

20-8-07
19.10.07 ✓
Ecclesiastical (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 66

Dated 19th Sept. 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

NEW CEMETERY AT SAIDAPET

Considering that a wall should be built round the -- by the Municipality of Madras and that thereafter the Ven'ble the Archdeacon should take over charge of the whole of the ground, pending orders as to its distribution; and requesting certain gentlemen to form a committee to reconsider and report on the claims of the various religious classes of the community for whose use the cemetery is intended.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Ecc. DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 19-9-95
No. 66

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press 24/9

Returned for signature 1/10

Despatched in print 5/10/95

10 Copies

[Despatch Abstract.]

New Cemetery at Saidapet.

Considering that a wall should be built round the — by the Municipality of Madras and that thereafter the Venible the Archdeacon should take over charge of the ^{whole of the} ground pending orders as to its distribution; And requesting certain Gentlemen to form a Committee to consider and report on the claims of the various religious classes of the community for whose use the cemetery is intended.

T 24/9

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18, No.

BACK PAPER, No.

FORWARD PAPER, No.

242

OF 18

95

ENCLOSURES.

CONTRACT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DEPOSIT IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.

B = Not to be printed.

P = Print abstract only.

Q = Print heading only.

R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

19TH SEPTEMBER 1895, No. 66, ECCLESIASTICAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 22, Ecclesiastical, dated 26th March 1889.

ABSTRACT.—Approving and transferring to the Public Works Department the estimates for extending the cemetery attached to St. George's Cathedral; and accepting the recommendations of the Committee referred to, regarding the two sites for proposed new general cemeteries.

READ—also the following paper:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel G. M. J. MOORE, C.I.E., President, Municipal Commission, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th May 1895, No. 293-H.

In forwarding the accompanying copies* of correspondence between myself and the Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras, I have the honour to invite attention to G.O., No. 22, Ecclesiastical, dated 26th March 1889.

* No. 113 of 18th April 1895, to Archdeacon.
No. 2113 of 3rd May 1895, from Archdeacon.

2. The Archdeacon seems to have ignored the fact that the new cemetery at Saidapet was never intended to be restricted to the Church of England. It was intended for all inhabitants south of the Cooum.

3. Besides the Cathedral and Quibble Island it was intended to replace the following:—

Church of the Lady of Purification, R.C., Meersaibpet, Jana Janakhan road which should be closed—*vide* my report on the condition of cemeteries for 1893-94.

Luz Church Cemetery, R.C., Luz Church Road.

Discanse Church, R.C., Yellapatha Madah Covil street.

Mai de deos, R.C., St. Thomé, Peria Pulley street.

Besides these there should be a portion allotted to Non-Conformists.

4. It was in view to sites being allotted to the different denominations that my letter, No. 113, dated 18th April 1895, was written.

5. The objections taken by the Archdeacon as to distance were fully considered by the Committee as will be seen from their report which was approved by above-quoted Government order.

6. If sanitation is to be subordinated to sentiment, I have nothing further to say.

7. As to roads, there are main roads through the proposed cemetery and I am quite prepared to make such by-roads as may be necessary when I know where they have to be made; this can only be done after allotment to the different denominations.

8. I do not consider a wall to be necessary and I cannot accept the contention that the municipality has to build a wall. In this connection I would invite a reference to G.O., No. 296 M., Local and Municipal, dated 29th March 1887.

9. Section 392 of Act I of 1884 merely lays down that the Commissioners shall provide a convenient and fitting place for burial. This I consider has been done and I would therefore beg that Government will be pleased to pass such orders on the subject as they deem fit.

ENCLOSURES.

From Lieutenant-Colonel G. M. J. MOORE, C.I.E., President, Municipal Commission, to the Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras, dated 18th April 1895, No. 113-H.

I have the honour to request to be informed when it will be convenient to you to take over the new cemetery at Saidapet.

