


SIXTH ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
TAMIL NADU VIGILANCE COMMISSION

(For the period from 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972)



GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
1973

PRINTED BY THE DIRECTOR OF STATIONERY AND
PRINTING, MADRAS, ON BEHALF OF THE
GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
1973



26935

TAMIL NADU VIGILANCE COMMISSION.

The Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission, Madras, presents its Sixth Annual Report for the period from 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972 to the State Government, as required in paragraph 6 of G.O. Ms. No. 2133, Public (Services-B), dated the 8th November 1965 for review and to be placed before the Legislature of the State.

P. SABANAYAGAM,
Vigilance Commissioner In-charge.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS,
2nd June 1973.

**SIXTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE TAMIL NADU
VIGILANCE COMMISSION.**

(April 1971 to March 1972).

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	SUBJECT.	PAGES.
CHAPTERS—		
I	Introduction	1
II	Functions, Powers and Jurisdiction of the Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission.	1
III	Organisation—	
	(i) State Vigilance Commission	2
	(ii) Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption ..	2
	(iii) Tribunals for Disciplinary Proceedings	2
IV	Budget	3
V	Preliminary Enquiry Reports	3-6
VI	Detailed Enquiry Reports	6-12
VII	Registration of criminal cases and sanction of prosecution.	12-14
VIII	Traps and Arrests	14
IX	Attempts to bribe public servants	15
X	Petitions received and dealt with by the Vigilance Commission.	15-16
XI	Complaints of corruption and other malpractices received and dealt with by Heads of Departments and Departments of Secretariat.	16-17
XII	Cases of enquiry conducted by Heads of Departments, Collectors, etc., against Gazetted Officers.	17
XIII	Conclusion	18
APPENDICES —		
I	Statement showing the sanctioned strength of the Establishment of the Tamil Nadu Vigilance Com- mission and the staff in position as on 31st March 1972.	21
II	Statement showing details of the Reports of Prelimi- nary Enquiry received by the Vigilance Commission.	22-24
III	Statement showing details of the Reports of Detailed Enquiries received by the Vigilance Commission.	26-31
IV	Statement showing cases of trap and arrests of Public Servants.	32
V	Statement showing the receipt and disposal of petitions by the Vigilance Commission.	33-35

I. INTRODUCTION.

According to paragraph 6 of G.O. Ms. No. 2133, Public (Services-B), dated 8th November 1965 constituting the State Vigilance Commission, the Vigilance Commission will have to submit an annual report to the State Government about its activities, drawing attention to the various recommendations made by it in the discharge of its duties. This report may be reviewed by the Government and placed before the Legislature.

2. The present Sixth Annual Report of the Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission covers the period from 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972.

II. FUNCTIONS, POWERS AND JURISDICTION OF THE VIGILANCE COMMISSION.

The State Vigilance Commission has jurisdiction and powers in respect of matters to which the executive power of the State extends :—

(i) to undertake an enquiry into any transaction in which a public servant is suspected or alleged to have acted for improper purpose or in a corrupt manner ;

(ii) to cause an enquiry or investigation to be made on any complaint that a public servant has exercised or refrained from exercising his powers for improper or corrupt purposes ;

(iii) to collect such information or statistics as may be necessary ;

(iv) to call for any information from any department or undertaking of Government or from any public servant on matters within its jurisdiction including information on the action taken on the recommendations ;

(v) to recommend sanction of prosecution of Public Servants ; and

(vi) to permit the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption to register criminal cases against Public Servants.

2. The jurisdiction of the State Vigilance Commission extends to all employees of the State Government except the Public Servants under the administrative control of the High Court. The allegations of corruption against the officers and staff of the Judicial Department are enquired into by a Vigilance Cell headed by an officer of the rank of District and Sessions Judge set up in the High Court.

3. There has been no change in the functions and powers of the Vigilance Commission as set out in G.O. Ms. No. 2133, Public (Services-B), dated 8th November 1965. In accordance with the suggestion of the Vigilance Commission, the employees of the Tamil Nadu Small Industries Development Corporation Limited have been brought within the jurisdiction of the Commission during the year 1971-72.

III. ORGANISATION.

(i) *State Vigilance Commission.*—There was no full time Vigilance Commissioner during the period under report. The Chief Secretary to Government had been placed in full additional charge of the post of Vigilance Commissioner. Thiru E. P. Royappa, I.A.S. and Thiru P. Sabanayagam, I.A.S. as Chief Secretary to Government were holding full additional charge of the post of Vigilance Commissioner from 1st April 1971 to 12th April 1971 and from 13th April 1971 to 31st March 1972 respectively.

During the year under the report, Commission had the staff as detailed in Appendix I.

The Office of the Vigilance Commission continued to be located in the Secretariat Buildings, Fort St. George, Madras-9. The Commission obtained the views of the specialist departments of the Secretariat like Public (Services), Law and Finance wherever necessary.

(ii) *Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.*—The Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption with a senior Police Officer in the rank of Inspector-General of Police as its head, continued to be the major agency advising and assisting the Vigilance Commission in the due discharge of its responsibilities. The Directorate consists of three Superintendents of Police, fifteen Deputy Superintendents of Police with the necessary complement of Inspectors, Head Constables and Constables besides the ministerial staff. The Director authorises on his own initiative, detailed enquiries into allegations against non-gazetted officers and with the concurrence of the Vigilance Commissioner in the case of Gazetted Officers or on the orders of the Government. Such of the complaints and petitions received by the Vigilance Commissioner as would call for a further probe by the Directorate are forwarded to it for enquiry and report, after scrutiny by him.

(iii) *Tribunals for Disciplinary Proceedings.*—If as a result of a detailed enquiry by the appropriate department, it is found that there is a prima facie case of corruption or other misconduct, the accused officer is placed on his defence before the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings. There are three Tribunals at present one each at Madras, Madurai and Tiruchirappalli. Each Tribunal is a quasi-judicial body presided over by a judicial officer of the rank of a District and Sessions Judge. All cases relating to Gazetted Officers in respect of matters involving corruption on the part of such officers in the discharge of their duties and any other case or class of cases which the Government consider should be dealt with by the Tribunal, are referred to the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings for enquiry. These Tribunals follow the procedure prescribed in the Tamil Nadu Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Rules, 1955. To reduce the pendency with Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings at Madras, Government issued orders entrusting the cases relating to the Nilgiris and Coimbatore districts to the Labour Court at Coimbatore. Owing to certain administrative and practical difficulties pointed out by the High Court and the D.V. and A.C., the Government cancelled their earlier orders and directed the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Madras to take up all cases of Coimbatore and Nilgiris districts also.

