



D.T.P. No. 3315 of 1941.

R
NB-211/N4
N41

From

K. RAMUNNI MENON, Esq., M.A., BAR.-AT-LAW, I.C.S.,
EX OFFICIO DIRECTOR OF TOWN-PLANNING,
MADRAS.

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
EDUCATION AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

Dated, the 6th September 1941.

SIR,

[Annual Report of the Town-Planning Department—1940-41.]

I have the honour to submit the Annual Report for the year ending 31st March 1941 on the Administration of Town-Planning in the Presidency.

I.—GENERAL REVIEW.

1. The Administration of Town-Planning in the Presidency is mainly concerned with generally the superintending of the operation application and enforcement of the provisions of the Town-Planning Act and of the other Municipal and Local Boards Acts in so far as they relate to Town-Planning and the giving of necessary direction and technical assistance and advice in regard to all matters relating to Town-planning, and in particular the following, viz., the preparation of statutory detailed town-planning schemes for definite areas within town (both municipalities and panchayats); the formulation of a general town-planning scheme (for comprehensive development and improvement of a town) which is obligatory on every municipality under the Town-Planning Act; the preparation of schemes other than Town-Planning Act schemes for town and village extensions within municipal and panchayat areas; the enforcement of street by-laws and building regulations in all municipalities and in panchayats to which the concerned provisions of the District Municipalities Act had been extended; the control of industries and factories in all municipal and panchayat areas; the scrutiny and examination of Government and municipal building schemes; layouts for parks, recreation grounds, playing fields, etc. and the settlement of residential areas in all municipalities and 'urban' towns declared under the Public Health Act. The progress made in the various directions mentioned above may be understood from the facts and particulars furnished in this report.

2. *Detailed town-planning schemes.*—By the end of March 1941 the Town-Planning Act was in force in the areas of 149 local authorities of which 83 are municipalities and 66 are panchayats. Propos

extending the provisions of the Act to five more panchayats were under consideration during the year. Sixty-three municipalities and 17 panchayats (or district board authorities) had taken steps to bring definite areas, which were in course of development or likely to be developed in course of time, under the scope of statutory town-planning schemes. There were in all 315 town-planning schemes in various stages of execution, publication or preparation; of which 52 were sanctioned schemes (including six schemes sanctioned during the year), 68 pending sanction of Government or returned by Government for reconsideration to the concerned local authorities, 9 published and pending submission to Government for sanction, 74 pending completion of draft schemes and publication for public criticism, and the remaining 112 under preparation or in the preliminary stages of notification and survey. The last number includes 14 schemes newly notified during the year and a number of time-barred schemes requiring re-notification. The remaining 20 municipalities and 49 panchayats who had not so far taken any action under the Act have been advised to formulate suitable town-planning schemes for all areas within their towns, which are being developed or are suitable for extensions either immediately or in the future, taking the requisite steps in accordance with the Act and the Rules thereunder.

3. In respect of the areas covered by 200 town-planning schemes, the provisions of the sanctioned schemes or of approved draft schemes and the lay-out plans connected therewith were being followed in granting permission to private land development and building operations under section 17 of the Act. On the whole, nearly 1,200 lay-outs and 8,000 building applications relating to the town-planning areas were dealt with by the department since the first notification of the areas under the Act. During the year, the department furnished 120 maps and lay-out plans, including 42 scheme maps Nos. 1, 2 and 3, 78 lay-out plans for development of private lands and 7 for municipal or Government land within town-planning areas, and also dealt with 364 building applications relating to the Madras City Town-Planning areas alone. 26 draft town-planning schemes were scrutinized and submitted to Government with suggestions and remarks; 30 draft town-planning Schemes were suggested or furnished to local authorities; in 16 cases of sanctioned town-planning schemes, the proposals of local authorities for variation or modification under section 15 of the Act were examined and submitted to Government; 6 town-planning schemes were sanctioned by Government and variations to 16 sanctioned town-planning schemes were also approved and published by Government. Six cases of time-barred schemes were notified by Government under section 15 specifying a time-limit for submission in each case; for 32 schemes extension of time for publication under section 11 and for 52 schemes extension of time for submission under section 12 were granted.

4. Arbitrators had been appointed by Government under section 27 of the Act for 48 out of the total number of 52 sanctioned town-planning schemes, whose duties are to define, and, where necessary, demarcate the demarcation of, the reconstituted plots and the areas allotted

to or reserved for the purposes of streets, shops, industries, parks, open spaces, etc.; to decide in reference to the claims made by owners affected by the schemes for compensation; and to determine the properties benefited by the schemes, which are liable for betterment contribution and estimate and record their market values at the date of the first notification of the scheme. During the year, the Government appointed arbitrators for six sanctioned schemes. In the cases of sanctioned schemes in Salem, Chittoor, Coimbatore, Mangalore, Madura, Tirupati, Virudhunagar and Vizagapatam Municipalities, the Arbitrators appear to have already passed orders on the claims made by owners for compensation and settled the properties liable for betterment contribution and their market values, and in other cases the Arbitrators have not either completed their enquiries or communicated their decisions to the local authorities and owners of properties. The Government had also appointed special Acquisition Officers in many cases of sanctioned schemes which provide for acquisition of properties under the provisions of the Town-Planning Act. During the year, the Government appointed a special Acquisition Officer for one scheme in the City of Madras.

5. *General town-planning schemes.*—Except two municipalities which were temporarily exempted by Government from the obligatory provisions of section 8 of the Act, all the other 81 municipalities had taken steps or were considering about the appointment of requisite town-planning staff for carrying out the preliminary survey and investigation, in regard to the preparation of the general town-planning scheme. Up to the end of the year, 37 municipalities had prepared tentative reports and maps which are to serve as the basis for the formulation of general town-planning schemes, and submitted them to the department or the Government for offering their opinion and suggestions as to the proposals that should be included or taken up immediately or after the schemes are sanctioned and the proposals that should be taken into consideration in the interests of proper development and improvement of towns, and also as to the settled policy, plan and programme that ought to be adopted and the provisions that should be made in the schemes to effectively control both municipal and private activities in connexion therewith. In 21 cases, the reports and maps had been returned for revision or further consideration and resubmission with complete details and information as required to be furnished under the Town-planning Rules and in the light of the general remarks made by the department. In the remaining 16 cases, the reports and maps had not been examined pending detailed inspection and study of towns.

6. In 1936, the Government, while expressing their inability to appoint any additional staff at that time, informed the Director to defer the examination of the general town-planning schemes until they are able to provide the additional staff and to devote his whole attention to the preparation of detailed town-planning schemes. So much so, the department was not paying serious attention to the preparation of the general town-planning schemes, except giving instructions to the municipalities now and then as to the survey to be made, the man-

of preparation of and the details to be incorporated in the maps and explanatory notes, the technical staff to be appointed by municipalities for the work, etc. Whenever possible, some of the explanatory notes and maps already submitted were examined and returned to the concerned municipalities with general remarks for their reconsideration and resubmission to the department in a complete form covering all matters and problems connected with development and improvement of towns comprehensively and regulation of all activities whether municipal or private affecting town-planning interests. Recently, the Government have called for proposals from the department for the appointment of an additional town-planning expert to attend to the general town-planning schemes of municipalities. Proposals have since been made to Government for such appointment at an early date.

7. *Special town-planning committees.*—Special town-planning committees appointed under section 37 of the Town-Planning Act were functioning in most of the municipalities of the Presidency and were in many cases delegated with all powers specified under rule 22 of the Town-Planning Rules. No town-planning committee was appointed in Cannanore, Chidambaram, Cuddapah, Rajapalaiyam and Tellicherry Municipalities. Powers were not delegated to the town-planning committees in Anakapalle, Gudiyattam, Palacole, Periyakulam, Proddatur, Mayavaram, Sivakasi, Tenali, Tiruppattur, Tiruvannamalai and Villupuram Municipalities. A large number of town-planning committees did not hold meeting at all during the whole year and certain committees held only one or two meetings, although, under rule 8 of the Town-Planning Rules, every committee is required to hold meetings at least once a month. Only the Committees in Calicut, Cochin, Coimbatore, Bezwada, Ellore, Erode, Karaikudi, Mangalore, Nellore, Pollachi, Tuticorin and Vizagapatam municipalities and in the City of Madras took a real interest in town-planning. No panchayat, except Kotagiri, had appointed a town-planning committee. The Kotagiri town-planning committee held only one meeting during the year and was dissolved at the end of the year with the concurrence of the Government.

8. *Street Control and Building Regulations.*—The provisions of the Madras District Municipalities Act, relating to Street Control and Building Regulations, were in force in 146 towns including 83 municipalities and 63 panchayats. During the year, the provisions were newly extended to 5 panchayats and proposals for extension of the provisions to 13 other panchayats were also under consideration. The City of Madras was separately under control of the provisions of the Madras City Municipal Act. Generally all municipalities and the Corporation of Madras had been enforcing the observance of the provisions of the Acts and the rules and regulations thereunder. It cannot, however, be said that they had done all things necessary for enforcing the observance of such provisions effectively and properly in all cases. Many cases of deviations or non-observance of the provisions were reported or brought to the notice of the department and they were all dealt with in a suitable manner. Majority of the cases dealt with related to deviations from Building Rules. A few cases related to failure on the

part of owners of land to make private streets according to the statutory width. Certain cases related to disposal of sites by owners of land without approval of lay-outs, without formation of streets or without fulfilling the conditions of sanction of lay-outs.

9. All municipalities, except Anakapalle, have adopted street by-laws and most of them were found to enforce the by-laws strictly. Instances of municipalities who either did not enforce the street-making provisions of the Act or experienced any great difficulty in enforcing the provisions, were few. The municipalities of Cannanore and Tellicherry had put forward the plea of local customs, usual building of houses in a haphazard manner and other circumstances for the non-observance or failure to enforce the street-making provisions in building areas. Certain municipalities, e.g., Hindupur, Tenali and Gudivada represented that the public have not yet reached the normal level of sense of civic responsibility and it is rather difficult to enforce the statutory provisions relating to streets in all quarters owing to abnormal cost of land and other circumstances and that it is equally more difficult to get the private streets metalled, lighted, drained, etc., unless the provisions of the Act are rigidly enforced by councils. Certain other municipalities, e.g., Ellore and Bezwada, had taken over private streets which were not made by owners in accordance with the provisions of the Act and carried out improvements to them at municipal cost contrary to the specific provisions of the Act imposing obligation on the owners to make the private streets completely at their own cost and requiring that no private street should be declared as public street until such street is completely made by owners. In all cases involving obligation on the owners to make the private streets the municipalities are bound to enforce the statutory provisions and cannot incur any expenditure under the public funds on account of private street works. The municipalities of Anakapalle, Karur, Peddapuram, Saidapet, Srirangam, Tuticorin, etc., which proposed to take over certain private streets in the already developed areas and carry out necessary improvements to them at municipal cost were informed of the impropriety of utilizing the general revenues for the construction or improvement of streets in private areas so long as they are not definitely required to meet the public needs and actually dedicated to the public for upkeep as other public roads.

10. It is a common complaint of all municipalities that construction and reconstruction of buildings and new developments were being proceeded with by owners and builders without proper approval of lay-out and building plans and without even observing the formality of making an application to the Executive Authority for permission. Prosecutions and convictions had little or no effect in preventing unauthorized constructions and developments. In certain municipalities, e.g., Bezwada and Ellore, it was the habit for buildings to be constructed or reconstructed ignoring the provisions of the Building Rules, in anticipation of their being recommended by the municipal councils for exemption and the councils were as a matter of routine directing the executive authorities to address the Government for exemption. There were

also several instances wherein municipal councils entertained "appeals" from private parties against orders of Government refusing to grant exemption and directed their Executive Authorities to defer action against the parties concerned for violation of the rules pending issue of orders by Government on the so-called "appeals." Such action on the part of the municipal councils was taken advantage of by the parties to complete their objectionable constructions and later on to put forward the plea that the demolition of the structures completed by them would result in great loss. Also when orders are issued for demolition of buildings or portions thereof to comply with the rules or to give effect to the Government orders, it has become usual for the parties to even resort to civil courts under cover of appeals.

11. The 63 panchayats to which the provisions of the District Municipalities Act and the by-laws and rules thereunder relating to streets and building regulations had been extended, made no serious attempt to bring into operation or enforce the said provisions owing to various causes.

12. The department furnished during the year 38 layout plans for private and municipal extensions (in non-town-planning areas) and gave opinion and suggestions in many cases relating to enforcement of street by-laws and building rules. Seven hundred and fifty-five cases of building applications from various municipalities, of which 529 were appeals by the parties recommended by the concerned municipal councils for exemption from the rules, were examined and reported to Government. The Government passed orders on 480 cases granting exemption in 306 cases with or without conditions, rejecting the rest.

13. *Declaration of industrial areas.*—All the 83 municipalities and all panchayats in the Presidency were directed by Government to set apart definite areas for industrial purposes. During the year, the department dealt with 120 cases of industrial area proposals, 26 of which related to municipalities and 94 to panchayats. The department also dealt with 26 cases of industrial installations (employing electric power) proposed to be established in non-industrial areas. The proposals of the Corporation of Madras to set apart industrial areas in different parts of the City were also examined and reviewed by the department. Questions relating to the validity of the declaration of industrial areas and prohibition of industries outside such areas, the prevention of dwelling-houses and other residential buildings in the declared industrial areas, and the powers available under the provisions of the District Municipalities Act, the City Municipal Act and the Local Boards Act to grant or refuse permission for first installation or removal or replacement of mills and factories or to direct the removal of existing factories from non-industrial to industrial areas and all previous orders issued from time to time, were re-examined and consolidated instructions were issued by Government for the guidance of all municipalities and panchayats in the Presidency. A very large number of local authorities have yet to declare industrial areas within their towns.