From the Venerable W. W. ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the President, Municipal Commission, dated 3rd May 1895, No. 2113.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 113-H., dated 18th April 1895, and to state that I am not prepared to make any move towards taking over the whole or any portion of the piece of ground proposed for a new cemetery at Saidapet, unless I receive orders from Government to do so.

2. I have the honour also to point out that it seems to me neither necessary, advisable, nor possible that burials should take place at present at Saidapet.

3. It does not seem to me *necessary*; for the only two cemeteries in which members of the Church of England are buried, which would be relieved, are the Cathedral Cemetery and the Quibble Island Cemetery, and both of these have sufficient room for burials for some years to come. Moreover, they are neither of them situated in a crowded part of Madras, while there is nothing whatever in the history of the past to justify the idea that these cemeteries are in any way detrimental to the health of the people.

4. It is not in my opinion *advisable* that people should be obliged to bury their dead in the proposed cemetery while there is room in the Cathedral and Quibble Island Cemeteries, for there would naturally be raised a strong feeling in the minds of those who have relatives and friends buried in these cemeteries—if they were compelled to bury any other relatives and friends elsewhere—and in a cemetery far away from the present cemeteries and far away from their homes. It would fall specially hard upon the poor people, if they were put to the considerable extra expense which would be involved in burials taking place at Saidapet, while they would feel much the difficulty caused by the distance to their often visiting the graves of their relatives and friends.

5. It is not in my opinion *possible* that burials should take place in Saidapet at present. I believe that I am right in saying that the Government requires the municipality to "construct" cemeteries. I have not been lately to Saidapet, but I have no reason to suppose the ground is in a different state to what it was when I visited it last. It was in my opinion in no sense "constructed." There was no wall round the whole or any portion of the ground and this is usually considered necessary for such a cemetery as it is proposed to have at Saidapet. Further at parts the ground required to be levelled, roads and paths to be made and the whole ground required to be laid over in such a way as to make it a fit and suitable resting place for the burial of the dead.

No. 1113.

Transferred to the Ecclesiastical Department.

12th August 1895.

(Signed) W. FRANCIS,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

To the Ecclesiastical Department.

ORDER—dated 19th September 1895, No. 66, Ecclesiastical.

The Government is of opinion that a wall should be built round the new cemetery at Saidapet by the municipality and that the reafter the Venerable the Archdeacon should take over charge of the whole of the ground pending orders as to its distribution.

2. It is observed that this is one of the General Cemeteries approved of by Government in G.O., No. 22, Ecclesiastical, dated 26th March 1889. It should therefore be divided between the Church of England, the Roman Catholic and the Non-Conformist communities. For this purpose the Government will be obliged if the undermentioned gentlemen will form a Committee to consider and report on the claims of the various religious classes of the community for whose use the cemetery is intended:—

The Venerable the Archdeacon.

,, Most Revd. Archbishop Colgan, D.D.

,, Right Revd. Dr. H. Jose Reed da Silva.

,, Revd. John Beard.

3. The necessity for dividing walls round the different divisions may conveniently be considered by the Committee. A suitable vestry in which the clergy could await funerals will no doubt be needed. As the Church of England portion will not be brought into use for some time, so much of the works as may be specially required for it (if any) need not be taken in hand at present.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Gentlemen named.

,, Local and Municipal Department.

Exd. J. W. Oliver.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

ECCLESIASTICAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 19th September 1895, No. 66.

SEPTEMBER.

New Cemetery at Saidapet.

Considering that a wall should be built round the — by the Municipality of Madras, and that there after the Venerable the Archdeacon should take over charge of the whole of the ground, pending orders as to its distribution; and requesting certain gentlemen to form a committee to consider and report on the claims of the various religious classes of the community for whose use the cemetery is intended.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY

The G.O. has been obtained and is put up.

S.D.B.
14/9/5.

Better have a clear copy: W. much come. Submitted. S.D.B.
17/9/5.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

These papers have been waiting for G.O. 672. M.d/18/4/5, but up to date I have been unable to get hold of it. It is in core still. I am not at all happy about para 1 of this draft without first seeing the G.O. - on which it is based. Please see Ag 10.1. slip attached. - Ed to the draft. No doubt C.S. will remember the terms of the G.O. in question and will be able to decide whether the draft may be passed in its absence or not. I do not like to delay the file longer.