IV. BUDGET.

In the Budget Estimate for 1971-72, a provision of Rs. 87,000 was made by the Government for the Commission's expenses. In the Revised Estimate, it was fixed as Rs. 81,700; but the actual expenditure was Rs. 66,888-89 only.

V. PRELIMINARY ENQUIRY REPORTS.

The Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, on his own initiative, can conduct preliminary enquiries into the allegations of corruption against both Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Officers, except the Heads of Departments, Members of All-India Services and the District Collectors. In respect of these officers, the Director can start a preliminary enquiry only on the specific orders of the Government, in consultation with the Vigilance Commissioner. The reports of preliminary enquiry are sent to the Vigilance Commissioner by the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption. The Vigilance Commissioner after scrutiny forwards them to Government, with his recommendations as to the further courses of action to be taken on the reports.

2. During the year under report, 32 reports of preliminary enquiry involving 49 officers, and one non-official were received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption. Of the 49 officers, 33 were Gazetted and 16 Non-Gazetted. Preliminary enquiries against 13 of them were ordered by the Government; 5 by the Vigilance Commissioner and the remaining 32 by the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption on his own initiative.

3. Of these officers, the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption had made out prima facie case against 12 Gazetted Officers and 3 Non-Gazetted Officers and sought the concurrence of the Vigilance Commissioner for taking up detailed enquiries against them. The Vigilance Commissioner accorded concurrence in respect of 14 of them. In the remaining case of a Civil Surgeon, concurrence for conducting a detailed enquiry was withheld by the Vigilance Commissioner, as the preliminary enquiry report did not reveal any corrupt motive of the doctor.

4. Four cases involving 6 Gazetted Officers and 3 Non-Gazetted Officers were pending consideration of the Vigilance Commissioner by the end of March 1972. In the remaining cases of 15 Gazetted Officers and 10 Non-Gazetted Officers the following courses of action were recommended by the Vigilance Commissioner :—

Gazetted Officers.—In the cases of 12 Gazetted Officers, dropping of further action was recommended, as the preliminary enquiries did not disclose dependable evidence against them.

In the case of alleged corruption against a Chief Educational Officer, departmental action was suggested.

In the case of alleged irregularities by an Assistant Director of Survey and Land Records, departmental action was recommended.

In the case of alleged departmental irregularities by a Divisional Panchayat Officer, departmental action was suggested.

Non-gazetted Officers.—In the cases of two Non-Gazetted Officers, detailed enquiries were authorised by the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption himself. In the cases of seven Non-Gazetted Officers, dropping of further action was recommended by the Vigilance Commissioner, since the allegations against them were found to be either false or were not supported by adequate evidence.

In the case of violation of Police Officers' Conduct Rules, 1938 in acquiring immovable properties by a Police Constable, disciplinary action was suggested.

5. In the case of alleged corruption against a Professor of Commerce in a Private College, the Vigilance Commissioner has forwarded the preliminary enquiry report to the Secretary to Government, Education department for such action as he deemed fit.

6. In the case of a Junior Engineer in the Public Works department, the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption made out a prima facie case for conducting a detailed enquiry against him and suggested that the officer might be transferred to a distant place to facilitate a free and fair enquiry. The Vigilance Commissioner endorsed the suggestion of the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption to Government. The Government have accepted the recommendation of the Vigilance Commissioner and issued orders accordingly.

In the case of a Joint Commercial Tax Officer, the Vigilance Commissioner suggested his transfer out of Coimbatore district and close watch on the work of the officer. The Government have accepted his recommendations and issued orders accordingly.

The Vigilance Commissioner suggested that a Health Inspector of the Public Health department might be transferred elsewhere, as his reputation was not good. Accepting the recommendation of the Vigilance Commissioner, the Government have issued orders for his transfer.

7. A statement, indicating the departmentwise break-up of the reports of preliminary enquiry received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the year under report and their disposals, is given in Appendix II.

8. One case which was pending at the end of March 1969 continued to be pending, as another similar case against the same officer is pending trial in the Court of Judiciary. A decision in this case will be taken as soon as the court's verdict is received. At the end of March 1971, five reports involving seven Gazetted Officers and eight Non-Gazetted Officers were pending consideration by the Vigilance Commissioner. During the year under report, all the five reports were disposed of by the Vigilance Commissioner. In four of these reports, involving six Gazetted Officers and eight Non-Gazetted Officers, the Vigilance Commissioner had given his concurrence for conducting detailed enquiries

against them. In the case of a Medical Officer of a Primary Health Centre, dropping of further action was recommended by the Vigilance Commissioner.

9. During the year under report, the Vigilance Commissioner tendered advice on 33 preliminary enquiry reports. Of these, five reports related to 1970-71 and 28 reports related to 1971-72. In these reports, 34 Gazetted Officers, 21 Non-Gazetted Officers and one Non-Official were involved. The posts held by the officials are as follows :—

Serial number and department. (1)	Number of Officers involved.		Others. (4)
	Gazetted. (2)	Non-Gazetted. (3)	
1 Agriculture ..	1 District Agricultural Officer. 1 Plant Protection Officer.		
2 Commercial Taxes.	1 Commercial Tax Officer. 1 Joint Commercial Tax Officer.	1 Deputy Commercial Tax Officer. 2 Assistant Commercial Tax Officers.	
3 Education ..	1 Chief Educational Officer.		1 Professor of a Private College.
4 Fire Service ..	1 Divisional Fire Officer. 1 Assistant Divisional Fire Officer.		
5 Highways and Rural Works.	1 Junior Engineer.	1 Head Clerk. 1 Road Inspector.	
6 Industries and Commerce.	1 Assistant Director of Power Looms.		
7 Local Administration.	1 Divisional Panchayat Officer.		
8 Medical	2 Medical Officers of Government Hospitals. 1 Medical Officer, E.S.I. Hospital. 1 Civil Surgeon. 1 Professor of Paediatrics.		

