

Ecclesiastical, (1898) Department

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 5

DATED 17. 1. 98

INDEXED.

70 20

[Despatch Abstract.]

Appointments of Chaplains & Lay Preachers  
Passing orders on the subject of the  
procedure to be followed in  
gazetting — to stations in Berar  
and Hyderabad Contingent Stations.



| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

[illegible]

|              |   |       |                     |
|--------------|---|-------|---------------------|
| <i>Recd.</i> | } | 1898. | <i>Enclosures</i>   |
| <i>Regd.</i> |   |       | <i>Spare copies</i> |

G.O., No. 5, 17th January 1898.

JANUARY.

### Appointments of Chaplains and Lay Trustees.

Passing orders on the subject of the procedure to be followed in gazetting — to stations in Berar and Hyderabad Contingent stations.



## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From the Rev. C. H. PELLY, M.A., Bishop's Chaplain, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 17th November 1897, No. 1717.

I have the honour, by desire of the Right Reverend the Bishop, to forward the accompanying letters, No. 6298, with enclosure, and No. 6299, dated 11th November 1897 (to be returned), from the First Assistant Resident of Hyderabad, and to request the instructions of Government on the questions raised by the Resident.

## ENCLOSURES.

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., I.C.S., First Assistant Resident, Hyderabad, to the Bishop's Chaplain, Madras, dated Hyderabad Residency, 11th November 1897, No. 6298.

The procedure relating to the appointment of Lay Trustees to churches within areas administered by the Resident not being uniform, I am directed to inquire whether His Lordship the Bishop of Madras has any objection to the issue of the inclosed notification whereby the rules relating to church committees sanctioned for the Diocese of Calcutta in 1868, which have already been extended to Berar under Residency Orders, Notification No. 147, dated the 21st February 1877, are applied also to Secunderabad and Trimulgherry and all Hyderabad Contingent stations.

2. These rules, though not formally extended to the stations of the Hyderabad Contingent, have nevertheless, so far as practicable, always been regarded as equally applicable to those stations with the result that, with effect from 1878, proposals for appointment of Lay Trustees to churches in Berar and Hyderabad Contingent stations have, with one exception only, been uniformly forwarded to the Resident and notified in Residency Orders and copies of such notifications have been forwarded by this office for his Lordship's information. The exception referred to above is the case of the appointment of Major Adye as Lay Trustee at Aurangabad, which appointment was notified in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of 21st April 1896, page 495. With regard to Secunderabad and Trimulgherry, there seems to be no reason why the churches at these places should not be brought under the same rules in this respect as other churches situate within the Resident's jurisdiction.

## RESIDENCY ORDERS.

## NOTIFICATION No.

Dated Hyderabad Residency, November 1897.

Under the authority of the Government of India and with the concurrence of the Lord Bishop of Madras, the Resident directs that the rules relating to church committees sanctioned for the Diocese of Calcutta in 1868, which were applied to the Hyderabad Assigned Districts by Residency Orders, Notification No. 147, dated the 21st February 1877, shall, from the date of this notification, also have effect in the areas known as Secunderabad and Trimulgherry and in all Hyderabad Contingent stations in the Hyderabad State.

(By Order.)

First Assistant Resident.

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., I.C.S., First Assistant Resident, Hyderabad, to the Bishop's Chaplain, Madras, dated Hyderabad Residency, 11th November 1897, No. 6299.

I am directed to observe that the Resident at Hyderabad is the Local Government for Secunderabad, Trimulgherry (which is part of Secunderabad) and Hyderabad Contingent stations, including, of course, Bolarum, situate in the Hyderabad State, just as he is for Berar, and it seems proper that whatever procedure has to be taken by the civil authorities in respect to appointment of chaplains at these places should be taken under the Resident's orders. I am to request, therefore, that if His Lordship the Bishop of Madras has no objection, intimation may be sent to the First Assistant Resident of the name of the chaplain from time to time selected for appointment to one of these places with a view to publication in the Residency Orders.

ORDER—No. 5, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th January 1898.

The Resident, Hyderabad, in the letters read with the above current, inquires whether the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras would have any objection to the appointments of Lay Trustees and Chaplains in the stations under his jurisdiction being made by the Resident himself. The procedure which he recommends is that in the case of Lay Trustees, proposals for their appointment should be forwarded to the Resident and notified in Residency Orders, a copy of the appointment being forwarded for the Bishop's information. The Resident states that, with one exception, this procedure has been followed in all cases of proposals for the appointment of Lay Trustees to churches in the Berar and Hyderabad since 1878. A reference to the *Fort St. George Gazette* shows that this procedure does not appear to have been followed in the following cases:—

