

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1907.

Enclosures

Spare copies

(11)

G.O., No. 498, 2nd August 1907.

pp. 9-11

(11)

Grants.

Sanctioning an allotment of Rs. 12,845 from the lump provision of Rs. 83,000 for primary education to enable certain Municipal Councils to revise the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the Educational Rules.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Order—No. 498, Educational, dated 2nd August 1907.

A sum of Rs. 12,845 will be allotted from the lump provision of Rs. 83,000 for primary education under 22. EDUCATION for the payment of grants to Municipal Councils to enable them to revise the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the Educational Rules.

2. The grant will be distributed as shown below:—

	RS.
1. Anantapur	84
2. Bellary	131
3. Chingleput	68
4. Erode	280
5. Chicacole	37
6. Parlákimedi	560
7. Cocanada	910
8. Rajahmundry	771
9. Guntúr	251
10. Ellore	166
11. Masulipatam	168
12. Nandyal	25
13. Dindigul	133
14. Palni	124
15. Calicut	1,025
16. Cannanore	1,056
17. Cochin	101
18. Tellicherry	343
19. Gudiyáttam	541
20. Vellore	1,564
21. Walajapet	452
22. Salem	1,570
23. Tiruppattúr	386
24. Vániyambádi	126
25. Cuddalore	100
26. Tiruvannámalai	149
27. Mangalore	92
28. Májavaram	429
29. Tanjore	308
30. Srirangam	131
31. Trichinopoly	628
32. Vizagapatam	88
33. Vizianagram	48
	12,845

3. The Accountant-General will be authorized to place these amounts immediately at the disposal of the Municipal Councils concerned.

4. Further orders will issue in the Local and Municipal Department sanctioning the revision of the establishments in the case of those municipalities in respect of which orders have not already been passed. It is observed that the present grants are made in order to improve the efficiency of the municipal schools and it is not intended that the higher scale of pay should be given to untrained and unqualified teachers.

5. A grant of Rs. 2,000 will also be sanctioned to the Municipal Council of Karúr towards the cost of an Educational Museum from the provision of Rs. 50,000 for contribution to Municipal funds under "22-I. MISCELLANEOUS." The Municipal Council is requested to draw this amount at once and report the fact to Government.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Chairman, Municipal Council, Karúr.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Financial Department.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 498, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
2ND AUGUST 1907.[SUBJECT.—*Revision of establishments of elementary schools in Municipalities.*]

The note below deals with the proposals submitted by the several Municipal Councils for the revision of the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the new Educational rules.

2. *Proposition statements already sanctioned.*—In the case of the municipalities noted below, the proposals submitted by them for the revision of the establishments have been approved, and the extra expenditure involved by the revision is entered against each municipality :—

G.O., No.	M.	dated	Name of Municipality.	Net extra expenditure per annum.
				RS.
209	M.	2nd February 1907.	1. Anakapalle	10
667	M.	2nd April 1907.	2. Anantapur	84
163	M.	28th January 1907.	3. Bimlipatam	-16
207	M.	2nd February 1907.	4. Chicacole	37
744	M.	6th April 1907.	5. Chidambaram	23
254	M.	7th February 1907.	6. Coimbatore	5
757	M.	9th April 1907.	7. Ellore	166
774	M.	10th April 1907.	8. Masulipatam	168
544	M.	16th March 1907.	9. Mayavaram	429
730	M.	5th April 1907.	10. Nandyal	25
244	M.	6th February 1907.	11. Ootacamund	34
478	M.	6th March 1907.	12. Palamecottah	-128
715	M.	4th April 1907.	13. Palghat	124
456	M.	5th March 1907.	14. Palni	131
592	M.	21st March 1907.	15. Srirangam	-116
756	M.	9th April 1907.	16. Srivilliputtur	26
129	M.	23rd January 1907.	17. Tinnevely	16
527	M.	13th March 1907.	18. Tuticorin	48
262	M.	8th February 1907 and	19. Vizianagram	188
780	M.	12th April 1907.	20. Vaniyambadi	251
1354	M.	2nd July 1907.	21. Guntur	-65
836	M.	25th April 1907.	22. Tirupati	92
1116	M.	25th May 1907.	23. Mangalore	-357
1640	M.	15th May 1907.	24. Bezwada	-123
1208	M.	8th June 1907.	25. Conjeevaram	
1256	M.	15th June 1907.		