Serial number and department.	Number of Officers involved.		Others
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
9 Police		1 Police Constable.	..
10 Public Health ..	1 State Malaria Officer.	1 Health Inspector.	..
	1 Medical Officer of a Primary Health Centre.	3 Sanitary Inspectors.	
11 Public Works ..	1 Deputy Chief Engineer.	1 Supervisor.	
	2 Assistant Engineers.		
	2 Junior Engineers.		
12 Revenue	1 District Supply Officer.		
13 Rural Development.	1 Deputy Director of Agriculture.	1 Extension Officer (Agriculture).	
	1 District Agricultural Officer.		
14 Secretariat ..		1 Peon to an Ex-Minister.	
15 Survey and Land Records.	1 Assistant Director.		
16 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.	1 Superintending Engineer.	2 Supervisors.	
	4 Assistant Engineers.		
	2 Deputy Chief Accountants.		
17 Tamil Nadu Housing Board.	1 Assistant Engineer.	6 Supervisors.	

VI. DETAILED ENQUIRY REPORTS.

(a) The Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption is competent to order detailed enquiries into allegations against Non-Gazetted Officers. As for Gazetted Officers, the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption can conduct detailed enquiries against them only with the concurrence of the Vigilance Commissioner or on the orders of the Government.

2. The reports of detailed enquiries so conducted by the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption against Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Officers are sent to the Vigilance Commissioner. On consideration of these reports and after gathering such further information as he deems

fit, the Vigilance Commissioner records his findings and forwards the reports to Government with his advice as to whether there is a prima facie case for placing the delinquent officer concerned on his defence before the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings or whether the case should be dealt with departmentally or whether further action should be dropped.

3. During the year under report, 94 reports of detailed enquiries involving 55 Gazetted Officers, 133 Non-Gazetted Officers and two Non-Officials were received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption. The Vigilance Commissioner tendered his advice in respect of 88 reports involving 54 Gazetted Officers, 122 Non-Gazetted officers and two Non-Officials. The Commissioner recommended enquiries by the Tribunals for Disciplinary Proceedings in the case of six Gazetted Officers and four Non-Gazetted Officers. He recommended departmental action against 28 Gazetted Officers, 88 Non-Gazetted Officers and two Non-Officials who were involved along with the officers of the Education department. Further action was recommended to be dropped on 20 Gazetted Officers and 30 Non-Gazetted Officers. Six reports involving one Gazetted Officer and 11 Non-Gazetted Officers were pending consideration by the Vigilance Commissioner by the close of March 1972. A statement indicating the departmentwise break-up of the reports of detailed enquiry received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the year under report and their disposal is given in Appendix III.

4. During the year under report, the Vigilance Commissioner recommended suspension of two officers (One Revenue Divisional Officer and one Panchayat Union Commissioner) as they were due to retire from service even before the enquiries against them could be completed. It was also recommended by the Vigilance Commissioner that a Divisional Health Inspector in Coimbatore District might be transferred to a far off place, as he was indulging in corrupt activities in that Station.

5. In addition to the 94 reports of detailed enquiry, the Directorate of vigilance and Anti-Corruption has sent three reports containing allegations of issue of special certificates for passports to ineligible persons against an I.A.S. Officer, one Joint Secretary to Government (non-I.A.S.) and one Deputy Secretary to Government (non-I.A.S.). In the case of the Deputy Secretary to Government (non-I.A.S.) the allegations were not substantiated and so further action in the case was dropped. As there was a prima facie case against the other two officers, the Vigilance Commissioner recommended that their cases might be entrusted to the Central Bureau of Investigation for further probe. The Government have accepted the recommendation of the Vigilance Commissioner and issued orders accordingly.

(b) During last year (1970-71) 102 reports of detailed enquiry were received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption. Of these 56 reports were forwarded to the Government with the advice of the Vigilance Commissioner during that year itself. The remaining 46 reports involving 17 Gazetted Officers, 68 Non-Gazetted Officers and 1 Non-Official were pending consideration with the Vigilance Commissioner by the end of March 1971. During the period under report, all these

46 reports were forwarded to Government with the recommendation of the Vigilance Commissioner. The break up of the recommendation was to place 4 Gazetted Officers and 19 Non-Gazetted Officers on their defence before the Tribunals for Disciplinary Proceedings, to initiate departmental action against 9 Gazetted Officers and 27 Non-Gazetted Officers and to drop further action in respect of 4 Gazetted Officers, 22 Non-Gazetted Officers and 1 Non-Official who was involved along with the Officers of the Tamil Nadu Khadi and Village Industries Board. Of the 56 reports sent to Government during 1970-71 itself, the Government have accepted the advice of the Vigilance Commissioner and issued orders in respect of 37 reports before 31st March 1971 and the balance of 19 reports were pending consideration with Government on 1st April 1971. During 1971-1972, the Government have accepted the recommendations of the Vigilance Commissioner in respect of 18 of these reports and issued orders accordingly. The remaining one report was pending consideration with Government by the end of March 1972.

2. In the Fifth Annual Report, it was reported that 4 reports relating to earlier years were pending consideration with Government. During the period under report, the Government have accepted the advice of the Vigilance Commissioner on those reports and issued final orders.

(c) During the period under report, the Government have considered and accepted the advice of the Vigilance Commissioner on 120 reports. The details of the reports are given below :—

Number of reports relating to 1968-69	..	..	1
Number of reports relating to 1969-70	..	..	3
Number of reports relating to 1970-71	..	..	59
Number of reports relating to 1971-72	..	..	57
Total	..	..	120

As on 1st April 1972, 37 reports were pending consideration of the Government. The details of the reports are given below :—

Number of reports relating to 1970-71	..	..	6
Number of reports relating to 1971-72	..	..	31
Total	..	..	37

(d) During 1971-72, the Vigilance Commissioner tendered advice on 134 reports of detailed enquiry and forwarded them to Government for appropriate action. Of these, 46 reports related to 1970-71 and

88 related to 1971-72. In these reports, 71 Gazetted Officers, 190 Non-Gazetted Officers and 3 Non-Officials were involved. The posts held by these officers are as follows :—

