

Ecclesiastical (189⁸) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 4

DATED 17. 1. 98

INDEXED.

19-19

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving the lists of tombs &
monuments in Madras, & issuing
orders for their distribution

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
562799							
Cno 66798							
Cno 113707							
Full [unclear]							

Ecclesiastical
Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

*The Collector of
Madras*

Dis No. 2319

Dated 13 October 1897

Received 18 10 97

Registered 20 10 97

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Submitting for approval
and orders to the Press, final
proofs of the lists of Tomb
Monuments &c.*

*Putubahadur 10.13.97
16.1.97 referred to m.
deslin slip. and where
the last similar case
was referred*

Order

*Complete the
list please 13/11/97*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1263		27/7/97
	45		27/7/97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

27 Feb 12 286 sc
 123 " 166 86
 32-3 " 12 2 88
 138 " 27 1 90
 268 3/4 " 7 10 7 10
 73 Feb 27 9 42 55
 27 " 29 3 93
 98 " 27 11 93
 112 " 16 12 94
 5 Feb 2 1 95
 64 " 23 1 95
 13-14 " 16 2 95
 21 Feb 11 3 95
 33-4 " 20 5 95
 61-2 " 7 2 95
 619 Feb 12 6 96
 82 Feb 16 8 96
 37 " 30 6 96
 780 Feb 1 8 96
 790 Feb 18 2 96
 2 Feb 100 324 20 0 96
 90 625 Feb 22 5 97
 " 63 Feb 25 5 97
 " 45 " 18 7 97
 " 81 " 20 7 97
 " 176 Feb 16 12 97
 " 135 6 Feb 4 12 97
 " 7 Feb 25 1 98

Dis No. 2319

CURRENT No

MADRAS COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

13th October 1897

From

J Thomson Esq

es. COLLECTOR OF MADRAS,

Enclosures. 3.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Ecclesiastical DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.

SIR,

Adverting to the Correspondence ending with G.O. No 45, Ecclesiastical dated 13th July 1897, I have the honor to submit final proofs of the undermentioned three lists for approval and orders to the Press.

- (1) Extract from list of Tombs or monuments in India possessing historical or archaeological interest.
- (2) List of statues, monuments and busts erected in Madras in honor of distinguished servants of India.

of the State.

(3) List of tombs and monuments of Europeans &c in the Madras District.

2. As this work requires special aptitude, it was attended to by my Deputy Mr. Chester personally.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt.

as collector.

Km
13-11-97

Pub.
29.
2,000-5-5

Public (1897.) Department.

CURRENT No. 4011

From
The Under Secretary
to the Govt of India

No. 3096-65-5

In Order's
This refers to lists
nos. 2 and 3 in the linked
file. They may now be ordered

to be struck off
M.C.
16
XII

Dated 19. 10. 97.

Received 25. 10. 97

Registered 26. 10. 97.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to supply
the Govt of India with
a list of tombs &
monuments of Europeans
&c in the Madras
district.

Man
work out the distribution list
as approved by the P.S. &
see how many copies of each list
will be required.

M.C.
8

The next
taken by
the material
in a

Copy to send revised list to Supl. Press
a list to be put up for
sale re.
M.C.
8

NOTE FOR THE

At

the copies
have been
counted

The Librarian is
concerned only with
the distribution of
copies of lists of
books to the Librarian
of State.

of list (3)
for copies with
the required
for the
the want letter will be sent up after 3/10

Office

Yours

Please see notes at Y
your approval of the
the usual
the draft will be
sent on 7/11/97

7/11/97

17

See C.S.'s notes at J.
C 400 below
who sends copies of J
of Dec 11?

Ans
2/11/97

The Despatches with send them at 1/11/97
at the Librarian's 3/11/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 4011.

No. 3093-65-5.

FROM

F. G. SLY, Esq., C.S.,

Under-Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Simla, the 19th October 1897.

