

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O. No. 40

DATED 30-3-98

10-10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bangalore chaplains

Sanctioning the proposal of the
Rt Revd the Bishop of Madras that
the tenure of each of the three
— may be limited, with retrospective
effect from the 18th August 1894, to four
years, including "acting" service if
for a period of more than three
months at one time.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
DO 300/98		Shikhar in P. Chell					

Government (1898) of Madras. ECCLESIASTICAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1898.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 40, 30th March 1898.

MARCH.

Bangalore Chaplaincies.

Sanctioning the proposal of the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras that the tenure of each of the three — may be limited, with retrospective effect from the 18th August 1894, to four years, including "acting" service if for a period of more than three months at one time.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 64, Ecclesiastical, dated 18th August 1894.

Read—the following letter from the Reverend S. MORLEY, M.A., Bishop's Chaplain, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 6th August 1894, No. 1331 :—

I have the honour, by desire of the Right Reverend the Bishop, to submit for the consideration of Government, in a somewhat modified form, a proposal made some years ago, but not then adopted by Government, limiting the tenure of each of the three Bangalore chaplaincies to a period of five years.

2. His Lordship now proposes that the tenure should be limited to four years, including "acting" service if continuous.

3. It would enable a somewhat larger number of chaplains to enjoy the benefit of the climate of Bangalore after serving for some years on the plains, than at present.

Order—No. 64, Ecclesiastical, dated 18th August 1894.

His Excellency the Governor in Council accepts the Right Reverend the Bishop's present proposal and is pleased to order accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Right Reverend the Bishop.
„ Accountant-General.

READ—also the following papers :—

From the Reverend C. H. PELLY, M.A., Bishop's Chaplain, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 2nd March 1898, No. 1749.

With reference to G.O., No. 64, Ecclesiastical, dated 18th August 1894, I have the honour, by desire of the Right Reverend the Bishop, to propose that in paragraph 2, the undermentioned change be made with retrospective effect, viz.—
that the word "continuous" be omitted and the following words substituted :
"for a period of more than three months at one time".

Endorsement, No. 661.

The Right Reverend the Bishop is requested to be so good as to give his reasons for the proposed change.

(By order.)

11th March 1898.

(Signed) S. G. ROBERTS,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

From the Reverend C. H. PELLY, M.A., Bishop's Chaplain, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th March 1898, No. 1754.

In reply to your endorsement, No. 661, dated 11th March 1898, I have the honour, by desire of the Right Reverend the Bishop, to say that his reason for the proposed change is that as the rule now stands, it is calculated to some extent to defeat the object for which it was intended, viz., "to enable a somewhat larger number of chaplains to enjoy the benefit of the climate of Bangalore." It often becomes necessary to appoint a chaplain to act at Bangalore during the absence of a permanent chaplain on furlough or at a hill station, and if this acting period is not counted as part of the four years, a chaplain may obtain an actual residence in Bangalore of considerably over four years to the exclusion of others who may desire to go there. The present Acting Chaplain of St. Mark's, Bangalore, is a case in point. During his service he has acted in that station for nearly three years and nine months and yet, as the rule stands, if now appointed permanently, he would be entitled to four years longer.

ORDER—No. 40, Ecclesiastical, dated 30th March 1898.

The proposal of the Right Reverend the Bishop is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Right Reverend the Bishop.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Ecclesiastical (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

82



From

The Rev. C. H. Pelly, M. A.
Bishop's Chaplain

Order Enclt. No. 661, of 11-3-98.

The Rt. Revd the Bishop
is requested to be so good
as to give his reasons
for the proposed change

No. 1749

Dated 2nd March 1898.

Received 3

Registered 3

Enclosures

Spare copies

By order
J. G. Roberts
Ag. Asst. Secy

11-3-98

SUBJECT.

Proposes certain change
in a word in para 2 of L. O.
No. 64 Sec. Dated 18th Aug 1894.

CCO
10/4/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
64	18-8-94		
113	4-7-872-50		
97	7-5-80		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

7

H.m.

Ecel 882
C97

For Orders

Please see the notes
at C with go. 64 Ecel
18.8.94. The
tenure of the Bangalore Chap-
laincy was then limited to
four years including "acting"
service if continuous.

Art 26 C.S.R. defines continuous
service.

The Bishop now proposes
that for "continuous" the words
"for a period of more than three months
at one time" maybe substituted
with retrospective effect.

As the Bishop's pro-
posal is accepted the following
draft is submitted:-

58
Read again go. 64 Ecel (C)
C. 82 Ecel (C)
Order W. 40 of 30.3.88 97 " (C) 58

The proposal of the
Rt Revd the Bishop is
sanctioned 23.3.88

It is submitted that no reasons
are given for the proposed
change a.b.
53.80

Order No 661 of 3.28.

Ask the Bp. to be as good
and give the reason for
the proposed change.
23.3.88

To the Rt Revd the Bishop
Accountant 2
25.4.88

Draft submitted on docket

25.4.88

*C. 19.3.98.
R 21.3.98*
Ecclesiastical (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 97



From
The Rev. C. H. Pelly, D.D.
Bishop's Chaplain

H.M.

In Orders

Submitted with ref.
to the notes at T and for
orders whether the draft
order is approved.

