT

Read the following paper

From the President, District Board, Anantapur, dated 1907.
When the same arrived, No. 1907, dated 1907.

The Government are pleased to approve the proposal of the District Board of Anantapur to abolish the dispensary at Yadiki and to convert the hospitals at Tadpatri and Penukonda into dispensaries. The funds required for the maintenance of these institutions in their present state may be met by reappropriation from the assignment made to the District Board from the special 14 lakhs grant, the programme of works to be charged to the allotment from that grant being modified accordingly.

NOTES.

augmented with additional special grants from Provincial funds, in order to enable it to meet the charges on account of education, will be separately considered.

2. In view of the importance of the items mentioned, the Government are unable to accept the proposal of the District Board to abolish the Dispensary at Kadi and to convert the Dispensary at Tabor and Pambou into dispensaries. The funds required for the maintenance of these institutions in their present state may be met by reappropriation from the assignment made to the District Board from the special 14 lakhs grant. The programme of works to be charged to the allotment from that grant being modified accordingly.

3. The proposal of the Board to effect the reduction of Rs. 1,000 made under Grant 2 - Sanitation, by reappropriation under Grant 1 is approved. The reduction of Rs. 1,000 proposed in the allotment under Grant 1 for "Incomplete works" need not be made.

(True Extract)

Chatter

Assistant Secretary to Government.

To The President, District Board, Amritsar.
The Educational Department.

Copy

Communicated to the D.S. 2. It is suggested that the grant referred to para 2 of the G.O. will be considered in connection with the distribution of the five lakhs grant.

2/10

(Whitehead connected with 88. No. 736 311. D) 6-11-07

Educational Department.

14
18



Subject.

Distribution of the six lakhs grant
for primary education.

Out of the five lakhs (of the special grant of six lakhs for primary education) which was placed in the Local section of the Civil Budget Estimate for the current year, Rs. 2,72,002 has been allotted to District Boards towards the cost of the revision of the establishments of their old elementary schools, in accordance with the Educational Rules, and the cost of maintenance of the rural schools newly opened in 1906-07 and to be opened in 1907-08. The grants were distributed as shown below:--

124,447
147,555
272,002

(a) Rs. 395,581 5 20. 6. 07 - B
(b) Rs. 566,581 5 29. 8. 07 - A

	(a) On account of old elementary schools. Rs.	(b) On account of new rural schools. Rs.
Anantapur	1,663	1,098
Arcot, North	4,730	9,784
Arcot, South	5,663	4,978
Bellary	1,387	4,013
Canara, South	6,862	1,418
Chingleput	1,791	5,214
Coimbatore	3,337	8,292
Cuddapah	3,721	5,863
Ganjam	3,505	9,272
Godavari	14,639	5,502
Guntur	7,499	4,380
Kistna	17,755	11,088
Koraput	548	576
Kurnool	5,229	2,642
Madura	3,587	6,865
Malabar	9,741	4,997
Nellore	4,181	1,876
Nilgiris, The	861	1,258
Salem	14,835	7,662
Tanjore	2,473	15,667
Tinnevelly	4,462	7,309
Trichinopoly	2,363	11,659
Vizagapatam	3,575	15,642
Total	1,24,447	1,47,555

500,000
272,002
227,998

cf. para 4 of go. no. 39581
dated 20th June 1907 - B; also
para. 4 of the Secretary's note
on page 2 No. 8056681 A
29.8.07

* Eighteen replies have been
received up to date - They
are bound together & put up - E

vide the Register - E

2.

15 28

2. The balance available for allotment in the current year out of this sum of five lakhs is Rs. 2,27,998. The D.P.I. may be asked to submit proposals for the allotment of this sum for items of non-recurring expenditure, such as,

- (1) equipment of school buildings;
- (2) completion of buildings already commenced but still incomplete;
- (3) provision of buildings for Board schools which are not now properly housed; and
- (4) lump grants to such of the District Boards as are unable to meet from their own funds their general educational expenditure.

D

3. From the replies to G.O. No. 411, Edl., dated 27th July 1907, which have till now been received, it is observed that a portion of the allotments made in 1906-07 from the six lakhs grant has been expended on the construction of buildings in villages where fairly efficient aided schools already existed, with the result that the number of Board elementary schools have been increased at the expense of aided schools. The employment of ^{the special} grant in this manner was, however, not contemplated, and it seems to be desirable that before a Board should be allowed to expend any more money on the construction of buildings for ~~constructing~~ ^{opening} new schools, it should ~~first~~ be required to provide suitable buildings and proper equipment to existing schools.

4. The D.P.I.'s attention may be drawn

drawn to para.6 of G.O. No.738, Edl., dated 14th November 1906, in which the Government have already directed that in 1907-08 the construction of new buildings should be limited to the provision of proper accommodation for schools already in existence and that in submitting proposals for the distribution of the grant for the current year he should make allowance for the unexpended balances of the grant allotted in 1905-06.

5. The following statement shows the unexpended balances under the several District Boards and the amounts which the Government have ordered to be reallocated for expenditure on the same objects in 1907-08:--

Serial Number.	Name of District.	Amount of grant sanctioned	Amount expended	Balance	Amount ordered to be reallocated in 1907-08	
		Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	
1	Anantapur	9000	7609	1218	1390	✓
2	Arcot, North	37000	31285	5715	5720	✓
3	Arcot, South	16000	13082	2918	2920	✓
4	Bellary	18000	8269	9731	9730	✓
5	Canara, South	21350	20633	717	720	✓
6	Chingleput	17000	15103	1897	1900	✓
7	Coimbatore	27700	26617	1083	1080	✓
8	Cuddapah	18500	18500	"	"	
9	Ganjām	30000	25189	4811	4810	✓
10	Górávari	16750	16650	100	"	
11	Guntúr	14000	14000	"	"	
12	Kistna	35600	35520	80	"	
13	Koraput	3000	3000	"	"	
14	Kurnool	10000	10000	"	"	
15	Madura	28000	25278	2722	2720	✓
16	Malabar	35000	33925	1075	1070	✓
17	Nellore	13000	13000	"	"	
18	Nilgiris, The	9100	9046	54	"	
19	Salem	62000	51657	10343	10340	✓
20	Tanjore	44000	36919	7081	7080	✓
21	Tinnevelly	16000	14953	1047	1050	✓
22	Trichinopoly	30000	29695	305	"	
23	Vizagapatam	56000	56000	"	"	
Total		566870	515930	50897	50530	
Total		6-6	6-6	6-6	6-6	