Department of Revenue
and Agriculture.
(General)

SIR,

I am directed to request that the Government of India in this Department may be supplied with a copy of the List of tombs and monuments of Europeans, etc, in the Madras district, which has been published by the Government of Madras.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

595

Under-Secretary.

vide No. 7.8 28.1.98

The City or Town of Madras is in Revenue off language "The District
of Madras" - 12 copies of these lists go to the Secy of State (Revenue
en fo. 81 recd 20.7.97) The Secy has to do the rest of the
distribution work (fo. 63 of 97) W. H. 97 just 6/11/97
This matter must be made clear. There are three

lists sent in. List II was asked for in para 2 of
Mr Hill's letter at A. How many copies are
generally sent? Are copies reqd also for the
Secy of State? Presumably they are. If so
12 copies I think should be sent him. Put up
any orders on these points

List II is referred to in para 19 Mr Hill's
letter at A. Its revision was ^{ordered} called for in
fo. 37. To whom are copies to be sent?

List III is headed "List of tombs and
monuments of Europeans in the Madras
District." This is what India wants in
C 40 H. Para 2 of fo. 37 Recd d/30.6.
96 (A) speaks of "Tombs & Monuments
of Europeans in the Town of Madras"
Where is this?

Let me have a complete note
on the subject

CW
4/11/97

From Mr Hill's letter it will be seen that of the three lists now submitted
in final proof, only one - List No. II - is a new one, which was ordered
to be made in Mr Hill's letter. The other two were already
prepared, but being incomplete they were ordered to be revised
carefully (vide para 37, fo. 37). List No. III is designated "List
of tombs etc in the District of Madras. What is commonly called the

City of the Town of Madras

John

Refr

The papers must be complete
The originals of 13.14.85 and the for 7
13 are not put up. What was
the original of these lists? For 6349
does not settle the question of
distribution at all. A complete
note please

6/11/7

In Sept 1897 the list submitted to Govt. list of European
tombs in the dist. of N. Arcot. The Govt. having

LIST OF TOMBS.

This subject which has now been under
treatment for some 11 years seems to
be approaching its final solution.
In letter No. 2-28 of 29th January
1886 the Government of India inquired
what action had been taken by the
Madras Government for the preser-
vation of tombs which possessed
historical or archaeological interest;
in consequence of this all Collectors
were ordered in C.O. No. 27 of 1886
dated 1/2/86 to report whether there
were any tombs in their districts
which might properly be preserved at
the public ~~expense~~ expense.

On receipt of these reports
a list was prepared in C.O. No.
2760 W. of 20th Oct. 1886, in which the
tombs selected for preservation at the
public expense were printed in italics.

On the Government of
India further inquiring in letter
No. 28 of 1st February 1888 what action
had been taken in the ~~matter~~ matter by
the Government of Madras, they were
informed in letter No. 33 of 13/3/88
of the above proceedings and a copy of
the list so prepared was sent to them
for information. Five more copies of
this list were sent the Government of
India in letter No. 138 of 27th Jan.

The next step in the matter was
taken by the Madras Govt. on
the initiative of the Collector
of Arcot

NOTE FOR THE ^{Asst} CHIEF SECRETARY.

The attached note gives the history of the 3 lists I had to call repeatedly for papers before the file was completed. (on the 1st instant)

The question of distribution requires settling. In para 2 of the P.O. at - 73 Eccl 972 - a distribution of the list of European towns was made. The P.O. at - 98 Eccl 93 - went to a host of addresses vide and

As stated already 12 copies of the list of European towns went to the Secy of State with letter 64. I enclose 2nd Jan'y 1895

Please see note by ^{the} Librarian at C. 4011 (linked)

CW
6/12/97

997 from Librarian asks that the Secy of State Dept. be notified

referred with a
copy of the list of tombs
& the monuments of
Europeans in the
Madras Dt. The
lists go of course
to the Home Dept
(Eccl)

CW
2/10/97

G.
25 A
500-2-9-87.

Ecclesiastical.....DEPARTMENT

C. No. 276 of 97

SUBJECT.

LIST OF TOMBS & MONUMENTS

Note.

FOR ORDERS.

