

# Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

1

Order  
page NO. 21

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

(22)

---

G.O., No. 716, 28th October 1907..

---

R. P.

## Cost of laying tennis courts in Government institutions.

Passing orders on the letter from the Director of Public Instruction soliciting instructions as to whether the Director can sanction expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts, etc., in the grounds attached to Government institutions.



[B.]  
500-6-10-07

# Educational (1907) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 716

DATED 28-10-07

N. P.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Cost of laying tennis courts in Government institutions  
passing orders on the letter from the D P J solicited  
instructions as to whether the Director can sanction expe-  
diture on the laying out of tennis courts etc in the  
grounds attached to Government institutions



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.


Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 716, 28th October 1907.



### Cost of laying tennis courts in Government institutions.

Passing orders on the letter from the Director of Public Instruction soliciting instructions as to whether the Director can sanction expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts, etc., in the grounds attached to Government institutions.



## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

## I

*Letter*—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. LOGAN, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.  
*To*—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.  
*Dated*—Madras, the 2nd August 1907.  
*No.*—Ref. on Cur. No. 4685.

I have the honour to address you on the subject of an objection raised by the Accountant-General to my sanctioning expenditure on the laying out of a tennis court in a Government school. He points out that "sports and the provision of means for recreation are not ordinarily considered as recognized objects of expenditure from the revenues of the State, and that charges on account of these should be met by subscriptions from those who take part in the games." It appears to me that this view requires certain restrictions and limitations.

2. Physical training, under which must be included games, is an integral part of the school or college course, and that it is recognised as an object of expenditure from public revenues may be seen from the fact that most of the Government colleges have been provided with play-grounds and in one case at least, which occurs to me, the ground has been carefully prepared at the public expense to allow games to be played upon it.

3. It appears to me that a fairly clear distinction can be made between those objects connected with games on which public funds may legitimately be expended and those upon which they may not.

4. The cost of adapting Government property for the playing of games upon it might legitimately be met from public funds but the cost of the upkeep of ground so adapted and of all materials and implements required for the games might be met from the subscriptions of students.

5. I request that I may be informed as soon as may be convenient whether this view commends itself to Government, and whether I may sanction, subject to budget provision and to the rules controlling expenditure on petty construction and repairs, expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts, etc., in the grounds attached to Government institutions.

## II

Official Memorandum No. 1881-2, Educational, dated 5th September 1907.

With reference to his letter R.C. No. 4685, dated 2nd August 1907, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to state to what classes of institutions the question raised in his letter applies.

He should state whether the question is general or whether it applies only to a particular class of schools or institutions such as primary or secondary schools, colleges, or poor schools.

(By Order.)

C. A. SOUTER,  
*Asst. Secretary to Government.*

## III

*Letter*—from the Hon'ble Mr. T. T. LOGAN, B.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.

*To*—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

*Dated*—Madras, the 16th September 1907.

*No.*—Ref. on Cur. No. 4685.

In reply to Government Memorandum No. 1881-2, Educational, dated 5th September 1907, I have the honour to state that the question raised in my letter Ref. on Cur. No. 4685, dated 2nd August 1907, is of a general nature as it appertains to the several Government colleges and schools under my control.

2. I beg to add that an expenditure of Rs. 100 has already been incurred by the Principal, Presidency College, towards the improvement of the turf in the cricket and foot-ball fields of the college and that a sum of Rs. 50-4-0 has been sanctioned by me towards the cost of laying out a tennis court in the Government Madrasa-i-Azam, to both of which the Accountant-General has raised objections. The Principals of the Arts College, Rajahmundry, and Teachers' College, Saidapet, have also applied for the sanction of Rs. 18 and Rs. 32-1-0, respectively, for repairing the tennis courts of their colleges.

Order—No. 716, Educational, dated 28th October 1907.

The Government are unable to sanction the proposed expenditure of Rs. 18 and Rs. 32-1-0, respectively, on the repair of the tennis courts in the Rajahmundry College and the Teachers' College, Saidapet. In colleges, expenditure on cricket pitches and tennis courts should be met from the subscriptions of the cricket and tennis clubs of the colleges and not from public funds.

2. In the circumstances stated, sanction will be accorded to the expenditure of Rs. 50-4-0 for laying out a tennis court in the Government Madrasa-i-Azam, but no expenditure of this nature should be incurred for the future without the previous sanction of Government.