Serial number and department.	Number of Officers involved.		Others.
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 Agriculture ..	1 Coconut Development Assistant.	2 Junior As. 2 Agricultural Assistants, State Seed Farm.	..
2 Animal Husbandry.	1 Director of Animal Husbandry. 2 Assistant Directors of Animal Husbandry. 2 Veterinary Assistant Surgeons. 1 Superintendent, Live Stock Farm. 1 Senior Accounts Officer.	1 Livestock Assistant.	..
3 Civil Supplies ..		1 Special Tahsildar. 1 Personal Assistant to District Supply Officer. 1 Special Deputy Tahsildar. 1 Junior Accounts Officer. 1 Special Revenue Inspector. 1 Junior Assistant 1 Peon.	..
4 Commercial Taxes.	2 Commercial Tax Officers. 1 Joint Commercial Tax Officer. 1 District Supply Officer.	3 Deputy Commercial Tax Officers. 2 Assistant Commercial Tax Officers. 1 Check-Post Officer. 1 Assistant.	..
5 Co-operation ..	2 Deputy Registrars ..	2 Co-operative Sub-Registrars. 2 Extension Officers (Co-operation).	..
6 Education ..	1 Deputy Director .. 1 Technical Officer (Assistant Engineer). 1 Chief Educational Officer 1 Personal Assistant to Chief Educational Officer	1 Superintendent. 1 Assistant. 3 Deputy Inspectors (Accounts). 1 Deputy Inspector. 5 Headmasters. 1 Junior Deputy Inspector. 1 Artist. 1 Film Librarian.	2 Private Individuals.

Serial number and department. (1)	Number of Officers involved.		Others. (4)
	Gazetted. (2)	Non-Gazetted. (3)	
7 Employment & Training.	1 Principal Industrial Training Institute.		..
8 Excise and Prohibition.		1 Assistant.	..
9 Forest ..		1 Forest Ranger. 5 Foresters. 4 Forest Guards. 1 Forest Watcher.	..
10 Fire Service ..	1 Divisional Fire Officer.	1 Assistant Director.	..
11 Fisheries ..	1 Works Manager ..		..
12 Harijan Welfare	1 District Welfare Officer.		..
13 Health Services and Family Planning.	3 Medical Officers. 3 Assistant Surgeons. 1 Accounts Officer. 1 Nursing Superintendent.		..
14 Highways and Rural Works.	1 Superintending Engineer. 1 Divisional Engineer. 1 Junior Engineer.		..
15 Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration).		1 Executive officer.	..
16 Industries ..	1 Deputy Director. 1 Executive Engineer (Construction Branch).		..
17 Labour ..	1 Inspector of Labour ..		..
18 Local Administration.	3 Municipal Commissioners. 1 Municipal Engineer	1 Municipal Commissioner. 1 Municipal Engineer. 2 Special Officers. 1 Town Planning Officer. 3 Executive Officers. 2 Sanitary Inspectors. 2 Managers. 1 Supervisor 1 Assistant.	..
19 Police ..	3 Deputy Superintendents of Police.	5 Inspectors. 14 Sub-Inspectors. 4 Head Constables. 10 Police Constables. 6 Armed Reserve Police Constables. 1 Reserve Assistant Sub-Inspector.	..

Serial number and department. (1)	Number of officers involved.		Others. (4)
	Gazetted. (2)	Non-Gazetted. (3)	
20 Public Health	2 Medical Officers of Primary Health Centres.	1 Health Inspector. 2 Clerks. 2 Peons. 1 Sweeper. 1 Grama sevika.	..
21 Public Works ..	1 Executive Engineer .. 3 Assistant Engineers ..	2 Junior Engineers. 2 Section Officers. 1 Overseer.	..
22 Registration ..		2 Sub-Registrars. 2 Junior Assistants. 1 Peon.	..
23 Revenue ..	4 Personal Assistants to Collector. 4 Additional Personal Assistants to Collector. 2 Revenue Divisional Officers. 1 Special Deputy Collector. 1 District Supply Officer.	1 Tahsildar. 1 Additional Huzur Head Clerk. 1 Assistant Public Prosecutor, Grade II. 2 Deputy Tahsildars. 3 Revenue Inspectors. 2 Junior Assistants. 1 Grama Sevak. 1 Karnam.	..
24 Rural Development.		15 Panchayat Union Commissioners. 4 Union Engineers. 2 Overseers. 1 Extension Officer (Agriculture). 1 Extension Officer (Panchayat). 1 Executive Officer (Town Panchayat). 1 Extension Officer (Education). 1 Assistant. 1 Head Clerk. 1 Clerk. 1 Grama Sevak.	..
25 Secretariat ..	1 Personal Assistant to Minister. 1 Deputy Secretary to Government (non-I.A.S.)	1 Supervisor (Secretariat Buildings).	..
26 Survey and Land Records.		1 Inspector of Survey and Land Records. 1 District Surveyor. 3 Field Surveyors. 1 Peon.	..

Serial number and department.	Number of Officers involved.		Others.
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
27 Transport ..	1 Secretary, State Transport Appellate Tribunal.	1 Superintendent. 2 Motor Vehicle Inspectors.	..
28 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.	3 Assistant Engineers. 1 Personal Assistant to Superintending Engineer. 1 Store Superintendent.	10 Supervisors.	..
29 Tamil Nadu Khadi and Village Industries Board.	1 Regional Deputy Director. 1 Secretary, Tamil Nadu Palangur Co-operative Federation.	1 Superintendent. 1 Chugeman (Grade I). 1 Tanning Instructor. 1 Assistant Manager. 1 Technical Superintendent.	1 President of Tamil Nadu Palangur Co-operative Federation.
30 Tamil Nadu Ware Housing Corporation.		1 Superintendent. 1 Deputy Tahsildar.	..

VII. REGISTRATION OF CRIMINAL CASES AND SANCTION OF PROSECUTION.

(i) *Registration of Criminal Cases.*—Accepting the advice of the Vigilance Commissioner, the Government issued instructions in October 1969 to the effect that the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption should obtain the orders of the Government before registering a criminal case against the Head of a Department or a member of the All-India Services. In respect of other Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Officers, he should obtain the orders of the Vigilance Commissioner. In consonance with the above instructions the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption sought the orders of the Vigilance Commissioner for registering criminal cases for offences under the Indian Penal Code/Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947.

2. During the year 1971-72, 5 such reports involving 5 Gazetted Officers and 16 non-officials were received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption. Of these 5 reports, 4 related to criminal conspiracy entered into by Medical Officers of Primary Health Centres with the canvassing agents and Field Workers, falsification of records by getting spurious thumb-impressions on declaration forms, payment vouchers, etc., with their connivance and misappropriation of Government funds allotted for Family Planning Scheme. The other case related to corrupt activities of a Chief Educational Officer in the matter of appointments, transfers and postings of teachers. The Vigilance Commissioner granted permission to register criminal cases against the officers and non-officials involved in all these 5 reports.