The D.P.I. has no statement on what
the cash proposals for lump
assignments. This must be worked out
with M. H.S.

vide para. 5 of memo No. 589 dated
dated 23rd November 1906. H₂

vide page 7 of 80. 738 Est. L.
14. 11-06

② This grant was subsequently sur-
rendered by the Board, as it was
in excess of requirements. vide
paper of Mr. G. No. 178 Est.
25.3.07

H₂

Page 120 of 108

6. In proposing the distribution
of the balance of the grant for the
current year (Rs. 2,27,998), the D.P.I.
may be requested to take into considera-
tion in addition to the points mentioned
in paras. 3 & 4 supra, the actual needs of
the District Boards. Lump assignments
should be made, though not in the same
liberal scale as in the previous year, to
the necessitous Boards such as those of
the Ceded Districts, Chingleput, Nellore,
Godavari, Koraput and the Nilgiris. The
lump grants given to these Boards in
1906-07 were

	Rs.
③ Koraput	1,358 /
Nellore	10,000 /
Godavari	10,000 /
Kurnool	10,000 /
Bellary	12,000 /
Anantapur	10,000 /
The Nilgiris	2,000 /
Cuddapah	10,000 /

The grant of Rs. 12,000 in the case
of Bellary may, as pointed out in para. 5
of Memo. No. 589, dated 23rd November 1906
be repeated this year also.

7. The balance of one lakh of the
six lakhs grant which was retained on
the Provincial side of the Civil Budget
Estimate was provided under the following
heads:--

- (1) Rs. 83,000 - Lump grant for primary
education, to meet the
cost of provincializ-
ing the staff of
supervisors of primary
schools, of the reorga-
nization of Muham-
madan Girls' Schools &
etc.

Paper 40 of 1938

Para 5 of Rs. 498 Rs. - I
2-8-07

Note

The Govt of India do not appear to have yet forwarded an scheme to the S.O.S.

Rs. 515 Rs. 1
6-8-07

Rs. 498 Rs. - I
2-8-07

100000
13200
86800

* Paper here gone to File no.

(2) Rs. 15,000 - To meet the cost of re-organization of the practising sections of primary training schools and

(3) Rs. 2,000 - For Karur Educational Museum.

The last of these items has already been utilized, while the D.P.I. has reported in another connection that only Rs. 10,000 out of item (2) will be required in the current year. It is not likely that the scheme for the provincialization of supervisors of primary schools will come into force in the current year, while the additional expenditure involved by the reorganization of the Muhammadan girls' schools will be only about Rs. 1,200. The total saving that may be expected under this one lakh will thus be Rs. 86,800. Of this sum, however, Rs. 12,845 has already been allotted to Municipal Councils towards the extra cost entailed by the revision of the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with the Educational Rules, and the D.P.I. has asked for an additional allotment of Rs. 15,000 for stipends to elementary teachers. The net saving available under this head is thus Rs. 58,955. The D.P.I. may be asked to submit proposals for utilizing this sum. It cannot now be transferred to the Local section of the budget and thereby made available for allotment to District Boards, without the sanction of the Government of India, but if the D.P.I. can suggest no means of utilizing it otherwise, the

20 7P

the [Government of India will have to be moved to sanction its transfer to the Local section ^{if it is not to lapse -} This question has, however, been separately raised.

20
14.9.07

While L. M. please note very urgent the case in which lump sum grant are required for D. B. in order to assist them in maintaining existing school which would otherwise be want of fund, have had to be closed. There are several files in which withdrawal of expenditure was ordered so as to provide the required minimum for communications - e.g. Sanyal. These should be examined very urgently & the full condition of these D. B. concerned states. ~~last Budget~~ ^{last Budget} & a file of Budget revenue ~~D. B.~~ ^{D. B.} of 1907-8 with which should be put up.

15.9.07

d. M. no.

Copy/

20 7P

All the budgets of District Boards for 1907-08 have been examined, and a file of them is also submitted.

2. In the following cases, the allotments under Grant 2 - Education - proposed by the District Boards in their budgets for the current year, have been reduced to the extent noted against each on account of excess in the recurring charges over normal receipts:--

U	- 40.510L, dy 12.4.07	(1) Anantapur	Rs. 2,000
W	.. 507L, dy 12.4.07	(2) Ganjam	2,000
XX	.. 501L, dy 12.4.07	(3) Vizagapatam	2,000
XV	.. 487L, dy 11.4.07	(4) South Arcot	2,000
L	.. 509L, dy 12.4.07	(5) South Canara	2,500
B	.. 497L, dy 11.4.07	(6) North Arcot	2,500

The reductions in the last three cases relate only to the provisions for grants-in-aid. These need ^{not} be considered now, as their case is one to be taken up in connection with the distribution of lump allotment for subsidies.

3. Besides the cases noted above, in the following three cases, the District Boards were unable to provide funds towards the cost of elementary education, without raising the recurring charges over the normal receipts:--

Cuddapah	4,620
Godavari	2,505
Kurnool	2,700

In all these cases the required provision

[in file board below]

R 870 was given last year to S. Canara for working school. Reg L. But the B.D. has not been given available for grant under subsidy to this extent. This special grant was used for aid by way of outlay also. B.D. has asked, in the budget, to have all school under this. Grant. Ganjam also proposes a reduction of Rs 7000 under outlay - but provision is required with B.D. Reg. L.

para 4(4) - page 10 infra
.. 4(5) .. 11 --
.. 4(6) .. 12 --

9.