14. *Non-municipal town and village extensions.*—All town and village extensions which do not come under the direct control and supervision of municipalities and panchayats are required under the instructions issued by Government to be carried out in accordance with layout plans and conditions approved by the Director of Town-Planning. The concerned officers of the Revenue department and the Labour department, co-operative building societies and others have been accordingly submitting their proposals to this department for approval or suggestion of lay-out plans from time to time. Roughly the total number of layout plans for various extensions of towns and villages in the Presidency, that were approved by the department up to the end of the year may be estimated at 2,000. In all these cases not only were suitable lay-out plans generally furnished but suggestions were also made in regard to the conditions which should be imposed to regulate the use, character and density of dwelling-houses, street and other public reservations, construction of houses and other erections on building sites to satisfy health and town-planning principles, etc. During the year, the department furnished 75 layout plans comprising of 52 plans furnished to the District Collectors and other Revenue Department officers, 17 to the Commissioner of Labour and District Labour officers, 2 to co-operative building societies and 4 to District Board authorities.

15. *Municipal and Government Building Schemes.*—According to the general policy of the Government in this Presidency, all major Municipal Building Schemes (costing more than Rs. 2,500) and Government Building Schemes within municipal areas have to be referred to the Director of Town-Planning for advice and suggestions in regard to location, arrangement and appearance of buildings. From time to time the municipalities and the concerned Government departments accordingly submit their schemes or proposals for advice and suggestions of the Director and they are being promptly dealt with providing suitable lay-out plans and designs of buildings whenever necessary and possible. During the year, the department dealt with 10 building schemes or proposals, of which nine related to municipal offices, schools, market, etc. and one Government scheme for building infantry lines.

16. *Other miscellaneous schemes and proposals.*—Other miscellaneous schemes or proposals that are usually dealt with by the department include the laying of public parks, trunk road by-passes, public playing grounds, municipal staff housing, etc. During the year, the department dealt with about a dozen schemes of which two were park layouts furnished to municipalities, six by-pass road proposals and the rest other miscellaneous municipal improvement proposals.

17. *Declaration of residential areas.*—All municipalities and 'urban' local authorities notified under the Madras Public Health Act are required by the statute to settle the residential areas for their towns with the approval of the Director of Public Health and the Director of Town-Planning. By the end of the year, the total number of municipalities and urban local authorities that had to notify residential

areas reached the figure 301 (comprising of 83 municipalities and 218 urban local authorities). As many of them could not take prompt action owing to circumstances beyond their powers the Government granted general permission to all to settle and notify the residential areas by 31st March 1942. During the year, the Department examined and scrutinized the proposals and maps of eight local authorities (including the City of Madras, four municipalities and three panchayats) and granted approval imposing suitable restrictions and conditions where necessary to protect town-planning interests.

18. *Amendments to Acts and Rules.*—Proposals were called for by Government for amending the Town-Planning Act to make it an effective instrument and accordingly the department submitted suggestions for revision and amplification of certain provisions of the Act so as to enable the local authorities to enforce the observance of town-planning regulations during the interim period between the first notification and final sanction of scheme, to prosecute and punish persons who contravene the provisions of section 17, to somewhat simplify the procedure in the preparation of schemes, to extend the application of certain provisions of the District Municipalities Act to cases dealt with under the schemes, etc. The Government, however, declined to proceed with the amendment of the Act at the present moment on the lines suggested by the department. Proposals were also called for by Government for amending the District Municipalities Act and the City Municipal Act to obviate the difficulties experienced by municipalities in enforcing street lay-outs in private areas developed for building purposes and for framing suitable rules for regulating the width of streets in private areas where the statutory minimum width is not complied with, and accordingly suggestions were submitted to Government for their consideration. So far the Government have not passed any orders on the suggestions of the Department. The new draft building rules for non-extension areas proposed by the Special Building Rules Committee, were published during the year, and a number of suggestions and objections were made by municipalities. Considering the numerous objections made by municipalities to the proposed rules and the impracticability of enforcing those rules in the non-extension (built up) areas without causing much inconvenience and hardship to the owners and builders and also as the rules themselves were defective in many respects, the Department had suggested to Government to make suitable alterations in the existing rules themselves to make them more practicable for municipalities to enforce, to avoid causing serious hardship to any owner or builder and to make it possible without sacrificing efficiency, for reasonable relaxation of any provisions where necessary and according to circumstances and conditions without the responsibility being thrown on the Executive Authorities of Municipal Councils. The suggestions for revision of the existing rules were under consideration of Government. During the year, the Government also directed the Department to prepare and submit for their approval a Town-Planning Manual and they recently sanctioned the appointment of a temporary upper division clerk for the purpose.

The appointment of the clerk has since been made and the preparation of the Manual taken up by the Department.

19. *Municipal Town-Planning Fund.*—Under section 30 of Town-Planning Act, every municipal council should constitute a separate town-planning fund for defraying all expenditure connected with town-planning, and no portion of such fund should be expended for purposes not provided for by the Act unless sanctioned by Government. According to the town-planning rules, all receipts of a municipal council by way of rent on lands, ground-rent, produce of lands, sale-proceeds of lands, buildings and equipment, licence fees, fines, interest on investments, loans, grants, contributions from Government, other local authorities and private persons and from municipal funds including general account, education account, water-supply and drainage account, lighting account, remunerative enterprises account, etc., should form the credits of such a fund. Very few municipalities have constituted such a fund maintaining it in the manner required by the Act and rules. Mangalore, Udumalpet, Rajapalayam, Pollachi, Ootacamund, Cochin, Ellore, Ongole, Anakapalle, Chingleput and Erode Municipalities are maintaining such a fund. It is very unsatisfactory that a majority of the municipalities in the Presidency should have failed to constitute such a fund all these years.

20. *Municipal town-planning staff.*—During the year 33 municipalities appointed separate Town-Planning Overseers or Surveyors for the preparation of schemes for periods ranging from three months to one year or more. The appointment of town-planning staff was under consideration in 11 other municipalities and a few panchayats. Permanent town-planning staff was appointed by five municipalities alone (viz., Madras, Coimbatore, Mangalore, Tuticorin and the Corporation of Madras). One or two municipalities submitted proposals during the year for placing their town-planning staff on a permanent basis. In 50 municipalities there was no town-planning staff for devoting whole time attention to the various duties and functions connected with town-planning or for the preparation and execution of schemes under the Act. The Commissioners of Vaniyambadi, Tirunelveli, Tiruppattur, Tiruvarur, Vellore, Narasaraopet, Cuddalore, Calicut, Hindupur, Proddatur, Udumalpet Municipalities had represented that the want of full-time technical staff for town-planning or the non-appointment of separate town-planning staff had very greatly retarded the progress of town-planning work and prevented them from the enforcement of building regulations strictly.

21. *Town-Planning Department—Personnel.*—The personnel of the department continued to be the same as in the previous year. The Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards was ex officio Director of Town-Planning and Sri R. D. N. Simham, B.E., M.E. (Hons.), F.I.A.A., A.M.T.P.I., was Personal Assistant to Director of Town-Planning. The latter officer was in charge of the department in all its technical aspects.

22. *Progress reports.*—Up to the time of writing this report, 56 municipalities and 20 panchayats had submitted their annual progress reports in accordance with the requirements of the Town-Planning Rules. All the reports so far received have been examined and submitted to Government with the remarks of the department. Only a few municipalities have submitted their reports relating to the Town-Planning Fund Account to the department under rules 67 and 75 of the Town-Planning Rules.

23. *Training in town-planning.*—During the year, special courses of training for executive officers of municipalities and for special officers of panchayats were instituted by Government. Two such courses were held, each course being for a period of two months. The courses included training in the subject of town-planning also. Sri R. D. N. Simham was appointed as Lecturer and Examiner for Town-Planning. He gave a series of lectures on town-planning separately for executive officers of municipalities and special officers of panchayats. Also, six Town-Planning Overseers and one Municipal Public Works Overseer who were deputed by municipalities were given training in town-planning or instructions in the preparation of schemes for short periods ranging from a week to two months according to circumstances.

II.—ADMINISTRATION OF THE TOWN-PLANNING ACT.

Detailed particulars of the progress made in the preparation and execution of schemes under the Town-Planning Act and other matters connected therewith are given below.

24. *Extension of Town-Planning Act.*—During the year, the provisions of the Town-Planning Act were extended to the panchayats of Tiruttani (Chittoor district), Satyamangalam (Coimbatore district) and Vikramasingapuram (Tirunelveli district), bringing up the total number of non-municipal towns alone, to which the provisions of the Act apply, to 66 and both municipal and non-municipal towns to 149. A list of non-municipal towns to which the provisions of the Act apply is given in Appendix I.

25. The extension of the Act to the panchayats of Kosgi, Guntakal, Pattikonda, Cumbum, Rameswaram, Attur, Vallam, Tiruturaipundi, Courtallam, Devarapalli, Venkatagiri, Gudalur and Razole were under consideration. In respect of the seaside resort Vadrevu (Guntur district) the Government did not sanction the proposal of the panchayat board to extend the Act as the District Board of Guntur was against the proposal. In the case of Gobichettipalayam the proposal for extension made by the previous panchayat board was also not approved by Government as the present panchayat board regarded such extension unnecessary.

26. *Detailed town-planning schemes.*—Under sections 9 and 12, 63 of the 83 municipalities (including the Corporation of Madras) and 17 of the 66 panchayats had town-planning schemes in various stages of preparation, publication, submission and execution. During the year,

14 new town-planning schemes were undertaken by nine municipalities and one panchayat under sections 9 and 10 of the Act, as noted below :—

Municipality.	Number of schemes.	Municipality.	Number of schemes.
Bellary	1	Nandyal	1
Cocanada	1	Narasaraopet	2
Karur	1	Nagapatam	2
Mangalore	1	Udipi	1
City of Madras	2	Allinagaram Panchayat	2

There were in all 315 town-planning schemes controlling building operations in specified areas in different parts of the Presidency. A complete list of town-planning schemes with their stages and progress made up to the end of the year is given in Appendix II.

27. *Extension of time.*—The Government notified the following six time-barred schemes and one new scheme under section 12 of the Act directing the concerned municipalities to prepare, publish and submit the schemes by a specified date :—

Municipality.	Name of scheme.	Time allowed till
Bellary	1 Satyanarayanapet extension ..	31st March 1941 (not submitted).
Coimbatore	2 Scheme No. 6	12th October 1941 (under preparation).
Do.	3 Scheme No. 7	Do.
Karur	4 West Pradikshnam extension ..	1st October 1941 (under preparation.)
Do.	5 Kutchery road extension ..	31st August 1941 (under preparation).
Madras City	6 Kilpauk Garden further extension.	31st March 1942 (under preparation).
Ootacamund	7 Exchange Hall, etc., area ..	31st October 1941 (under preparation).

28. The Government sanctioned further extension of time for publication allowing the maximum period permissible under section 11 of the Act, in respect of 32 schemes notified under section 10, as given below :—

Municipality.	Number of schemes.	
Bellary	3	(not published).
Conjeeveram	2	(published).
Guntur	6	(under preparation).
Mangalore	1	(not published).
Salem	1	(under preparation).
Tiruppattur	2	(not published).
Villupuram	4	(under preparation).
Madras City	13	(8 not published and 5 under preparation).

29. The Government sanctioned additional time in respect of 52 schemes called for under section 12 of the Act, for the preparation, publication and submission to Government for sanction, as given below :—

Municipality.	Number of schemes.	Time allowed till
Bezwada	1	31st August 1940 (submitted).
Do.	4	31st August 1941 (under preparation).
Dindigul	1	31st March 1941 (not submitted).
Ellore	1	30th May 1941 (not submitted).
Do.	1	20th October 1941 (under preparation).
Do.	2	31st December 1941 (under preparation).
Do.	5	31st March 1941 (4 submitted and 1 not submitted).
Erode	6	31st December 1941 (under preparation).
Guntur	3	30th September 1941 (under preparation).
Karaikudi	1	31st July 1941 (not submitted).
Palni	1	31st March 1941 (not submitted).
Srirangam	3	1st April 1941 (not submitted).
Tirunelveli	6	31st March 1943 (under preparation).
Trichinopoly	10	30th June 1941 (2 sanctioned, 3 submitted and 5 not submitted).
Madras City	1	30th September 1941 (under preparation).
Vellore	4	31st July 1943 (under preparation).
Vizagapatam	1	

30. The progress made in respect of the town-planning schemes undertaken by the local authorities, up to the end of the year, is given in an abstract manner in the statement below:—

	Number of schemes.
(a) Schemes declared and not notified under section 10	19
(b) Schemes notified under section 10 or section 12—	
(i) Maps under preparation	46
(ii) Layout maps and draft schemes proposed	74
(iii) Time-barred and to be renotified	42
(c) Schemes published and pending submission	9
(d) Schemes submitted for sanction	68
(e) Schemes sanctioned and under execution	52
(f) Schemes deferred or abandoned for the present	5
Total number of schemes	315

31. *Area covered by town-planning schemes.*—Up to the end of the year, approximately, 56,000 acres of land within various municipal and panchayat towns in the Presidency were under statutory town-planning control; of this area, however, only about 5,400 acres were covered by sanctioned town-planning schemes (less than 10 per cent).