J.S.B.

10/9/95.

D. O



OOTACAMUND.

31st July 1895

My Dear Moore

Govt. has had under consideration your letter of the 20th May ^{re Sunday} about the new cemetery at Saidapet, & is of opinion that the first step in the matter should be to divide up the cemetery among the several denominations for whose use it is intended.

For this purpose it is proposed to

appoint a committee consisting
representatives of the Church of England people, the Roman
of ~~members of the different sects~~
Catholics and the Nonconformists, and
I am to ask that you will be

good enough to give Govt. your
opinion as to which of the gentle-
men who now manage the
non-conformist portion of the
Washermanpettah cemetery would
most suitably represent the non-
conformists element upon the
Committee.

Yrs sincerely


W. Rogers

23/7/95

Ed. G. M. Moore
Dated 31/7/95

G. No: 267

Madras



3rd August 1895

My dear Sir

Your O.O. dated

31st July 1895.

The New Marthandapur
Cemetery was handed over
by the Municipality to the
Chaplain North Black Town.
Under instructions from the
Archdeacon. Please see G.O.
54 May 1888 No 65 re education.
It is registered in his name
in our Register. That is why
I addressed the Archdeacon
about the Marthandapur Cemetery

As far as I can learn the Rev.
John Beard, Wesleyan Chaplain,
is in charge of the Hon. Con-
formist portion. He is at present
at Ooty and the Rev. C. H.

Mouahau is doing his work.

I should think the Rev. John
Beard should be the repre-
sentative on the proposed
Committee

Yours sincerely

W. Moore

The Hon. Mr. J. F. Preece Esq.
A. S.

1895 240 1894

H. No. 293

Municipal Office,

Madras, 28th May 1895

From Lieut. Col. G. W. J. Moore, C.I.E.,
President, Municipal Commission,

To The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department
Fort St. George.

In forwarding the accompanying
copies of correspondence between myself
and the Venerable The Archdeacon of
Madras, I have the honor to invite attention
to G.O. dated 16th March 1889, No. 22, Ecclesiastical.

1. The Archdeacon seems to have
ignored the fact that the new Cemetery
at Saidapet was never intended to be
restricted to the Church of England. It
was intended for all inhabitants South
of the Coom.

2. Besides the Cathedral and Quibble
Island it was intended to replace the
following:-

Church of the Lady of Purification, R.C., Maarsaibpet.
Jana Jana Khan road which should be closed -
vide my report on the condition of Cemeteries
for 1893-94.
Luz Church Cemetery, R.C., Luz Church Road.
Drean Church, R.C., Yellapatha Madak Civil Street

No. 113 of 18-4-95.
to Archdeacon.
No. 2113 of 3-5-95
from Archdeacon.

See the report to be forwarded
to the Council on the site of
the new Cemetery of the land.

Because there is sufficient
room in the Cathedral & Quibble
Island Cemetery for burial for
some years to come - thereby
implying that the new site is not
taken over only when it is required
for the Church of England.

The part of the
new site must be
is intended in.

83

Mai de deos, R.C., St. Thome, Seria Bulley street.

Besides these there should be a portion allotted to non conformists.

4. It was in view to sites being allotted to the different denominations that my letter No 113 dated 18th April 1895 was written.

5. The objections taken by the Archdeacon as to distance were fully considered by the Committee as will be seen from their report which was approved by above quoted G.O.

6. If sanitation is to be subordinated to sentiment I have nothing further to say.

7. As to roads - there are main roads through the proposed cemetery and I am quite prepared to make such by-roads as may be necessary when I know where they have to be made - This can only be done after allotment to the different denominations.

8. I do not consider a wall to be necessary and I cannot accept the contention that the Municipality has to build a wall. In this connection I would invite a reference to G.O. dated 29th March 1887, No 296 M, Local and Municipal.