1 This subject which has now been under treatment for some 11 years seems to be approaching its final solution. In letter No. 2 - 28 of the 29th Jany. 1886 the Govt. of India inquired what action had been taken by the Madras Govt. for the preservation of tombs which possessed historical or archaeological interest; in consequence of this all Collectors were ordered in G. O. No. 27 of 1886 dated 12-2-86 to report whether there were any tombs in their districts which might properly be preserved at the public expense.

2 On receipt of these reports a list was prepared in G. O. No. 2760 W of 20th Oct. 1886, in which the tombs selected for preservation at the public expense were printed in italics.

3 On the Govt. of India further inquiring in letter No. 28 of 1st Feby. 1888 what action had been taken in the matter by the Govt of Madras, they were informed in letter No. 33 of

13-3-88 of the above proceedings, and a copy of the list so prepared was sent to them for information. Five more copies of this list were sent the Govt. of India in letter No.138 of 27th Jan. 1890. The next step in the matter was taken by the Madras Govt. on the initiative of the Collector of N.Arcot.

In Sept. 1892 Mr. L. E. Fanu forwarded to Govt. a list of European tombs in the District of North Arcot. The Govt. having observed that it was interesting, and would be of future use, directed that similar lists should be prepared after this model for all the other districts.

In February of the following year the Govt. of India proposed with reference to the question of the preservation of tombs & monuments possessing historical or archaeological interest, to print a general list of such tombs & monuments for every presidency in the form in which they had prepared the preliminary list ~~which the Govt. of India had~~ prepared from previous reports obtained from Local Governments and Administrations, and which they then sent to Madras for revision and correction, as there were many errors and omissions in the list originally compiled. In para 3 of this letter it was suggested that tombs & monuments in the presidency cemeteries and churchyards of historical interest might also be included

included in the list. This was the origin of the General List. It will also be seen from G.O.No.27 Eccl.d/ 29-3-93 that the original list as forwarded by the Govt. of India was a very small affair, comprising only 12 entries in all & only touching the fringe of an immense subject. The action taken on this by the Govt. of Madras to communicate this list to Collectors and to expedite the submission of the returns already called for by the Madras Govt. itself in G.O. No.73 Eccl. dated 27-9-92.

G.O.No. 13 Eccl d/ 16-2-95 contains the replies of the Collectors to this G.O. The consolidated list forwarded to India with this G.O. was full of errors as regards the tombs and inscriptions at Tanjore. However the uncorrected list was sent up to India. This comprised 66 pages of names, as against the solitary page which formed the original list for the Madras Presidency as prepared by the Govt. of India.

In May of the same year the Collector of Kistna brought to the notice of Govt. an important omission in the list, which was forwarded to India with this Government's letter No.34, Eccl. d/ 21-5-95. Corrections in the Tanjore list were soon made & the revised copy promised in letter 14 Eccl. d/ 16-2-95 was forwarded to India with letter No.62 Eccl. d/

*Not here's draft
namely the memorial at Masulipatam
to the victims of the shipwrecked cata-
strophe known as the Cyclone Monastery*

*what? the mission?
MA*

7-9-95.

With this the GENERAL LIST was complete with of course a few omissions here and there.

The question of preparing a separate list for the "Madras District", i.e. the Presidency Town, has had a different origin altogether. Mr. H.A. Stuart the

Editor of the Dist. Manuals requested the Collector of Madras in Oct. 1893

to get him lists of old tombs & monuments of interest in the District of Madras, for inclusion in the Manual of the Madras District which was then being compiled by Mr. Pringle under the orders of the Board of Revenue. The Eccl. Dept. was selected by Govt., on the Collector of Madras referring the matter for orders, as the best Department to collect the information.

The Govt. at the same time desired lists of interesting tablets in Churches to be furnished and all officials & others in charge of cemeteries were requested to prepare the required lists, and in ^{particular} preparation the President of the Municipality & the Garrison Chaplain were asked to furnish such particulars as lay within their power. The replies to this G.O. will be found in G.O. No. 21 Eccl. d/ 11-3-95, which printed just as they were received without being consolidated and arranged, which was done in the course of the next year.

In May 96 the Govt of India directed the attention of this Govt. to the omission of Bishop Heber's tablet in the Madras portion of the General list of

Flag J

Comprises 45 pages of names

Flag A

G.O. 37 Eccl. d/ 30-6-96.