| Name.                             | Church.                              | Date of Notification in<br><i>Fort St. George Gazette</i> . |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Mr. A. J. Dunlop .. ..         | St. John's Church,<br>Secunderabad.  | 14th May 1897.                                              |
| 2. Captain Charles Chumier .. ..  | Holy Trinity Church,<br>Aurangabad.  | } 5th Sept. 1898.                                           |
| 3. „ Frank Oswald .. ..           | St. George's Church,<br>Jalna.       |                                                             |
| 4. T. H. S. Biddulph, Esq. .. ..  | Bolarum.                             | 14th May 1890.                                              |
| 5. Colonel H. S. Stewart .. ..    | Aurangabad.                          | 25th Feb. 1890.                                             |
| 6. Major J. T. Hunt .. ..         | Bolarum.                             | 7th Jan. 1890.                                              |
| 7. „ F. F. Johnstone .. ..        | St. John's Church,<br>Secunderabad.  | 26th Nov. 1889.                                             |
| 8. Brgdr.-Genl. H. Bengough .. .. | Do.                                  | 5th Feb. 1889.                                              |
| 9. Captain J. A. Campbell .. ..   | Do.                                  | 26th Nov. 1888.                                             |
| 10. Major Hewitt, R.A. .. ..      | All Saints' Church,<br>Trimulgherry. | 28th Feb. 1888.                                             |
| 11. Colonel A. M. Rawlins .. ..   | Trimulgherry.                        | 22nd Nov. 1887.                                             |
| 12. „ J. Rowlandsou .. ..         | St. John's Church,<br>Secunderabad.  | 4th Oct. 1887.                                              |
| 13. „ G. Baker .. ..              | Do.                                  | 31st May 1887.                                              |
| 14. Major A. M. Patterson .. ..   | Do.                                  | 11th Sept. 1883.                                            |

In the case of Lay Trustees, however, His Excellency the Governor in Council has no objection to accept the suggestion to leave the appointment and removal entirely in the hands of the Resident in direct communication with the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras.

2. In his letter, No. 6299, dated 11th November 1897, the First Assistant Resident observes that “the Resident at Hyderabad is the Local Government for Secunderabad, Trimulgherry (which is part of Secunderabad) and Hyderabad Contingent stations, including, of course, Bolarum, situate in the Hyderabad State, just as he is for Berar.” Accordingly, the Resident proposes that the names of chaplains selected for appointment to those places should be intimated to the Resident with a view to the publication of their appointments in the Residency Orders.

The attention of the Right Reverend the Bishop will be invited to the letter, No. 1597 of 1866, from the Secretary to the Government of India, read in G.O., No. 8 of 15th January 1867, in which, in reply to letters, Nos. 226 and 231, from this



Government, asking to be informed whether Berar was to be regarded as forming part of the Diocese of Madras, the Government of India stated that it had "Hitherto regarded the Berar districts as ecclesiastically connected with Madras, and would wish this arrangement to continue, at all events, for the present."

The question was again raised by the Resident, Hyderabad, in his letter, No. 1153, dated 19th July 1876, and the Bishop of Madras, to whom the matter was referred for remark, having asked for definite instructions on the matter, this Government in their letter, No. 203 of 1876, in submitting the foregoing letters for the orders of the Government of India, asked to be informed "as to the authority that shall act in ecclesiastical matters in the Berars requiring orders of Government." In their reply, the Government of India, in their letter, No. 390 of 27th November 1876, paragraph 2, stated that "the description of Berar as 'within the Diocese of Madras' is incorrect. Berar has, no doubt, been placed under the Bishop of Madras for convenience of administration, but legally it forms no part of that diocese, which, strictly speaking, is at present coterminous with the Presidency of Madras." The question, therefore, who is the proper authority to act as Local Government in ecclesiastical matters in the Berars appears to have been left open by the Government of India.

3. The proposal of the First Assistant Resident, in his letter quoted above, that the appointments of Chaplains to the stations named in his letter should be published for the future in Residency Orders, instead of in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, is open to serious objection. In the first place, much inconvenience would be caused to the ecclesiastical administration if a reference had to be made to the Resident, Hyderabad, on every occasion, when, in the course of the shiftings of officers, a Chaplain was to be transferred to or from any of the Hyderabad stations to or from any of the other stations in this Presidency and Mysore, and the inconvenience would be enhanced by the fact that the Resident of Mysore would claim that reference should in like manner be made to him before any transfer was effected in that State. Again, the Chaplains serving in the territories under the jurisdiction of the Resident are on the Madras establishment, and therefore a notification in the Residency Orders would not have the same force as a notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, and would be open to objection in the department of the Accountant-General. If the course proposed by the Resident were adopted, the appointments of Chaplains in the places named by him would practically vest in the Resident. But the authority which appoints an officer should also have the power of removing, censuring, or punishing him, and as the Chaplains are on the Madras establishment, these powers cannot be delegated by the Madras Government.

4. The Right Reverend the Bishop will, therefore, be requested to inform the Resident, Hyderabad, that this Government has no objection to the appointments of Lay Trustees being made by the Resident in direct communication with the Bishop of Madras. The appointments will, however, continue to be gazetted as heretofore in the *Fort St. George Gazette* on receipt of the information from the Bishop of Madras. But, for the reasons given above, the Madras Government cannot accept the proposal that the appointments of Chaplains to the stations already named should be notified in Residency Orders in lieu of the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,  
Chief Secretary.