3. The Principal of the Presidency College should not have incurred the expenditure of Rs. 100 on the improvement of the turf in the cricket and foot-ball fields of the college without obtaining previous sanction. The expenditure will, however, be now approved as a special case.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,  
*Ag. Secretary to Government.*

To the Director of Public Instruction.  
 „ Accountant-General.  
 „ Public Works Department.



## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 716, EDUCATIONAL,  
DATED 28TH OCTOBER 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Can expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts in Government institutions be met from public funds.*]

*From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 3rd August 1907, No. 4685.*

The question for consideration in this case is whether the Director of Public Instruction is competent to sanction expenditure on laying out a tennis court in the grounds attached to Government institutions.

2. The Accountant-General contends that sports and the provision of means of recreation are not ordinarily considered as recognized objects of expenditure from the revenues of the State and that charges on account of them should be met by subscriptions from those who take part in the games.

3. The Director considers that the Accountant-General's view requires certain restrictions and limitations and that a fairly clear distinction can be made between those objects connected with games on which public funds may legitimately be expended and those upon which they may not. Mr. Logan urges that physical training, which includes games, being an integral part of the school course, the cost of adapting Government property for the playing of games upon it might legitimately be met from public funds but that the cost of the upkeep of the ground so adapted and of all materials and implements required for the games might be met from subscriptions from the students. The Director of Public Instruction accordingly enquires whether the Government accept his view and whether he may sanction expenditure on laying out tennis courts in Government institutions subject to budget provision and to the rules controlling expenditure on petty construction and repairs.

4. Under article 98 (u) of the Civil Account Code "expenditure on construction and repairs executed by civil officers can be treated as contingent expenditure of the department incurring it and classified as petty construction and repairs only when it does not exceed Rs. 2,500 in amount."

5. The principles governing the delegation of powers in regard to the disbursement of public money are laid down in paragraph 11 of the Financial Department Resolution quoted in article 283 of the Civil Account Code and are as follows:—

"It is a general condition, precedent to the delegation of all authority to disburse public money, that it shall be *bonâ fide* for a public object; nothing must be carried out by means of the public funds for the advantage of any individual or body of private persons unless in accordance with some declared or established rule or principle recognized by the Government of India. Nor can expenditure of public money be sanctioned upon objects which are not in the category of recognized objects of expenditure from the revenue of the State."

6. As observed by the Director of Public Instruction physical training appears to be an integral part of the school course and the provision of recreation grounds therefore being a *sine qua non* for such physical training, public money can, it appears, be legitimately expended on it. It is apparently acting on this view that the Government have in previous years sanctioned the provision of recreation grounds in Government institutions at their own cost. For instance please see G.O., No. 1577 W., dated 21st May 1904, in which an estimate amounting to Rs 16,980 for providing a recreation ground for the Training College at Rajahmundry was sanctioned.



7. It is however submitted that the file may first be sent u.o. to the Financial Department for remarks.

T.K.—22-8-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—22-8-07.

C. A. SOUTER—24-8-07.

*To the Financial Department.*

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that this Department may agree with the Director and the Educational Department.

There may be special orders on the point in Public Works Department.

C. M. SCHMIDT—26-8-07.

Submitted. I should be disposed to support the Accountant-General.

H. BRADLEY—28-8-07.

The provision of a tennis court is a very different thing from the provision of play ground and physical training does not include games. I agree that the Accountant-General is right.

G. S[TOKES]—28-8-07.

H. BRADLEY—29-8-07.

*To the Educational Department.*

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The view of the Financial Department may be accepted. Had I seen the file before it went to the Financial Department, I should have expressed the same opinion. The provision of a recreation ground is a different matter from making any specific portion of the ground fit for any specific game—such as the laying out of a cricket pitch, or of a tennis court. The cost of these should be met from subscriptions.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-8-07.

The file should not have gone to the Financial Department until this department had expressed its opinion on the principle. No financial question arose unless this department agreed with the Director.

However the view expressed above is virtually in accord with my own. The laying out of play grounds—that is levelling or enclosing or etc.—is proper, but the adaptation of the ground for special games as tennis should be defrayed by the pupils. This, I think, is the general principle: but it may be difficult to lay it down in terms which will meet all cases. The Director of Public Instruction has not indicated to what kinds of schools his proposal is meant to apply. It may be that in primary or infant schools or in poor schools expenditure on some sorts of games may be justifiable, but in secondary schools and above I should say it was illegitimate to spend money out of the rates in laying down a cricket pitch or laying out a tennis court on.

Before issuing a Government Order I think it will be safer to ask the Director of Public Instruction by official memorandum to what classes of institutions his letter applies.