32. *Need for prompt action in the notification and publication of town-planning schemes.*—In all cases of schemes where local authorities had only declared by resolutions to make or adopt schemes, there should be no delay in notifying them under section 10 of the Act, as thereby alone the authorities will be able to exercise town-planning control in the areas affected by the schemes. Similarly in all cases of schemes which had become barred by limitation of time by the failure on the part of the local authorities to publish the draft schemes within the maximum time allowed under section 11 of the Act, the concerned local authorities should either take fresh action under sections 9 and 10 or address the Government to notify the schemes under section 12, to enable them to exercise the powers of town-planning control in the areas affected by the schemes and proceed further with the publication and submission of the draft schemes for sanction of Government.

33. Even in cases of schemes which have been deferred or abandoned for some reason or other, the concerned local authorities should watch and regulate the development and other activities in the areas covered by them under the provisions of Acts other than the Town-Planning Act and if they still find that the areas cannot be effectively controlled, no time should be lost by them to the making of proper town-planning schemes for those areas under the Town-Planning Act itself, for when once areas develop crudely and buildings are constructed in them in a haphazard manner, it would be very difficult and costly to effect any improvements in them afterwards.

34. Twenty municipalities and 49 panchayats had, in spite of the fact that the Town-Planning Act was in force in their areas for a number

of years or few years, not yet undertaken the preparation of any town-planning schemes. They should without further hesitation on their part formulate the suitable town-planning schemes in respect of all vacant lands and other areas either in course of development or where developments had been made or are taking place without regard to proper "sanitary conditions, amenity and convenience", duly consulting the Town-planning department.

35. *Need for transfer of proceedings from district boards to panchayats.*—In the case of 14 towns mentioned in the following table, the taluk boards were originally empowered to perform the functions under the Town-Planning Act and on the abolition of taluk boards in 1934, the functions devolved on the concerned district boards. Little interest had been evinced by those district boards all these years in the matter of preparation of town-planning schemes and the panchayat boards of the towns could do nothing to expedite the matter. It is very desirable that in the case of all those towns, the responsibility of preparing schemes should be transferred to the concerned panchayats themselves directing, however, the district boards to render all possible assistance to the panchayats (by way of lending technical men and giving financial help whenever necessary) in the preparation and execution of town-planning schemes. The same policy may be adopted in the case of other towns also to which the Town-Planning Act had been extended.

Name of town.	When Act extended.	Authority empowered to prepare schemes.	Authority to whom power may be transferred.
1 Ambasamudram	16th December 1925.	Tirunelveli District Board.	Ambasamudram Panchayat Board.
2 Puliangudi	31st May 1927	Do.	Puliangudi Panchayat Board.
3 Tenkasi	16th August 1936	Do.	Tenkasi Panchayat Board.
4 Bapatla	24th January 1925	Guntur District Board.	Bapatla do.
5 Rapalle	23rd July 1926	Do.	Rapalle do.
6 Ariyalur	5th October 1925	Trichinopoly District Board.	Ariyalur do.
7 Jayankondan	20th September 1927.	Do.	Jayankondan Panchayat Board.
8 Lalgudi	12th August 1925	Do.	Lalgudi Panchayat Board.
9 Kollegal	2nd May 1922	Coimbatore District Board.	Kollegal Panchayat Board.
10 Nannilam	13th December 1923.	Tanjore District Board.	Nannilam Panchayat Board.
11 Papanasam	1st November 1921.	Do.	Papanasam Panchayat Board.
12 Tekkali	23rd May 1927	Vizagapatam District Board.	Tekkali Panchayat Board.
13 Usilampatti	28th August 1926	Madura District Board.	Usilampatti Panchayat Board.
14 Vriddachalam	9th April 1924	South Arcot District Board.	Vriddachalam Panchayat Board.

Perhaps, in agreement with the local authorities, the Government themselves may suitably modify the notifications already issued extending the Act to the above towns, authorising the respective panchayat board and its president to function as municipal council and Chairman under the Town-Planning Act.

36. *Sanctioned town-planning schemes.*—During the year six town-planning schemes mentioned below were sanctioned by Government raising the total number of sanctioned schemes to 52 :—

Town and name of scheme.	Area of scheme. ACRE.	Estimated cost. RS.	Responsible authority.	Remarks.
1 Kodaikanal—French Lands Town-Planning Scheme ..	10'0	3,800	Municipal & Executive authority.	Municipal land laid out for building purposes.
2 Cocanada-Ramaraopeta Town-Planning Scheme ..	23'7	10,000	Do.	Municipality to form streets and levy betterment.
3 Madras—Thousand lights Area Town-Planning Scheme ..	28'5	52,500	Town Planning and Improvement Committee.	Corporation to form the main roads and levy betterment.
4. Madras-Jagannathapuram Town-Planning Scheme ..	56'7	32,000	Do.	Do.
5. Madras—Perambur North Town-Planning Scheme ..	169'9	4,03,500	Do.	Do.
6. Cochin-Eravelli Town-Planning Scheme ..	18'0	20,300	Municipal Council.	Municipality to form one main road and widen existing narrow streets and lanes and levy betterment.

The Government in their Order No. 2936, P.H., dated 3rd July 1940, declined to sanction the Catholic Colony Town-Planning Scheme, Trichinopoly, owing to certain difficulties in the way of bringing the scheme area within the municipal limits and other reasons.

37. The execution of the already sanctioned and newly sanctioned schemes were being proceeded with by the local authorities by stages every year and according to actual needs of development and as far as possible without prejudice to the efficient operation of the schemes. The layout of land and building constructions within the areas were being approved by local authorities only when scheme requirements are satisfied and duly consulting the department or with its approval as may be necessary. Rapid development of the scheme areas was observed in the Municipalities of Salem, Coimbatore, Mangalore, Rajapalaiyam, Vizagapatam, Madura and in the City of Madras. The total estimated cost of all town-planning schemes sanctioned up to the end of the year (excluding a few owners' schemes) was roughly Rs. 62 lakhs which would be met out of the income from sale-proceeds of lands acquired by local authorities calculated at Rs. 32 lakhs, out of the water-supply and drainage fund about Rs. 12 lakhs, elementary education fund, Rs. 1 lakh, remunerative enterprises account, Rs. 2½ lakhs and lighting account, Rs. 4½ lakhs, and the balance Rs. 10 lakhs out of the income from betterment contribution and other resources.

38. *Variations to sanctioned schemes.*—In 16 cases of sanctioned town-planning schemes the proposals of local authorities for variation or modifications under section 15 of the Act were examined and submitted to Government with remarks. Draft variations to the Ammapet extension, Ammapet-Salem East Railway Area, Logichetty Tank Extension and Dadagapatti Extension Town-Planning Schemes of Salem Municipality, providing for reservation of places for entertainment and recreation purposes, also draft variations to provide for reduction of site area and open space within sites in the already closely built and poor class areas, in respect of the Gugai Extension, Dadubaikuttai Extension, Logichetty Tank Extension and Dadagapatti Extension Town-Planning

Schemes of the same municipality, were approved and published under section 15 of the Act. Draft variations for Sökkikulam Extension Town-Planning Scheme of Madura Municipality, providing for modification of certain clauses, for the Tambaram town-planning scheme of Chingleput District Board providing for the District Board Engineer or other officer authorized by the board to function as responsible authority for the enforcement of the provisions of the scheme under sections 17 to 19 of the Act, for the Dindigul West Town-Planning Scheme of Dindigul Municipality and the Lloyds Road Area and Nungambakkam East Extension Town-Planning Schemes of the Corporation of Madras providing for extension of time to submit claims for betterment contribution, were also published under section 15. In respect of the Cathedral South area and Lloyds Road area schemes of the Corporation of Madras, certain variations were approved and notified under section 15 (2) of the Act. The proposals for varying the sanctioned town-planning schemes of the Coimbatore Municipality to reduce the width of certain main roads and for modifying the Falneer Town-Planning Scheme of the Mangalore Municipality to provide for forming a private street by the municipal council were not accepted by the department and the Government. The Government have directed the Vizagapatam Municipality to submit its proposals for varying the ChingleraoPET Ward, Blocks 9, 10, etc., town-planning scheme in a definite form for approval of Government and the matter is under consideration of the municipality. The President of the Trichinopoly District Board has reported that the Manaparai Seturatnapuram Extension Town-Planning Scheme has to be varied for larger shop sites, reduction in the rate of ground-rent and a reservation of certain plots for High School, but no definite proposals have been submitted by the Board for approval of the department or the Government.

39. *Appointment of Arbitrators.*—During the year Arbitrators were appointed by Government for six sanctioned town-planning schemes as noted below :—

Town-planning scheme.	Arbitrator.
1 Lloyds Area Town-Planning Scheme, Madras	Collector of Madras.
2 Cathedral South Planning Scheme, Madras	Do.
3 Nungambakkam East Town-Planning Scheme, Madras ..	Do.
4 Mylapore East Town-Planning Scheme, Madras	Do.
5 Dindigul West Town-Planning Scheme, Dindigul ..	Sub-Collector, Dindigul.
6 French Lands Town-Planning Scheme, Kodaikanal ..	Sub-Collector, Kodaikanal.

40. *Levy of betterment contribution.*—Betterment claims were made in respect of sanctioned schemes as per details given below :—

Municipality.	Number of sanctioned schemes.	Remarks.
Coimbatore	3	Decisions of Arbitrator communicated to parties and the municipality. The latter decided to levy betterment from 1st April 1941.
Mangalore	1	Arbitration proceedings were in progress.
Vellore	1	Claims made to Arbitrator.
Virudhunagar	1	Decisions of Arbitrator communicated to parties and the municipality. The latter decided to levy betterment from 1st April 1941.

In the case of the seven sanctioned schemes of Salem, the municipal council has been postponing the question of levying betterment from year to year for some reason or other, although Government insisted upon the levy being made in the interests of finances of the municipality. In the case of the Market Ward Block 10 Scheme, Mangalore, no levy was made as the market value fixed by the arbitrator was found higher than the prevailing value of properties and in the case of Guliga Hithlu Improvement Scheme, Mangalore, great increase in value of properties was noted and action was taken to levy betterment during the year. In the case of Rajapalayam scheme, all the claims were decided by the arbitrator already in favour of the late panchayat but finding the task of levying betterment year after year to be difficult, the defunct panchayat had decided to get free gifts of 12 cents per acre of land from all land owners in the scheme area; and it is reported by the present Municipal Commissioner that "registered sale deeds have already been obtained from almost all the landowners, and will be completed during the current year by obtaining registered sale deeds from the remaining landowners also; the amount of betterment contribution realized is Rs. 607 cash and Rs. 3,965 in shape of lands during the year, the amount of betterment realized up to date is Rs. 46,046 (cash and lands)". In respect of the other sanctioned schemes which provided for levy of betterment, no information is available as to the steps taken by the concerned municipalities to levy betterment or as to the amount, if any, realized by them so far or during the year.

41. *Town-planning schemes submitted for sanction.*—Thirteen town-planning schemes were newly submitted by the municipalities through the concerned District Collectors during the year. The department scrutinized 26 town-planning schemes during the year and submitted them to Government with suggestions and remarks for necessary action. Six schemes were under examination in the department. An abstract statement of the schemes submitted by the local authority, as at the end of the year, which were under the scrutiny of the Collectors, Board of Revenue or Director of Town-Planning or pending final sanction of Government is furnished below:—

Number of schemes	Collectors or Board of Revenue.	Town-Planning Department.	Pending final sanction of Government	
			with Government.	returned to local authorities for revision and submission.
..	13	6	9	40

42. The following schemes submitted by the municipalities through the Collectors of the concerned districts and the Board of Revenue were scrutinized and forwarded to Government with suggestions and remarks:—

1 Town-Planning Scheme No. 2, Adoni	..	..	Returned by Government for revision.
2 Do. No. 3	..	..	Do.
3 Railway Station Scheme, Conjeeveram	..	..	Do.
4 Patel Nagar Extension, Hospet	..	..	Pending sanction of Government.
5 Scheme No. 1, Part 1, Bezwada	..	..	Returned by Government for revision.
6 Yendrapet Improvement, Cocanada	..	..	Do.

7 Ramaraopet Extension Cocanada	..	..	Sanctioned by Government.
8 Aramanaikulam Extension, Dindigul	..	..	Pending sanction of Government.
9 Railway Station, Part 1, Karaikudi	..	..	Do.
10 Nungambakam North Area, Madras	..	..	Do.
11 Thousand Lights Area, Madras	..	..	Sanctioned by Government.
12 Jagannathapuram Area, do.	..	..	Do.
13 Kilpauk Garden Area, do.	..	..	Pending sanction of Government.
14 Perambur North Area, do.	..	..	Sanctioned by Government.
15 French Lands Area, Kodaikanal	..	..	Do.
16 Ramaswamipet Extension, Tenali	..	..	Returned by Government for revision.
17 Town-planning scheme No. 1, Ellore	..	..	Do.
18 Do. No. 3 do.	..	..	Do.
19 Do. No. 2-A, Tirupati	..	..	Do.
20 Do. No. 2-B do.	..	..	Do.
21 Do. No. 3 do.	..	..	Do.
22 Do. No. 4 do.	..	..	Do.
23 Do. No. 5 do.	..	..	Do.
24 South Royagopuram Scheme, Srirangam	..	..	Pending sanction of Government.
25 Dandayudapanipet Scheme, Nellore	..	..	Do.
26 Catholic Colony Scheme, Trichinopoly	..	..	Sanction refused by Government.