To the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras.

Ed. C. M. Thambusawamy.

# Ecclesiastical (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 312



The Hon. Mr. G. V. S.

From  
The Reverend C. H. Pelly & Co.  
Bishop's Chaplain

In Order

The matter appears to be purely formal. The principles observed in this Diocese are (it will be seen from Bishop's Chaplain's letter at A) the same as those in the Calcutta sales, and all the Resident wants is that the appointments of Lay Trustees shall be notified in Residency Orders, instead of in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

MLA  
21/97

look up precedents & see if there is any correspondence on the subject. M. 23.11.97.

No. 1417

Dated 14th November 1897.

Received } 18.11.97  
Registered }

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

## SUBJECT.

Forwards for the instructions of Govt. letter from the Govt. Secy Resident, Hyderabad, requesting that all appointments of Chaplains & Lay Trustees to Secunderabad, Spinkulgherry & all Hyderabad Contingent Stations should be made through the Resident.



| G.O. | No.   | Department. | Date.    |
|------|-------|-------------|----------|
| G.O. | 82    | Genl-       | 7-8-89   |
| "    | 181-2 | "           | 28/11/89 |
| "    | 209   | "           | 24/12/88 |
| "    | 193   | "           | 2/1/68   |

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

In para. 2. of the Resident's letter he says that proposals for the appointment of Lay trustees to Churches in "Barar and Hyderabad) <sup>Contingent</sup> stations have, with one exception only, been uniformly forwarded to the Resident and notified in Residency orders and copies of such notifications have been forwarded by this office for his Lordship's information. The ~~W~~ exception referred to above is the case of the appointment of Major Adye as Lay trustee at Aurungabad which appointment was notified in the Fort St. George Gazette of 21st April 1896 page 495."

Previous cases have been put up and from them it is difficult to understand what the Resident means. The procedure in all appears to have been exactly the same as that to which he now takes <sup>x</sup> ~~exception~~ <sup>exception</sup> ~~exception~~, i.e. they were all notified in the Fort St. George Gazette after the appointment had been approved by Government. This will be ~~clear~~ clear from the following table.

| NAME OF APPOINTMENT.                         | DATE OF DO. | DATE OF PUBLICATION IN GAZ-<br>ette. |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Lay trustees (2) of Aurungabad<br>and Jalna. | 2/9/93.     | 5/9/93.                              |
| Chaplain of Bolaram<br>& Lay Trustee of Do.  | 10/5/90.    | 14/5/90.                             |
| Lay Trustee of Aurungabad.                   | 1/1/90.     | 25/2/90.                             |



| APPOINTMENT.               | DATE OF DO. | PUBLISHED IN GAZETTE. |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Lay trustee at Bolarum.    | 7/1/90.     | 7/1/ 90.              |
| Lay trustee, Secunderabad. | 26/11/89.   | 26/11/89.             |
| Lay trustee Secunderabad.  | 5/2/89.     | 5/2/89.               |
| Lay trustee Secunderabad.  | 20/11/88.   | 20/11/88.             |
| Chaplain of Secunderabad.  | 7/9/88.     | 11/9/88.              |
| Lay trustee of Do.         |             |                       |
| Chaplain of Bolarum.       |             |                       |
| Lay trustee Trimulgherry.  | 8/2/88.     | 28/2/88.              |
| Lay trustee Trimulgherry.  | 22/11/87.   | 22/11/87.             |
| Lay trustee Secunderabad.  | 30/9/87.    | 4/10/87.              |
| Lay trustee Secunderabad.  | 7/5/87.     | 31/5/87.              |

*their publication in the Fort St George gazette*

It is clear from the dates of the appointments and the dates of the publication of the appointments in the Fort St. George Gazette that they cannot have been submitted for the approval of the Resident, Hyderabad, and there is nothing to show that they were published in Residency Orders either before or after. Submitted, therefore, that the Resident may be asked to explain the meaning of his remark quoted above in view of these cases.

On the other hand it is not clear what jurisdiction the Bishop of Madras has over the extra-Berar Church under the jurisdiction of the Resident.

From G.O. No. 8. of 1867. (letter <sup>from</sup> Govt. of India dated 20/12/66.) it appears that ~~the~~ "the" Government of India has hitherto



regarded the Berar districts as ecclesiastically connected with Madras and would wish this arrangement to continue at all events for the present."

This was in reply to the request of the Bishop of Madras to be informed definitely whether he was to regard Berar as part of the diocese of Madras (at O.) and therefore must be ~~taken~~<sup>taken</sup> to be a reply in the affirmative to the Bishop's query- Nothing however is said about the Hyderabad stations.