G. S. F[ORBES]—30-8-07.

Draft please.

H. D. TAYLOR—31-8-07.

A draft official memorandum is placed below for approval.

T.K.—2-9-07.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—4-9-07.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—5-9-07.

[Memorandum No. 1881-2, dated 5th September 1907.]

*From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 16th September 1907, No. 4685.*

The Director of Public Instruction states that the question he has raised is of a general nature as it appertains to several Government colleges and schools under his control.

A | 2. The Director adds that he sanctioned a sum of Rs. 50-4-0 towards the cost of laying out a tennis court in the Government Madrasa-i-Azam and the Principal, C | Presidency College, incurred an expenditure of Rs. 100 towards the improvement of the turf in the cricket and foot-ball fields of the College, to both of which items the Accountant-General has raised objections. He adds that the Principals of the B | Rajahmundry and the Teachers' College have applied for the sanction of Rs. 18 and Rs. 32-1-0, respectively, for repairing the tennis courts of their colleges.

T.K.—21-9-07.

The above information was called for with reference to the Honourable Member's remarks on page 2 *supra*.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—22-9-07.

C. A. SOUTER—23-9-07.

The expenditure already sanctioned by Director of Public Instruction (A) may be approved. That at (B) may be disallowed. The Principal, Presidency College, (C) should not have incurred expenditure without sanction—but it may be approved as a special case. No further expenditure of this nature should be sanctioned without reference to Government.

H. D. TAYLOR—24-9-07.

Yes as proposed. In colleges expenditure on cricket pitches and tennis courts should be met from the subscriptions of the cricket and tennis club of the college and not from public funds.

G. S. F[ORBES]—25-9-07.

Draft please.

H. D. TAYLOR—26-9-07.

The draft order placed below is for approval.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—2-10-07.

C. A. SOUTER—3-10-07.

H. D. TAYLOR—4-10-07.

*To the Financial Department for acceptance.*

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the draft may be accepted. [Public Works Department should perhaps see but that is for Educational to decide].

C. M. SCHMIDT—3-10-07.

H. BRADLEY—6-10-07.

*To the Educational Department.*



No. 716, EDUCATIONAL, 28TH OCTOBER 1907.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

To the Public Works Department for acceptance.

H. D. TAYLOR—9-10-07.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Public Works Department may accept the draft and ask Educational to "communicate" the order with a copy of the notes for further action in this Department. The principles now enunciated will have to be applied to cricket pitches and tennis courts elsewhere which have been provided and are being maintained from State funds.

R. PARSONS—11-10-07.

Please comply.

H. D. TAYLOR.

Draft accepted in Public Works Department. Will Educational please communicate the order with notes as suggested above.

F. J. WILSON—24-10-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—28-10-07.

[G.O., No. 716, Educational, dated 28th October 1907.]

[ E. ]  
1,000—9-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

# CURRENT FILE.

## CONTENTS.

| From               | Number. | Date.   | Pages. |
|--------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| [1581-] The D.P.I. | 4685    | 3-8-07  | 152    |
| memo.              | 1887-2  | 5-9-07  | 3      |
| [2325] D.P.I.      | 4685    | 16-9-07 | 455    |



# PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

| Number. | Date. | Original (=O)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.). | Remarks.<br>(In this column such of the<br>papers as have been removed<br>for copying, printing,<br>etc., should be marked with<br>a cross.) | Initials of Referencer with date<br>in token of return to Reference<br>department. |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |

## Papers of other departments.

| Department. | Number. | Date.   | Original (=O.)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.). | Despatcher to note the return of the papers to<br>the departments concerned. |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| P.W. D -    | 1577w   | 21-5-04 | sc                                           |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |         |                                              |                                                                              |

Stock Form No. 135.  
4-000-27-4-06.

## EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.



190 , Registered 5787 190

Subject.

Soliciting instructions as to whether the Director can sanction expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts &c. in the grounds attached to Government institutions.

### ENCLOSURES.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Spare copies \_\_\_\_\_

Ref on Cur No. 4685 / 07.

Station Madras , Dated the 2<sup>nd</sup> August 1907.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. T. T. Logan, B.A.,

(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
Educational Department

Sir,

I have the honour to address you on the subject of an objection raised by the Accountant-General to my sanctioning expenditure on the laying out of a tennis court in a Government School. He points out that "sports and the provision of means for recreation are not ordinarily considered as recognized objects of expenditure from the revenues of the State, and that charges on account of these should be met by subscriptions from those who take part in the games". It appears to me that this view requires certain restrictions and limitations.