Flag L

G.O. No. 37 Eccl. d/ 30-6-96

Flag N C No. 21 of 97.

Memo 398 15-2-97 & G.O. 37 30-6-97

3
forwarded to India with Letter No. 14 d/ 16-2-95 and desired a revision of the list, and at the same time ordered the preparation of another list (No. II) of statues, monuments & busts which have been erected in public places in the Presidency town in honour of distinguished servants of the State, both Civil and Military. The preparation of the list together with the revision, as far as Madras City was concerned, of lists I & III ^{was} ~~was~~ entrusted to the Collector of Madras in consultation with the President of the Municipality, the Commissioner of Police, ^{and} the various Eccl. authorities concerned.

Letter No. 34 Eccl. d/ 16-6-96 is in reply to the above letter of India's. With this letter an advance copy of the consolidated list of European Tombs in the Dist. of Madras (No. III in the present file) was sent and the other two lists were promised in due course.

With his Endt. d/ 26-1-97 the Collector submitted to Govt. three lists.

- (1) Additions to the General list
- (2) List of Statues, busts etc of distinguished persons.
- (3) Additions to European tombs in Madras.

These have been twice returned to him for further correction, ^{and} ~~a~~ submission in a printed form and are now again

again submitted carefully corrected
and revised in their final proof.

G.O. No.45 Eccl. d/ 13-7-97 directed the
the inclusion of 4 memorial fountains
in the list of statues lists &c.

List I. is the Revised list, with
the additions in G.No.21 of
97 incorporated.

List II. is the new list of statues &
busts etc of distinguished
persons ordered in Mr. Hill's
letter No.149 d/6-5-96 at

List III is the clean proof of the
revised list of European
tombs advance copies of
which were forwarded with this
Govts. letter No.34 d/
16-6-96 to India.

The Financial Letter flagged O
settled that 12 copies should go to the
Secy. of state

This G.O. deals with the lists
of tombs of Europeans.

The question for
orders now is that of distribution
of copies. In the linked file
C.N. 401 India asks that the
Rept. of Res. & Agric. may be supplied
with a copy of lists nos. II & III.
Copies of the lists
nos. I & III should go to the
Home Dept. Secy., & probably
also copies of II. The remaining

G.O.63 Eccl. d/ 31-5-97

Letter No.64 Financial d/ 23-1-95
Flag O

question is to what extent
Rept. & individuals copies are
to go.

In the G.O. at C.
a small distribution list was made
by Ecclesiastical. All these persons
should, it is submitted, be supplied
with copies of List III at least.

G.O. $\frac{98}{93}$ was
sent to a large number of
officials and others, for the
purpose of collecting information.
(Phase see list at L.)

The Librarian notes
that he is concerned only with
the sending of lists to the
India office.

Submitted that in
addition to the above proposals
copies of all three lists may
be sent to all Collectors, and
copies of lists II & III to all
the persons named in G.O. $\frac{98}{93}$
at H.

also that a copy
of List I may be sent to
all Chaplains in the District.

MCC
 $\frac{6}{xii}$

AD 3.1.98

To Public for remark
H-10.12.97

Ant. Secy

The lists may
be comtd to the Supdt
Archaeological Survey
with regard to such
structures as are of
^{or architectural}
historical interest

B

G.O. ~~780~~ ⁶¹⁹ Pub. 12.6.96
22.5.97

A

& 625 Pub. communi-
22.5.97

to the Supdt. Arch. Survey

reports on buildings of

historical ^{or architectural} interest

forwarded by the

P.O. 40. 780 Pub.

C

1.8.96 informs the post

of the practical

obtaining in this Presi-

dency in regard to the

conservation of ancient

monuments belong

to private individuals

Please see also

pos. flagged H, J & K

The post Epigraphic?

& the Supdt Arch. Survey

may therefore be

included in the distri-

- bution list. I don't know

in what other way

if any Public list

concerned.