43. The following town-planning schemes which were received from the Board of Revenue during the year were under scrutiny in the department:—

1 Scheme No. 1, Part 2, Bezwada.	4 Scheme No. 1, Rajahmundry.
2 Scheme No. 5, Dindigul.	5 Do. No. 2, do.
3 Tondiarpet No. 1, Madras.	6 Do. No. 3, do.

44. *Owners objections and suggestions.*—In respect of the following 10 schemes the objections and suggestions of the owners submitted by the municipalities under rule 44 of the Town-planning Rules were examined by the department and advice and suggestions were given as to their disposal:—

1 Scheme No. D, Tuticorin.	6 Perambur High Road Extension, Madras.
2 Melur Station Area, Tuticorin.	7 Nungambakam Central, Madras.
3 Scheme No. 3, Bezwada.	8 Mylapore West, Madras.
4 Vyasarpadi Scheme, Madras.	9 Mylapore South-East, Madras.
5 Mylapore South, Madras.	10 Ramaraopet Extension, Cocanada.

45. *Draft town-planning schemes.*—During the year the department furnished or dealt with 30 draft schemes and 42 development maps to the municipalities for adoption, as noted below:—

Municipality.	Draft schemes.	Maps.	Municipality.	Draft schemes.	Maps.
Anantapur	3	3	Hospet	2	2
Bezwada	1	2	Anakapalle	1	1
Bellary	1	1	Villupuram	2	2
Conjeeveram	..	1	Virudunagar	2	2
Dindigul	..	2	Coimbatore	2	2
Madras City	7	14	Saidapet	1	..
Nellore	1	1	Salem	1	1
Bangalore	1	2	Tiruppur	1	1
Kurnool	..	1	Tuticorin	1	1
Palni	1	2	Srirangam	2	..

46. *Layouts and building applications in town-planning areas.*

During the year the department furnished or approved 78 layout plans for development of private, municipal and Government lands within town-planning areas as per details given below:—

Municipality.	Number of layouts.	Municipality.	Number of layouts.
Anantapur	1	Salem	6
Bezwada	3	Tiruppur	2
Coimbatore	1	Trichinopoly	5
Ellore	6	Tuticorin	6
Erode	1	Tirupati	2
Hospet	2	Vizagapatam	4
Ongole	2	Madras City	33
Proddatur	2		
Srirangam	1	Total	78
Rajahmundry	1		

47. A number of cases of building applications within town-planning areas for approval or exemption under the provisions of the schemes were also dealt with during the year. Three hundred and sixty-four building applications relating to the Madras City town-planning areas alone were dealt with or approved by the department.

48. *New town-planning schemes suggested.*—Suggestions were made to the following municipalities to take up the preparation of town-planning schemes for specific areas for settling the lines of development and to regulate future building activities:—

Municipality.	Number of schemes.	Municipality.	Number of schemes.
Dharapuram	2	Tellicherry	2
Cannanore	2	Tenali	3
Karur	2	Tiruvannamalai	3
Masulipatam	11	Tiruvarur	2
Ongole	2	Udamalpet	1
Palacole	1	Vaniyambadi	1
Periyakulam	4		
Srivilliputtur	2	Total	40
Tadpatri	2		

It is hoped that the above municipalities will take suitable steps under the Act to proceed with the preparation of the schemes as suggested by the department during the current year.

49. Definite schemes had been recommended by the officers of the department after inspection in the case of 41 panchayats of which only 17 had so far taken some action and 5 alone had sanctioned town-planning schemes in force. Progress in initiating and pushing through town-planning schemes in panchayats is far from satisfactory. This is due to want of technical town-planning staff in panchayats. The Jammalamadugu Panchayat Board resolved to appoint a technical hand for the preparation of plans and schemes, but the Government suggested that the work could be entrusted to the District Board Range Overseer at Jammalamadugu; and accordingly, this department furnished copies of reports and plans relating to the schemes for further action; and nothing further has been heard about the action taken, except the

recent report of the panchayat president stating that no progress could be made for want of a technical man as the Board has not sanctioned the appointment of a town-planning sub-overseer for six months as recommended by him. For want of technical staff almost all panchayats find it difficult to take up the preparation of schemes and in many panchayats the work is at a stand-still. It is necessary for the panchayats to employ suitable technical staff at least for the preparation of maps and schemes or suitable technical assistance should be rendered by the District Board Engineering departments.

50. *General town-planning schemes under section 8.*—Except in Cannanore and Tellicherry municipalities which had been temporarily exempted by Government from the obligations under section 8, the preparation of general town-planning scheme in respect of all land within a municipality and in its vicinity was in progress or under contemplation in all municipalities in the Province. The progress made in the preparation of the general town-planning schemes is shown in the Appendix III.

51. During the year, the municipalities of Mannargudi, Ongole, Proddatur and Nandyal prepared and submitted the preliminary explanatory note and maps relating to the general town-planning scheme, for opinion and suggestions of the department, thus raising the total number of municipalities that have submitted the explanatory note and maps to 37. The explanatory note and maps submitted by the municipalities of Anantapur, Hindupur, Nandyal, Udamalpet and Tirupati were examined and reviewed by the department during the year and returned for revision and re-submission in a complete form. The note and maps of Anakapalle, Bodinayakkanur, Chidambaram, Chingleput, Cocanada, Cochin, Coimbatore, Cuddapah, Karur, Kurnool, Madurai, Ongole, Salem, Srivilliputtur, Tiruppur, Tiruvarur, Udamalpet and Vellore returned in the previous years for revision have not been re-submitted. The note and maps submitted already by the municipalities of Cocanada, Cochin, Dindigul, Kodaikanal, Kumbakonam, Mannargudi, Mangalore, Mayavaram, Ootacamund, Palghat, Ongole, Periyakulam, Proddatur, Tenali, Trichinopoly and Vizagapatam were pending in the department for detailed inspection of the towns before any review or suggestions could be made. The explanatory note and maps prepared by the municipalities do not give all essential particulars of the existing conditions of towns, the requirements and needs in respect of the various matters to be dealt with in the schemes and the proposals for meeting the various requirements.

52. No general town-planning scheme and development map can be prepared for any town unless the explanatory note and maps required in this connexion are prepared carefully and satisfactorily and in as complete manner as possible. So far no municipality has prepared and submitted the explanatory note and maps in the manner required which could be made the basis for the formulation of the general scheme and development map.

53. The following statement gives in abstract the progress made in the preparation of the general town-planning schemes by the municipalities of this Province :—

Total number of municipalities that are required by the Act to prepare scheme.	Number of municipalities exempted.	Explanatory note and maps submitted under Rule 24 of the Town-Planning Rules.			Draft General Town Planning scheme prepared and published.
		Total number.	Returned for revision.	Pending scrutiny in the Department.	
83	2	37	21	16	Nil.

54. The municipalities of Adoni, Bellary, Bezwada, Bimlipatam, Calicut, Chicacole, Chittoor, Cuddalore, Devakottai, Dharapuram, Ellore, Erode, Gudivada, Guntur, Hospet, Narasaraopet, Negapatam, Palamcottah, Palni, Peddapuram, Rajahmundry, Rajapalayam, Saidapet, Tadpatri, Tanjore, Tirunelveli, Tiruppattur, Tiruvannamalai, Udipi, Vaniyambadi, Villupuram, Vizianagram and Walajapet did not take any action during the year to prepare even the explanatory note and maps pleading want of special technical staff or some other excuse.

55. The Government extended the period for preparation, publication and submission of draft general town-planning scheme in the case of 63 municipalities; for 3 till 31st December 1940, 26 till 31st March 1941 to 30th June 1941, 13 till 31st July 1941 to 31st December 1941, 18 till 31st March 1942 to 30th June 1942, 2 till 30th July 1942 to 31st December 1942, 1 till 1st October 1941. In the case of the remaining 19 municipalities the time for submission expired by 31st March 1940 or before and no extension of time had been applied for and sanctioned by Government. By the end of the year, in the case of 58 municipalities the time for submission had expired and fresh applications have to be made or have been made already for further extension of time.

56. *Special town-planning committees.*—In about 60 municipalities including the City of Madras, special town-planning committees had been constituted under section 37 of the Act and were functioning during the year. More than half the number of these municipalities had delegated their powers under the Act to the town-planning committees.

57. An abstract statement of the number of meetings held by town-planning committees is given below :—

No meetings were held in Bimlipatam, Bodinayakanur, Chicacole, Cuddalore, Devakottai, Chittoor, Masulipatam, Mayavaram, Periyakulam, Sivakasi, Srivilliputtur, Tanjore, Kurnool, Tenali, Tirupattur, Virudunagar, Udipi, Kumbakonam and Guntur.

Three and less than three meetings were held in Chingleput, Gudiyattam, Nandyal, Conjeeveram, Hindupur, Rajahmundry, Tirupati, Salem, Karur, Kodaikanal, Ongole, Ootacamund, Tadpatri, Tiruppur, Udamalpet, Villupuram, Prodatpur and Tiruvannamalai.

Four meetings and more were held in Anakapalle, Bezwada (11), Calicut (7), Saidapet, Nellore, Dindigul, Cocanada, Cochin (27), Ellore (7), Erode, Gudivada (6), Karaikudi (9), Madras City, Madura (11), Mangalore (14), Narasaraopet, Pollachi (12), Tirunelveli, Vaniyambadi, Vizagapatam, Palacole, Tuticorin (16), Srirangam and Trichinopoly.

58. *Town-planning fund.*—Only 16 municipalities or so had maintained the town-planning fund account during the year in accordance with the provisions of section 30 of the Act. The Special Officer, Karur,

Municipality, has reported thus : “ As there is scarcity of resources in the general funds and as there is no town-planning fund, the expenses with regard to land acquisition, compensation to parties, etc., cannot be met. Hence it is found difficult to enforce the conditions of the scheme and to enlarge the activities under town-planning.” The constitution of a proper municipality is essential and the attention of all development by every municipality is invited to the instructions already issued by Government to make adequate provision in their annual budgets for town development purposes (G.O. No. 1353, P.H., dated 12th July 1927).

59. Under rule 1 of the Budget Rules (G.O. No. 1010, L & M., dated 15th March 1932), the Executive Authorities of municipalities were required to consult the Director of Town-Planning in regard to the budget provision to be made for town-planning purposes every year. Very few Executive Authorities consulted the department in the matter. The Ongole Municipality and a few others diverted the sale proceeds of sites in town extensions formed by them to the town-planning fund account. The Tirunelveli Municipality included into the town-planning account the revenues received in the Kadiaperi extension area and the contribution received from Government under the head “ excess over agricultural assessment.” Sanction was accorded during the year to the Mangalore Municipal Council to pay out of the municipal town-planning account a sum of Rs. 20,000 for purchasing land in Urva maidan from Government and for acquisition of certain extra lands for the Guliga Hithlu improvement scheme.

60. *Permanent and temporary town-planning staff.*—Permanent town-planning staff had been appointed by the following five municipalities only, viz. :—

City of Madras	..	..	1 Town-planning supervisor, 5 surveyors and 1 tracer.
Coimbatore	..	..	1 Town-planning draughtsman and 1 tracer (permanent from 1st April 1940) and 1 surveyor clerk (temporary for 1 year from 1st April 1940).
Madura	..	..	1 Town-planning supervisor (permanent) and 1 town-planning overseer and 1 clerk (temporary up to 31st March 1942).
Mangalore	..	..	1 Town-planning overseer.
Tuticorin	..	..	1 Town-planning draughtsman (temporary) and 1 town-planning and building supervisor (permanent).

61. In Bezwada, Conjeeveram, Ellore, Salem and Trichinopoly the town-planning staff is being continued year after year with the sanction of Government although the permanent retention of the staff in the interests of town-planning was considered necessary. The proposals of Bezwada, Conjeeveram and Salem Municipalities for placing the staff on a permanent basis was not approved by Government. The proposals of the Trichinopoly Municipality to place the town-planning staff on a permanent basis was under correspondence with the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards. In 20 other municipalities a temporary

town-planning surveyor, draughtsman, overseer or supervisor appointed for periods ranging from three months to one year or more. The appointment of town-planning staff in 11 other municipalities was recommended or under consideration. During the year except in 33 municipalities that had appointed the staff either permanently or temporarily, in the remaining 50 municipalities there was no staff for attending to the town-planning work, but a few of them engaged the Municipal Public Works staff for the preparation of town-planning schemes. In view of the fact that the town-planning work of the municipalities suffers a great deal on account of the absence of or due to the temporary nature of town-planning staff, and consequently the effective administration of the Town-Planning Act and efficient enforcement of the town-planning schemes are seriously affected, it appears very necessary that every municipality should be encouraged or advised to employ a proper town-planning staff and establishment.

62. The municipalities of Chittoor, Cochin, Gudiyattam, Pollachi, Tiruppattur, Tiruppur, Tiruvarur, Vaniyambadi, Vellore, Villupuram, etc., that had their Public Works Overseer or Engineer trained in the department in town-planning subsequently found it necessary to appoint or submit proposals for appointment of separate town-planning staff as the Public Works Overseer or Engineer was not able to attend to the town-planning work in addition to his regular duties. The Commissioners of Palni, Tirunelveli, Srivilliputtur, and other municipalities reported that no progress in the preparation of schemes could be made without separate town-planning staff. Generally in all municipalities that made their Public Works staff do the town-planning work, there was either no progress at all or little attention paid to the work.