9. Section 392 of Act I of 1884 merely lays down that the Commissioners shall provide a convenient and fitting place for burial - This I consider has been done and I would therefore beg that
Government

30
Government will be pleased to pass such orders on the subject as they deem fit.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

W. Moore Lieut. Col.
President, Grand Commⁿ

27/5 MB
20.8.95.

#12/13.

Health Department
Municipal Office,
Madras, 18th April 1895.

From

451
Lieut. Col. G. H. I. Moore, C.I.E.,
President, Municipal Commission,

To

The Ven^{ble} The Archbishop of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request to be
informed when it will be convenient
to you to take over the new Cemetery
at Saidapet.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant
G. H. I. Moore, I Col
President,
Municipal Commission

Health Dept.
Municipal Office
Madras, 10th May/95

(True Copy)

W. Cook
Health Officer,
Municipal Comm.

W. Cook

N^o 2113

Ecclesiastical Department,
Kingtonkannam,
Madras, May 3, 1895.

From

The Venerable W. Weston Blues, M.A.,
Archdeacon of Madras.

To The President,

Municipal Commission, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter N. N. 113, April 18, 1895, & to state that I am not prepared to make any move towards taking over the whole or any portion of the piece of ground proposed for a new cemetery at Laidapet, unless I receive orders from Government to do so.

2. I have the honor also to point out that it seems to me neither necessary, advisable, or possible that burials should take place, at present, at Laidapet.

3. It does not seem to me necessary; for the only 2 cemeteries in which Members of the Church of England are buried, which would be relieved, are the Cathedral Cemetery & the Quibble Island Cemetery, & both of these have sufficient room for burial for some years to come. Moreover they are neither of them situated in a crowded part of Madras, while there is nothing whatever in the history of the past, to justify the idea that these cemeteries are in

any

re-occupied
May 10

1/188 June 1895

any way detrimental to the health of the people.

4. It is not in my opinion advisable that people should be obliged to bury their dead in the proposed Cemetery while there is room in the Cathedral & Quibble Island Cemeteries, for there would naturally be raised a strong feeling in the minds of those who have relatives & friends buried in these Cemeteries - if they were compelled to bury any other relatives & friends elsewhere - & in a cemetery far away from the present Cemeteries & far away from their homes. It would fall specially hard upon the poor people, if they were put to the considerable extra expense which would be involved in burials taking place at Laidapet, while they would feel much the difficulty caused by the distance, to their often visiting the graves of their relatives & friends.

5. It is not in my opinion possible that burials should take place in Laidapet, at present. I believe that I am right in saying that the Govt requires the Municipality to construct Cemeteries. I have not been lately to Laidapet, but I have no reason to suppose the ground is in a different state to what it was when I visited it last. It was in my opinion in no sense 'Constructed'.

There

68
There was no wall round the whole or any portion of the ground & this is usually considered necessary for such a Cemetery as it is proposed to have at Laidapet. Further at parts, the ground required to be levelled, roads and paths to be made & the whole ground required to be laid over in such a way as to make it a fit & suitable resting place for the burial of the dead.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your very obedient servant
(sd/ W. Weston Elmes,
Arch Deacon of Madras.)

(True Copy)

Health Dept.,
Munl Office,
Madras, 10 May/95

20/5

W. J. Losh,
Health Officer,
Municipal Commr.

1895.

Madras Municipality.

From

The President,

Municipal Commission,
MADRAS.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Govt
Local & Municipal Dept
Fort St. George

H. No. 293

Dated 20th

Despd. 21st

Recd. 22 MAY 95

May 1895

Enc. 2

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copies of
Correspondence between himself
and the Archdeacon of
Madras regarding the new
Cemetery at Saidapet and
requesting favor of orders
on the subject.

(with Encl.)