Later on, in 1876, the Resident raised the same question as he is raising now (though in a rather circuitous way) namely the question who was the proper authority who should act as a Local Government in ecclesiastical matters in Berar. The reply of the Government of India, to whom the Government of Madras referred the matter, is at N. This leaves the question undecided; if the Berar district is not in the Diocese of ~~Madras~~ <sup>in Berar,</sup> Madras, who is <sup>the</sup> Bishop referred to ~~in~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~Madras~~ <sup>Madras</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> Church Committee rules forwarded by the Government of India in their letter? Nothing is moreover decided as to the relative position of the Local Govt.



and the Resident in regard to the appointments of Chaplains and lay trustees which was the very thing that the Government of Madras in their letter No. 203 of 10/8/76 ( at M. ) had asked for orders on.

Submitted, that with the abolition of the Presidential Army system the retention by the Lord Bishop of Madras <sup>Contingent</sup> of ecclesiastical control over the Hyderabad stations is without point and may be abolished and the Government of India may be addressed on the whole question so that it may be settled once for all.

M.C.

XII 7



C. No. 3/2

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Appt. of Chaplains &  
Lay Trustees in Stations  
under the Resident, Hyderabad.

FOR ORDERS.

The Resident, Hyderabad, has  
moved the Bishop of Madras  
to report to him instead of  
to the Madras Govt. The names  
& appointments of Chaplains  
and Lay Trustees in stations  
in Hyderabad & Berar.

The First Asst Resident's letter  
does <sup>not</sup> say in express terms that  
the nominations made by the  
Bishop should be approved  
& the appto. made by the  
Resident instead of by  
the Madras Govt., but this  
is the real meaning.

The First Asst Resident  
points out that with  
regard to Lay Trustees the  
practice he advocates  
has for a long time been

the passage marked H in the  
First Asst. Res't's letter no 6299.



the rule with a single recent exception.

The same question was raised <sup>as regards Lay Trustees</sup> in 1876 and referred to the Govt of India for orders - vide G.O. No. 203 of 10th Aug 1876.

In their reply G.O. No 296 of 8 Decr 1876 the Govt of India avoided giving a direct reply, requesting that a set of rules might be followed which wd obviate the necessity of troubling the local Govt. One of these very rules (No II) involved a reference to the local Govt!

At first sight it seems natural that the Resident who is chiefly interested should have the controlling hand. But what is applicable to Hyderabad would also be applicable to Mysore, and in the course of shiftings of officers the Bishop might have to correspond with the Madras Govt. The Resident of Hyderabad & the Resident of Mysore before he could effect necessary changes & appointments.

Further all the chaplains

are on the Madras Establishment & Hyderabad, Berar & Mysore are all within the diocese of Madras. A notification in ~~Hyderabad~~ <sup>Hyderabad or Mysore</sup> the Resident's Gazette is not the same thing as a notice in the Gazette of the Madras Govt. ~~There seems no objection~~ Then in the matter of the conduct of chaplains the Resident of Hyderabad wd. hardly contend that he could ~~dis~~ <sup>remove</sup> censure, or otherwise punish a Madras chaplain, yet if he can appoint he should also be able to remove.

With regard to Lay Trustees there seems no objection to leaving appt & removal ~~entirely~~ <sup>entirely</sup> with the Resident in direct communication with the Bishop.

But as regards the appt. & removal of chaplains there are very serious objections to the course advocated by the Resident. These objections may I think be pointed out to the Resident & if he



remains unconvinced a  
reference can be made  
to the Govt. of India.

The proper course seems to be  
for the appt. to be <sup>notified</sup> made in  
the ~~Fort St George~~ Fort St George Gazette  
& hence republished in the  
Residency orders.

MA 4.12.97

I quite agree. The Bishop  
of Madras is under the  
Govt. of Madras, & no  
change with regard to  
Madras Chaplains had been  
made without order from  
India.

JS  
6/12/97

"I am in accord with the Hon. Mr. Baines, who"  
indicates, however, the Archbishop's view in  
the matter.

JS  
15.12.97

[Ct.  
73  
3-17-11-00]

Yes - this should be  
mentioned in the L.O.

The latest case is  
1893 so presumably  
subsequent appts. <sup>of lay trustee</sup> have  
been made without  
ref. to the Madras Govt.

MA

NOTE FOR THE

C.S.

1) In letter no. 6298 the  
Resident says that with the  
single exception named by him  
lay trustees have been virtually  
appointed by him, not by the  
government of Madras. This  
appears not to be the case  
as shown in my former  
note. Orders as suggested as to  
whether this point should be  
brought to the notice of the  
Bishop.

In letter no. 6299 the  
Resident says that 'the  
Resident at Hyderabad is the  
Local Government for Secunderabad  
Trinity, (which is part  
of Secunderabad) and Hyderabad  
Contingent Stations, including, of  
course, Bolaram, situated in the  
Hyd. State, just as he is  
for Berar'.

The penultimate  
page of my former <sup>note</sup> shows that  
this is not the case, as  
far as can be made out,  
as the Government of India  
P. T. D.



evaded the question. This point might be brought to the notice of the Bishop, as otherwise the reply sent by him to the President would perhaps pass over the assertion of the President (quoted above) without comment.

M.P.  
16  
XII

What is the practice in Mysore with regard to Lay Trustees. If the app'ts of Lay Trustees in Mysore are gazetted in Fort St. George G.O. the same practice should continue with regard to Hyderabad though the app't. need not be approved by the Madras Govt.