63. *Training in town-planning.*—The department did not give training in town-planning in accordance with the scheme for training candidates for four months in the department sanctioned in G.Os. Nos. 2250, P.H., dated 26th September 1934, and No. 200, L.S.G., dated 15th January 1937. The department, however, gave training in town-planning for short periods in the case of men newly appointed by municipalities for town-planning work or those who were working in municipalities in the Public Works section and made to attend to the town-planning work in addition to their own work, as noted below:—

Period of training in town-planning.	
Gudivada—Town-Planning Overseer.	From 16th to 23rd December 1940 or 8 days.
Gudiyattam—Town-Planning Overseer.	From 16th November to 9th December 1940 or 24 days.
Bellary—Town-Planning Overseer.	From 24th June to 23rd July 1940 or 30 days.
Karaikudi—Town-Planning draughtsman.	From 2nd August to 16th September 1940 or 46 days.
Palamecottah—Public Works Overseer.	From 14th August to 11th October 1940 or 59 days.
Tenali—Town-Planning Overseer.	From 6th February to 5th March 1941 or 28 days.
Udipi—Town-Planning Overseer.	From 13th May to 14th June 1940 or 33 days.

64. *Town-planning Trust.*—Proposals for constituting a town-planning trust for the City of Madras were made by the Corporation of Madras. The Government decided to constitute such trust in the interests of proper development and improvement of the City and in G.O. No. 938, P.H., dated 5th March 1941 sanctioned the appointment of a special officer for three months for formulating proposals on the constitution and functions of the trust, financial arrangements, and the provisions to be embodied in legislation to be enacted for the purpose, etc.

III.—ADMINISTRATION OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL ACT AND THE DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT—STREET CONTROL AND BUILDING REGULATIONS.

65. *Regulation of streets and buildings in the City of Madras.*—The provisions of the City Municipal Act and the rules thereunder relating to streets and buildings were strictly enforced by the Corporation of Madras in both town-planning and non-town-planning areas within the City. The development of all vacant land and compounds undertaken by private parties and Government Revenue and Labour departments was permitted to take place only in accordance with approved layout plans and in strict conformity to the street-making provisions of the Municipal Act insisting upon the formation of streets of 40 feet width generally and 20 feet in hutting areas and requiring the owners or other persons concerned therewith to make the streets in accordance with the specifications of the Corporation regarding metalling, paving, draining, lighting, etc. The Corporation before approving the layouts and building constructions in newly developing areas within the City invariably refer such cases to the department for suggestions and advice. The Collector and the Commissioner of Labour also obtain the approval of the department for the disposal and layout of Government land within the City for housing and other building purposes. During the year, the department gave suggestions and advice and furnished layout plans where necessary as per details noted below:—

		Corporation of Madras.	Collector or Tahsildar of Madras.	Commissioner of Labour.
Layout plans	..	36 (Town-planning and non-Town-planning areas).	2	3
Building Applications.	Applica-	364 (Town-planning areas) ..	..	..

The Corporation of Madras proposed to develop the area belonging to it in Aminjikarai (just outside the City limits), of an extent of 53 acres for a colony of its workmen and others, and a detailed layout plan providing for 915 house-sites was prepared and furnished by the department.

Rule 27 of the Corporation Building Rules was amended by Government during the year.

66. *Regulation of streets and buildings in municipalities and panchayats.*—The provisions of the Chapters IX and X relating to Streets

and Building Regulations of the District Municipalities Act were in force in 146 towns including 83 municipalities and 63 panchayats. During the year, the said provisions were extended to five panchayats, viz., Mamidada (East Godavari district), Chirala (Guntur district), Tiruttani (Chittoor district), Satyamangalam (Coimbatore district), and Vikramasingapuram (Tirunelveli district). Proposals for extending the provisions to Aminjikarai (Chingleput district), Cumbum (Madura district), Devarasapalle, Kosgi (Bellary district), Kandukur (Nellore district), Pattikonda, Perala (Guntur district), Gobichettipalayam (Coimbatore district), Kanigiri (Nellore district), Rameswaram (Ramanad district), Vallam, Tiruturaipundi, etc., panchayats were under consideration. In the case of Gobichettipalayam, the previous panchayat board moved Government to extend the provisions of the Municipalities Act relating to Streets and Building Regulations to its town, but the present panchayat board was against the proposal and consequently the Government were unable to sanction the extension of the Municipalities Act provisions to the town. In the case of Vadarevu while the panchayat board wanted the extension to be made the concerned district was not in favour of the proposal and therefore even in this case the Government could not approve the proposal, as no action could be taken by Government without the consent of the district board.

67. In the case of Kanigiri Panchayat town, the Collector of Nellore district reported that developing lands in the town are being parcelled out and sold as house-sites and that owners do not spend money on road works but prefer to place the responsibility on the panchayat, and enquired whether there are any rules whereby those who subdivide lands and fields into house-sites and sell them can be compelled to form roads and not leave strips of fields as approach ways to house-sites. He was informed that there are no rules or other provisions under the Local Boards Act to compel owners to form proper roads and only by extending the provisions of the District Municipalities Act to the town such action can be taken. The position in regard to all other towns and villages in the Presidency is the same as in the case of Kanigiri Panchayat, under the existing provisions of the Local Boards Act. So long as the necessary provisions of the District Municipalities Act are not extended, no panchayat can control and regulate private land development and building operations.

68. *Enforcement of street by-laws in municipalities.*—Except Anakapalle, Cannanore and Tellicherry Municipalities, all the remaining 7 municipalities had adopted the street by-laws and enforced the provisions of the Municipalities Act and the by-laws as far as practicable. The Commissioner of Tirupattur Municipality had reported that during the year nine private streets were taken over by the municipality and that in all these cases the municipal council had undertaken the formation of streets in the areas where private developments were taking place. Similarly the Tenali Municipal Commissioner had reported that three streets had been taken over by the municipality after obtaining necessary agreements from the parties and formed at municipal cost.

In five cases of layouts in Karur Municipality after prolonged correspondence between the municipality and the Director of Town-Planning and Government, it was ordered by Government to enforce the liabilities under section 178 of the Municipalities Act against the present owners and occupiers in the layout areas and the execution of the works one by one were being taken up by the executive authority. The minimum statutory width of 40 feet was not complied with in the said five cases of Karur and the department approved the layouts only because buildings have already sprung up or permitted by the municipality already in the areas. The Commissioner of Narasaraopet Municipality had reported that in two layouts sanctioned by the Town-Planning Committee during the year the statutory width of 40 feet was not enforced and that the matter was not taken over to the notice of the Director of Town-Planning or Government. The Ellore Municipality also had taken over three private streets during the year undertaking to form them at municipal cost. In cases of certain layouts in Anakapalle, Saidapet, Tuticorin, Peddapuram, Tirunelveli and Srirangam Municipalities the concerned executive authorities were directed to enforce the liabilities under section 178 against the owners and occupiers of lands fronting on the streets. The Government informed the Peddapuram Municipality that even Government have no power to waive by an executive order or otherwise the enforcement of sections 175 to 179 and directed the strict enforcement of the said sections.

69. *Layouts approved under the District Municipalities Act.*—During the year 38 private layouts of municipalities as per details given below were examined by the department and revised layouts proposed with suitable conditions in regard to building lines, shape and dimensions of sites, regulation of space about buildings, etc. :—

Municipality.	Number of layouts.	Municipality.	Number of layouts.
Anakapalle	1	Ongole	2
Anantapur	2	Palacole	1
Bezwada	1	Palamcottah ..	1
Chittoor	2	Tiruvannamalai ..	1
Cocanada	1	Rajahmundry ..	1
Cuddalore	1	Saidapet	2
Ellore	2	Salem	1
Bellary	1	Tirunelveli	2
Gudivada	1	Tuticorin	2
Karaikudi	1	Vizagapatam	3
Karur	2	Vizianagram	2
Nellore	4	Vaniyambadi	1

70. *Enforcement of Building Rules in municipalities.*—In almost all municipalities the Building Rules (G.O. No. 1621, P.H., dated 30th July 1932) were being strictly enforced in all cases of constructions, reconstructions, alterations and extensions of buildings. The executive officers of municipalities generally complained about owners and builders proceeding with constructions without permission or in spite of refusal of permissions and even in spite of prosecutions, above the light penalties imposed by the Bench Courts, about the need for employing building draughtsman to prepare correct plans for owners and builders and building supervisors and inspectors to deal with building applications, to watch unauthorized constructions, and to take timely action to enforce

the observance of the rules. The Tirunelveli Municipal Commissioner had represented about the difficulty in the way of stopping unauthorized constructions thus:—"There is no provision in the Municipal Act to issue notice to stop unauthorized constructions; under the existing provisions notice under section 216 (1) and (2) has to be issued to the parties directing to demolish the portions constructed unauthorizedly, and also to show cause why the order should not be confirmed. As soon as the provisional order is confirmed and served on the parties they appeal to the council and to Government. So demolition proceedings could not be taken in time. Unauthorized constructions can be checked effectively out if there is provision in the Act to demolish the unauthorized construction straightaway without giving notice to the parties." Certain amendments in the Municipalities Act seem to be necessary to enable the executive authorities to stop building works at any time, to take immediate steps to demolish unauthorized constructions, to specify a minimum limit for fines imposed by courts and in other respects also.

71. *Appeals for exemption from Building Rules.*—During the year, 755 cases of building applications were reported by municipalities for advice as to the action to be taken or for recommending to Government for exemption from particular rules. The number of cases of applications for exemption from the Building Rules that were examined by the department during the year and submitted to Government with remarks and were disposed of by Government, were 529 as per details given below:—

Municipality.	Number of applications.			Municipality.	Number of applications.		
	Submitted.	Exempted.	Refused.		Submitted.	Exempted.	Refused.
Anakapalle	12	6	6	Ongole	4	4	1
Anantapur	13	4	9	Ootacamund	4	4	1
Bezwada	76	35	27	Palghat	24	22	2
Coimbatore	24	8	1	Palamcottah	1	1	1
Coonoor	9	5	4	Mangalore	11	8	3
Chidambaram	2	1	1	Mayavaram	14	4	3
Cuddalore	3	3	1	Palacole	1	1	1
Calicut	2	2	1	Rajamundry	12	6	6
Cocanada	5	3	2	Sivakasi	3	3	1
Cochin	7	4	3	Srivilliputtur	3	2	1
Conjeevaram	4	3	1	Tanjore	39	23	16
Cannanore	11	10	1	Tellicherry	2	2	1
Dindigul	1	1	1	Tenali	5	3	2
Elore	6	5	1	Tirunelveli	11	7	3
Erode	36	24	12	Tirupattur	1	1	1
Gudiyattam	4	3	1	Tirupur	1	1	1
Kodaikanal	1	1	1	Trichinopoly	85	9	19
Karalkudi	6	1	6	Tuticorin	23	13	10
Karur	1	1	1	Salem	12	6	6
Kumbakonam	6	5	1	Vaniyambadi	9	9	1
Hindupur	5	3	1	Vellore	8	6	2
Guduvada	1	1	1	Vizagapatam	1	1	1
Hospet	4	1	2				
Virudunagar	7	2	5				
Madura	54	38	15				
Negapatam	5	3	2				
				Total	529	306	174

72. *Permission granted by municipal councils in contravention of Building Rules.*—In certain cases sanction was accorded by a few municipal councils in contravention of the Building Rules and reported to Government for cancellation of resolutions of councils which were in

excess of the powers provided by the Municipalities Act, as noted below:—

	Number of cases permitted by council.	Number of cases cancelled by Government.	Number of cases exempted.
Bezwada	17	13	4
Tirunelveli	2	..	2
Ootacamund	3	..	3
Ongole	2	..	2

73. Several instances were taken to the notice of Government wherein municipal councils entertained appeals from private parties against the orders of Government refusing to grant exemption and thereby prevented the executive authorities from taking prompt action against the parties pending issue of orders on the said appeals. Such action on the part of councils was taken advantage of by the parties concerned to complete their objectionable constructions; thereby making the Building Rules a dead letter. The Government, therefore, issued orders pointing out that their decisions either refusing to grant, or granting with or without conditions, exemption under rule 108-A of the Building Rules are final and the councils and executive authorities are statutorily bound to take prompt and effective steps for giving effect to such decisions and that the proper time to make any representation is when an application is made first to Government for exemption. They also directed the executive authorities to submit applications for exemptions through the Director of Town-Planning with plans and also connected records and to treat such cases as urgent at every stage and submit them to Government without any delay.

74. Instances were also taken to the notice of Government wherein executive authorities granted licences to private parties to construct buildings on Government lands subject to the condition that the parties obtained the land on licence, lease or assignment from the Government and which invariably encouraged unauthorized constructions on Government lands without applying for licence, lease or assignment, making eviction a difficult and expensive business. The Government, thereupon, pointed to the municipalities that the issue of such licences is highly objectionable, as it leads to occupation of Government lands which Government have advisedly kept vacant for public purposes or in the interests of the public, and directed them to refuse permission in all unauthorized cases of building on Government land under section 203 (6) of the Municipalities Act.

75. In several old application cases of non-compliance with the Building Rules of Bezwada, Salem, Trichinopoly, Tuticorin and other municipalities, considerable difficulties were experienced. In enforcing compliance with the rules especially when constructions and reconstructions had already been completed, occupied and taxed for municipal purposes. The Government, therefore, permitted the municipalities to close all old cases of building prior to 1st January 1939 and also extended the same concession to all other municipalities.