40. 22789
" 296 m 7/87
57 Dec. 23-7-94
22 - 26-3-97
57 - 18-8-91
65 - 5-5-94
296 m 29-3-97
163 Dec. 27-10-97
162 - 28-10-97
141 - 17-11-97
44 - 17-11-97
Ecclesiastical Dept

CURRENT No. 176

70
Ent. 1113 of 12-8-95

Transferred to the
Ecclesiastical Dept with
notes of Hon. Members in

Original
Francis
Archdeacon

Recd 18th August 1895

Regd 18th August 1895

L & M No 1686 with Encl.

4 H. No. 293 in original

2.
The Ecclesiastical Dept

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 1686. m.

From

The President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

The cemetery having been acquired
the next step w^d appear to be to divide
it among the communities for the use of
which it is intended, vizt. the
English people, the Rom. Catholics,
the non-conformists. Under this
the ~~Archdeacon~~ the Archdeacon, the R.C.
the Archbishop & a representative of the
nonconformist body which was many
the washerman's cemetery might be
asked to form a committee & decide with
reference to the number of the respective
classes to be used. About a barrowings
wall there can be no doubt, about dividing
the lots the Council may be asked to decide.
They may be required, as the Anglicans
the R.C.s will certainly want to conse-
crate, which the nonconformists may
be against consecration & may even
object to bury in consecrated ground.
There also, there will be the question of
burial chapels - These certainly ought
to be made for the Anglican community, but
the requirement is often dispensed with
in India & I think should be dispensed
with - In fact, better not say anything
about burying chapels - But a
decent vestry where the clergy could
await funerals w^d appear to be
a necessity - Probably one such
building w^d suffice. The S.P. should
assume this & leave the clergy of the
different denominations to object
if they think it necessary to do so.
As the Anglican cemetery will not
for some time be required for use,
much of the work as are specially
required first (if any) might be
postponed until the cemetery is
likely soon to be needed for use.

Wm. H. Rose
17/7/95

FOR ORDERS.

The question as to whether
the new cemetery at Saidapet should
be taken over by the Archdeacon is
one that should be dealt with in
the Ecclesiastical Department.

2. As regards the
liability of the Municipality to
construct a wall round the site,
Please see the interpretation of
Section 392 of Act I of 1884, in pa-
graph 14 of J.C. dated, 18th April
1895, No. 672. m.

There seems to be no doubt that
the Archdeacon must take over his
part of the cemetery. As for the wall, it has
been decided in J.C. No. 672 m. that the

are entitled to have cemeteries provided for them fenced and provided with walls and mounds, and on the same grounds Christians would appear to be entitled to a wall. They will probably have to erect a vestry or other small building in addition for the clergy, the ground being so far from the church.

This paper was returned with a reference to Andrews and received back only yesterday, hence the delay. I am under the impression that taking over the new cemetery involved the closing of the existing ones, but apparently that is not the case.

CGT x 24 is 402.
12. VII.

16/7/95.

proposed by the Hon. Mr. B. line. The Bishop of my bishop and he represented on it. The Archdeacon will not when he receives orders for the to do so.

20/7

after
this seems

17/7 19/7 20/7

Transfer of the new cemetery at Saidapet to the Ven^{ble} the Archdeacon

H. M.

(for orders)

Submitted to the Hon. Mr. Goss as directed by the Hon. Mr. B. line within

16/7/95

Under
NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY.

L. & ell. depth

I am unable to draft on this matter without knowing whether under G.O. No. 672 of 18.4.95 it is the Municipality who should build the wall round the cemetery before the Ven^{ble} the Archdeacon takes charge. Can you kindly tell me? The G.O. is in accordance with your current 2114 & I cannot get hold of it. I am anxious to draft in Eccl. as the matter has already been considerably delayed.

20/7.

6/9/95.

I cannot get hold of the G.O. either, as it is in the circ^l to the Governor. The remembrance of it was that it merely said that as by S. 372 of the Act (I of 1884) the Comm^{rs} have to provide

Read again -

Eccl. G.O. of 26/3/89 No. 22

Eccl. Current No. 176.