22 9P

provision has been retained in the budgets.

In the case of Bellary, the District Board in framing its budget for 1907-08 has reduced its expenditure under Education by Rs.2,710. The case of each of the above Boards is dealt with separately below.

4. ⁽¹⁾ Anantapur.---In order to effect ~~xxx~~ the retrenchment of Rs.2,000 ordered by Government, the District Board proposed to withhold the payment of capitation grants and to close a sessional school for six months. It has been decided to disallow these proposals and to accommodate the Board with a further special grant of Rs.2,000. The closing balance provided in the budget for the current year is Rs.18,910 or 17.5 % of the receipts.

⁽²⁾ Ganjam.---The proposal of the Board to transfer 19 of the elementary schools to private management so as to cut down the recurring expenditure under Education as ordered by Government, was disapproved in G.O. No.1255 L., dated 5th September 1907, "in view of the special grants "that are being made for the extension of "elementary education." The grants hitherto made to the District Boards have been on account of (a) the net additional cost of maintaining the old schools in accordance with the revised Educational Rules and (b) the entire cost of maintenance of new rural schools. The nineteen schools referred to by the Board are old schools in existence prior to

1906-07

Slip U
* in G.O. 510 L
12.4.07

in file which is now with financial for acceptance of draft.

G.O. 1225 L
5.9.07

TV
* in G.O. 838 L
+ G.O. 20607
1174 L
298.07
G.O. 944 L - D
5.7.07

Slip 71
G.O. 1204 L
31.8.07

Cf. Office note on p. 7 of the notes with
G.O. 1225 L - Slip L
5.9.07

Q. p. 4 of Rs. 12252 - slip 2
5.9.07

para. 9 of Rs. 5072 - slip W
12.4.07

XX
* include. Elementary Schools
Rs. 2000/-

para 11 of Rs. 5012 - slip XX
12.4.07

May be given Rs. 2000/-
1/2

p. 2 of L.O. 5402 - XVI
5.5.06

XVI
(para. 2)

* in L.O. No. 738 Edl - slip 1
14.11.06

1906-07. The original cost of main-
tenance of these schools viz: Rs.1,960 is
not covered by the recent grants. It
is therefore submitted that the amount of
Rs.2,000 cut down in the budget may be
given to the Board as a further grant. The budget
for the current year is expected to close with a balance of
Rs. 88,230 or 2% of receipts.
(3) Vizagapatnam. -- Even after making the
retrenchments ordered in G.O. No.501 L.,
dated 12th April 1907, the recurring
charges have not been brought within the
normal income. The District Board does
not appear to have either submitted pro-
posals for effecting the reduction under
Grant 2, or asked for additional grant on
that account. The estimated closing ~~balance~~
balance is Rs.65,090 or 15.6 per cent. of
the receipts. No lump assignment for
general educational purposes was made to
the District Board in the last year. It
is therefore for consideration whether
any lump assignment should be made to this
District Board in the current year.

(4) Cuddanah. -- In submitting pro-
posals for the retrenchment of expenditure
in 1906-07, the District Board suggested
that 27 primary schools might be closed
and that the services of 25 assistant
teachers in certain other schools might
be dispensed with. The Government, however,
in G.O. No.540 L., dated 5th May 1906,
disapproved of these proposals, but ~~promised~~
promised to consider the question of a
special assignment of grant for those pur-
poses. The lump grant of Rs. 5300
subsequently sanctioned ^{specifically} covered the charges
for

for the above purposes ~~also~~. Please see pages 1⁵ of the notes with G.O. No. 738, Edl dated 14th November 1906. In the budget for the current year, the Government stated that the question of making a grant for the above purposes would be separately considered. The recurring charges in this District Board are still over the normal receipts - c.f. para. 1 of G.O. No. 504 L1, dated 12th April 1907. The estimated closing balance is, however, Rs. 34,710 or 16.8 per cent. of the estimated ^{rough} ~~mate~~. The amount of Rs. 4,620 required for the maintenance of the 27 schools and for the provision of the pay of the 25 assistant teachers, referred to above, may perhaps be given to the Board as a further grant.

(5) Godavari.--In submitting proposals for the retrenchment of expenditure in 1906-07 the Taluk Board of Rajahmundry suggested the closure of 22 primary schools. The Government, however, on the advice of the D.P.I., declined to approve the proposals and made a special grant-in-aid of Rs. ~~2,500~~ ^{2,505} from Provincial funds for the maintenance of those schools.

In the budget of the current year the recurring charges are still in excess of the normal receipts, the cost on account of the above schools being included among the charges. The budget, as revised by Government, is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 75,790 or 16.6 per cent. of the receipts. It is for consideration

See also col. 6 of the annexure to G.O. 738 Edl 14.11.06 ^{Slip I}

~~Slip XV~~

cf. stat in p. 5 of G.O. 540 L 5.5.06 ^{Slip XVI}

R. 4600 may be given

p. 2 of G.O. 546 L 7.5.06 ^{Slip K}

G.O. 546 L 7.5.06 ^{Slip K}

Col. 6 of the annexure to G.O. 738 Edl 14.11.06 ^{Slip I}

cf. para of G.O. 486 L 11.4.07 ^{Slip XXI}

Sum
Rs. 2500 may be given
Sodavari is not the real
Board that it used to be
1892

p. 2 of L.O. 502 L - slip XIX
12.407

XIX

in L.O. 1174 L - V
29.807

in L.O. 838 L - slip IV
20.607

Rs. 2700 may be given
1892

para 4 on p. 3 of L.O. 502 L - XIX
12.407

12.

25 12P

consideration whether a special grant of
~~Rs. 2500~~ ²⁵⁰⁵ may be made to the Board as in
last year in respect of the above schools.