No. 1363 Pub. d. 11.12.7
No. 791 Pub. 18.11.96
2683 W. 7.10.91

Ans.
16/12/97

62
73

2,000-28-11-15

NOTE FOR THE Chief Secretary

Please attached office
notes. If the notes
were communicated to the
government Epigraphist and
the Superintendent Archaeological
Survey, in addition to the
officers and individuals
named in the Sect. draft
attached, that would apparently
conclude the subject.

MA 3.198 MLC.
10
xii

Asst
NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Now orders have been passed as to whether copies of List II are to go to the Home Dept. Ecol. or as to whether copies of List I & II should go to the address at C. The following is however submitted for approval as far as it goes.

All these lists to

- 1 The Secy of State (12)
- 2 all collectors (8)
- 3 the Supdt. Andamans (11)
- 4 the Port Engraffist (11)
- 5 the Chief Secy (15)
- 6 the Bishop (12)
- 7 the Archdeacon (2)
- 8 Arch. Colgan (1)
- 9 Senior Chaplain of Govt (3)
- 10 all chaplains (1)
- 11 the Port of India Home Dept. Ecol. (31)
- 12 the Govt. Secy. Rev. Dargi (25)

Asst. Secy. I has not been ordered to transmit No 9 but it may go with List II to No 10?
Asst. Secy. I has not been ordered to transmit No 11 but it may go with List II to No 11?
Asst. Secy. I has not been ordered to transmit No 12 but it may go with List I to No 12?
7/8

The numbers in brackets show the number of copies of this

this fort's proceedings which
are usually sent to the
parties specified. Five
was the number. Four for
copies were directed to be
sent to the CS. (at C.).

If the above is approved
 $(12 + 176 + 1 + 1 + 5 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 3 + 33 + 71 + 35) = 307$
 would be required for the 12
 mentioned supra

At present List II additions
have been ordered to be sent to

The Presd. Ann. (7) Com:
and ~~some~~ ^{the} others (named
H and (1)
at L 2) some of whom e.g. the

and at L^2) some of whom e.g. the
Rev^{rs} Black, Stevenson, Maudslayi the Garrison chaplain,
have been provided for already
as parish chaplains of the
English & Scottish Churches
get a copy

also list my has
been ordered for the
Regt. of the Division
Regt. of 15. to 5 m.s.
(1)
(6)

These last (the Registrars)
might perhaps get all three?
and if for ~~simplicity~~
uniformity's sake here (I
also should give the
Presdt, Minn. Comr., &
the ~~two~~ others, a total
of

1992 Elmer of Oct. 2. Any last price
36 Chaplains include the Brother
Healy deceased & no of (Hess)
vacant we have 33

Carroll Chapman,
cut them out
them. one copy is enough
rec.
7
1

no. They have no claim.

of 302 + 36 ~~328~~ per
or say 350 copies in round numbers
would be required of
each list for distri-
-bution gratis.

It must be decided also how many copies shall be kept for sale. This perhaps might be settled by the Baptist Press who will of course fix the price of each list when struck off and bound.

Cert
6/1/98

Otherwise the test will stand if the first 12 stems remain strong:

Line I	Line II	Line III
302 +	302 + 29	302 + 36

NOTE FOR THE ^{Asst} CHIEF SECRETARY.

draft submitted
with ref to your letter
of 17.1.98. The persons
mentioned at L² in the
list with fo. 98² vol of
24.11.93 have been indi-
cated by their offices

The directory
mentioned in the
margin would be
useful in a case
like this. It might
be secured for the
Library. Yrs. Draft was

mlc.
11/8

CW
10/11/98

Please see query
on draft below as
to the number of
copies to the Asst
Ady. Genl

CW
11/11/98

Seen
mlc.
11/8

+ Action taken in Feb

CW
11/11/98

Madras Catholic Directory
for 1898
(ready by 2nd December 1897)
Address
The Compiler
2 Arumman Street

H.C.