G.O.

A The Govt. is of opinion that a wall should be built round the new cemetery at Saidapet by the Municipality and that to be appraised to Xians, thereafter the Venerable the Archdeacon should be requested to take over charge of the whole of it pending orders as to its distribution. It is observed that this is one of the General Cemeteries approved by Govt. in Eccl. G.O. of 26/3/89 No. 22. The Govt. desire that the Xians should be divided between the Church of England, the Roman Catholic and the Non-Conformist communities. For this purpose the Govt. will be obliged if the undermentioned gentlemen will form a Committee to consider a report on the claims of

various religious
of the ~~Respective~~ classes for
of the community for whose use
~~the~~ the Cemetery ~~was~~
meant is intended:-

The Venerable the Archdeacon.

" Most Rev. ^{archbishop} A. Colgan. D.D.

" Rt. Rev. D. H. José Reed da Silva.

" Rev. John Beard.

3. The necessity for
separate walls round the different divisions
~~dividing walls will be~~
may conveniently be
considered by the Committee.

A suitable vestry ^{in which} ~~where~~ the
clergy could await fu-
nerals will ^{no doubt} ~~of course~~ be
wanted. ~~As the~~

clergy of England portion
~~Anglican cemetery~~ will not
be brought into use for
~~some time~~ ~~be re-~~

~~quired~~ So much of the
works as ^{maybe} ~~are~~ especially
required for it, (if any),
will not be taken in hand
~~might be postponed until~~
~~at present~~

~~the cemetery is likely to~~
~~to be used for use the~~
~~need for the cemetery because~~
~~more pressing than it ap-~~
~~pears to be at present~~

from orders

c. w.
9.9.95 J.D.B.
10.9.95.

To the Gentlemen named

" L & M. Dept with papers returned

" P. 10. be careful with the
addresses

The new Saidapet Cemetery

from orders

done

make a draft
please
JB

in Eccl 19/9/95
No. 66 Eccl

Ecclesiastical DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:-

P Again G.O., dated 26th March 1889, No. 22 Eccl

Again G.O., dated 189, No.

Current No. 176 of 1895, dated 19th, from the L. & M. Dept
(Print President's letter & 2 enclos)

" " " 189, " " "

" " " 189, " " "

ORDER. 8 19th September 1895, No. 66.
A

The Govt. is of opinion that
a wall should be built round the new
cemetery at Saidapet by the Municipality
and that thereafter the Venerable the
Archdeacon should take over charge of the
whole of ^{the funds} ~~the~~ pending orders as to its dis-
tribution.

2. It is observed that this is
one of the General Cemeteries approved of
by Govt. in Ecclesiastical G.O. ^{No. 22 Eccl} dated 26th
March 1889, No. 22. It should therefore
be divided between the Church of England,
the Roman Catholic and the Non-Conformist
communities. For this purpose the Govt.
will be obliged if the undermentioned
gentlemen will form a Committee to con-
sider and report on the claims of the
various religious classes of the communi-
ty for whose use the Cemetery is intended.

The Ven'ble the Archdeacon.

,, Most Revd. Archbishop Colgan, D.D.

,, Rt. Revd. Dr. H. Jose Reed da Silva

,, Revd. John Beard.

during

3. The necessity for ~~separate~~

walls round the different divisions may

conveniently be considered by the

Committee. A suitable vestry in which

the clergy could await funerals will no

doubt be ~~wanted~~ ^{needed}. As the Church of

England portion will not be brought into

use for some time, so much of the works

as may be specially required for it,

(if any), need not be taken in hand at

present.

(from orders)

2012
18/9/95

TO

The Gentlemen named *123*

,, L. & M. Department *6*

desks
57/10/95

THE NEW SAJDAPET CEMETERY.

from orders.

py sent to Press.

ed for signature.

atched in print.

AIN G O, No.

133

DATED

MENT No.

ECLOSURES TO C. No.

EDOR OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Print in full.

B = Not to be printed.

P = Print abstract only.

Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.