At 26.12.97

C.S.

~~Please see a case of appointment of a Lay Trustee to Bangalore. I cannot find any other.~~

Please see cases of appointments in Mysore. The Civil List of Oct. 1<sup>st</sup> 1897 page 208 at shows that Mysore Lay Trustees are appointed in the Fort St. George Gazette. The two corresponding Lay Trustees appointments cannot be traced in the office. The draft G.O. put up is in accordance with the above note of 28.12.97.

M.P.  
18

Pub Refr

secs. asks

"What is the practice in Mysore with regard to Lay Trustees. If the app'ts of Lay Trustees in Mysore are gazetted in Fort St. George G.O. the same practice

should continue with regard to Hyderabad though the app't. need not be approved by the Madras Govt."

Look up to the Secy. Secy. please.

Ad

8/1/98

PTD

81 of Madras.  
STICAL.

For A.S.'s approval (thru: S.A). Please query on page 2.

119  
27/1/98

- 1) Please see query on p. 2
- 2) On page 3 is return of J. Dunlop: in reply to the Hon. Secy. Johnstone Dunlop
- 3) The original enclosure must be returned as requested by Mr. Kelly

January 1898.

Ad  
27/1/98

RY.

and Lay Trustees.

to be followed in gazetting - to stations in



evaded the question. This point might be brought to the notice of the Bishop, as otherwise the reply sent by him to the Resident would perhaps pass over the assertion of the Resident (quoted above) without comment.

M.R.P.  
16  
xii

SA

I Major William Arthur Plant R.H.A., was gazetted Lay Trustee of Holy Trinity Church, Bangalore on May 18, 1897. Vide Ant. St. George Gazette Part I, page 642.

Also, Lt. Col. Donald Robertson as Lay Trustee of St. Mark's Church, Bangalore on the 30<sup>th</sup> Decr 1896. Vide Gazette Part I, page 7.

Mr. Alexander Houston Dunlop was gazetted Lay Trustee of St. John's Church, Secunderabad on May 14/97. Vide Gazette Part I, page 611.

The names of the Lay Trustees in <sup>part of the number of</sup> Berar could not be traced in the Gazette as the list for 97 is not complete.

S.S.H.  
8/1/98.

# Government (1898) of Madras. ECCLESIASTICAL.

For A.S.'s approval (thru: S.A.). Please query on page 2.

119  
27/1/98

For Order  
Please send  
Hindley  
1/1/98

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

- AS  
1) Please see query on p. 2  
2) On page 3, entries A. J. Dunlop: in encl. 7-11 also Alexander Johnston Dunlop  
3) The original enclosures must be returned as requested by Mr. Kelly

27/1/98

G.O., No. 5, 17th January 1898.

JANUARY.

## Appointments of Chaplains and Lay Trustees.

Passing orders on the subject of the procedure to be followed in gazetting — to stations in Berar and Hyderabad Contingent stations.

x  
List in table before



## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ--the following paper:—

From the Rev. C. H. PELLY, M.A., Bishop's Chaplain, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 17th November 1897, No. 1717.

I have the honour, by desire of the Right Reverend the Bishop, to forward the accompanying letters, No. 6298, with enclosure, and No. 6299, dated 11th November 1897 (to be returned), from the First Assistant Resident of Hyderabad, and to request the instructions of Government on the questions raised by the Resident.

## ENCLOSURES.

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., I.C.S., First Assistant Resident, Hyderabad, to the Bishop's Chaplain, Madras, dated Hyderabad Residency, 11th November 1897, No. 6298.

The procedure relating to the appointment of Lay Trustees to churches within areas administered by the Resident not being uniform, I am directed to inquire whether His Lordship the Bishop of Madras has any objection to the issue of the inclosed notification whereby the rules relating to church committees sanctioned for the Diocese of Calcutta in 1868, which have already been applied to Berar under Residency Orders, Notification No. 147, dated the 21st February 1877, are applied to Secunderabad and Trimulgherry and all Hyderabad Contingent stations.

2. These rules, though not formally extended to the stations of the Hyderabad Contingent, have nevertheless, so far as practicable, always been regarded as equally applicable to those stations with the result that, with effect from 1878, proposals for appointment of Lay Trustees to churches in Berar and Hyderabad Contingent stations have, with one exception only, been uniformly forwarded to the Resident and notified in Residency Orders and copies of such notifications have been forwarded by this office for his Lordship's information. The exception referred to above is the case of the appointment of Major Adye as Lay Trustee at Aurangabad, which appointment was notified in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of 21st April 1896, page 495. With regard to Secunderabad and Trimulgherry, there seems to be no reason why the churches at these places should not be brought under the same rules in this respect as other churches situate within the Resident's jurisdiction.

## RESIDENCY ORDERS.

## NOTIFICATION No.

Dated Hyderabad Residency, November 1897.