(b) Kurnool.--For want of funds, the
District Board of Kurnool made no provi-
sion in its budget estimate for 1907-08--

(1) for the maintenance of 16 of the
Board elementary schools,

(2) for the payment of capitation
grants to Board school masters, and

(3) for the maintenance of the
elementary schools opened in 1905-06 and
in 1906-07.

In para. 3 of G.O. No. 502 L., dated
12th April 1907, the Government observed
that the question of making a provincial
grant for these purposes from the special
assignment in aid of elementary education
would be separately considered, that fur-
ther orders on the point would issue in
Educational and that in the meantime the
schools should not be closed. For the
maintenance of new rural schools opened
in 1906-07 and to be opened in 1907-08
the grant of Rs. 2,642 has been made to
this District Board in G.O. No. 566, Edl.,
dated 29th August 1907, and provision for
payment of capitation allowances is

covered by the contribution sanctioned in
G.O. No. 395, Edl., dated 20th June 1907.
No grant has been sanctioned for the main-
tenance of the 16 elementary schools above
referred to for which Rs. 2,700 will be
required.

In

para 1 of G.O. 502L
12.4.07

para 8 ibid

(for current year the)
In the budget ~~the~~ recurring charges of the District Board are in excess of the ordinary receipts, but the balance under general funds is estimated at Rs. 34,210 or 19.9 per cent. of the receipts. It may perhaps be advisable to assist the District Board with a grant in respect of the maintenance of the 16 schools.

(7) Bellary.--In passing orders on the proposals of the District Board for curtailment of expenditure in 1906-07, the Government reduced the allotment for elementary schools by Rs. 2,030 (G.O. No. 1434 L., dated 14th November 1906), which was met from the special contribution of Rs. 12,000 made to the Board from the six lakhs grant. The Board has again placed the allotment for 1907-08 at Rs. 2,710 below the original requirements. The reduced allotment has been allowed in the budget. It might perhaps be desirable to subsidise the Board at least to this extent. The closing balance in the budget is Rs. 20,370 or 11.5 per cent. of the receipts

5. If the proposals in the above paras. are approved, the following will be the requirements:--

		Rs.	last year unexpd.
para 4(1) - page 9 above	Anantapur	2,000	10,000
— 4(2) — do —	Ganjam	2,000	..
para. 2 - page 8 above & — 4(3) — 10 —	Vizagapatam	2,000	..
— 4(4) — do —	Cuddapah	4,620	{ 5,500 10,000
— 4(5) — 11 —	Godavari	2,505	{ 2,505 10,000
— 4(6) — 12 —	Kurnool	2,700	10,000
— 4(7) above	Bellary	2,710	12,000
		13,535	

G.O. 738 Edl - slip
14.11.06

p. 3 of G.O. No. 485L
11.4.07

R27000 has been provided
JSL

Note

It does not appear that any
lump assignments for kind
be necessary for Karapond,
Nellur & the Nilgiris in
the current year, as in the
last year.
CA

6. From an examination of the orders passed on the proposals of the District Boards in respect of new rural schools, it is observed that in several cases the opening of new schools has been disapproved on the ground that buildings had not been provided for them. In allotting further funds to District Boards for construction of school buildings, if such grants are to be made at all, these cases may be taken into consideration. These are as follows:--

- | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| (1) S. Arcot District Board | 8 schools |
| (2) Chingleput | 12 schools |

(These were all the schools which the Board proposed to open in 1907--1908).

- | | |
|--------------|-----------|
| (3) Cuddapah | 1 school |
| (4) Ganjam | 2 schools |
| (5) Kistna | 5 schools |
| (6) Salem | 1 school. |
| | <u>29</u> |

7. In the case of the Nilgiris, the opening of ~~xx~~ schools at Gudalur and Kotagiri was sanctioned, and it was decided that the Board should be helped to construct new buildings for them. The President was asked to report what will be the cost of constructing the buildings. He has now reported that the cost will be approximately Rs. 5,000. This item may also be taken into consideration in distributing the grant.

CR
9.9.07

para. 2 of G.O. 11982
30.8.07
11972 44
30.8.07
11992 43
30.8.07 71
12042 75
31.8.07
11962 77
30.8.07
11892
30.8.07

Page 2 of notes with G.O. 11882 PP
30.8.07

Memo. 253-34/08 (9.8.07)
XII

CR 3380 sh. XII

The B.P. may be asked to include this.

1/2

2

736800

15 28 1SP

The balances at the credit of D.B. Leave, to some extent been swelled owing to lump reductions made by India in the grants under "Local" under the various heads. It is not therefore possible to allot additional funds from these balances for the current year ~~from~~ for Education unless some source of appropriation can be clearly indicated - and as the Budget proposals under Civil Works in the Local Section was also cut down by Rs. lakhs, it is not possible to anticipate savings under that head from which appropriations to any extent can take place.

The sums therefore which are required - as stipendium - to maintain schools which would otherwise have had to be abolished may be allotted as lump sums ~~from~~ from the Rs. lakhs which was retained in the Budget, in the Local Section out of the block grant.

2 The D.D. in submitting proposals for the allotment to Local Boards

16. 29 16P

of the balance of Rs 227,998
 remaining unallotted out of that
 5 lakhs (- these proposals having
 before had to be over until it
 was known what balance would
 remain for distribution after
 providing for revision of
 establishments and for rural
 schools) - has been asked in
 the first instance to allot
 as lump sums for the
 maintenance of existing schools
 which would otherwise have to
 be closed, the following ~~sum~~

Amounts.	
S. Canara	Rs 1000.
Anantapur	Rs 2000.
Gauhati	Rs 2000
Nagapattinam	Rs 2000.
Cuddalore	Rs. 4000.
Podanur	Rs. 2500.
Kennel	Rs 2700.
Bellary	Rs 2700.
	<u>Rs 19,500</u>

The BPO may also be asked to consider
 whether - with reference to the Budget
 provision for Grants in Aid, any
 grants for that purpose should be made
 out of the special grant in respect of

S. Canara, S. P. & N. District, with reference
 to the note on page 8 supra.

97 A

97 B

2,08,498

17 30 17P

3. After deducting the Rs 19,500 specified at 'A' supra there will remain for distribution Rs 2,08,498 and the D.P.S. may now be asked up, to submit proposals for its distribution for the following purposes - in order.