* Existing scale to continue.

3. There are thus only seven cases in which the extra expenditure is in excess of

	Net expenditure on education.	Extra expenditure.
		RS.
1. Ellore	9.5	166
2. Masulipatam	14.9	168
3. Guntur	11.1	251
4. Mayavaram	12.0	429
5. Palni	13.1	124
6. Srirangam	14.0	131
7. Vaniyambadi	15.0	188

entered (Rs. 756) has now been reduced to Rs. 188 in accordance with the revised proposals sanctioned in G.O., No. 1354 M., dated 2nd July 1907.

H. D. TAYLOR.

Submitted that the excess expenditure in all these cases may be sanctioned as a subsidy from the six lakhs grant.

4. In the case of all these towns except Vaniyambadi, the proposition statements have been sanctioned in April 1907 or earlier and the grants will be required to cover the extra expenditure for the whole year 1907-1908. As to Vaniyambadi, the proposition statement having been sanctioned in July, it seems to be enough to sanction a grant equal to eight months' expenditure or Rs. 126.† The grants

G.O., No. 1354 M., dated 2nd July 1907.

H. D. TAYLOR.

$$\dagger \frac{188 \times 2}{3} = 126 \text{ (about).}$$

which will be actually required for the several towns above named in the current year will thus be—

	Rs.
1. Ellore	166
2. Masulipatam	168
3. Guntur	251
4. Mayavaram	429
5. Palni	124
6. Srirangam	131
7. Vaniyambadi	126
Total	1,395

H. D. TAYLOR.

5. *Proposals lying over pending distribution of grants.*—In the following cases, proposition statements for the revision of the establishments of elementary schools have been received, but are lying over pending the issue of orders on the question of the distribution of the special grant of six lakhs for primary education. These will now be dealt with below

6. *Rode.*—The proposition statement may be sanctioned. The extra expenditure involved by the revision is Rs. 420 per annum, and as the proposals do not involve the employment of additional teachers, sanction may perhaps be granted with retrospective effect from 1st July 1907. In this case the amount of grant required for the current year will be Rs. 280.*

$$* \frac{420 \times 2}{3} = \frac{840}{3} = 280.$$

7. *Tiruppattur.*—The proposition statement may be sanctioned in this case also with effect from 1st July 1907. The net extra cost per annum is Rs. 579, and that for eight months in the current year will be Rs. 386, † which is the subsidy required for the year.

$$† \frac{579 \times 2}{3} = \frac{1,158}{3} = 386.$$

H. D. TAYLOR.

8. *Gudiyattam.*—The total extra cost on account of the revision of the establishments is Rs. 811 per annum, and if the revision is to have effect from 1st July 1907, the expenditure on this account in the current year will be Rs. 541.‡

$$‡ \frac{811 \times 2}{3} = \frac{1,622}{3} = 541.$$

H. D. TAYLOR.

9. *Vellore.*—May be sanctioned with effect from 1st April 1907 as requested by the Municipal Council. Net extra cost per annum is Rs. 1,564, and this sum will have to be sanctioned as a subsidy in the current year.

H. D. TAYLOR.

10. *Parlakimedi.*—Proposition statement may be sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1907, except as regards the proposed increase in the salary of the supervisor. Net extra cost per annum is Rs. 840 and for eight months of the current year, Rs. 560§.

$$§ \frac{840 \times 2}{3} = \frac{1,680}{3} = 560$$

H. D. TAYLOR.

11. *Proposition statements called for.*—In the following cases revised proposals have been called for from the Municipal Councils with reference to the alterations suggested by Government. The probable net extra cost of the revision as approved by Government is also given against each municipality :