76. *Amendment of Building Rules.*—The new draft Building Rules for non-extension areas recommended by the Special Building Rules

to notify in the prescribed manner the localities, divisions, wards, streets or portions of streets in their local areas which shall be reserved for residential purposes, with the approval both of the Director of Public Health and of the Director of Town-Planning, within one year from the commencement of the Act or within such further time as the Government may sanction. The Government sanctioned extension of time to all Urban Local Authorities till 31st March 1941 in G.O. No. 1064, P.H., dated 15th March 1940, and subsequently granted further extension of time till 31st March 1942 in G.O. No. 1325, P.H., dated 27th March 1941.

82. *Residential areas approved.*—During the year, the proposals of the Municipalities of Anantapur, Ootacamund, Virudunagar and Vizagapatam, of the City of Madras, and the Panchayats of Dharmapuri, Kotagiri and Tindivanam, specifying the areas reserved for residential purposes, were examined and approved by the department, with or without imposing restrictions, limitations and conditions, in accordance with section 90 of the Act. In certain cases, the local authorities included in their proposals, the declaration of industrial areas as part of the notification to be issued under section 89 of the Act, and this department pointed out to the local authorities that industrial areas should be declared separately in accordance with the instructions issued by Government in G.O. No. 1119, P.H., dated 7th June 1927, and the later Government Orders on the subject.

83. *Preparation of town maps showing residential areas.*—All municipalities and other Urban Local Authorities were advised to send up their proposals with proper town plans (in duplicate) showing the existing and proposed residential areas existing and proposed industrial areas and other existing prominent features such as water-courses, hills, and important public buildings, educational institutions, etc., and list of the proposed residential areas, so that the proposals may be examined and approved with due regard to the suitability of the areas to be reserved for residential purposes and to the future prospects of town development and improvement. The Urban authorities which are panchayats are generally handicapped on account of the absence of technical staff required for the preparation of town plans and consequently rapid progress could not be made in the notification of residential areas. The employment of a temporary surveyor to prepare the plans was recommended for Attur and Gobichettipalayam Panchayats.

84 *Repeal of Municipal Act Provisions.*—Consequent on the enactment of sections 98 and 99 of the Public Health Act, it was suggested by the Director of Public Health that section 238 of the District Municipalities Act and section 275 of the City Municipal Act, may be repealed; and when this was referred to this department for opinion, it was pointed out to Government that there is no need to repeal those sections as they are not repugnant to the provisions of the Public Health Act and as even otherwise the latter Act will prevail over the provisions of other Acts which shall be void to the extent of their repugnancy.

V.—NON-MUNICIPAL TOWN AND VILLAGE EXTENSIONS.

85. *Layouts for town and village extensions approved.*—During the year 52 layouts for town and village extensions intended for the poorer classes of people were furnished to the District Collectors and other Revenue Officers of the Presidency, 17 layouts were furnished to the Commissioner of Labour and District Labour Officers, four layouts to the Presidents of District or Panchayat Boards and two layouts to Co-operative Building Societies, as per details given below :—

(a) Layouts furnished to Collectors and other Revenue Officers.

Nellore District—	
Gudur	1
Iskapalle	2
Leburu	1
Coimbatore District—	
Kavandanpalayam	1
Gottely	1
Vidampatti	2
Kadathur	1
Kurichi	1
Gobichettipalayam	1
South Arcot District—	
Kodakkur	1
Koovanur	2
Tirukkoilur	1
Tirtanagari	2
Konnamangalam	1
Velakulam	1
Kilpadi	2
Vagai	1
Paiyur	2
Valianur	1
Cuddalore	1
Salem District—	
Mettupatti	2
Pagalahalle	1
Sornampatti	1
Kistna District—	
Aruthamuru	1
Chinakalapalle	1
Kistna District—cont.	
Linganapadu	1
Salempalarn	1
Korukallu	1
Vinjaram	1
Bezwacla	1
Guntur District—	
Koritapadu	1
Ramnada District—	
Amaravathi puthur	1
Servur	1
Kallupatti	1
Patnamkathan	1
West Godavari District—	
Elore	2
East Godavari District—	
Jaddangi	1
Chingleput District —	
Hanumanthapurteri	1
Ambattur	1
Madras District—	
Madras	2
Chittoor District—	
Amirthapuram	2
Vizagapatani District—	
Boddaru	1
Total	52

(b) Layouts furnished to Commissioner of Labour and District Labour Officers.

<i>Madras District—</i>					<i>Guntur District—cont.</i>				
<i>Madras</i>	..	..	..	3	Talluru	..	..	..	1
<i>Kistna District—</i>					Ratibanda	..	..	..	1
Ponnagaram	..	..	..	1	<i>Anantapur District—</i>				
Zamindintakuru,	..	..	..	1	Bommagondavahalli	..	..	..	1
Vanukur	..	..	..	1	Chowhur	..	..	..	1
Chinahallapalli	..	..	..	1	<i>Tanjore District—</i>				
<i>Guntur District—</i>					Elangadu	..	..	..	1
Ranikampad	..	..	..	1	Alathur	..	..	..	1
Chemalanadi	..	..	..	1					
Narasayapaalem	..	..	..	1				Total ..	17
Karikipadu	..	..	..	1					—

(c) Layouts furnished to Presidents of Panchayats and District Boards.

<i>Salem District—</i>		<i>East Godavari District—cont.</i>	
Dharmapuri	1	Krishnapatam	1
<i>East Godavari District—</i>		<i>Vizagapatam District—</i>	
Durgada	1	Alipur Estate	1

(d) Layouts furnished to Co-operative Building Societies.

Tiruvannamalai House Building Society	1
Woddar Labour Building Society	1

86. All layouts furnished by the department were prepared with due regard to town and village planning principles making provision for the density of houses or huts ranging from 10 to 20 to the acre, streets of width 20 to 30 feet giving access to the sites and connecting with an existing cart-track or public main road, and reserving suitable sites for wells, latrines, schools, playgrounds, and other communal or public purposes, according to the Boards Standing Orders, as circumstances may dictate. Suitable town-planning conditions in regard to the use of sites, front, side and rear spaces about buildings, draining of sites, etc., were also specified in the case of each layout for incorporation in the deeds of sale, lease or assignment of sites. There is no information in the department about the progress made in the execution of these layouts which were till now furnished to the several authorities, and as to the steps taken to enforce the conditions imposed by the department, as no reports are made by any of the authorities to the department.

VI.—NOTIFICATION OF INDUSTRIAL AREAS BY MUNICIPALITIES AND PANCHAYATS.

87. *Industrial areas notified or approved.*—One hundred and twenty cases of proposals with plans of industrial areas submitted by the municipalities and panchayats mentioned in the list given below were examined by the department during the year and approved (except in two or three cases) with or without conditions communicating the orders of the department with copies of approved industrial area plans to the local authorities and the concerned District Health Officers; 26 of the cases related to municipalities and 94 related to panchayats.

(a) MUNICIPALITIES.

Bezwada.	Nandyal.	Tirupur.
Cannanore.	Narasaraopet.	Tuticorin.
Conjeeveram.	Rajahmundry.	Tanjore.
Dharapuram.	Mangalore.	Tirupati.
Madras City.	Palghat.	Trichinopoly.
Chicacole.	Pollachi.	Vellore.
Kumbakonam.	Periakulam.	Vizagapatam.
Kurnool.	Sivakasi.	Mayavaram.

(b) PANCHAYATS.

<i>Anantapur District—</i>	<i>West Godavari District—</i>	<i>Madura District—cont.</i>
Kalyandrug.	Madavayapalem.	Keelanagaram.
Pamidi.	Akivedu.	Cumbum.
<i>Chingleput District—</i>	Kaikavaram.	Melur.
Tiruvallur.	Undi.	Tanarakulam.
Poonamalle?	Gunpudi.	Usilampatti.
<i>Chittoor District—</i>	Tanuku.	<i>North Arcot District—</i>
Tiruttani.	Undrajavaram.	Sholingur.
Amutapuram.	Bhimavaram.	Thuttipet.
Perunalapalle.	Narasapur.	Wandiwaah.
<i>Coimbatore District—</i>	<i>Nellore District—</i>	Pernampet.
Udayankulam.	Venkatagiri.	Kalambur.
Palladam.	<i>Tirunelveli District—</i>	Sumaithangi.
Sulur.	Ambasamudram.	Visharam.
Kollegal.	Melapalayam.	Alangiam.
Attavanai.	<i>Guntur District—</i>	Chetput.
Pidangu.	Pedavdilapudi.	<i>Salem District—</i>
Mukari.	Valiveru.	Basur.
Chennimalai.	Vemur.	Taramangalam.
Gobichettipalayam.	Dandamuli.	Rasipuram.
Vetaikaranpudur.	Diggara.	Karimangalam.
Anamalai.	Nandivelugu.	<i>Trichinopoly District—</i>
<i>Vizagapatam District—</i>	Vinukonda.	Turayur.
Narasapatam.	Kollur.	Kulittalai.
Palaconda.	Batiripolu.	Sirudayur.
<i>East Godavari District—</i>	Garikipad.	Aravankurichi.
Vegayampeta.	Arunbata.	Musiri.
Kothapeta.	<i>Kistna District—</i>	Manachanallur.
Peddapalli.	Kaikalur.	Pulambadi.
Chellapalli.	<i>Madura District—</i>	Fayankondan.
Yerapothavaram.	Avasiapuram.	<i>Tanjore District—</i>
Ramachandrapur.	Velalapatti.	Maruthangudi.
Teki.	Chinnamanur.	Pudupatnam.
Anaparti.	Nilakkotai.	Arantangi.
Kunduru.	Batlagundu.	Aduthurai.
Mardapeta.	Andipatti.	Kodavasal.
Amalapuram.		

88. *Installations in non-industrial areas.*—In addition to the above, 26 cases of installations of mills or factories run by electric motor of horse-power not exceeding 20 and in certain cases more in non-industrial areas in Periyakulam, Conjeeveram, Gudiyattam, Tanjore, Tenali, Tirupattur, Proddatur, Chidambaram, Cocanada, Madura, Ootacamund, Bezwada and Vizagapatam Municipalities and Cumbum, Batlagunta and Lalgudi Panchayats, were examined by the department during the year. Of these, 15 were approved by the department or Government the rest being refused. The Madras Corporation Health Officer's proposal to permit new electric motors up to 50 horse-power in non-industrial areas was not accepted by the department and he was informed that the horse-power of motors permitted in non-industrial areas should be limited to 20 in accordance with the Government orders issued in this regard, and that particularly the workshops and printing presses located in narrow streets and in congested residential areas might be got removed to industrial areas or to other suitable places.

89. During the year, the Government issued a set of consolidated instructions in their Order No. 4054, P.H., dated 3rd September 1940, for being observed by all municipalities and panchayats in granting

permission for first installation or renewal or replacement where industrial areas had been demarcated, for removal of factories from non-industrial to industrial areas and for establishment of petty trades up to 5 horse-power for non-offensive purposes.

90. As far as information is available in the department the municipalities of Tellicherry, Srivilliputtur, Rajapalayam, Periyakulam, Masulipatam, Devakottai, Cuddapah, Chicacole, Calicut and Anakapalle had not fixed or notified for their towns industrial areas up to the end of the year. A large number of urban and rural panchayats had also not fixed or notified the industrial areas for their towns and villages.

VII.—OTHER MATTERS CONNECTED WITH TOWN-PLANNING.

91. According to G.O. No. 1568, P.H., dated 17th August 1927, all building schemes undertaken by the municipalities in the Province costing Rs. 2,500 and more should be treated as part of the general town policy and therefore should be referred to the Director of Town-Planning for technical advice in regard to the location, arrangement and appearance of buildings. During the year 10 building schemes of municipalities as per details given below were scrutinized and advice given with layout plans and designs of buildings :—

(i) Dharapuram Municipality		Municipal Office—Layout plan and design.
(ii) Coimbatore Municipality		Child Welfare Centre—Layout plan.
(iii) Do. do.		R.S. Puram High School—Layout plan.
(iv) Do. do.		Daily Market and Conservancy Depot and Area Improvement scheme—Layout plan.
(v) Do. do.		Municipal Office Compound Improvement and Electrical Engineer's Office Building—Layout plan and design.
(vi) Tuticorin Municipality		Clock Tower for Municipal Office—Design.
(vii) Salem Municipality		Periyeri High School—Layout plan and design.
(viii) Do. do.		Hostel in Choultry Compound—Layout.
(ix) Tiruvannamalai Municipality		Site for Vegetable Market—Layout.
(x) Reconstruction of Infantry Lines, Puraswalkam, Madras.		
Public Works Department.		

92. Twelve cases of Government building schemes, public parks by-pass roads, etc., were examined and layouts furnished where necessary by the department during the year as noted below :—

- (i) Park in Tenali Municipality;
- (ii) park in Kumbakonam Municipality;
- (iii) preservation of area round Municipal office (Hospet);
- (iv) school, part and playground reservation in town extension Vaniyambadi;
- (v) proposed Bazaar road in Madanapalle town;
- (vi) shifting of weekly market, Usilampatti;
- (vii) new trunk road extension scheme, Hospet;
- (viii) trunk road diversion scheme, Virudunagar;
- (ix) trunk road diversion scheme, Villupuram;
- (x) trunk road by-pass realignment, Karur;
- (xi) trunk road by-pass deviation, Srivilliputtur; and
- (xii) trunk road by-pass alignment, Proddatur.

93. The question of leasing or licensing of canal margins for building and other purposes was considered by the department as a general matter of town-planning on a reference from the Ellore Municipal Commissioner and reported to Government pointing out the need for their preservation in the interests of town-planning and amenity and the Government passed orders on the subject in G.O. No. 1248, P.W.D., dated 18th June 1940.