(a). Allotments, if any, for grants in aid, as at 'B' supra (p. 16).

(b). Allotments for completion of incomplete buildings of 1906-7. In this connection the un-expended balances of 1905-6 which have been reallocated in the Budget for 1907-8 should be taken into consideration. (para 4 supra).

(c). Equipment of school buildings.

(d). Complete construction of new buildings at centers where Board schools already exist. In allotting these sums Rs 5000 may be given to the N. & N. & the other Districts referred to at para 6 of the L.M. office note on page 14 should be provided for, if necessary.

In making further allotments reference should be made to the needs of the

736221

ps. KC 16566 - A.
29.8.07.

18
18P

more necessary Districts - eg. the
Cocos District, Christmas & Mellae
the Board of
& perhaps S. Caran which are in
improvised circumstances - reference
should also be had to the grants
made for this purpose in the
past two years when some of the
 richer District Boards got the lion's
 share partly perhaps because they
 were more clamorous, and partly
 because they were able to spend the
 money with greater expedition -
 4. In L.C. note of 24.8.07 (para 1)
 the B.D. asked whether he might include
 proposals in respect of the balance
 of R. 1 lakh in the Provincial
 section. Financial have separately
 objected to this until the R. 1 lakh
 have been completely allotted, and
 until it is seen whether the
 balance referred to can be usefully
 expended within the Provincial
 section. The distribution of that
 balance will form the subject of
 a separate L.C. reference.

144
H22
20.9.07

29th April
J. F. 21/5

19

27 1907

28/9/07

DD no. for favour of
submission of proposals
as early as may be practicable
for distribution of the balance
of Rs 208498 which remain
after the lump sum payment of
Rs 19500 specified at Pt on
p. 16 supra

DD No. 2343
21.9.07

Recd 23.9.07

21.9.07

G.O. No. 126 of 4th October 07

1. I think that Tanjore
should be added to the
list on p. 16 of these notes
and a sum of Rs 1500
allowed for the 7 Elementary
schools under the Pattakottai
Taluk Board. This would
reduce the balance to
Rs 2,06,998. To this
amount may be added
Rs 50,530 on account of
reallocations (p. 4 of these
notes). The total available
for distribution is Rs 2,57,528
and the proposals must include
at least the amount of the
reallocation for each Board
which has to allot.

G.O. 5132 of 12.11.07
para. 5.

2. The Secretary specifies four purposes marked a, b, c, d. I give these the following short titles:-

- (a) Subsidy
- (b) Completion
- (c) equipment
- (d) New construction

To these I presume may be added

(e) lump assignments

These lump assignments are dealt with in Memo. 589

of 23 Nov. 1906 (these are not the same as the "lump sums" dealt with on p. 16).

3. I deal with the lump assignments first. On receipt of the Memo. 589 Mr Logan communicated with the President of the Board therein mentioned i.e. Ceded District, Chingleput, Bellare, Godavari, Koraput and the Nilgiris.

The only names which have been asked for as the result of Mr Logan's communication are:-

Godavari Rs 4333
 Kurnool Rs 10000
 Nilgiris Rs 2000
 Anantapur Rs 5000

The Godavari case is complicated - the President asks for Rs 4333 for the maintenance of existing Board Schools and Rs 1420 in aid of elementary education Rs 2500 is already allotted for the former so I propose Rs 3253 for the latter.

4333
 1420
 5753
 2500
 3253

Bellary has not asked for anything but Memo. 589 states that the provision of Rs 12000 will be repeated

The other Presidents have not asked for anything in this connection so I propose:-

A

Godavari Rs 3253
 Kurnool Rs 10000
 Nilgiris Rs 2000
 Anantapur Rs 5000
 Bellary Rs 12000
 Total 32253

If this or any part of it is disapproved it may be added to the new construction fund, I have proposals ready.

4. Subsidy. This means earmarking a portion for aided schools. I thought, perhaps wrongly, that this was not the original intention.

There is nothing distinctly ~~to~~ ~~thereafter~~ against using it for aided schools (i.e. schools under private management) in G.O. 282 Ed. of 2 May 1905; I have not seen the original letter from the Govt. of India No 1763 A of 23 March 1905 since its first arrival. My office cannot

say exactly what will be wanted on the whole as subsidy for a fortnight or more but it is expected that the budget allotment Rs 5,64,000 for Provincial Contributions for Board Schools + Subsidy can be fully utilized with perhaps more if available. I therefore take this opportunity of proposing the following amounts to be added to the subsidy:-

S. Arcot Rs 2000

S. Canara Rs 2500

N. Arcot Rs 2500

Total Rs 7000

See p. 8 of the notes in regard to these 3 districts.

5. Completion. This includes completing + extending buildings under construction during the last two years and adding walls or fences and wells. The proposed distribution is given at the end of this note, it is based upon details of the actual requirements. The total is Rs 91,180.

6. Equipment. The same remarks apply. The total is Rs 20,155.

7. New construction. The balance now left for this is Rs 1,06,940. The proposals made by the Boards relate, as directed, to new buildings for existing Board schools only. The amount applied for is Rs 2,12,073.

The Boards which have not had a "lion's share" are:- Koraput, Melore, Godavari, Kurmasal, Bellary, Anantapur, Chittoor, the Nilgiris, Chingleput + S. Canara. of

Rp 5000 is mentioned
in the notes p. 14.
This is probably a
revised estimate.
Hed.

These Koraput can spend nothing
in this particular way; to the
hulgis I propose the full amount
asked for (Rs 5580); to the
others - about $\frac{3}{4}$ of the full
demands.

The ^{sums} ~~proposed~~ proposed for the
other boards amount to about
 $\frac{35}{100}$ of the demands.

In all cases the reallotment
is fully absorbed by the Board
making it.

