

Ecclesiastical (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. /

Dated 6th Jan'y 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

CEMETERIES.

Referring the question of erecting boundary walls for the new -- at Saidapet and Kilpauk to the committee appointed under G.O., No.91, Ecclesiastical, dated 27th November 1895.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	305		1895 (sic)				

[$\frac{G}{9}$]
2,000-15-5-95.

Current No. 723

DEPARTMENT.

From

The President
Municipal Commission
Madras

No.

Dated 3 }
Received 4 } -12-95

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Regarding the Kilpauk
Burial ground.

To await Reel No 91
in the Press.

Reel No 91 d 27-11-95

3rd Mo

My dear Price

Care for me

The Clerk deacon take over
the Baptist Burial Ground.

I am for in my O. O the other
day I would be glad to hand
it over. I don't think he wants
a wall there - The Baptists
question is not to keep it

Sincerely

Wm. Moore

Local & Municipal Dept.
 CURRENT No. 3599 M
 1895

1701 M 21-10-95
 435 M 17-11-95
 296 L 25-3-97

292
 Ecclesiastical

Madras Municipality.

Recd 66 219-9-95 mt
 91 227-11-95
 From 4m 672 m 21-10-95
 The President,
 Reel 22 226-3-89 mt
 Municipal Commission
 59 214-4-87
 MADRAS.

No 1698
 Transferred to the
 Ecclesiastical Department.

To
 The Chief Secretary
 to Government
 Fort Saint George.

W Phillips
 25/11/95
 For George Ag. Assistant Secy
 27 Nov 1895

P. W. No. 1915

Dated 21
 Despd. 21
 Recd.

CHIEF SECRETARY
 21 NOV. 1895
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Enc. / plan
 Subject.

Recd 12/95
 Enclosure /

New Cemetery at Paidapet.

Offering his remarks with
 reference to Order n=1701.M. Mion
 dated 21 October 1895 Local and
 Municipal Deptt. protests against
 the liability of the Municipality
 to construct a wall around.

To
 The Ecclesiastical Depart

Recd. 91
 27-11-95

in the Press

4/12

2.28.21.95

For Orders

G.O. N° 672 M.d/18.4.95

on which the instruction regarding the wall (G.O. Eccl. d/19.9.95 para 1) was based, is not available now is it likely to be so for some time as it is in constant requisition in the L.M. Sep

It is possible that a similar protest may be made in regard to the Kilpatrick Cemetery vide G.O. 91 Eccl. d/27.11.95

It is submitted that the question may be referred for the opinion of the C. appointed inf. O. N° 9. d/27-11-95

30/12/95
12.12.5.

13/12/95

The question is whether a wall round a burial ground is necessary to make it a "convenient + fitting place" for burials. Col Moore thinks hedges + fences are enough. I have known of many burial grounds that are not fenced. The question might be referred to the Committee.

29
14/12/95

Show the Hon Mr. Klein

I agree -

I have seen, I may say, both these cemeteries + think a good hedge, properly cared for, should suffice in both cases

MS
18/12/95

new Cemetery at Said apet

Eecl. Current 292

Order N^o 1 d/6th Jan 96

^{the} question ^{should} ~~will~~ be referred in ~~due course~~ the Committee appointed under G.O. N^o 91 Eecl d/ 27th Nov. 95. ^{the papers will} when the ~~papers~~ be returned to the President ~~be requested to sit in~~ Municipal Comm. for that ~~that Committee have int.~~ purpose ~~made their willingness~~ to do so.

Hon W. J. G. J. G.

Hon W. J. G. J. G.

from Orders

C. J. G.
20.12.95

J. D. B.
20.12.95

22
24/12/95

To The Presdt of the Mun. Com. Madras ^{at 7/1/96} ^{2.7/1} ^{9/1}
written a letter & a plan

14/12 C. J. G.

New Cemetery at Said appt

from orders

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.