G.O., No.	875 M., dated 25th April 1907.		Probable extra cost.
Memo.	2357-1 M., 7th June	1. Adoni	321
"	73-1 M., 16th February	2. Bellary	196
G.O.,	446 M., 4th March	3. Cuddapah	4
"	729 M., 5th April	4. Cocanada	1,365
"	660 M., 28th March	5. Kurnool	56
Entered from the register (Papers called for from Madras). Draft sent to Financial O.O.		6. Dindigul	200
G.O., No.	151 M., dated 25th January 1907.	7. Calicut	1,537
"	179 M., 30th	8. Cannanore	1,808
Memo.	4098-1 M., 30th	9. Cochin	152
"	1758-1 M., 1st May	10. Tellicherry	514
"	2323-1 M., 1st June	11. Nellore	312
"	1151-1 M., 19th March	12. Walajapet	678
G.O.,	237 M., 5th February	13. Cuddalore	300
"	591 M., 21st March	14. Kumbakonam	102
Memo.	1183-1 M., 28th	15. Negapatam	748
"	1669-1 M., 27th April	16. Tanjore	462
		17. Trichinopoly	943
		18. Vizagapatam	132

12. Orders are solicited whether these cases may now be taken up and disposed of one by one without waiting for the proposition statements or revised proposals called for from the several Municipal Councils, and whether orders may be issued to the effect that the proposals, as accepted in the Government Order or Memorandum, have been sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1907 and that proposition statements should be submitted without delay for the formal sanction of Government.

13. If the course suggested in paragraph 12 above is adopted, then the subsidy payable to the several Councils referred to will have to be only two-thirds of the annual additional expenditure. The only municipalities falling under this head which require an extra grant are—

	Grant per annum.	Two-thirds grant.
	Rs.	Rs.
1. Bellary	196	131
2. Cocanada	1,365	910
3. Dindigul	200	133
4. Calicut	1,537	1,025
5. Cannanore	1,808	1,206
6. Cochin	152	101
7. Tellicherry	514	343
8. Walajapet	678	452
9. Cuddalore	300	200
10. Negapatam	748	499
11. Tanjore	462	308
12. Trichinopoly	943	628
13. Vizagapatam	132	88

14. The Secretary has, however, noted that no grants need be given to Cuddalore as it does not spend on education 10 per cent. of its income from taxation, while the Director of Public Instruction has pointed out that as the Negapatam Municipal Council will be relieved of the expenditure on salary grants and furniture grants to secondary schools to the extent of Rs. 1,200 per annum, the revision of the establishment of the elementary schools (which is expected to cost Rs. 748 extra) will practically result in a saving to the Municipal funds and that consequently no subsidy is necessary. It has also been decided that no grant need be given to Madura on this account, as it does not spend a fair proportion of its income on education, while in the case of Berhampur the revision has resulted in a saving of Rs. 413. No grants will also be necessary for Karur as the schools in the town are only feeders to the high school. The Mannargudi Municipal Council has not adopted the revised scale of establishment laid down by the Educational Rules as the schools in the town are not now in a satisfactory condition. The papers regarding Chingleput have been called for from Madras, but although the extra cost involved by the revision of the establishments of the elementary schools in that town is only about Rs. 68, yet as the town is poor, this sum may be taken into account in arriving at the amount of subsidy required for municipalities.

It spends over 9 percent. I would allow a grant of Rs. 150 for the year.

H. D. TAYLOR.

G.Os., Mis. No. 69 M., dated 17th January 1907, and Mis. No. 101 M., dated 19th January 1907.

Karur requires Rs. 2,000 for a museum.

H. D. TAYLOR.

G.O., Mis. No. 940 M., dated 1st May 1907.

15. In Tiruvannamalai, Salem and Rajahmundry, the proposals submitted by the Councils are lying over pending orders in regard to the distribution of grants. No proposition statements have been submitted nor have any statements been called for. In Salem and Tiruvannamalai the revised establishments involve an additional expenditure of Rs. 2,355 and Rs. 224, respectively, while in Rajahmundry the extra cost according to the proposals submitted by the Council is Rs. 1,444. If, however, the teachers in night schools are allowed only half rates as required by G.O., No. 357, Educational, dated 10th June 1907, the additional expenditure will be reduced to Rs. 1,156.