3. At the end of March 1971, two reports involving 2 Gazetted Officers, 2 Non-Gazetted Officers and 8 non-officials of the Health Services and Family Planning Department were pending consideration. During the year under report, the Vigilance Commissioner disposed of the cases granting permission to register criminal cases against them.

4. The details of the posts held by the officers involved in the reports for registration of criminal cases disposed of by Vigilance Commissioner during 1971-72 are given below :—

Serial number and department.	Number of officers involved.		
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Others.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 Health Services and Family Planning.	6	2	24
2 Education	1	..	..

(ii) *Sanction of Prosecution.*—During the year under report, 8 investigation reports involving one I.A.S. Officer, 6 Gazetted Officers, 22 Non-Gazetted Officers and 14 private individuals were received from the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, seeking sanction of prosecution. One of these reports related to sanction of prosecution in a trap case. One report related to the criminal conspiracy entered into by the officers of the Madurai Milk Project, Madurai. Two cases related to abuse of official position for monetary considerations in the sanction of loans to the ryots and ex-servicemen. One case related to criminal conspiracy entered into by a District Agricultural Officer in connivance with his subordinates and others in the purchase and distribution of tapioca cuttings. One report related to the misappropriation of Government funds sanctioned under the Drought Relief Scheme. In all these six cases, the Vigilance Commissioner recommended sanction of prosecution. In the case of an I.A.S. Officer, involved in the Madurai Milk Project Criminal Conspiracy case, the investigation report of the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption was forwarded to Government for suitable action. The other report involving one Non-Gazetted Officer that arose out of a trap was pending consideration by the close of March 1972.

2. The Vigilance Commissioner during the year under report recommended sanction of prosecution in respect of the two reports which were pending consideration by the end of March 1971.

3. The details of the posts held by the officers involved in the final investigation reports for sanction of prosecution disposed of by the Vigilance Commissioner during 1971-72 are given below :—

Serial number and department.	Number of officers involved.		
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Others.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 Agriculture	1	10	7
2 Dairy and Milk Project	5 (including one I.A.S. Officer).	6	..
3 Labour and Employment	1	..	..
4 Local Administration	..	5	7
5 Public Works	..	1	..
6 Revenue and Highways and Rural Works.	3	3	26
7 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board	..	1	..

VIII. TRAPS AND ARRESTS.

On a specific complaint by an aggrieved person to an officer of the Vigilance Directorate, of a demand of illegal gratification by a public servant, a case is registered by him. After satisfying himself about the genuineness of the complaint and after verifying the antecedents of the accused officer complained, against, the Directorate takes up investigation of the case and arranges a trap wherever possible. Where the trap is successful, the public servant concerned is usually arrested for offences under the relevant provisions of the Indian Penal Code and the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 (Central Act II of 1947). Intimation of such arrests is immediately sent to the concerned administrative head of the department with a request for placing the officer concerned under suspension. Further investigation follows thereafter and wherever there is adequate evidence for launching a criminal prosecution, such action is recommended by the Director. In other cases, where criminal prosecution may not stand or be warranted, appropriate departmental action is recommended.

2. During the year under report, 5 cases of trap and arrest were registered by the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption involving six Non-Gazetted Officers. The details of the cases are given in Appendix IV.

IX. ATTEMPTS TO BRIBE PUBLIC SERVANTS.

During the year 1971-72, no case of attempt to bribe public servants was reported to the Vigilance Commission by the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.

X. PETITIONS RECEIVED AND DEALT WITH BY THE VIGILANCE COMMISSION.

In some States, both representations for redressal of grievances by the Public and the Government Servants and petitions relating to corruption and other malpractices against Government Servants and other Public Servants are dealt with by the State Vigilance Commissions. In our State, representations for redressal of grievances by the Public and the Government Servants are dealt with by the Public (Complaints Cell) Department. The Vigilance Commission is dealing with petitions relating to corruption and other malpractices against Government Servants and other Public Servants.

2. During the period under report, seventy-six petitions were received by the Vigilance Commission. The broad classification of the petitions is as follows :—

(1) Number of petitions relating to corruption among State Government Servants (including employees of Statutory and Local Bodies).	35
(2) Number of petitions relating to matters other than corruption.	8
(3) Number of petitions relating to Central Government employees and other autonomous bodies.	1
(4) Number of miscellaneous petitions	32
Total ..	76

3. The petitions can be divided as under :—

(1) Number of anonymous petitions	15
(2) Number of pseudonymous petitions	7
(3) Number of signed petitions	54
Total ..	76

4. Anonymous and pseudonymous petitions received during the period under report accounted for 20 per cent and 9 per cent respectively. Though the anonymous and pseudonymous petitions are generally ignored, action is taken in cases where the allegations are specific and verifiable in nature.

5. Nature of disposal of the petitions is given below :—

(1) Number of petitions referred to the Heads of Departments for enquiry and report	1
(2) Number of petitions forwarded to the Departments of Secretariat for disposal	35
(3) Number of petitions forwarded to the Heads of Departments for disposal	7
(4) Number of petitions forwarded to the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption for disposal ..	24
(5) Number of petitions forwarded to other appropriated authorities for disposal	3
(6) Number of petitions lodged	6
Total ..	76

6. One petition which contained allegations of corruption and misconduct against an Assistant in the Directorate of Industries and Commerce, was referred to the Director of Industries and Commerce for his remarks. He had reported that the allegations were found to be baseless and recommended that further action might be dropped. His suggestion was agreed to and further action was dropped in the matter.

7. Nineteen Gazetted officers and 36 Non-Gazetted Officers were complained against in the petitions received during the year. In two petitions relating to the Civil Supplies and Forest Departments, number of officers complained against was not mentioned. The department-wise particulars of the petitions received and disposed of are given in Appendix V.

XI. COMPLAINTS OF CORRUPTION AND MALPRACTICES RECEIVED AND DEALT WITH BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS AND DEPARTMENTS OF SECRETARIAT.

