

Ecclesiastical (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 36

Dated 22nd June 1896

INDEXED.

7-8

[Despatch Abstract.]

CHARGE OF CHAPLAINCY OF CALICUT.

Approving the proposal of the Right Reverend the Bishop that the Revd. Mr. Gittens, Chaplain of Coimbatore, be directed to hold -- during the absence of the Rev. E. Gibson on three months' privilege leave.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

136

Recd Bepk

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,

Notagiri 25th May 1896.

No. 1543

From

THE REV. S. MORLEY, M.A.,

BISHOP'S CHAPLAIN,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Stone House

Notacamund

Sir,

I have the honor, by desire of the Right Reverend the Bishop, to request that the Reverend Foster Clarke Githens, M.A., Chaplain of Coimbatore, may be directed to hold charge of Calicut during the absence of the Reverend E. Gibson on privilege leave.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Morley

Bishop's Chaplain.

Nation

Neither of these proposals met with the approval of Govt, the first because it was not considered desirable that the Rev. Mr. Kidd should have charge of British troops and the second because it was held that it would be impossible to combine Combarore with Calicut and its outstations (vide go. no. 1 dated 9th Jan'y 96). The Bishop thereupon reported that he would cancel the leave he had granted to the Rev. J. Black and send him to Calicut. (go. 4/17.1.95).

In the present proposal of the Bishop it is presumed that the charge of Calicut includes the usual outstations mentioned on page 202 of the Quarterly Civil List corrected up to 1st April 96.

It is not stated why the Rev. J. Stanley, as Chaplain of Combarore should not take charge of Calicut. The reason is perhaps because he is still a probationary chaplain.

Malapuram
Mepatti
Sultan's battery
Vayithiri

CS
Submitted that before this comes the Bishop's Chaplain be asked (DO) when the Rev. Gibson's leave expires and why the Rev. Stanley cannot be put in charge of Calicut.

CS. 4/17.1.95
6.5.96

ECcll Sept
CNO 136.

Mr. Ootacamund
10th June 1896
My dear Mr. Moseley
With reference to your

official no. 15438, 25 May 96
will you please let me know
I am to inquire when the
Rev. Gibson's leave expires and
if there is any reason against
the Rev. Stanley, now at
Combarore, cannot be put
in charge of Calicut etc.

Yours sincerely
CS. 4/17.1.95
8/6/96

To
The Secy. S. Moseley M.A. Secy.

Further D.O. 393/96

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, D. O. No. 297 Dated, 10-6-1896

[G.]
9

4,000-23-1-96.

Current No. 393

E

DEPARTMENT.

From

The Rev. D. Morley.
Coo noor.

No.

Dated 15th June 1896.

Received 16th — — —

Registered — — —

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Suggesting Mr. Si Ains to be
in charge of Calicut as Mr.
Stanley has outstations & troops
under his care.

~~F~~ D.O.  16 JUN. 1896
Corruv

15th June 1896

My dear Mr. P. M.

In reply to your D.O.

of 10th inst: The Bishop
advises me to say Mr. P. M.
has 4 pairs on 5th August.

The Bishop thinks it would
be better for Mr. P. M. to
be in charge of Calicut as

FOR ORDERS.

The Right Rev. the Bishop requests that the Rev Mr. Gittens, Chaplain of Coimbatore, may be directed to hold charge of Calicut during the absence of the Rev E. Gibson on three months' priv. leave. The Bishop granted this leave - vide G.O. R. No. 25 & 12.3.96 and the Rev Mr. Morley states that the Rev Gibson's leave expires on the 5th August. The Bishop has power under art. 920 of the C.S. R. to grant chaplains privilege leave. In this connection please see correspondence with the Govt. of India in G.O. $\frac{3-4}{11-1-94}$, $\frac{33-4}{21-4-94}$, $\frac{92-3}{17-11-94}$ & $\frac{36}{23-5-95}$

In 1894 the Bishop proposed that, on account of the Rev. W. Crowther having proceeded on leave, either the Rev Mr. Kidd,

Mr. Stanley has outstations of troops under his care, whereas the Chaplain of Coimbatore has no troops & only the small outstation of Kalyhat.

The Bishop will be less able if the Chaplain of Coimbatore be in charge of Calicut.

The Hon:

L. J. Paine C.S.I.

Yours sincerely
J. Morley

then chaplain of Cannanore, might be placed in charge of the chaplaincy of Calicut or ⁽²⁾ that the chaplain of Coimbatore might be directed to hold charge of Calicut & his its outstations - Neither of these proposals met with the approval of Govt., the first because it was not considered desirable that the Rev. Mr. Kidd should have charge of British troops and the second because it was held that it would be impossible to combine Coimbatore with Calicut & its outstations (vide go. no. 1 & 9.1.95 and the notes therein). The Bishop thereupon reported that he would cancel the leave he had granted to the Rev. J. Black and send him to Calicut (go. $\frac{4}{17-1-95}$).

In the present proposal of the Bishop it is presumed that the charge of Calicut includes the outstations mentioned on page 202 of the Quarterly Civil List corrected upto 1st April 1896.

The reasons which have induced the Bishop to not to recommend that the Rev. Stanley may be placed in charge of Calicut are

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.

+ Malapuzham
Meyyati
Sultan's battery
Vayithiri

Coimbatore cum Calicut is a very heavy charge, not so in Cannanore cum Calicut. On the whole I think the Bishop's suggestion should be accepted.

JG

17/6/96

A.H.

19.6.96

are that this chaplain has charge of troops at Cannanore and ^{has} to visit the outstations of Mangalore, Tellicherry & Mandantody, whilst the Rev. Mr. Gittens has no troops under him and only the small outstation of Palghat. The Quarterly ^{civil list, however,} shows Pollachi also as one of the outstations of Coimbatore - Another reason ^{is} that the expenses will be less if the chaplain of Coimbatore be ^{placed} in charge of Calicut. This appears to be a valid reason. The double ^{ground} first class fare from Coimbatore to Calicut is Rs 17-8-0 whilst the mileage from Cannanore to Calicut (56 miles) is Rs 28

CS
16/6

18/6/96

Charges of Chaplains
of Calicut

White 13 to 14

S
7/2/96

S

Cuo 136 of 80.393

Order No. 36, of 22 June 1896.
The Rt. Rev. the Bishop's proposal
is approved -

(From orders)
CS 20.6.96 20/6/96

The Rt. Rev. the Bishop (P) Despd
Acett Gmel (A)

23/6/96

21/7/96

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.