These should be called for at once.

H. D. TAYLOR.

On examination of the original papers called for from Madras, it was found that the proposition statement had been received for Salem. For Tiruvannamalai and Rajahmundry proposition statements have been called for.

K.R.

H. D. TAYLOR.

16. On the assumption that the revision of establishments in the several municipalities is sanctioned as suggested in the preceding paragraphs, the subsidy payable to the several Municipal Councils for the current year will be as shown in the subjoined statement :—

Serial number.	Name of Municipality.	Extra cost per annum as arrived at in the above notes.	Extra cost as advised by the Director of Public Instruction.	Subsidy which will be required in the current year.	
<i>Municipalities in which proposition statements have already been sanctioned.</i>					
		RS.	RS.	RS.	
1	Anakapalle	10	..	..	
2	Anantapur	84	..	..	
3	Binnipatam	76	60	..	
4	Chicacole	37	..	..	
5	Chidambaram	23	263	..	
6	Coinbatore	5	1,979	..	
7	Ellore	166	854	166	
8	Masulipatam	168	3,045	168	
9	Máyavaram	429	60	429	
10	Nandyal	25	485	..	
11	Octacumund	..	1,414	..	
12	Palacottah	34	1,470	..	
13	Palghat	123	901	..	
14	Palni	124	280	124	
15	Srirangapat	131	..	131	
16	Srivillipattúr	116	225	..	
17	Tinnevely	25	1,128	..	
18	Tuticorin	16	1,146	..	
19	Vizianagram	48	600	..	
20	Ványambádi	188	600	126	For eight months.
21	Guntúr	251	1,616	251	
22	Tirupati	65	339	..	
23	Mangalore	92	1,497	..	
24	Bezwada	357	650	..	
25	Conjeevaram	25	629	..	
				1,396	

Municipalities in which proposition statements have been called for.

1	Adoni	331	..	..	
2	Bellary	196	963	131	For eight months.
3	Cuddalore	4	171	..	
4	Cocanada	1,365	..	910	For eight months.
5	Kurnool	56	260	..	
6	Dindigul	200	210	133	Do.
7	Calicut	1,537	603	1,025	Do.
8	Cannanore	1,808	951	1,206	Do.
9	Cochin	152	150	101	Do.
10	Tellicherry	514	..	343	Do.
11	Nellore	372	1,659	..	
12	Walajapet	678	..	462	Do.
13	Cuddalore	300	414	..	No grant considered necessary.
14	Kumbakonam	102	720	..	
15	Negapatam	748	2,131	..	No grant considered necessary.
16	Tanjore	462	2,894	308	
17	Trichinopoly	943	838	628	
18	Vizagapatam	132	1,864	88	
				5,325	

Municipalities in which drafts and notes are now submitted sanctioning proposition statements.

1	Erode	420	198	280	For eight months.
2	Tirupattúr	579	..	386	Do.
3	Gudiyáttam	811	..	541	Do.
4	Vellore	1,564	2,192	1,564	For the whole year.
5	Parlákimedi	840	..	560	For eight months.
6	Madura	270	3,446	..	No grant considered necessary.
7	Behanpur	473	..	..	
				13,331	

Other cases.

1	Rajshundry	1,156	..	771	For eight months.
2	Salem	2,355	438	1,570	Do.
3	Tiruvannámalai	224	..	149	
4	Karúr	..	734	..	Revised scale not adopted.
5	Mannargudi	..	513	..	
6	Chingleput	68	..	..	
				2,490	
7	Kodaikánai	..	..	..	
8	Periyakulam	..	..	..	
9	Ongole	..	..	..	
10	Coonor	..	..	..	
				..	No schools maintained by the Municipal Council.
60	Total			12,541	

17. It is difficult to reconcile the figures arrived at in this office with those furnished by the Director of Public Instruction. As worked out in this office, the amount of subsidy that will be required per annum and the amount required in the current year may be briefly noted as hereunder :—