94. The question of protection of foreshore in coastal towns and villages against future spoilation by the absence of proper town-planning control was also considered by the department and report made to Government during the year. The question of control of buildings on local board road margins in urban panchayat areas was raised by the President of the South Kanara District Board and was considered by the department. The President was informed that only by extending the provisions of the Municipalities Act relating to streets and buildings that the necessary control can be had and that it will be also necessary to see that panchayats are constituted in all urban non-panchayat areas in advance.

95. *Housing and economic survey in municipalities.*—The Superintendent of Census Operations suggested in consultation with this department that detailed information as to the population and housing conditions in each survey block should be compiled by all municipalities which are town-surveyed, pointing out that such information is the minimum prerequisite for the carrying out of town-planning operations in the Presidency. The suggestions were commended by Government in G.Os. No. 2845, P.H., dated 29th June 1940, and No. 193, P.H., dated 6th January 1941. It was also proposed by the department to all municipalities to undertake at an early date a municipal survey of the housing and economic conditions in accordance with the form prescribed by the department based on the house lists specified for the census.

96. *Other matters.*—The department dealt with several other references also, made by the municipalities and other local bodies relating to, e.g., fixing of building lines and street alignments, splaying or rounding off corners at junctions of streets and roads, encroachments onto Government or municipal lands, declaration of hutting areas, slum improvements, declaration of private streets as public, location of public latrines, cart-stands, etc. In all new layouts for development of private, municipal or Government land, building lines were invariably prescribed. In all cases of applications for buildings at corners the splaying or rounding of buildings and compound walls were usually insisted. In cases of declaration of private streets as public, the executive authorities were advised to see that the provision of sections 176 to 178 of the District Municipalities Act or sections 216 to 218 of the City Municipal Act were fully complied with by the owners and occupiers of land. By the strict enforcement of the Building Rules encroachments into streets, public and other Government lands were generally avoided. Wherever suitable Government or municipal land

and abandoned tanks were available, they were reserved and directed to be improved for the purposes of public playing fields. Suggestions were also made from time to time in the inspection reports made by the officers of the department for a number of miscellaneous improvements of towns to improve health, amenity and beauty.

97. During the year the department prepared or approved 380 plans and maps, furnished 700 blue print and other copies of plans. For 110 special copies of blue prints supplied to local authorities a sum of Rs. 123 was recovered and credited to Government account.

VIII.—TOWN-PLANNING DEPARTMENT—ESTABLISHMENT AND EXPENDITURE.

Personnel.

98. The Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards was Ex officio Director of Town-Planning. Till 24th December 1940, S. Ranganathan, Esq., O.B.E., I.C.S., was Ex officio Director when Sri Rao Bahadur S. G. Grubb, B.A., took charge and the latter remained till 21st July 1941.

99. Sri R. D. N. Simham, B.E., M.E. (Hons.), F.I.A.A., A.M.T.P.I. was Personal Assistant to the Director of Town-Planning. Sri R. D. N. Simham was a Lecturer in Town-Planning to the B.S.Sc. and Licentiate in Public Health classes at the Madras Medical College. He was a Lecturer in Town-Planning to the candidates undergoing special courses of training for Executive Officers of municipalities and Special Officers of panchayats. He was also a member of the Board of Studies in Architecture and Drawing of the University of Madras. He served as also a member of the Corporation Ad-Hoc Committee for considering the question of levying increment tax on properties within the City of Madras and attended the meetings of the Corporation Standing Committee—Health or fixing residential and industrial areas for the City and the owners' meetings convened from time to time by the Corporation Standing Committee—Town-Planning and Improvement for explaining and discussing with the owners and other interested persons the provisions of the City Town-Planning Schemes.

100. Sri R. D. N. Simham was on tour for 22 days during the year and also made frequent visits within the City of Madras in connexion with Corporation proposals under town-planning schemes, layouts of private, municipal and Government lands, and applications for buildings within town-planning areas.

Establishment.

101. The same subordinate technical and clerical staff consisting of one town-planning assistant, two draughtsmen, three tracers, one clerk and one typist continued during the year. There was also one temporary blue printer for blue printing work. The town-planning assistant was sent on inspection work for 35 days.

102. Proposals were made for increase of staff to cope with the heavy work in the department and for the preparation of a Town-Planning Manual. The Government sanctioned about the end of the year one upper division clerk and one typist for the office work for one year, and one upper division clerk for the preparation of the Manual for six months. The appointments of these additional clerks and typist were not made during the year.

Expenditure.

103. The total expenditure of the department during the year was Rs. 19,288.

	Rs.
(i) Pay of officers (Personal Assistant only)	6,000
(ii) Pay of establishment	10,750
(iii) Travelling and other compensatory allowances	952
(iv) Contingent expenses including service postage stamps, electric charges, books and periodicals, rent for office premises	1,586
Total	19,288

104. The office was shifted to a private rented building at Mount Road, Madras, on 1st May 1940, along with the Office of the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards. The proportionate rent for the portion occupied by this office was Rs. 86 per month.

K. RAMUNNI MENON,
Ex officio Director of Town-Planning, Madras.

APPENDIX I.

LIST OF PANCHAYATS UNDER THE OPERATION OF TOWN-PLANNING ACT AND DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT.

N.B.—Town-Planning Act—All provisions of the Town-Planning Act extended.
District Municipalities Act—Chapters IX and X and relevant provisions of the District Municipalities Act extended.
Italicised—Towns brought under the operation of the Acts during the year.
Asterisk—Town-Planning authorities are district board and its president.

Serial number, district and panchayat town.	Town-Planning Act in operation from the date.	District Municipalities Act in operation from the date.	Serial number, district and panchayat town.	Town-Planning Act in operation from the date.	District Municipalities Act in operation from the date.
Anantapur District.			Kurnool District.		
1 Bukkapatnam ..	..	(1) 15-7-39	39 Atmakur ..	(27) 3-2-38	(33) 3-2-38
2 Guntakal ..	..	(2) 13-12-21	40 Markapur ..	(28) 28-6-37	(34) 28-6-37
3 Kadiri ..	(1) 3-2-38	(3) 3-2-38	41 Nandikotkur ..	(29) 7-5-37	(35) 7-5-37
4 Uravakonda ..	..	(4) 25-1-33	42 Polur ..	(30) 28-6-37	(36) 28-6-37
Arcot (North) District.			Madura District.		
5 Arkonam ..	(2) 5-1-38	(5) 5-1-38	43 Allinagaram ..	(31) 23-8-38	(37) 23-8-38
6 Polur ..	(3) 29-6-37	(6) 29-6-37	44 * Usilampatti ..	(32) 25-8-26	..
Arcot (South) District.			Nellore District.		
7 * Vriddhachalam ..	(4) 9-4-24	..	45 Gudur ..	(33) 30-8-39	(38) 30-8-39
Chingleput District.			46 Sulerpet ..	(34) 30-7-38	(39) 30-7-38
8 Alandur ..	..	(7) 20-9-35	47 Venkatagiri ..	(35) 25-8-39	(40) 25-8-39
9 Sembiam ..	..	(8) 6-3-34	Nilgiri District.		
10 * Tambaram ..	(5) 5-2-24	(9) 4-10-32	48 Kotagiri ..	(36) 3-2-38	(41) 3-2-38
11 Tiruvotiyur ..	..	(10) 17-11-36	Ramnad District.		
Chittoor District.			49 Aruppukottai ..	(37) 13-8-27	(42) 13-8-27
12 Madanapalle ..	(6) 20-10-29	(11) 29-10-29	50 Kandamur ..	(38) 10-11-28	(43) 10-11-28
13 Tirutani ..	(7) 10-9-40	(12) 10-9-40	51 Seitur ..	(39) 18-12-28	(44) 18-12-28
Coimbatore District.			52 Paramagudi ..	(40) 18-11-29	(45) 16-12-31
14 Avanashi ..	..	(13) 5-3-37	53 Ramnad ..	..	(46) 24-2-39
15 Ganapathi ..	(8) 26-8-32	(14) 20-1-32	54 Sattur ..	..	(47) 13-9-32
16 Peelamedu ..	(9) 26-8-32	(15) 20-1-32	55 Sivaganga ..	(41) 3-12-34	..
17 * Kollegal ..	(10) 2-5-22	..	Salem District.		
18 Mettupalaiyam ..	..	(16) 19-11-37	56 Dharmapuri ..	(42) 3-9-38	(48) 3-9-38
19 Sattamangalam ..	(11) 12-7-40	(17) 12-7-40	57 Shevaroyis ..	(43) 1-3-39	(49) 1-3-39
20 Singanailur ..	..	(18) 19-11-37	South Kanara District.		
21 Valparai ..	(12) 4-9-35	..	58 Coondapoor ..	(44) 21-2-38	(50) 21-2-38
Cuddapah District.			59 Karkal ..	(45) 21-2-38	(51) 21-3-38
22 Badvel ..	..	(19) 25-4-38	60 Puttur ..	(46) 8-3-38	(52) 8-3-38
23 Jammalamadugu ..	(13) 16-12-37	(20) 16-12-37	Tanjore District.		
Godavari (East) District.			61 Koothanallur ..	..	(53) 18-12-34
24 Amalapuram ..	(14) 6-9-38	(21) 6-9-38	62 * Nannilam ..	(47) 13-12-23	..
25 Pithapuram ..	(15) 9-9-36	(22) 2-10-36	63 * Papanasam ..	(48) 1-11-21	..
26 Mamidada ..	..	(23) 23-1-41	64 * Pattukkottai ..	(49) 1-11-21	(54) 29-6-26
27 Tuni ..	(16) 23-5-38	(24) 23-5-38	Trichinopoly District.		
Godavari (West) District.			65 * Ariyalur ..	(50) 5-10-25	..
28 Kovvur ..	(17) 11-8-37	(25) 4-8-38	66 * Jayankondam ..	(51) 20-9-37	..
29 Nidadavolu ..	(18) 14-2-27	(26) 23-5-33	67 * Lalgudi ..	(52) 12-8-25	..
30 Penunkonda ..	(19) 3-2-38	(27) 3-2-38	68 * Manapparai ..	(53) 14-12-22	(55) 15-8-25
31 Tadepalligudem ..	(20) 2-8-33	(28) 17-1-38	Tirunelveli District.		
32 Tanuku ..	(21) 31-3-37	(29) 31-3-37	69 * Ambasamudram ..	(54) 16-12-25	..
Guntur District.			70 Courtallam ..	(55) 13-5-41	(56) 13-5-41
33 * Bapatla ..	(22) 24-1-25	(30) 21-11-38	71 Kadayannallur ..	(56) 1-2-28	..
34 Chirala ..	..	(31) 10-4-40	72 Kovilpatti ..	(57) 31-7-35	(57) 11-2-37
35 Mangalagiri ..	(23) 2-8-35	..	73 * Puliyangudi ..	(58) 31-5-27	..
36 * Repalle ..	(24) 23-7-26	..	74 Sankaranakoyil ..	(59) 31-3-35	(58) 1-12-37
Kistna District.			75 * Tenkasi ..	(60) 16-8-28	..
37 Pamarru ..	(25) 11-1-28	..	76 Vikramasingapuram ..	(61) 9-4-40	(59) 9-4-40
38 Pedana ..	(26) 23-5-38	(32) 23-5-38	Vizagapatam District.		
			77 Chodavaram ..	(62) 26-2-40	(60) 26-2-40
			78 Narasannapet ..	(63) 31-7-31	(61) 23-5-33
			79 Palakonda ..	(64) 3-2-38	(62) 8-2-38
			80 Salur ..	(65) 7-5-37	(63) 7-5-37
			81 * Tekkali ..	(66) 23-5-27	..

APPENDIX II.

PROGRESS OF DETAILED TOWN-PLANNING SCHEMES UP TO END OF MARCH 1941.

N.B.—I.D.C.—Interim development controlled under section 17 of the Town-Planning Act.
T.P.S.—Draft Town-Planning Scheme and map furnished or approved by the Department.
S.S.E.—Scheme sanctioned and being enforced or carried out.
S.P.S.—Scheme published and pending submission.
S.R.R.—Scheme returned for revision or reconsideration.
S.R.R.—Scheme returned for revision or reconsideration.
S.R.R.—Scheme returned for revision or reconsideration.
Italicised—Scheme newly taken up during the year.
Asterisk—Scheme submitted for sanction during the year to Government.
A.A.—Arbitrator appointed and demarcation and betterment decided or taken up.