2 Physical training, under which must be included games, is an integral part of the school or College course, and that it is recognized as an object of expenditure from public





2 2P  
9

public revenues may be seen from the fact that most of the Government Colleges have been provided with play grounds and in one case at least, which occurs to me, the ground has been carefully prepared at the public expense to allow games to be played upon it.

3 It appears to me that a fairly clear distinction can be made between those objects connected with games on which public funds may legitimately be expended and those upon which they may not.

4 The cost of adapting Government property for the playing of games upon it might legitimately be met from public funds but the cost of the upkeep of ground so adapted and of all materials and implements required for the games might be met from the subscriptions of students.

5 I request that I may be informed as soon as may be convenient whether this view commends itself to Government, and whether I may sanction, subject to budget provision and to the rules controlling expenditure on petty construction and repairs, expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts etc in the grounds attached to Government institutions.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*E. M. S. S.*

For Director of Public Instruction

*R. S.*  
2.8.07 M. S. S.



Educational Dept

(Cuo 1881)

3P

DM. N° 1881.2 3 5-9-07

With reference to his letter  
RCuo. 4685 dated 2<sup>nd</sup> August 1907,  
the D.P.I. is requested to state to  
what classes of institutions, ~~primary~~  
~~secondary~~ ~~colleges~~ ~~or~~ ~~poor~~ ~~schools~~  
the question raised in his letter applies.

He should state

7A.  
2-9-07

RD

4-9-07

Whether the question  
is general or whether  
it applies only to a

particular class of schools  
or institutions such as primary  
or secondary schools, colleges,  
or poor schools.

Cas

5-9-7.

whether falling under the head of  
primary or secondary schools,  
colleges or poor schools, the

8P  
5/9/07

8P  
6-9-07



Memo 1881-2 4  
5/9/07

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CN 2325



Received 190 , Registered 199. 190

Subject.

Stating with reference to Government Memorandum No. 1882-2 Edl. dated 5th September 1907, that the question of incurring expenditure on the laying out of tennis courts etc. in the grounds attached to Government institutions is of a general character and it applies to Government colleges and schools under the control of the Director.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Cur No. 4 6 8 5/07

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 16<sup>th</sup> September 1907.

From (Name) The Honble Mr. J. J. Logan, B.A.,

(Designation) Ag Director of Public Instruction,

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
Educational Department.

Sir,

Page 344

Page 122  
99

In reply to Government Memorandum No. 1881-2 Educational, dated 5th September 1907, I have the honour to state that the question raised in my letter Ref on Cur No. 4685/07 d/ 2nd August 1907 is of a general nature as it appertains to the several Government colleges and schools under my control.

2 I beg to add that an expenditure of Rs 100 has already been incurred by the Principal, Presidency College towards the improvement of the turf in the cricket and foot-ball fields of the college and that a sum of Rs 50-4-0 has been sanctioned by me towards the cost of laying out a tennis court in the Government Madrasa-i-Azam, to both of which the Accountant General has raised objections. The Principals of the Arts College, Rajahmundry and Teachers' College, Saidapet have also applied for the sanction of Rs 18 and Rs 32-1-0 respectively



EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

5  
58  
12  
for repairing the Tennis Courts of their colleges.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Arthur Mayhew

For Director of Public Instruction.

R.S.  
16.8.07

N.V.S.

19/10/07



*Notes on the*  
*Expenditure on the*  
*from public funds*

# NOTES.

---

*1911-12*  
*10.10.07*  
*2.20.07*



13 MP

White 716 Edl.  
28-10-07

C. No.1881, from the Director of Public Instruction, No.4685, d/3-8-1907.

Subject.

Can expenditure on the laying out  
of tennis courts in Government insti-  
tutions be met from public funds.

The question for consideration in this case  
is whether the D.P.I. <sup>is</sup> ~~is~~ competent to sanction  
expenditure on laying out a tennis court in <sup>the grounds attached to</sup> Govern-  
ment ~~school~~ institutions.

2. The Accountant-General contends that sports  
and the provision of means of recreation are not  
ordinarily considered as recognized objects of  
expenditure from the revenues of the State and that  
charges on account of them should be met by sub-  
scriptions from those who take part in the games.