	Per annum.	In the current year.
	RS.	RS.
1. Ellore	166	166
2. Masulipatam	168	168
3. Guntúr	251	251
4. Mávavaram	429	429
5. Palni	124	124
6. Ványambádi	188	126
7. Srirangam	131	131
8. Bellary	196	131
9. Cocanada	1,365	910
10. Dindigul	200	133
11. Calicut	1,537	1,025
12. Cannanore	1,808	1,206
13. Cochin	152	101
14. Tellicherry	514	343
15. Walajapet	678	452
16. Tanjore	462	308
17. Trichinopoly	943	628
18. Vizagapatam	132	88
19. Erode	420	280
20. Tirupattúr	579	386
21. Gudiyáttam	811	541
22. Vellore	1,564	1,564
23. Parlákimedi	840	560
24. Rajshundry	1,156	771
25. Salem	2,355	1,570
26. Tiruvannámalai	224	149
Total	17,393	12,541

18. It is submitted that a grant of Rs. 12,541 will be required in the current year to meet the extra cost involved by the revision of the establishments of the elementary schools maintained by Municipal Councils, assuming that no help will be required in those cases in which the additional expenditure does not exceed Rs. 100 per mensem, and no grant need be given to the Municipal Councils of Cuddalore and Madura—cf. paragraph 14 *supra*.

19. With reference to the Secretary's note on page 7 of the notes with G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907, the following towns may also be included in the list of municipalities to whom subsidies should be granted towards meeting the cost of the revision on the ground of poverty :—

	Per annum.	In the current year.
	RS.	RS.
1. Anantapur	84	84
2. Chingleput	68	68
3. Chicacole	37	37
4. Nandyal	25	25
5. Mangalore	92	92
6. Vizianagram	48	48
Total	354	354

20. If the above proposal is approved, then the total subsidy required will be Rs. 17,747 per annum and Rs. 12,895 in the current year.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—8-7-07.

Any grants that have to be made to municipalities in connection with the revision of establishments of elementary schools will have to be made out of the provision of Rs. 1 lakh retained out of the 6-lakh grant in the Provincial section of the Civil Budget Estimate.

2. According to the above proposals, the sum to be provided in the current year will be Rs. 12,811 in addition to Rs. 2,000 to be given to Karur as a contribution towards the cost of an Educational Museum—total Rs. 14,811—while there will be a recurring expenditure under the head of "Subsidy to municipalities" to be charged against the 6 lakhs grant amounting to Rs. 17,663 in future years. In regard to Cuddalore, which spends on education slightly over 9 per cent. of its income from taxation, a grant may be made to the extent of half the additional cost (Rs. 300 per annum) involved or Rs. 100 for the current year and Rs. 150 in future. This will bring up the totals to Rs. 14,911 for the current year and to Rs. 17,813 in future years.

We may put it roughly at Rs. 20,000 on present data.

G. S. F[ORRES].

3. The figures shown in this note are based on detailed proposals received from municipalities which have undergone scrutiny in this office and have in most cases received the approval of the Director of Public Instruction on u.o. references. It is not known on what basis the statistics of the Director of Public Instruction as furnished in the statement are based, but they vary very greatly, especially in the cases of Madura, Coimbatore, Masulipatam, Tanjore, and Parlakimedi, from the proposals now worked out.

4. In the case of municipalities which require a considerable grant, the proposition statement cannot be sanctioned until the grant has actually been made by the Financial Department.

5. The Director of Public Instruction's proposals include Madras (Rs. 1,795) which has not been dealt with by this office in considering the proposals. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to furnish details for this figure and state whether it may be accepted provisionally and from what date.

6. It is requested that this reference may be treated as "Very urgent."

H. D. TAYLOR—10-7-07.

To the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

The figures furnished in column 4 of the statement appended to paragraph 16 of the Secretariat note do not represent, as seems to have been supposed by the Government office, the additional cost to municipalities involved by the revision of the educational establishments in the municipal elementary schools, but they merely show the amount of grant till recently paid by each municipality towards secondary education and of which the municipalities have now been relieved under the present Grant-in-Aid Code. These statistics

The Director of Public Instruction's u.o. note was very indefinite.
K.R.—24-7-07.

should not therefore have been compared with those in column 3 of the statement referred to above.