Issue
Order and the send file
to the for papers relating to
supply of books, periodicals
to the Library. (Orders regarding
supply of periodicals, same
- mail)

13/11/98

Put up with G^d
8/11
11/11/98

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again GO., No. _____, dated _____ 189 _____

Again G.O., No. _____, dated _____ 189_____

Current No. 276 of 1897, dated 13th Oct^r, from the Collector of Madras.
 " " 341 " 1897, " 19th Oct^r, " the G. of D. (Revenue),
 " " " 189 " " " "

O R I E R.
Mis.

n^o. 48 17. 1. 98

The lists are approved. The Supt. Govt. Press will be requested to have them printed and distributed as under:-

List I

The Secretary of State (12 copies)
All Collectors (3 each)
The Supt. Archaeological Survey (1)
The Government Epigraphist (1)
The Chief Secretary (5)
The Rt. Revd. the Bishop (3)
The Ven. the Archdeacon (2)
The Most Revd. Arch. Colgan, D.D. (1)
The Senior Chaplain, Church of Scot. (3)
All Chaplains (1)
The Govt. of India, Home Dept. (Eccl.) (31)
-----do-----, Rev. & Agri. (35)
The Registrar of the Diocese (1)
-----do-----of B.D. & A. (6)

List II

	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
	do	do
The Presdt. Munl. Comm., Madras	do	do
The Incumbent, ^(West of St. Peter's Church) St. Patrick's R.C. Body Guard Road.	do	do
The Incumbent, St. Luz Church Cemetery; R.C. Luz Church Road	do	do
And the Incumbent of Discaud Church, R.C. Yellapatha Madali Covil Street.]	do	do
The Incumbent, St. Joseph's Church Mission Cemetery, Monegar Choultry, Main Road.	do	do
The Incumbent, St. Roque's R.C. Monegar Choultry, Main Road, [New Cemetery (R.C. portion) Washermanpett Cemetery Road, and Old Cemeteries R.C. Washermanpett West Cemetery Road]	do	do
The Incumbent, of B.V.M. of Re- future R.C. Monegar Choultry, Main Road.	do	do

List III

List I

List II

List III

[G.]
74
500-30-7-56

The Incumbent of St. John's, R.C. Monagar Choultry, Main Road	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of New Cemetery, Washermanpett (Protestant portion) (and Old Cemetery, Washermanpett West Cemetery Road. Old Washermanpett (Church of England portion)	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of Old Cemetery, Washermanpett, West Cemetery Road. Old Washermanpett (Non-conformist portion) (and General Cemetery, Purasawalkum, Perambur Barracks Road	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of St. Antony's, R.C. West Cemetery Road.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of S.V.M. of Assumption, West Cemetery Road.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of St. Andrew's, R.C. Vepery, Kelly Street.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of London Mission Cemetery, Gundappa Mudali Street.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of Lutheran Mission Cemetery, Brick-kiln Road.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of Armenian Church Cemetery, Wallajah, Esplanade Road.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of Sacred Heart of Jesus, Wallajah, Esplanade Road.	(1)	-----do-----
The Assistant-Adjutant-General, Madras Bt. thro' the Mly. Dept.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of Church of the Lady of Purification, R.C. Meersapett, Jana Janakhan Road.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of St. de Deus, R.C., St. Thome, Peria Pully Street.	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of Quibble Island, St. Thome, St. Thome High Road (Protestant portion)	(1)	-----do-----
The Incumbent of ---do--- (R.C. Portion).	(1)	-----do-----
H. A. Stuart Esq.		-----do-----
A. T. Pringle Esq.		-----do-----

(2)
DRAKES

2. The Superintendent will also be requested to fix the number of copies of each list required for sale and to report the price of each volume.

M.P.
11/8

CS
10/1/58

To the Collector of Madras (G.P.)
,, Supt. Govt. Press (G.P.) with proofs of three lists to be returned when done with.

Asst
NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Please see note
permeated to page
4 of List I below
(in apparent
in Chester's
hand writing)
As the list
must go to
the Press & for
Press the
query must
be answered
The heading
may plain
of the reference
to Memo (F)
be omitted?

Return only to heading
'List of tombstones &
monuments in Madras'
M.C.
14/1/58
19/8

R
All back papers & be carefully
noted on back of docket of 2276
reproducing this thing.

27/1/58

correction
in the heading
of front of
11/1/58

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

BRAD AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DETATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 C = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.