Under the authority of the Government of India and with the concurrence of the Lord Bishop of Madras, the Resident directs that the rules relating to church committees sanctioned for the Diocese of Calcutta in 1868, which were applied to the Hyderabad Assigned Districts by Residency Orders, Notification No. 147, dated the 21st February 1877, shall, from the date of this notification, also have effect in the areas known as Secunderabad and Trimulgherry and in all Hyderabad Contingent stations in the Hyderabad State.

(By Order.)

First Assistant Resident.

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., I.C.S., First Assistant Resident, Hyderabad, to the Bishop's Chaplain, Madras, dated Hyderabad Residency, 11th November 1897, No. 6299.

I am directed to observe that the Resident at Hyderabad is the Local Government for Secunderabad, Trimulgherry (which is part of Secunderabad) and Hyderabad Contingent stations, including, of course, Bolaram, situate in the Hyderabad State, just as he is for Berar, and it seems proper that whatever procedure has to be taken by the civil authorities in respect to appointment of chaplains at these places should be taken under the Resident's orders. I am to request, therefore, that if His Lordship the Bishop of Madras has no objection, intimation may be sent to the First Assistant Resident of the name of the chaplain from time to time selected for appointment to one of these places with a view to publication in the Residency Orders.

ORDER—No. 5, Ecclesiastical, dated 17th January 1898.

The Resident, Hyderabad, in the letters read with the above current, inquires whether the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras would have any objection to the appointments of Lay Trustees and Chaplains in the stations under his jurisdiction being made by the Resident himself. The procedure which he recommends is that in the case of Lay Trustees, proposals for their appointment should be forwarded to the Resident and notified in Residency Orders, a copy of the appointment being forwarded for the Bishop's information. The Resident states that, with one exception, this procedure has been followed in all cases of proposals for the appointment of Lay Trustees to churches in the Berar and Hyderabad since 1878. A reference to the *Fort St. George Gazette* shows that this procedure does not appear to have been followed in the following cases:—

| Name.                        | Church.                           | Date of Notification in Fort St. George Gazette. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Mr. A. J. Dunlop          | St. John's Church, Secunderabad.  | 14th May 1897.                                   |
| 2. Captain Charles Chamier   | Holy Trinity Church, Aurangabad.  | 5th Sept. 1893.                                  |
| 3. " Frank Oswald            | St. George's Church, Jalna.       |                                                  |
| 4. T. H. S. Biddulph, Esq.   | Bolaram.                          | 14th May 1890.                                   |
| 5. Colonel H. S. Stewart     | Aurangabad.                       | 25th Feb. 1890.                                  |
| 6. Major J. T. Hunt          | Bolaram.                          | 7th Jan. 1890.                                   |
| 7. " F. F. Johnstone         | St. John's Church, Secunderabad.  | 29th Nov. 1889.                                  |
| 8. Brigdr.-Genl. H. Bengough | Do.                               | 5th Feb. 1889.                                   |
| 9. Captain J. A. Campbell    | Do.                               | 26th Nov. 1888.                                  |
| 10. Major Hewitt, R.A.       | All Saints' Church, Trimulgherry. | 28th Feb. 1888.                                  |
| 11. Colonel A. M. Rawlins    | Trimulgherry.                     | 22nd Nov. 1887.                                  |
| 12. " J. Rowlandson          | St. John's Church, Secunderabad.  | 4th Oct. 1887.                                   |
| 13. " G. Baker               | Do.                               | 31st May 1887.                                   |
| 14. Major A. M. Patterson    | Do.                               | 11th Sept. 1888.                                 |

In the case of Lay Trustees, however, His Excellency the Governor in Council has no objection to accept the suggestion to leave the appointment and removal entirely in the hands of the Resident in direct communication with the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras.

2. In his letter, No. 6299, dated 11th November 1897, the First Assistant Resident observes that "the Resident at Hyderabad is the Local Government for Secunderabad, Trimulgherry (which is part of Secunderabad) and Hyderabad Contingent stations, including, of course, Bolaram, situate in the Hyderabad State, just as he is for Berar." Accordingly, the Resident proposes that the names of chaplains selected for appointment to those places should be intimated to the Resident with a view to the publication of their appointments in the Residency Orders.

The attention of the Right Reverend the Bishop will be invited to the letter, No. 1597 of 1866, from the Secretary to the Government of India, read in G.O., No. 8 of 15th January 1867, in which, in reply to letters, Nos. 226 and 231, from this



Print with letters from 1st and Res. a  
also Bishop's Chaplains in full.  
m.c.  
17/8

Government, asking to be informed whether Berar was to be regarded as forming part of the Diocese of Madras, the Government of India stated that it had "Hitherto regarded the Berar districts as ecclesiastically connected with Madras, and would wish this arrangement to continue, at all events, for the present."