T. T. LOGAN—17-7-07.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the file may now be forwarded u.o. to the Financial Department for sanction to a grant of Rs. 12,911* in the current year from the special grant of 6 lakhs on account of primary education towards the additional cost which will have to be incurred by Municipal Councils in revising the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with the new Educational Rules. The annual recurring grant for future years on this account will be Rs. 17,813.

Vide paragraph 2 of the Secretary's note *supra*.

* This excludes Rs. 2,000 for Karur Educational Museum for which provision has already been made in the budget.

But Financial has to allot the amount.

H. D. TAYLOR.

At least.

G. S. F[ORRES].

2. Of the special grant of 6 lakhs earmarked for primary education, 5 lakhs have been allotted under "Adjusting Heads—Contributions from Provincial to Local." Of the balance of 1 lakh which is retained in the Provincial section, a lump provision of .83 lakh has been made mainly for the improvement of the scale of salaries for schoolmistresses in Muhammadan primary girls' schools and for the provincialization of the establishment of supervisors of primary schools. .15 lakh has been allotted for the reorganization of training schools for primary teachers and .02 lakh for a contribution to the Municipal Council of Karur towards the cost of constructing a building for an Educational Museum.

Page 128 of Civil Budget Estimate.

Paragraph 15 of letter No. 58, Financial, dated 21st January 1907.

Ibid.

3. The above allocation of the six lakhs grant was only provisional pending the final settlement of the distribution of the grant, and in paragraph 15 of letter No. 59, Financial, dated 21st January 1907, forwarding the Civil Budget Estimate for 1907-1908, it was stated—

"Should it be found necessary . . . to retain a further portion of the grant on the Provincial side of the accounts, the expenditure from the allotment transferred to Local funds can be restricted, and the necessary adjustment between Provincial and Local can be effected without difficulty."

4. The question now is whether the sum of Rs. 12,911 required in the current year can be found from the 1 lakh of the special grant retained on the Provincial side of the accounts, or whether it should come out of the 5 lakhs transferred to "Local."

May be included in the grant now to be made.

H. D. TAYLOR.

5. The sum of .02 lakh for the Karur Educational Museum will be required in the current year, as well as the .15 lakh for training schools, the scheme for the reorganization of these schools having been sanctioned by the Secretary of State. As to the balance of .83 reserved on the Provincial side, it is observed that the provincialization of the establishment of supervisors of primary schools will involve an extra expenditure to Provincial of 1.43 lakhs; but this item may be left out of account as it is proposed to meet the extra charge by the grant of reduced subsidy to local bodies (*vide* paragraph 10 of letter to India, No. 394, Educational, dated 20th June 1907). The scheme for the improvement of the salaries of Muhammadan girls' schools has been submitted by the Director of Public Instruction, but the extra cost per annum which will be entailed by the revision of the scale of salaries of the teachers of these schools in accordance with the Educational Rules would be only about Rs. 2,000 and the whole of this sum will not be required in the current year. It will thus be seen that the grants to municipalities required in the current year can be met from the lump provision of .83 lakh for primary education in the Educational budget.

Paragraph 9 of letter No. 394, Educational, dated 20th June 1907.

Papers separately under disposal in Educational.
K.R.

There are ample funds available out of the Rs. 1 lakh grant reserved in the Provincial section.

H. D. TAYLOR.

6. In their letter No. 1763-A, dated 23rd March 1905, the Government of India say that the special grant of 6 lakhs "should be fully utilized in increasing the normal allotment on primary education inclusive of expenditure on buildings and outlay incurred through the agency of Local Boards"; and from the Honourable Member's remarks on pages 10 and 12 of the Budget notes for 1907-1908, there appears to be no objection to a portion of this sum being given in aid of municipal elementary schools.