The allotment for Gunbur Do.
includes Rs 560 for a school at
Adanki (G.O. 347 Ede. of 5.6.07)

I append a statement
embodying the above proposals
except the Rs 1500 for Tanjore
and amounting therefore to
Rs 257,528

Adm 2.10.7

	Assignment.	Subsidy	Completion Rs. 2	Equipment Rs. 3	Reconstruction Rs. 4	Total. Rs. 5	Reallotment Rs. 6	Present Allotment Rs. 7	Total. Rs. 8
Ganjam.	"	"	6,252	995	750	7,997	4,810	3,187	7,997
Vizagapatnam			14,087	3,220	2,000	19,307	"	19,307	19,307
Koraput			120	240	"	360	"	360	360
Godavari	3253		2,040	605	9,000	11,645 14,898	"	11,645 14,898	14,898
Krishna			2,605	701	3,000	6,306	"	6,306	6,306
Guntur			5,132	375	3,000	8,507	"	8,507	8,507
Kurumool	10000		1,300	234	12,000	13,534	"	13,534	23,534
Bellary	12000		4,330	960	5,000	10,290	9,730	10,560	22,290
Anantapur	5000		2,897	75	7,000	9,972	1,390	11,582	14,972
Cuddapah			4,095	"	8,500	12,595	"	12,595	12,595
Mellore			5,500	450	5,000	10,950	"	10,950	10,950
Chingleput			2,850	"	5,000	7,850	1,900	5,950	7,850
N. Arcot.		2500	2,607	1,290	1,500	7,897	5,720	2,177	7,897
S. Arcot.		2000	1,370	740	2,000	6,110	2,920	3,190	6,110
Tanjore			9,720	1,220	6,500	17,440	7,080	10,360	17,440
Trichinopoly			5,802	"	2,000	7,802	"	7,802	7,802
Madura			10,815	3,255	5,500	19,570	2,720	16,850	19,570
Tirumilly			3,690	1,470	750	5,910	1,050	4,860	5,910
Coimbatore			2,722	862	3,000	6,584	1,080	5,504	6,584
Salem			210	1,775	8,365	10,350	10,350	"	10,350
Nieginis	2000		676	588	5,580	6,844	"	6,844	8,844
Mulcher			860	350	4,005	5,215	1,070	4,135	5,215
P. Cannara		2500	1,500	750	7,500	12,250	720	11,530	12,250
Total	32,253	7,000	91,180	20,155	106,940	225,275 2,57,528	50,530	174,745 2,06,998	2,57,528
	X						X		
	4	4	2	4	5	5	6	5	5

39 26P

The D.P.I. (Dr. Bourne) submits proposals for the distribution of the balance of the grant of five lakhs for elementary education placed in the Local section of the current year's budget estimate. The balance available after the allotments sanctioned in G.O. No. 395, Edl., dated 20th June 1907, and No. 566, Edl., dated 29th August 1907, is Rs. 2,27,998. ✓

2. The Secretary proposed in paragraph 2 of his note on page 16 supra that lump grants as shown below ~~may~~ ^{might} be granted to the following Boards:-

	Rs.
South Canara	1,000 ✓
Anantapur	2,000 ✓
Ganjam	2,000 ✓
Vizagapatam	2,000 ✓
Cuddapah	4,600 ✓
Godavari	2,500 ✓
Kurnool	2,700 ✓
Bellary	2,700 ✓ ^{19,500}

Dr. Bourne would add to the above list a grant of Rs. 1,500 for Tanjore. If this is approved the total of the lump grants is Rs. 21,000. ✓

3. The balance that will then be left for distribution is Rs. 2,06,998. ✓ Adding to this the unexpended balances of the grants for 1905-06, which the several Boards have been ordered to reallocate in 1907-08, namely Rs. 50,530, ✓ the total amount available for distribution is Rs. 2,57,528. ✓ The D.P.I.'s distribution of this sum is given in the statement on page 25 supra. It is for consideration whether the distribution may be accepted.

4. In paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 1368 L., dated 30th September 1907, the Government disapproved

the

124447
147556
272002

00000
272002
227998

B

A

Page 19 Supra

227998
21000
206998

vide page 4 Supra.

A III

p

42
3

the proposal of the District Board of Anantapur to abolish for six months the sessional school under the Anantapur Taluk Board and to withhold the payment of capitation grants from the teachers of the Board schools, in order to effect the reduction ⁱⁿ ~~under~~ the allotment under Grant-2, Education, ordered in G.O. No. 510 L., dated 12th April 1907; and stated that the question whether the funds of the Board should be augmented with additional special grants from Provincial Funds in order to meet the cost of education would be separately considered. The D.P.I. proposes to give a lump assignment to the Board of Rs. 5,000, while according to para. 2 supra a special allotment of Rs. 2,000 is to be made to this body. These grants will, it is submitted, enable the Board to meet its educational expenditure without difficulty.

RD
9.10.07

Sec.

Dr. Bourne's distribution seems very reasonable.

Cal
10.10.07

I do not quite understand the necessity for the lump grants proposed at B

on p 21.

Last year we gave Bellary Rs 12000 and the B? utilized it mainly on buildings - for which purpose it was not given - It was given in relief of general funds. So again the allotments

of Rs 5000

O

vide statement on page 25 supra.