3. The Director considers that the Accountant  
General's view requires certain restrictions and  
limitations and that a fairly clear distinction  
can be made between those objects connected with  
games on which public funds may legitimately be  
expended and those upon which they may not. Mr.  
Logan urges that physical training, which includes  
games, being an integral part of the school course,  
the cost of adapting Government property for the  
playing of games upon it might legitimately be met  
from public funds but that the cost of the upkeep  
of the ground so adapted and of all materials and  
implements required for the games might be met from  
~~the~~ <sup>from the</sup> subscriptions of students. The D.P.I. accord-  
ingly enquires whether the Government accept his  
view and whether he may sanction expenditure on  
laying out tennis courts in Government institutions

subject

Para. 1 of the Director's letter  
on page 1 of C.F.

Para. 3 on page 2 of C.F.

Para. 2 on page 1

Para. 4 on page 2



subject to budget provision and to the rules controlling expenditure on petty construction and repairs.

4. Under Article 98(4) of the Civil Account Code "expenditure on construction and repairs executed by civil officers can be treated as contingent expenditure of the department incurring it and classified as petty construction and repairs only when it does not exceed Rs. <sup>2,500</sup>1,000 in amount."

5. The principles governing the delegation of powers in regard to the disbursement of public money are laid down in para. 11 of the Financial Department Resolution quoted in Article 283 of the Civil Account Code and <sup>are</sup> as follows:--

"It is a general condition precedent to the delegation of all authority to disburse public money, that it shall be bona fide for a public object; nothing must be carried out by means of the public funds for the advantage of any individual or body of private persons unless in accordance with some declared or established rule or principle recognized by the Government of India. Nor can expenditure of public money be sanctioned upon objects which are not in the category of recognized objects of expenditure from the revenue of the State."

6. As observed by the D.P.I. physical training appears to be an integral part of the school course and the provision of recreation grounds therefor being <sup>fine</sup> aqua non for such physical training, public money can, it appears, be legitimately expended on it. It is apparently acting on this view that the Government have in previous years sanctioned the provision of recreation grounds in Government institutions at their own cost. For

instance

Page 49 of the Code

Page 123 of the Code



95-  
C28-8-7  
R29-8-7 3.

15 38

T instance please see G.O. No.1577 W., dated 21st May 1904, in which an estimate amounting to Rs. 16,980 for providing a recreation ground for the Training College at Rajahmundry was sanctioned.

7. It is however submitted that the file may first be sent u.o. to the Financial Department ~~first~~ for remarks.



7/21  
21-8-07

Chs  
24.8.7.

22-8-07 Fin. 40.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

CB

Sub: That this Dept may agree with the Director of the Ed. Dept. there may be special orders on the point in P.W.

Chs  
26.8.7

The Item was spoken Ch 9

Submitted. I should be disposed to accept the acct Genl 1003  
28807

The provision of a tennis Court is a very different thing from the provision of play ground and physical training does not include tennis. I am that the Accountant Genl is right.

28/8/07.

Ed. does not express an opinion but only writes Genl's remarks a fine point of view.  
Chs 20.8.7  
But the note sent to Genl did not express any opinion from the view in para 6. Hence Chs 25/8/07.



4  
Educational no.

16 4P

143  
28807



The view of the Finl. Dept. has been accepted.  
Has I seen the file before it went to the Finl.  
Dept, I should have expressed the same  
opinion. The provision of a recreation ground is  
a different matter from making any specific  
portion of the ground fit for any specific  
game - such as the laying out of a cricket  
pitch, or of a Tennis Court. The cost of these shd be  
met from subscriptions.

407  
308 07

HM

The file shd not have  
gone to the Finl. Dept until the  
Dept had expressed its opinion  
on the principle. No financial  
question arose until unless this  
Dept agreed with the Director

However the view expressed  
above is <sup>virtually</sup> in accord with my  
own. The laying out of playground  
- that is levelling or enclosing  
or so - is proper, but the  
adaptation of the ground for  
special games as tennis shd be  
deprayed by the pupils. This is I  
think is the general principle: but it



may be difficult to lay it down in terms which will meet all cases. The D.P.J. has not indicated to what kind of schools his ~~application~~<sup>proposal</sup> is meant to apply. It may be that in primary or infant schools or in poor schools expense on some sort of games may be justifiable but in secondary schools & above I sh<sup>d</sup>. say it was illegitimate to spend money out of the rates in laying down a cricket pitch or laying out a tennis court or

Before using a fo. I think it will be safer to ask the D.P.J. by O.M. to what classes of institutions his letter applies

517  
30

By please  
H.D.  
8-8-07

A draft o.m. is placed below for approval.