The question was again raised by the Resident, Hyderabad, in his letter, No. 1153, dated 19th July 1876, and the Bishop of Madras, to whom the matter was referred for remark, having asked for definite instructions on the matter, this Government in their letter, No. 203 of 1876, in submitting the foregoing letters for the orders of the Government of India, asked to be informed "as to the authority that shall act in ecclesiastical matters in the Berars requiring orders of Government." In their reply, the Government of India, in their letter, No. 390 of 27th November 1876, paragraph 2, stated that "the description of Berar as 'within the Diocese of Madras' is incorrect. Berar has, no doubt, been placed under the Bishop of Madras for convenience of administration, but legally it forms no part of that diocese, which, strictly speaking, is at present continuous with the Presidency of Madras." The question, therefore, who is the proper authority to act as Local Government in ecclesiastical matters in the Berars appears to have been left open by the Government of India.

3. The proposal of the First Assistant Resident, in his letter quoted above, that the appointments of Chaplains to the stations named in his letter should be published for the future in Residency Orders, instead of in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, is open to serious objection. In the first place, much inconvenience would be caused to the ecclesiastical administration if a reference had to be made to the Resident, Hyderabad, on every occasion, when, in the course of the shiftings of officers, a Chaplain was to be transferred to or from any of the Hyderabad stations to or from any of the other stations in this Presidency and Mysore, and the inconvenience would be enhanced by the fact that the Resident of Mysore would claim that reference should in like manner be made to him before any transfer was effected in that State. Again, the Chaplains serving in the territories under the jurisdiction of the Resident are on the Madras establishment, and therefore a notification in the Residency Orders would not have the same force as a notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, and would be open to objection in the department of the Accountant-General. If the course proposed by the Resident were adopted, the appointments of Chaplains in the places named by him would practically vest in the Resident. But the authority which appoints an officer should also have the power of removing, censuring, or punishing him, and as the Chaplains are on the Madras establishment, these powers cannot be delegated by the Madras Government.

4. The Right Reverend the Bishop will, therefore, be requested to inform the Resident, Hyderabad, that this Government has no objection to the appointments of Lay Trustees being made by the Resident in direct communication with the Bishop of Madras. The appointments will, however, continue to be gazetted as heretofore in the *Fort St. George Gazette* on receipt of the information from the Bishop of Madras. But, for the reasons given above, the Madras Government cannot accept the proposal that the appointments of Chaplains to the stations already named should be notified in Residency Orders in lieu of the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Extract.)

m.c.  
24/1/98

Chief Secretary.

To the Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras,

CURRENT NO. 312.

PROCEDURE TO BE FOLLOWED IN GAZETTING  
APPOINTMENTS OF CHAPLAINS AND LAY  
TRUSTEES TO STATIONS IN BERAR AND  
HYDERABAD CONTINGENT STATIONS.

order. A. 205817-1-98

To the Rt. Revd. the  
Bishop of Madras.

This is the original  
which it is to be  
forwarded to the  
Bishop of Madras.

1. The Resident, Hyderabad, in the letters read with the above current, ~~and stated~~ <sup>Right Revd the</sup> ~~inquiries~~ whether the ~~Lord~~ Bishop of Madras would have any objection to the appointments of Lay Trustees and Chaplains in the stations under his jurisdiction being ~~made~~ made by the Resident himself. The procedure <sup>recommended</sup> ~~proposed~~ is that in the case of (1) Lay trustees, proposals for their appointment should be forwarded to the Resident and notified in Residency Orders, a copy of the appointment being forwarded for ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>Bishop's</sup> ~~Lordship's~~ information. The Resident states that with one exception ~~this~~ this procedure has been followed in all cases of proposals for the appointment of Lay Trustees ~~in~~ to churches in Berar and Hyderabad since 1873. A reference to the *Fort St. George Gazette* ~~shows~~ <sup>shows</sup> that this procedure does not appear to have <sup>been</sup> followed in the following cases.



Rank.

Church.

Date of entry in Govt St George's Gazette.

Mr Alexander Johnston Dunlop,  
St John's Church,  
Secunderabad

14. 5. 97

1. Capt. Charles Charnier Holy Trinity Church, Aurangabad 5. 9. 1893
2. Capt. Frank Oswald St George's Church, Talna
3. T. H. S. Biddulph Esq Bolarum 14. 5. 90.
4. Col. H. S. Stewart Aurangabad. 25. 2. 90.
5. Major J. L. Hunt Bolarum. 7. 1. 1890
6. Major F. F. Johnstone St John's Church, Secunderabad. 26. 11. 89.
7. Brig. Gen. A. Bengough St John's Church Secunderabad. 5. 2. 89.
8. Capt. J. A. Pampell St John's Church.



(1) ~~See report to Chaplain, in his letter no. 12947~~  
 The President proposes that the names of the Chaplains selected for appointment to those places should be intimated to the Resident with a view to the publication of their appointments in the Presidency orders.

The Resident accordingly proposes that the names of Chaplains selected for appointment to those places should be intimated to the Resident with a view to the publication of their appointments in the Presidency orders.

2. ~~However~~ In the case of Lay Trustees H. E. the Governor in Council has no objection to <sup>accept the suggestion to</sup> leave the appointment and removal entirely in the hands of the Resident in direct communication with the <sup>Right Rev. the</sup> Lord Bishop of Madras.