The change seems desirable in view of the
opinions expressed at C

*29.8
17.3.98*

MA 18.3.98

Yes

21/3/98

21/3/98

No. 1754.

Dated 14th March 1898.

Received 15 } 3-98

Registered 15 }

Enclosures one. (C no 82 rule?)

Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Since the reason why certain
change in a word in para 2
of Ed. by Ed. of 18th Aug 1894
is proposed.

Should at T may now
issue?

Yes MA 23.3.98

*24/3/98
29.8
17.3.98*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G.]
[9]
1,000-10-2-97.

Eccl (1898) Department.

Original of No 40 has not
yet been received. Copy is sent.

Dec
28/11/98
Since read.

D. O No. 300

Written to me for the original
880-64/94 red temporary
18/11
21/7/98

~~18/11~~

CS

For Orders

From

The Rev C. H. Pelly

No.

In G. O. No. 64 Ecclesiastical
of 18th August 1894 [page 1 of G. O.
No. 40 Eccl. of 30th March 1898] The
Govt. accepted the proposal of the Right
Reverend the Bishop that the tenure
of the Bangalore Chaplaincy should
be limited to four years, including
"acting" service if continuous. In his
letter No. 1749 of 20th March 1898 [page
1 ~~is~~ed] the Bishop's Chaplain stated
that the Rt. Rev. the Bishop wished
a certain change made in this order
with retrospective effect. The alteration
consisting of the substitution of the words
"for a period of not more than three
months at ^{one} time" for the word
"continuous". This alteration was

Dated 28th April 1898.

Received 29th " "

Registered " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Re inclusion of certain words
in the docket of G. O. No 40 of
30th March 1898.

Sanctioned in G.O. No. 40 Ecclesiastical
 of 30. March 1898 and the docket
 of that order runs "Sanctioning the
 proposal of the Right Reverend the
 Bishop of Madras that the tenure
 of each of the three Bangalore Chaplains
 may be limited, with retrospective effect
 from the 18th August 1894 to four
 years including acting service if for
 a period of more than three months
 at one time."

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

The D.O. from the Bishop's
 Chaplain is concerned with the
 word underlined in the passage
 last quoted. He holds that ~~the~~ the
 word, ~~retrospective~~ if considered part of
 the order, will apparently interfere
 with the object in view.

It is not quite clear what
 "retrospective effect" the Rt. Revd the
 Bishop wished to be given to the proposal
 in his Chaplain's letter of the 2nd
 March last. The order limiting the
 tenure of the Bangalore Chaplains
 to four years had effect from the 18th
 August 1894 only - the date upon
 which it was passed. No change in
 that order can ^{it is thought,} have effect from an
 earlier date.

Cmb
 3.5.98

Butlerack Mr. Pelley.
 The four years in retrospect
 w^d naturally date from
 1894. Mth 5.98.

D.O. No. 300

Ch.
 Look this up
 Mth D.C.
 19 APR. 1898
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
 085
 Ap. 28th /98

My dear General
 In G.O. No 40. 3rd March
 1898 the Bishop proposes
 that a certain change
 should be made in a
 rule, with retrospective
 effect & his proposal is
 sanctioned.

In the docket of the G.O.
 to the word "retrospective
 effect" are added the
 words "from 18th Aug. 1894".

As there is no reference

D.O. No 300

I this date in the body
of the Order so it would
if considered part of the
Order, ^{otherwise} interfere with
the object in view, will

you kindly tell me for
Mr. W's information. Whether
this addition was intended
or not whether it may
be erased.

Yours
C. H. Kelly

57

y. May 1898.

My dear Pelly,

With reference to
 your D.D. of 28th April regarding
 the docket of G.D. No 40 Eccl.
 of 30th March 1898, will you
 please let me know what
 "retrospective effect" the Rt
 Rev. two Bishops wished to be
 given to the proposal in your
 letter of the 2nd March last
 No. 1749. The order limiting
 the tenure of the Bangalore
 Chaplaincy to four years
 had effect from the 18th August
 1894 - the date upon which it
 was passed - & I don't quite
 see how any change in that
 order can have effect from an
 earlier date.

Yours sincerely

Cms
5/5/98

MS 65-98

I,
 The Rev. C. J. Pelly
 Chaplain }
 7/5/98
 1/30

CHIEF
SECRETARY

250

Dated 7.5.98

Sec. 20. No. 325

CHIEF SECRETARY
11. MAY. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

Worked
May 9/98

My dear Mündel.

Message
DO 250/98 N

Please do not
trouble and further all-
the docket of S.O. No 40 Secs.

The Chief Object the Rsp
last in view in wishing
to have further retrospective
effect given this proposal
does not now exist.

With apologies for troubling
you. Believe me
Yours
C. H. Pelley

Ch.

Lodged

13/5/98
Cms

14.5.98

Office
Stick with original of S.O. No 40 Secs
730.0.96 Cms

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Number of copies required. { Order complete. 35
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

of these 2 for signature.

Enclosure to O. No.

DATED

Serial No.

Print as marked in the draft.

Enclosure to O. No.

Letter of Government

A

Letter of Government

Statement with date.

NOTES: NOT TO BE USED FOR THE ORIGINAL

A.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
C = Print abstract only.
D = Print heading only.