The 6-lakh grant is to assist elementary education everywhere.

H. D. TAYLOR.

G. S. F[ORRES].

7. A grant of Rs. 1,24,447 has been sanctioned for revising the staff of existing elementary schools in accordance with the Educational Rules to District Boards out of the current year's allotment of 5 lakhs of the special grant in the Local section of the Civil Budget Estimate, the annual recurring expenditure on this account being Rs. 1,86,669.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—24-7-07.

The question of the allotment to be made for establishments in connection with new rural schools opened in 1906-1907 and in 1907-1908 is still under consideration.

2. The present file relates to grants to be made to municipalities out of the Rs. 1 lakh retained in the Provincial section of the budget out of the 6 lakh grant for elementary education. A further reference has been made to Cannanore under the Honourable Member's orders—Cannanore (Rs. 1,206) may therefore be left out of account for the present, and a separate grant may be made to it when revised proposals are received.

3. The Director of Public Instruction has not noted as to the special requirements of Madras Corporation. This may also be considered later, if any special grant is required in their case.

4. The grant of Rs. 2,000 to Karúr for its museum was approved departmentally as a charge on the Rs. 6 lakhs, and budget provision exists, but formal allotment is necessary and Financial may be asked to include it in the order.

5. Financial may now be asked to allot to District Municipalities Rs. (14,811 — 1,206) = 13,605. On receipt of the Financial order, the sum may be allotted as specified on page 5 *supra* (excluding Cannanore) when orders can at once issue for the reorganization of the establishments of municipal schools in regard to which no sanction has as yet been accorded.

At present the schemes for revising the establishment of schools in these municipalities in accordance with the declared policy of Government are hung up pending allotment.

There are ample funds available for the purpose out of the Rs. 1 lakh reserved in Provincial section. The provincialization of supervisors and the reorganization of Muhammadan girls' schools (which is not estimated to exceed Rs. 2,000 extra cost per annum) are not likely to be introduced immediately, if at all, within the current financial year, and certainly not before January 1908.

6. If the Honourable Member accepts my suggestion that the Cannanore scale may be at once sanctioned, that amount also may be included in the present application. I ask for the administrative approval of the Honourable Member before Financial are asked to allot.

H. D. TAYLOR—24-7-07.

G. S. F[ORBES]—26-7-07.

The Honourable Member has, on a separate file, approved the Director of Public Instruction's proposals for the revision of establishments of elementary schools at Cannanore, resulting in an increased cost over the existing scale of Rs. 1,584 per annum against Rs. 1,808 entered in the statement on page 5 *supra*. The amount required for eight months will be Rs. 1,056 instead of Rs. 1,206.

Will Financial now please make an assignment out of the Rs. 1 lakh out of the 6-lakh grant reserved in the Provincial section of Rs. (14,811 — 150) = 14,661 in aid of elementary schools in municipalities (including Rs. 2,000 for the museum at Karúr)?

It is requested that the matter may be treated as very urgent.

H. D. TAYLOR—26-7-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The assignment of Rs. 12,661, excluding the contribution of Rs. 2,000 to Karúr Municipality for the construction of a museum, represents a portion of the annual extra cost of the revision of establishments of elementary schools in municipalities, and relates to the current year only. Educational will apparently issue later on a general order permanently allocating among the municipalities the grant to be made to them every year from the 6 lakhs on account of the revision of establishments in elementary schools.

2. A draft order is submitted with reference to A on page 8 *supra*. It does not, however, appear to be necessary to issue it in Financial. It might better issue in Educational with an additional paragraph to the draft order giving the distribution of the amount among the municipalities concerned and asking the Accountant-General to place the sums at the disposal of the Councils. This procedure was adopted in the case of District Boards. The order might then be communicated to the Accountant-General through Financial.

A.H.—27-7-07.