2. ~~It is different.~~ In his letter No. 6299 dated 11th November 1897 the First Assistant Resident observes that "The Resident at Hyderabad is the Local Government for Secunderabad Taluquaherry (which is part of Secunderabad) and Hyderabad Contingent stations including of course Bolaram situate in the Hyderabad State, just as he is for Berar"

The attention of the Bishop will be invited to the letter no. 1879 from the Secretary to the Government of India, read in C.O. No. 8 of 15/1/67, in which ~~was~~ <sup>in</sup> reply ~~was~~ to letters Nos. 226 and 231 from this Government asking to be informed whether Berar was to be regarded as forming part of the diocese of Madras, the Government of India stated that it ~~had~~ <sup>had</sup> ~~hitherto~~ regarded the Berar districts as ecclesiastically connected with Madras and would wish this arrangement to continue as at present for the present!

The question was again raised by the Resident Hyderabad in his letter No. 1153 dated 19th July 1876



Letter no. 713  
1876

and the Bishop of Madras to whom the matter was referred for remark having asked for a definition <sup>instructions</sup> ~~in the matter~~ on the matter this Government in their letter No. 203 of 1876 in submitting the ~~above~~ <sup>forgoing</sup> letters for the orders of the Government of India asked to be informed "as to the authority that shall act in ecclesiastical matters in the Berars requiring ~~the~~ orders of Government." In their reply the Government of India in their letter No. 390 of 27th Nov. 1876 para. 2. stated that "the description of Berar as 'within the Diocese of Madras' is incorrect. Berar has no doubt been placed under the Bishop of Madras for convenience of administration but legally it forms no part of that Diocese which strictly speaking is at present continuous with the ~~Presidency~~ Presidency of Madras."

This may be omitted?

MSO  
10  
18

G.S.  
J.A.

To avoid <sup>all</sup> ~~any~~ necessity ~~of~~ reference to any Local Government, the Government of India suggested the the Church Rules regarding Church Committees sanctioned for the Diocese of Calcutta should be adopted in Berar. But as Rule No. II involves a reference to the Local Government the question as to who was the Local Government for Berar appears to have been left undecided. ~~This being the case, this Government cannot admit that the existing legislation is the Local Govern-~~



Vol

In the first place <sup>much</sup> ~~various~~ inconvenience could be caused to <sup>the</sup> ~~my~~ Ecclesiastical Administration if a reference had to be made to the Resident Hyderabad on every <sup>my</sup> occasion when in the course of the shiftings of officers, a Chaplain was to be transferred to or from any of the Hyderabad stations to or from any of the other stations in this Presidency and Mysore. <sup>not the</sup>

Again, the Chaplains serving in the territories under the jurisdiction of the Resident ~~are on the Madras Establishment~~ are on the Madras establishment, and therefore a notification in the Residency Orders would not have the same force as a notification in the Fort St. George Gazette, and would ~~be a notification in the future.~~

inconvenience  
difficulty would be  
enhanced by the fact  
that the Resident of ~~major~~  
~~the district~~ <sup>the district</sup> ~~in like manner~~  
the Resident <sup>of the district</sup> would claim  
that reference should  
in like manner be made  
to him before any transfer  
was effected in that <sup>district</sup> manner



If the course proposed by the Resident  
*were adopted*  
~~is tantamount to a claim that the~~

appointments of Chaplains in the  
places named by him *would practically* ~~should~~ vest in

*the Resident*  
~~him.~~ But the authority which appoints  
an officer ~~thereon~~ should also  
have the power of removing, censuring  
or punishing ~~the officer so appointed,~~  
*him,*  
~~and~~ *and* as the Chaplains are on the Madras  
establishment these powers cannot  
be delegated by the Madras Government.  
~~to the Resident Hyderabad.~~

4. The Rt. Revd. the Bishop will therefore  
be requested to inform the Resident,  
Hyderabad, that this Government has no  
objection to the appointments of Lay  
Trustees being made by the Resident  
in direct communication with the  
Bishop of Madras. The appointments will  
however continue to be gazetted as  
heretofore in the Port St. George  
Gazette on receipt of the information  
from the Bishop of Madras. But for  
the reasons given above the Madras  
Government cannot accept the proposal  
that the appointments of Chaplains  
to the ~~the~~ *already named* stations should be noti-  
fied in Residency orders. *in lieu of the Port St. George Gazette*  
~~such appoint-~~

~~ments have hitherto been gazetted in~~  
~~the Port St. George Gazette and the same~~  
~~procedure will be adhered to in future.~~

*At A*  
*14.1.98*

*NSC 10.8*



Extra copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

RECEIVED H.C. No.

FILED

NUMBER OF COPIES  
PRINTED.

Order complete. 25  
Letter only.  
Order complete with Letter.

RECEIVED No.

*Print is marked at the top of the  
draft.*

*Press  
show proof first  
please.*

*149  
28/1/98*

*Proof approved  
by A.S.  
149  
28/1/98*

RECEIVED H.C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A - Print in full  
B - Not to be printed  
C - Print as close copy  
D - Sample reading only