With a view to assess the incidence of corruption and other malpractices in the various departments of Government, the Vigilance Commission prescribed in December 1965 monthly returns for the Heads of Departments and Departments of Secretariat to be sent to the Commission on the fifth of every succeeding month. The returns are reviewed every month by the Vigilance Commission and cases of delay of over one year are pointed out to the concerned Heads of Departments and Departments of Secretariat for expeditious disposal. From the review of the returns, it has been noticed that corruption is more prevalent in the Forest, Public Works, Highways and Rural Works, Health and Family Planning, Revenue, Commercial Taxes and Registration Departments.

2. During the period under report 1,169 complaints relating to corruption and other malpractices mainly against Government Servants were received by the Heads of Departments and Departments of Secretariat. The number of complaints against Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Officers and Non-Officials was 391, 776 and 2 respectively and the number of officers and Non-Officials involved was 412 (Gazetted) 982 (Non-Gazetted) and 2 (Non-Officials) respectively.

XII. CASES OF ENQUIRY AGAINST GAZETTED OFFICERS CONDUCTED BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS AND DISTRICT COLLECTORS.

To avoid the possible criticism that the cases of corruption against Gazetted Officers were hushed up, the Vigilance Commissioner suggested that the Departments of Secretariat should consult the Vigilance Commission when they propose to drop further action in the case of Gazetted Officers against whom preliminary enquiries were conducted by a Head of Department or by a District Collector. The Government accepted the suggestion of the Vigilance Commissioner and issued orders accordingly in October 1969.

2. During the year under report, six such cases were referred to the Vigilance Commission by the Departments of Secretariat for advice. In four cases, the Vigilance Commissioner agreed to the proposal of the Departments of Secretariat concerned to drop further action. In one case, the Vigilance Commissioner agreed to the proposal of the Agriculture Department to drop further action against an Assistant Agricultural Engineer and to transfer him elsewhere. In another case of a District Forest Officer, the proposal of the Agriculture Department to leave the matter to the Chief Conservator of Forests for taking such action as he deemed fit was agreed to by the Vigilance Commissioner.

3. The details of the posts held by the Gazetted Officers involved in these cases are given below :—

<i>Serial number and department.</i>	<i>Number of officers involved and their designations.</i>
(1)	(2)
(1) Agriculture	One Assistant Agricultural Engineer.
(2) Animal Husbandry	One Superintendent, District Cattle Farm.
(3) Co-operation	One Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies.
(4) Education	One Chief Educational Officer.
(5) Forest	Two District Forest Officers.

XIII.. CONCLUSION.

The Commission is pleased to record that its recommendations on cases of corruption were generally accepted by the Government. There was no major difference of opinion between the Government and the Commission.

2. The Commission is glad to record its grateful appreciation for the co-operation extended to it by the Secretaries to Government, Heads of Departments, the District Collectors and other Officers. The Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption and his officers are also to be thanked for their co-operation in the conduct of cases entrusted to them for enquiry.

3. The Vigilance Commissioner records his appreciation of the faithful work of the Secretary, Assistant Secretary and other members of the staff of the Vigilance Commission and for their unstinted and wholehearted co-operation in the due performance of the onerous duties of the Commission. Considering the highly confidential character of the work of the Commission, involving the misconduct of many a high-placed and influential officers it is indeed gratifying that the Commission was not handicapped in any of its enquiries, by loss of secrecy.

P. SABANAYAGAM,
Vigilance Commissioner In-charge.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I.

Statement showing the sanctioned strength of the Establishment of the Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission and the staff in position as on 31st March 1972.

[See paragraph (i) under Chapter III.]

<i>Serial number and name of the post.</i>	<i>Sanctioned strength.</i>	<i>Staff in position as on 31st March 1972.</i>
(1)	(2)	(3)
1. State Vigilance Commissioner	1	* (Kept vacant.)
2 Secretary (in the grade of Assistant Secretary to Government).	1	1
3. Assistant Secretary (in the grade of Superintendent in the Secretariat).	1	1
4. Assistants	2	2
5. Junior Assistant	1	1
6. Steno-Typist	2	1 (One post kept vacant.)
7. Typist	1	1
8. Record Clerk	1	1
9. Last Grade Government Servants	7	3 (Four posts kept vacant.)

* Chief Secretary to Government is holding full additional charge of the post of the Vigilance Commissioner.

APPENDIX II.

Statement showing details of the reports of preliminary enquiries received by the Vigilance Commission from the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the period from the 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972.

(Vide paragraph 7 in Chapter V.)

22

Serial number and department.	Result of the preliminary enquiry and the recommendation of the Director.																	Remarks.
	Number Authority which initiated action for the preliminary enquiry.			Decision/Recommendation of the Vigilance Commissioner.														
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Others.	Government.	Vigilance Commissioner.	Director.	Head of Department.	Recommended for detailed enquiry.	Detailed enquiry authorised by Director.	Recommended for departmental action.	No further action to be taken.	Concurrence given for detailed enquiry.	Concurrence withheld for detailed enquiry.	Recommended for appropriate departmental action.	Further action dropped.	Transfer recommended.	Pending as on 31st March 1972.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
1 Agriculture ..	2	..	..	..	..	2	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	*The Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption has suggested thorough inspection of the College by the Director of Collegiate Education.
2 Commercial Taxes	2	3	..	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	5	..	..	..	5	1	..	
3 Education ..	2	..	1	..	..	3	..	..	..	2	1*	..	..	2	..	..	1	
4 Fire Service ..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	*The Vigilance Commissioner has endorsed the suggestion of the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption that the departmental irregularities against the Accused Officer may be dealt with departmentally.
5 Highways and Rural Works.	1	2	..	..	..	3	..	3	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	..	..	
6 Industries and Commerce.	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	
7 Local Administration.	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	1*	..	..	

†Vigilance Commissioner recommended that certain lapses on the part of the Accused Officer might be dealt with departmentally.

APPENDIX II—cont.

Statement showing details of the reports of preliminary enquiries received by the Vigilance Commission from the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the period from the 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972—cont.

(Vide paragraph 7 in Chapter V.)