This department may, it is submitted, agree to the proposals in Educational which involve a recurring liability of Rs. 17,000 *per annum* on Provincial funds to be met from the 6-lakh grant. In the proposals already accepted in G.O., No. 395, Educational, dated 20th June 1907, the liability on account of old elementary schools in municipalities was placed at Rs. 18,000 (page 18 K.W.). As suggested above, the complete order might issue in Educational and be communicated to the Accountant-General by Financial in ordinary course.

C. M. SCHMIDT—29-7-07.

The above proposal may be agreed to. The liability is a little over Rs. 17,000. The amount required for this year is Rs. 14,661 as stated by Local and Municipal

H. BRADLEY—30-7-07.

Yes. Financial may agree to the above proposals.

G. S[TOKE]—30-7-07.

To the Educational Department.

H. BRADLEY—31-7-07.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please draft for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—31-7-07.

The draft order placed below is submitted for approval.

2. The figure Rs. 14,661 given in the Secretary's note at A on page 8 *supra* excludes Rs. 100 for Cuddalore, which was recommended on page 6 *supra* and Rs. 84 for Anantapur which was omitted to be included in the total given in the office-note at the bottom of page 5. The total amount of grant required is Rs. 12,845, which has now been adopted in the draft order.

3. A draft order which is to issue in Local and Municipal on the Educational Government Order is also placed below for approval.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—2-8-07.

Financial should accept the order to see that the allocation of the Rs. 12,845 and Rs. 2,000 respectively is correct.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-8-07.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Accepted in Financial.

C. M. SCHMIDT—2-8-07.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue and print with notes *very urgent.*

H. D. TAYLOR—2-8-07.

[G.O., No. 498, Educational, dated 2nd August 1907.]

ORDER:--*no. 498, 2. 8. 07*
P.S.*12-52 p.m.
3-8-07*

A sum of Rs.12,845 will be allotted from the lump provision of Rs.83,000 for primary education under 22 - EDUCATION, for the payment of grants to Municipal Councils to enable them to revise the establishments of their elementary schools in accordance with rules 81 and 82 of the Educational Rules.

2. The grant will be distributed as shown below:--

	Rs.
1. Anantapur	84 ✓
2. Bellary	131 ✓
3. Chingleput	68 ✓
4. Erode	280 ✓
5. Chicacole	37 ✓
6. Parlakimedi	560 ✓
7. Cocanada	910 ✓
8. Rajahmundry	771 ✓
9. Guntur	251 ✓
10. Ellore	166 ✓
11. Masulipatam	168 ✓
12. Nandyal	25 ✓
13. Dindigul	133 ✓
14. Palni	124 ✓
15. Calicut	1,035 ✓
16. Cannanore	1,056 ✓
17. Cochin	101 ✓
18. Tellicherry	343 ✓
19. Gudiyam	541 ✓
20. Vellore	1,564 ✓

21. Walajapet	Rs. 452 /
22. Salem	1,570 /
23. Tiruppattur	386 /
24. Vaniyambadi	126 /
25. Cuddalore	100 /
26. Tiruvannamalai	149 /
27. Mangalore	92 /
28. Mayavaram	429 /
29. Tanjore	308 /
30. Srirangam	131 /
31. Trichinopoly	628 /
32. Vizagapatam	88 /
33. Vizianagram	48 /

	12,845 /

3. The Accountant General will be authorized to place these amounts immediately at the disposal of the Municipal Councils concerned.

4. Further orders will issue in the Local and Municipal Department sanctioning the revision of the establishments in the case of those municipalities in respect of which orders have not already been passed. It is observed that the present grants are made in order to improve the efficiency of the municipal schools and it is not intended that the higher scale of pay should be given to untrained and unqualified teachers.

5. A grant of Rs. 2,000 will also be sanctioned to the Municipal Council of Karur towards the cost of an Educational Museum from the provision of Rs. 50,000 for contribution to municipal funds under

11

1

"22 I - MISCELLANEOUS". The Municipal Council is requested to draw this amount at once and report the fact to Government.

2.8.07

2.8.07

To

The D.P.I. ✓
" Chairman M.C. Karur Dept
" Local & Munl. Department. at 5 PM.
" Financial Department. ✓ on 2-8-07
MA.