T.R. soon  
31/8/07

7K.  
2-9-07  
4-9-07

W.S.  
O.S.  
5.9.7.



File 71685  
28-10-07

6 18 6P

[ Memo. no. 1881-2 dated 5<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1907 ]  
From the D.P.I. no. 4685- dated 16-9-07 -

Page 4 of C.F.

The D.P.I. states that the question he has raised is of a general nature as it appertains to several Govt. Colleges and schools under his control.

2. The Director adds that he sanctioned a sum of Rs 50-4-0 towards the cost of laying out a tennis court in the Govt. Madrasa-i. Aram and
- A/ the Principal, Pres. Coll. incurred an expenditure of Rs 100 towards the improvement of the turf in the cricket and foot-ball fields of the College, to both of which <sup>items</sup> the A.G. has raised objections. He adds that
- B The Principals of the Rajahmundry and the Teachers' College have also applied for the sanction of Rs 18 and Rs 32-1-0, respectively, for repairing the Tennis Courts of their colleges.

The above information was called for with reference to the Hon's remarks on page 5 Supra.

RD  
28-9-07

Sec

25.9.7

The expenditure already sanctioned by D.P.I. (A) may be approved. That at 'B' may be disallowed. The Principal Presiding College (C) should not have incurred expenditure without sanction - but it may be approved as a special case. No further expenditure of this nature should be sanctioned without reference to Govt.

24.9.07



19 70

Yes as proposed. Colleges are  
penditure on cricket pitches &  
Lennis counts shd be met from  
the subsp of the Cricket & Lennis  
Club of the college & not from  
public funds.

*[Signature]*  
257

*[Signature]*  
26.9.07

The draft order placed below is for  
approval.

*[Signature]*  
210.07

*[Signature]*  
210.07

25. no for acceptance

*[Signature]*  
210.07

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.S.

Sub<sup>d</sup>. that the draft may be accepted

[P.W.D. since perhaps see but  
that is for P.W. to decide]

*[Signature]*  
3. x 7. 1123  
61007

*[Signature]*


P.W.D. no for acceptance

*[Signature]*  
210.07

Public Works Dept may accept the  
draft



*[Signature]*  
No. 1881-3  
4-10-07



*[Signature]*  
Fallen back from  
F.R. 2.10.07  
P.W. no R.N.P. 1123  
11.10.07

TN  
26/9



8P  
draft and ask Educational to communicate  
the order with a copy of the notes for 20  
further action in this Dept. The principles  
now enunciated will have to be applied  
to Cricket, Pilots and Tennis Courts  
elsewhere which have been provided, and  
are being maintained from State funds.

P.S.

11-10-07

Draft accepted in Board.

Will Educat. please communicate  
the order with notes as suggested  
above.

24/10/07

Educational U.O.

true

28/10/07

Phan...  
H

Notes sent to Sec.  
with original papers.



68

C. No.1881, from the Director of Public Instruction, No.4685, d/ 2-8-07.

C. No.2325, from the -do.-, No. " d/16-9-07.

ORDER:-- No 716 of 28 Oct. 1907  
P.S.

The Government are unable to sanction the proposed expenditure of Rs.18 and Rs.32-1-0 respectively on the repair of the Tennis courts in the Rajahmundry college and the Teachers' College, Saidapet. In colleges expenditure on cricket pitches and tennis courts should be met from the subscriptions of the cricket and tennis clubs of the colleges and not from public funds.

2. In the circumstances stated, ~~the~~ ~~Sanction with reference to the~~ Government will approve the expenditure of Rs.50-4-0 ~~on the cost of~~ <sup>disapproved</sup> laying out a tennis court in the Government Madrasa-i-Azam, but no expenditure of this nature should be incurred for the future without the previous sanction of Government.

3. The Principal of the Presidency college should not have incurred the expenditure of Rs.100 on the improvement of the turf in the cricket and foot ball fields of the college without obtaining previous sanction. The expenditure will, however, be now approved as a special case.

K.R.  
2-10-07.

Chd  
3107. 1/11  
4-10-07

To the D.P.I.  
R.D. - 11/11/07

Sp. memo -  
28/10/07  
28/10/07

M 716 821

716 821



First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES  
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
190

DATED

MENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

ATTACHED WITH DITTO.

FOR NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DELETED  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.

B = No. to be printed.

C = Print abstract only.

D = Print heading only.

70  
Wote 1/5-8

22

Pres proffessare

CS / 31/1/10

Notes A