28

28P

to honor seems unduly large
with a view please examine this sharp with
reference to the D.B. Budget No
11.10.07

DP
No 2343-1
14/10/07

44m 40

Local Municipal Departments

L

It is submitted that the assignment of
lump grants in 1906-07, under G.O. No 738-Ed,
dated 14th November 1906, to the eight D. Boards,
appears to have been made more with
reference to the general financial condition
of the D. Boards, than on account of the as-
certained needs of the local bodies in the
matter of education. From the previous
examination of the budgets, it was ob-
served that the actual needs of the D. Boards
would be sufficiently met if the
grants specified at A on page 6 supra
were made. Of the five D. Boards men-
tioned at A in the D.P.D. note on page
21 above, the assignments proposed
for four have been based ~~on~~ merely
on their requests for the grants, in
the absence of the letters from these
D. Boards, it does not seem possible
to say on what basis, these claims
have been preferred. Kurnool and the
Nalgonda have merely repeated the

page 8 to 13 above

Jodavani
Kurnool
Nalgonda
Anantapur

lump assignments of last year, while Anantapur asks for half of the last year's allotment. But the request of Jodavari does not seem to have been any reference to the grant of last year. These Dt Boards have formulated their claims, without any reference to the grants, which the Govt. contemplate to make to meet the actual needs on account of education, as determined on page 16. It therefore appears that the ~~four~~ lump assignments for the four Dt. Boards should be taken to be ~~the maximum~~ represent the maximum requirements, including the grants specified on page 16 for those Dt. Boards, and not supplementary to them.

2 The assignment proposed for Bellary was ~~based~~ based on page 5 of the Memo.andum no. 589. Bd. dated 28-11-06, in which it was ~~decided~~ ^{stated} that the last year's lump assignment of Rs 12000 ^{for that Bd} would be repeated. It is not understood on what notes this order was based.

3. The following statement shows how far the lump assignments made ^{in 1906-07} to the five Dt. Boards referred to by the Director, were utilized for the object for which they were intended, viz: for the maintenance of the existing

Slip H.

2/11/11

30

43 30P

schools: _____

J⁸
L⁸
M⁸
K⁸
N⁸

Sl. No.	Dt. Board.	Grants for specific purposes.	Lump assign-ments	Total Grant 1966-07	Total Expenditure on specific purposes	Amount appropriated from the lump assignments for specific purposes.
1.	Godavari	20.500	10.000	30.500	27.581	7.081
2.	Kurnool	13.900	10.000	23.900	19.696	5.796
3.	Anantapur	10.300	10.000	20.300	80.13	"
4.	Kilgiris, the	7.968	2.000	9.968	7.907	"
5.	Bellary	29.300	12.000	41.300	38.928	9.505

From the above, it will be seen that only the Dt. Board of Anantapur and the Kilgiris utilized the lump assignments for general educational purposes, while the others, especially Bellary and Godavari spent the amount mostly in the construction of buildings, thereby showing that the general funds of the Boards were able to sufficiently provide for the maintenance of the existing schools.

4. As the cases, in which re-employment of proposed expenditure on education have been ordered by Govt., and the cases in which Dt. Boards were ~~able~~ unable to provide the full allotments for existing institutions

from their ordinary revenues, have already been noted in the notes on page 8513 *supra*, it may perhaps be desirable to examine whether the five D. Boards named by the Director actually need any further ~~fin~~ help with reference to their general financial condition. The following statement shows in one view, the allotments made for Education by those Boards and the state of their balances.

D. Board	Total allot. for Education in the budget estimate for 1907-08	Allot. for Board Elementary Education in the budget		Total Total Grant from Govt. taken credit Board's Schools	Estimated closing balance	Excess of the balance over 5% of the normal income	Actual balance at the end of 1906-07	Excess of the actual balance over 5% of the income
	Rs.	Rs.	P.	Rs. & P.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Godavari	82.840	56.120		16.200	75.790	52.962	103.774	80.736
Kurnool	41.330	19.900		"	34.210	25.615	48.539	38.427
Anantapur	30.250	15.560		2.500	18.910	13.507	63.507	57.344
Nalgonda	7.390	5.870		480	4.970	2.298	11.150	8.477
Bellary	38.130	15.500		3.000	20.370	11.513	35.045	24.090

③ Proportionate deductions on account of "probable savings" have not been made.
* Separate figures for Elementary & Secondary schools are not available.
In the D. Boards of Kurnool and Anantapur four ~~boards~~ recurring charges provided in the budget are in excess of the normal

736 244

32/ AS 32P

receipts to a large extent, while in that of Godavari such charges are only slightly in excess of the receipts. From the above statement it will be observed that Turnool, Bellary and Anantapur ~~with comparative figures~~ have taken ^{only} for little or no grant on account of existing schools, when compared to the other Boards, but such grants are determined ^{only} with reference to the financial needs. It therefore appears that there is not as much necessity for the lump assignments in the current year, as in the previous year.

CA

The lump assignments suggested on p 16 ^{supra} were calculated on the basis necessary to produce financial equilibrium without reducing the existing schools and I do not think that any real can be seen for the additional lump assignments now proposed by the B.P.D. to the five Districts specified at A on page 26. Last year these special funds were not profitably utilized by the Boards. 2 The B.P.D. has proposals ready for the utilization of this sum Rs 322500 and he has been asked to submit these

p at A on page 21 ^{supra}

877

Hand

33

Bou. no 14 - Ed. 4 Seq.
15-10-17

46

33P

proposals.

The special needs of D.R. in the matter of lump contributions have been fully considered in making the allotment at 14" on page 16.

8/17

The grant of Rs 1500 for school is Paltulstar, Tayre B. has been approved.

As may come accepting the distribution into the receipt of the Rs 32,253 referred to, the D.R. may be asked to submit separate proposals in regard to the distribution of this sum.

Ed. no.

Sum.
HMS

HMS
14.10.17

Ed. no.

HMS

7757

Please Draft for approval

HMS
16.10.17

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval. It may issue with the Concurrence of the Financial Department.

HMS
21.10.17

Ed. no.

Fin. no.

Financial Department.

No. 2343.2
21-10-17

Page 21 of the note
file.

Let us be referred to.
Shall we put a clause
to B. B. B. clause.
Leave them the file to
B. B. B. personally
expand wallet
A2

No ref. of miss. of B. B. B.
to B. B. B. at once (broad
then on Monday. Then seen
7.8. for signature.
at 11.15 on Monday.
then point - into what
V. A.

35
AB 35P

A revised draft order is submitted for approval.