Serial number and department.	Number of Authority which Officers initiated action Involved. for the preliminary enquiry.				Result of the preliminary enquiry and the recommendation of the Director.								Decision/Recommendation of the Vigilance Commissioner.					Remarks.	
	(1)	(2) Ga ² etted.	(3) Non-Gazetted.	(4) Others.	(5) Government.	(6) Vigilance Commissioner.	(7) Director.	(8) Head of Department.	(9) Recommended for detailed enquiry.	(10) Detailed enquiry authorised by Director.	(11) Recommended for departmental action.	(12) No further action to be taken.	(13) Concurrence given for detailed enquiry.	(14) Concurrence withheld for detailed enquiry.	(15) Recommended for approved departmental action.	(16) Further Action dropped.	(17) Transfer recommended.		(18) Pending as on 31st March 1972.
9 Police ..	2	3	..	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	1	4	..	..	1	..	..	4	(19)
10 Public Health ..	2	5	..	..	5	..	2	..	..	..	..	7	..	..	..	5	1	2	
11 Public Works ..	5	1	..	..	..	..	6	..	3	1	..	2	3	..	..	2	1	..	
12 Revenue ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	
13 Rural Development	2	1	..	..	..	..	3	..	2	1	..	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	

14 Secretariat and I.A.S.	2	1	..	..	3	..	..	..	1	..	..	2	1*	..	..	..	..	2	*Vigilance Commissioner has endorsed the views of the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption that Government may decide whether a detailed enquiry is necessary or not.
-----------------------------------	---	---	----	----	---	----	----	----	---	----	----	---	----	----	----	----	----	---	---

15 Survey and Land Records,	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	
16 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.	4	..	..	2	..	..	2	..	1	..	..	3	1	..	..	3	..	..	
Total	33	16	1	13	5	32	..	..	15	2	5	28	14	1	5	19	3	9	

26935

APPENDIX III.

Statement showing details of the reports of detailed enquiries received by the Vigilance Commission from the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the period from the 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972.

(Vide paragraph 3 in Chapter VI.)

Serial number and department.	Number of officers involved.			Authority which authorised the detailed enquiry.				Findings of the D.V. and A.C.		Advice of the Vigilance Commissioner.						Remarks.	
	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Other categories.	Government.	Vigilance Commissioner.	Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.	Others.	Allegations substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Recommended for enquiry by Tribunal for disciplinary proceedings.	Recommended for Departmental action.	Further action recommended to be dropped.	Suspension recommended.	Transfer recommended.			
(1) Agriculture	1	4	..	..	1	4	..	4	1	..	4	1	..	..	..	Pending as on 31st March 1972.	(17)
2 Animal Husbandry.	7	1	..	5	2	1	..	6	2	2	4	2	..	..	..	..	..
3 Civil Supplies	..	7	..	2	..	6	..	3	4	..	3	4	..	..	..	..	..
4 Commercial Taxes	3	2	..	5	..	..	..	..	5	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	..
5 Co-operation	2	4	..	3	..	3	..	5	1	1	3	1	..	..	..	1	..
6 Education	4	14	2	..	2	18	..	20	..	..	20*	..	..	..	..	..	*In one case involving ten accused, the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption recommended.

*In one case involving ten accused, the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption recommended

that a complaint might be lodged with the Police against them as charges are criminal breach of trust, an offence under section 405, I.P.C.

7 Exercise and Prohibition.	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
8 Forest	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
9 Fire Service	..	1	1	..	..	1	1	..	2	..	1	1	..	..	..	..	..
10 Fisheries	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..
11 Health Services and Family Planning.	5	..	..	3	2	..	..	..	3	2	..	3	2	..	..	..	..
12 Highways and Rural Works.	3	..	..	1	1	1	1	..	2	1	..	2*	1	..	..	..	..

*In one case, Vigilance Commissioner recommended that the irregular passing of bills by the Panchayat Union Commissioner might be brought to the notice of Director of Rural Development.

†Though Vigilance Commissioner recommended dropping of further action the Government decided to take departmental action against the Accused Officer in consultation with the Vigilance Commissioner.

13 Industries	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	1†	..	..	..	..	..
14 Labour	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..

APPENDIX III—*cont.*

Statement showing details of the reports of detailed enquiries received by the Vigilance Commission from the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the period from the 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972—cont.

Serial number and department.	Number of officers involved.	Authority which authorised the detailed enquiry.	Findings of the D.V. and A.C.	Advice of the Vigilance Commissioner.	Remarks.
15 Local Administration.	(1)	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Other categories.	Government.
	(2)	Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Other categories.	Government.
	(3)	Non-Gazetted.	Other categories.	Government.	Vigilance Commissioner.
	(4)	Other categories.	Government.	Vigilance Commissioner.	Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.
	(5)	Government.	Vigilance Commissioner.	Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.	Others.
	(6)	Vigilance Commissioner.	Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.	Others.	Allegations substantiated.
	(7)	Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.	Others.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.
	(8)	Others.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.
	(9)	Allegations substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.
	(10)	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.
	(11)	Recommended for enquiry by Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings.	Recommended for enquiry by Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings.	Recommended for enquiry by Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings.	Recommended for enquiry by Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings.
	(12)	Recommended for departmental action.	Recommended for departmental action.	Recommended for departmental action.	Recommended for departmental action.
	(13)	Further action recommended to be dropped.	Further action recommended to be dropped.	Further action recommended to be dropped.	Further action recommended to be dropped.
	(14)	Suspension recommended.	Suspension recommended.	Suspension recommended.	Suspension recommended.
	(15)	Transfer recommended.	Transfer recommended.	Transfer recommended.	Transfer recommended.
	(16)	Pending as on 31st March 1971.	Pending as on 31st March 1971.	Pending as on 31st March 1971.	Pending as on 31st March 1971.
	(17)	*In one case involving one Non-Gazetted Officer, Vigilance Commissioner also recommended that lack of proper check and supervision over the activities of the Accused Officer by the Divisional Panchayat Officer and the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned might be brought to the notice of the Director of Rural Development.	*In one case involving one Non-Gazetted Officer, Vigilance Commissioner also recommended that lack of proper check and supervision over the activities of the Accused Officer by the Divisional Panchayat Officer and the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned might be brought to the notice of the Director of Rural Development.	*In one case involving one Non-Gazetted Officer, Vigilance Commissioner also recommended that lack of proper check and supervision over the activities of the Accused Officer by the Divisional Panchayat Officer and the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned might be brought to the notice of the Director of Rural Development.	*In one case involving one Non-Gazetted Officer, Vigilance Commissioner also recommended that lack of proper check and supervision over the activities of the Accused Officer by the Divisional Panchayat Officer and the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned might be brought to the notice of the Director of Rural Development.

†In one case involving three Non-Gazetted Officers, Vigilance Commissioner has suggested that the conduct of one Accused Officer in receiving contribution for celebrating temple festival may be brought to his notice.

*In one case involving one Gazetted Officer and two Non-Gazetted Officers, though the allegations were not substantiated, Vigilance Commissioner recommended that appropriate action might be taken against the persons responsible for the unauthorised collection of funds for Police Sports.

†In one case involving one Non-Gazetted Officer, Vigilance Commissioner recommended to drop further action, as the Accused Officer retired from service already on 30th June 1971.

***Vigilance Commissioner recommended suspension of the Accused Officer, in view of his retirement on 15th February 1972.**

APPENDIX III—cont.

Statement showing details of the reports of detailed enquiries received by the Vigilance Commission from the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption during the period from 1st April, 1971 to 31st March 1972.
(Vide Paragraph 3 in Chapter VI.)

Serial number and Department.	Number of Officers involved.				Authority which authorised the detailed enquiry.				Findings of the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.				Advice of the Vigilance Commissioner.				Remarks.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	
		Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Other categories.	Government.	Vigilance Commissioner.	Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.	Others.	Allegations substantiated.	Allegations not substantiated.	Recommended for enquiry by the Tribunal for disciplinary proceedings.	Recommended for departmental action.	Further action recommended to be dropped.	Suspension recommended.	Transfer recommended.	Pending as on 31st March 1972.	
21 Rural Development.	15	..	..	..	2	..	13	..	14	1	1	13†	1	1*	..	..	†In one case involving one Panchayat Union Commissioner, the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption recommended departmental action based on the findings of the Deputy Director of Rural Development who had already made an enquiry.

*The Government in the Rural Development and Local Administration Department placed the Accused Officer under suspension as he was to retire from Service on 28th April 1971.

*Vigilance Commissioner has endorsed the suggestion of the Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption that the General principles governing invitation of tenders, etc., laid down in Tamil Nadu Financial Code Vol. I should be made applicable to all works undertaken on behalf of Tamil Nadu Khadi and Village Industries Board.

22 Secretariat	1	1	..	..	1	1	..	..	1	1	..	1	1	..	..	..	..
23 Survey and Land Records.	6	..	..	..	..	..	6	..	6	..	..	6	..	..	..	..	..
24 Transport	..	..	3	..	1	..	2	..	1	2	..	..	2	..	..	1	..
25 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.	4	7	..	..	2	2	7	..	9	2	..	9	2	..	..	..	..
26 Tamil Nadu Khadi and Village Industries Board.	1	2	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	3	..	..	3*	..	..	..	..
Total ..	55	133	2	41	25	124	..	135	65	10	118	50	2	1	12	..	..

APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing cases of trap and arrests of Public Servants reported to the Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission during the year 1971-72.

(Vide Chapter VIII.)

Serial number and department.	Number of cases.	Number of persons involved.			Remarks.
		Gazetted.	Non-Gazetted.	Others.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1 Commercial Taxes.	1	..	1	..	The case has been treated as undetected.
2 Local Administration.	1	..	2	..	Prosecution has been recommended by the Vigilance Commissioner.
3 Police	2	..	2	..	In one case prosecution has been recommended by the Vigilance Commissioner. The other case is under further investigation.
4 Revenue	1	..	1	..	Under examination by the Vigilance Commission.
Total	5	..	6	..	

APPENDIX V.

Statement showing the particulars regarding the receipt and disposal of petitions by the Vigilance Commission during the period from 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972.

Serial number and name of the Department.	Total number of petitions received.	Classification.					Nature of petitions.					Status of the officers.					Nature of disposal.					Remarks.
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
1 Civil Supplies	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
2 Education ..	..	2	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
3 Forest ..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
4 Health and Family Planning.	9	6	3	..	..	..	..	1	2	6	7	6	..	..	..	..	5	..	4	..	..	..
5 Highways and Rural Works.	2	2	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	1	3	..	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	..	..


APPENDIX V—cont.

Statement showing the particulars regarding the receipt and disposal of petitions by the Vigilance Commission during the period from 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972—cont.

Serial number and name of the Department.	Classification.										Nature of petitions.					Status of the reports on which petitions were called for from officers.					Number of petitions transferred for disposal to the					Remarks.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
	to corruption.					Number of petitions relating to matters other than corruption.					Number of petitions relating to Central Government employees and other autonomous bodies.					Number of anonymous petitions.			Number of pseudonymous petitions.		Number of signed petitions.			Number of gazetted officers referred to.			Number of non-gazetted officers referred to.			Departments of Secretariat.					Heads of Departments.			Directorate of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.			Others.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
6 Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration).	1	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

10 Police	3	3	3	..	..	1	..	2	1	6	..	..	..	1	2	..
11 Public Works Department.	2	2	2	..	..	1	1	..	2	..	..	..	1	..	1	..
12 Revenue	5	5	5	..	..	2	..	3	1	5	..	..	1	..	4	..
13 Rural Development.	5	5	5	..	..	..	1	4	..	5	..	..	1	..	4	..
14 Transport	1	1	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..
15 Vigilance and Anti-Corruption.	3	2	1	1	..	2	..	1	3	..	..	..	2	..	1	..
16 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board.	1	1	1	1	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..
17 Judicial	1	1	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1
18 Post and Telegraphs.	1	1	1	..	1	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1
19 Miscellaneous ..32	32	32	32	..	..	32	1	30	..	..	..	..	21	5	2	1
Total ..76	76	76	76	8	1	32	15	7	54	19	36	1	35	7	24	3

* Number of Offices not mentioned.



PUBLIC (S.C.) DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. C/307-2/73, 23rd October 1973

Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission—Sixth Annual Report for the period 1st April 1971 to 31st March 1972.

READ—the following paper :—

Letter from the Secretary, Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission, Madras, dated the 5th June 1973, No. 1194/VC/73-1.

Order—No. C/307-2/73, Public (S.C.), dated 23rd October 1973.

Recorded.

2. The Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission has done useful work during the year ending with 31st March 1972. The Government have been benefited by the advice given to them by the Commission.

(By order of the Governor)

P. SABANAYAGAM,
Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Tamil Nadu Vigilance Commission, Madras.

„ all Secretaries to Government.

„ the Public (Services-B) Department.

Copy to the Director of Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, Madras.