2. With reference to the portion of the Secretary's note marked A on the previous page, it is submitted that the proposals regarding the special lump allotments to certain S. B. Boards made by the S. P. S. are contained in a no. note and it does not therefore appear that the proposed lump allotments can be specifically disallowed in the draft order. The draft (Paragraph 3) however ^{Says} ~~states~~ that the Govt. are not satisfied of the necessity for any further grants to the S. B. Boards referred to.

AB
st. 11.07

See.

I am sorry I did not notice the omission of the lump grants amounting to 19,500/- at p. 16 supra. It escapes me owing to the subsequent no. reference S. D. P. S. Dir. has accepted the principle of the draft which may now issue. The last sentence of para 3 seems a little inconspicuous perhaps.

Chd
2.11.7. A2. a. d.

6.30 pm 3/11/7 Remd 8.30 pm 20/4/11

Read again--

G.O. No.395, Edl., dated 20-6-1907.
" " 566, " " 29-8-1907.

49

1 addition

4P

ORDER:-- NO 736:4-11-07
P.S.

Out of the provision of five lakhs for elementary education under "Adjusting Heads - Contributions from Provincial to Local" made in the Civil Budget Estimate for the current year, grants aggregating Rs.2,72,002 have been allotted to District Boards to enable them to meet the cost of revising the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with the provisions of the new Educational Rules and of maintaining the rural schools newly opened in 1906-07 and 1907-08.

2. From the balance of this grant, ~~a further~~ a further sum of Rs.1,95,745 namely Rs.2,27,998, will now be placed at the disposal of the District Boards, as shown in the annexure to these Proceedings, towards the completion of the elementary school buildings commenced in 1906-07, the furnishing and equipping of schools newly opened, ~~the completion of incomplete buildings,~~ the construction of new buildings and the payment of grants to aided schools. In the absence of the specific sanction of Government, the funds allotted for expenditure on ~~new~~ buildings should be utilized on the

Note 736 Sol

So 395 Sol. B
20.6.07
So 566 Sol. A
29.8.07

50 5P

~~the construction of buildings only at~~
~~the purpose of~~
~~centres at which Board schools already~~

to maintain available ~~annexure~~ ~~existing~~
 with ~~provision~~ ~~for~~ ~~existing~~ ~~schools~~
~~schools~~ ~~which~~ ~~are~~ ~~at~~ ~~present~~
 in rented buildings, or for
 the improvement of existing ~~annexure~~
 where it is ~~inadequate~~ or
 defective -

3. The lump allotments specified in column 2 of the annexure represent the grants intended for the maintenance of existing elementary schools under the management of the Boards concerned, the grant of Rs.1,500 for the Tanjore District Board being for the maintenance of the seven elementary schools under the Pattukottai Taluk Board referred to in para.5 of G.O. No.513 L., dated 12th April 1907. ~~The Government are not satisfied of the necessity for any further grants to the District Boards of Godavari, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur and the Nilgiris.~~

4. The Accountant-General is authorized to place the amounts shown in the last column of the annexure at the disposal of the local bodies concerned.

5. All Presidents of District Boards will be requested to make special arrangements to utilize in full, before the close of the current financial year, the grants now made. They are further requested to submit by the end of ~~July~~ ^{April} 1908 a report showing the amount expended under each head and the number of school buildings constructed.

6. The D.P.I. will be requested to submit at an early date proposals for the utilization of the balance of Rs.32,253

Still remaining for the
 app. & solution of the
 names referred to in para 1 supra

To the D.P.I. ✓
 " A.G. ✓
 " Finl. Dept. ✓
 " L. & M. Dept. ✓

Province Secy B. 16/11/07

16/11/07
 1/11-07
 /annexure/

Name of District.	Lump allotment	Subsidy	Completion of school buildings commenced in 1906-07.	Furnishing and equipping of schools	Construction of new buildings	Total	Amounts reallocated in District Board Budgets.	Present allotment.
1	2 Rs.	3 Rs.	4 Rs.	5 Rs.	6 Rs.	7 Rs.	8 Rs.	9 Rs.
Ganjam	2,000	✓	6,252	995	750	9,997	-4,810	5,187
Vizagapatam	2,000	✓	14,087	3,220	2,000	21,307	..	21,307
Koraput		✓	120	240	..	360	..	360
Godavari	2,500	✓	2,040	605	9,000	14,145	..	14,145
Kistna		✓	2,605	701	3,000	6,306	..	6,306
Guntur		✓	5,132	375	3,000	8,507	..	8,507
Kurnool	2,700	✓	1,300	234	12,000	16,234	..	16,234
Bellary	2,700	✓	4,330	960	5,000	12,990	-9,730	3,260
Anantapur	2,000	✓	2,897	75	7,000	11,972	-1,390	10,582
Cuddapah	4,600	✓	4,095	..	8,500	17,195	..	17,195
Nellore		✓	5,500	450	5,000	10,950	..	10,950
Kinglet		✓	2,850	..	5,000	7,850	-1,900	5,950
North Arcot	2,500	✓	2,607	1,290	1,500	7,897	-5,720	2,177
South Arcot	2,000	✓	1,370	740	2,000	6,110	-2,920	3,190
Tanjore	1,500	✓	9,720	1,220	6,500	18,940	-7,080	11,860
Trichinopoly		✓	5,802	..	2,000	7,802	..	7,802
Madurai		✓	10,815	3,255	5,500	19,570	-2,720	16,850
Tinnevely		✓	3,690	1,470	750	5,910	-1,050	4,860
Coimbatore		✓	2,722	862	3,000	6,584	-1,080	5,504
Salem		✓	210	1,775	8,355	10,340	-10,340	..
Kilgiris, the		✓	676	588	5,580	6,844	..	6,844
Malabar		✓	860	350	4,005	5,215	-1,070	4,145
South Canara	1,000	2,500	1,500	750	7,500	13,250	-720	12,530
Total	21,000	7,000	91,180	20,155	106,940	246,275	-50,530	195,745

1/22
2 11. 57