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme, 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector, 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent. ACS.
1. Adoni Municipality.						
1 Scheme No. 1 ..	13-11-34	25-11-35	9-3-36	18-4-39	S.S.E.; A.A. ...	184
2 * Do. No. 2 ..	24-12-37	3-4-39	25-4-40	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R. ...	107
3 * Do. No. 3 ..	24-12-37	3-4-39	25-5-40	..	Do. ...	60
2. Anakapalle Municipality.						
4 Nidanamoddi Scheme ..	28-11-22	..	..	31-5-27	S.S.E.; A.A. ...	63
5 Woodpeta Ward (Blocks 12, and 14).	26-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S. ...	34
3. Anantapur Municipality.						
6 Madras Road Bypass ..	10-9-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S. Barred	9
7 Bangalore Bypass ..	10-9-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	72
8 Tadpatri Road Bypass ..	10-9-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	..
9 Scheme No. 1 ..	10-8-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	721
10 Do. No. 2 ..	10-8-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	..
11 Do. No. 3 ..	10-8-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	..
4. Bellary Municipality.						
12 Wardlaw School Area ..	26-10-36	..	..	..	Not proceeded with ..	..
13 Goulbada Extension ..	20-7-32	..	..	..	Do. ...	..
14 Satyanarayana Extension ..	10-12-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Renotified ..	51
15 Pathakindakam Extension ..	1-5-32	..	..	..	Not proceeded with ..	..
16 New Municipal Extension ..	10-6-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Being prepared	163
17 Fort Area Scheme ..	10-6-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	136
18 Northern Extension ..	5-9-39	..	..	..	Do. ...	486
19 Cowl Bazaar Area ..	20-12-40	..	..	..	Do. ...	18
5. Bezawada Municipality.						
20 * Scheme No. 1—Part 1.	25-7-27	15-1-38	26-8-40	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R. ...	1,886
21 * Do. No. 1—Part 2.	25-7-27	15-1-38	26-8-40	..	I.D.C.; In the department.	575
22 Do. No. 2 ..	25-7-27	15-12-40	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S. ...	1,046
23 Do. No. 3 ..	25-7-27	15-12-40	..	..	Do. ...	322
24 Do. No. 4 ..	25-7-27	15-12-40	..	..	No progress ..	2,125
25 Do. No. 5 ..	25-7-27	..	..	..	..	..
6. Bodinayakanur Municipality.						
26 Kosavapalayam Extension ..	17-7-35	15-7-37	2-11-38	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R. ...	98
27 Railway Station Area ..	17-7-35	15-7-37	2-11-38	..	Do. ...	99
28 Nadupatti Extension ..	17-7-35	15-7-37	2-11-38	..	Do. ...	66
7. Calicut Municipality.						
29 * Scheme No. 1 ..	26-11-29	9-1-37	31-8-41	..	I.D.C.; Submitted ..	126
30 Kotaparamba Improvement.	15-3-37	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Barred ..	68
8. Chingleput Municipality.						
31 Gundur Fields Extension ..	25-11-27	6-3-32	26-5-32	1-8-33	S.S.E.; A.A. ...	25
9. Chittoor Municipality.						
32 Vanjar Block No. 1 ..	13-10-22	..	..	25-9-23	S.S.E.; A.A.; Completed	6
33 Do. No. 2 ..	6-2-23	..	..	27-11-23	S.S.E.; A.A. ...	9
34 Hospital Side Extension ..	26-6-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation.	12
35 Gandlapalle Extension ..	26-6-40	..	..	..	Do. ...	67
36 Gandhi Road Extension ..	26-6-40	..	..	..	Do. ...	28

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme, 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector, 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent. ACS.
10. Cocanada Municipality.						
37 Neelams Garden Area ..	19-12-35	7-12-37	18-12-37	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	7
38 Ramaraopet Extension ..	6-4-38	9-3-39	30-3-39	7-1-41	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	24
39 * Yendrapet Extension ..	9-8-37	24-7-39	5-8-39	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	12
40 Perarajupet Extension ..	20-2-41	..	..	..	Declared and not notified	14
11. Cochin Municipality.						
41 Scheme No. 1 ..	28-7-34	25-7-36	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	167
42 Do. No. 2 ..	28-7-34	25-7-36	24-2-39	..	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	16
43 Do. No. 3 ..	28-7-34	25-7-36	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	32
12. Coimbatore Municipality.						
44 Red Fields Scheme ..	20-12-27	..	..	10-4-34	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	317
45 Ramanathapuram Scheme ..	20-12-27	..	..	10-4-34	Do. ..	268
46 Papanaikepalaiyam Scheme ..	20-12-27	..	..	10-4-34	Do. ..	174
47 Scheme No. 4 ..	20-12-27	26-1-31	27-4-31	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	696
48 Do. No. 5 ..	24-7-34	18-8-36	20-11-36	..	Do. ..	685
49 Do. No. 6 ..	14-1-41	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	296
50 Do. No. 7 ..	14-1-41	..	..	..	Do. ..	110
13. Conjeevaram Municipality.						
51 Railway Station Area ..	18-12-28	14-9-39	29-3-40	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	80
52 Reddipet Extension ..	4-1-39	6-7-40	1-1-41	..	I.D.C. Submitted	60
53 Vaikuntaperumal Extension ..	4-1-39	6-7-40	1-1-41	..	Do. ..	50
54 Lalathotam Extension ..	30-3-35	..	..	..	Not proceeded with ..	..
14. Coonoor Municipality.						
55 Alwarpet Extension ..	17-10-36	..	..	..	Not proceeded with ..	6
15. Dindigul Municipality.						
56 No. 1 Aramansaikulam Extension ..	6-9-32	23-8-38	23-3-39	..	I.D.C.; Resubmitted	20
57 No. 2 Sourashtrapuram ..	6-9-32	23-8-38	4-4-39	..	Do. ..	5
58 No. 3 Dindigul West ..	6-9-32	30-8-34	25-3-35	31-6-38	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	5
59 No. 4 Pensioner Street North ..	6-9-32	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	10
60 No. 5 Paraikulam ..	6-12-32	22-5-39	8-12-39	..	I.D.C. Resubmitted	5
61 No. 6 Railway Area ..	..	..	..	..	Not proceeded with ..	..
62 No. 7 Bodinayakanpatti ..	6-9-32	22-5-39	4-10-39	..	I.D.C.; Resubmitted	7
63 Palni Railway line Area ..	13-2-37	..	..	..	To be renofified	48
64 Race course Road Area ..	13-2-37	..	..	..	Do. ..	15
16. Ellore Municipality.						
65 No. 1 Narasimharaopet ..	19-2-29	12-8-37	30-12-38	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	8
66 No. 2 Ramachandrapuram ..	19-2-29	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	23
67 No. 3 Venavallivari ..	19-2-29	16-6-38	30-12-38	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	1
68 No. 4 Ramanagaram ..	8-5-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	2
69 No. 5 Narasimharaopet ..	8-5-39	..	..	..	Do. ..	8
70 No. 6 Piranguladibba ..	13-5-41	..	..	..	Do. ..	16
71 No. 7 Gollagudem ..	3-10-39	..	..	..	Do. ..	2
72 No. 8 Kothapet Extension ..	30-3-38	..	..	..	To be renofified	..
17. Erode Municipality.						
73 Scheme No. 1 ..	12-11-35	22-7-36	17-6-37	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	36
74 Do. No. 2 ..	12-11-35	22-7-36	12-6-37	..	Do. ..	26
75 Do. No. 3 ..	12-11-35	22-7-36	12-6-37	..	Do. ..	9
76 Do. No. 4 ..	12-11-35	22-7-36	12-6-37	..	Do. ..	24
77 Do. No. 5 ..	7-6-38	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	36
18. Gudivada Municipality.						
78 South-east Area ..	31-3-41	..	..	..	I.D.C. Under preparation	..
79 North-west Area ..	31-3-41	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
80 North-east Area ..	31-3-41	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
19. Guntur Municipality.						
81 Brodiapeta Area ..	4-12-39	..	..	..	I.D.C. Under preparation	80
82 Central Area ..	4-12-39	..	..	..	Do. ..	7
83 Nagarampalem Extension ..	4-12-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	1
84 Nallapad Extension ..	4-12-39	..	..	..	I.D.C. Under preparation	84
85 Kothapeta Area ..	4-12-39	..	..	..	Do. ..	81
86 Arundelpet Area ..	4-12-39	..	..	..	Do. ..	56

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme, 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector, 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent. ACS.
20. Hindupur Municipality.						
87 High School Extension ..	8-1-35	8-1-37	6-7-37 3-8-40	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	304
88 East Town Extension ..	3-2-37	..	..	..	To be renofified	140
21. Hospet Municipality.						
89 Patel Nagar Extension ..	4-12-36	9-12-38	17-2-40	..	I.D.C.; Submitted.	300
90 Jambunath Feeder Road ..	3-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	60
91 Amaravathi Extension ..	3-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation	221
92 New Trunk Road Extension ..	3-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	172
22. Karaikudi Municipality.						
93 Railway Station Area—Part 1 ..	26-7-32	13-3-37	20-3-39	..	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	476
94 Railway Station Area—Part 2 ..	26-7-32	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation	1,054
95 Meenakshipuram Extension ..	26-7-32	..	..	..	Do. ..	290
96 Naganathapuram Extension ..	26-7-32	..	..	..	Do. ..	410
97 Kalanivasal—Part 1 ..	..	..	..	..	To be notified	..
98 Do. Part 2 ..	..	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
99 Senjai Extension ..	..	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
23. Karur Municipality.						
100 West Pradakshinam Extension ..	22-10-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	42
101 Trunk Road Bypass Scheme ..	14-8-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation	6
102 New Taluk Cutchery Road South Extension ..	24-9-40	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
24. Kodaikanal Municipality.						
103 French Lands Scheme ..	23-2-38	24-11-38	25-3-39	26-11-40	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	10
25. Kumbakonam Municipality.						
104 Scheme No. 1 ..	24-1-28	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	294
105 Do. No. 2 ..	24-1-28	..	..	..	Do. ..	247
26. Kurnool Municipality.						
106 Gareeb Basha Extension (S. 809-B) ..	16-1-37	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	20
107 Sunkal Bagh Area ..	..	..	..	..	To be notified	..
108 Scheme No. 1 ..	20-3-39	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
27. Madras City.						
109 Scheme No. 2 (S. 1817 Area) ..	..	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
110 Scheme No. 3 ..	..	..	..	..	Do. ..	..
111 S. No. 47-1 Puliyur ..	2-12-24	..	..	28-7-25	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	6
112 Mambalam East Extension ..	25-3-24	..	..	10-2-25	Do. ..	623
113 Greater Mambalam Scheme ..	27-9-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	1,196
114 Nungambakkam West—Part 1 ..	7-10-30	6-8-34	29-3-35	..	I.D.C.; Submitted	93
115 Nungambakkam West—Part 2 ..	7-10-30	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	163
116 Nungambakkam East ..	29-5-28	18-10-35	30-3-36	24-1-39	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	313
117 Mylapore East ..	19-8-30	8-7-35	30-8-35	21-11-39	Do. ..	239
118 Cathedral Road, South ..	19-8-30	17-4-35	31-10-35	13-12-38	Do. ..	83
119 Lloyds Road Area ..	19-8-30	2-7-35	16-3-36	8-11-38	Do. ..	118
120 Nungambakkam, North ..	14-8-34	3-1-39	7-12-39	..	I.D.C.; Submitted	116
121 Nungambakkam, Central ..	14-8-34	30-4-40	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	128
122 Mylapore, South ..	14-8-34	30-3-39	..	..	Do. ..	428
123 Thousand Lights Area ..	14-8-34	21-12-38	17-11-38	7-1-41	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	29
124 Jagannathapuram Area ..	14-8-34	21-2-38	27-3-39	18-2-41	Do. ..	57
125 Kilpauk Garden Road Area ..	14-8-34	30-3-38	27-3-39	..	I.D.C.; Submitted	60
126 * Tondiarpet No. 1 ..	14-8-34	11-6-40	28-11-40	..	Do. ..	94
127 Do. No. 2 ..	14-8-34	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	228
128 Do. No. 3 ..	14-8-34	..	..	..	Do. ..	364
129 Mylapore, West ..	14-8-34	..	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	182

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme, 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector, 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent.
27. Madras City—cont.						
130 Mylapore, South-east ..	1-8-39	15-6-38	4-12-39	3-3-41	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	85
131 Perambur, North ..	12-10-37	..	..	..	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	17
132 Harness and Saddle Factory Area.	8-6-37	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	21
133 Perambur High Road Extension.	28-4-38	25-4-40	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	15
134 Kilpauk Garden Road Further Extension.	12-11-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	19
135 Egmore Harrington Road Area.	30-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	18
136 Teynampet Improvement Scheme.	30-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	25
137 Mylapore, North ..	30-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	24
138 Vyasarpadi Area No. 1 ..	30-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	29
139 Do. No. 2 ..	30-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	12
140 Do. No. 3 ..	30-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	33
141 Tondiarpet Railway-affected Area No. 1.	6-6-39	..	..	..	Do.	14
142 Tondiarpet Railway-affected Area No. 2.	6-6-39	..	..	..	Do.	14
143 Mylapore Sanskrit College Area.	6-11-39	..	..	..	Do.	11
144 Chetpet Area Scheme ..	3-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation ..	14
145 Poonamallee High Road Area.	3-1-40	..	..	..	Do.	11
146 Royapettah, East ..	23-2-40	..	..	..	Do.	7
147 North Poonamallee High Road Scheme.	30-4-40	..	..	..	Do.	22
148 Purasawakam North-west Area.	30-4-40	..	..	..	Do.	26
28. Madura Municipality.						
149 Vaigai South Bank ..	13-12-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	10
150 Ellisnagar Extension ..	13-12-27	..	..	..	Do.	38
151 Thaikai Block ..	15-11-32	..	..	..	Do.	..
152 West Ponnagaram, Part 1.	25-8-25	..	22-5-36	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	6
153 West Ponnagaram, Part 2.	26-1-37	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	12
154 Sri Meenakshi Mills Area.	26-1-37	..	..	..	Do.	4
155 Chinna Sakkikulam ..	26-1-37	..	..	..	Do.	18
156 Ismailpuram Extension.	26-1-37	..	..	..	Do.	2
157 Kollambatral Block ..	15-11-32	..	25-2-37	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	..
158 Uppukara Block ..	15-11-32	..	10-11-36	..	Do.	..
159 South-west Extension, Part 1 (Kaziar tope).	13-12-27	..	10-11-36	..	Do.	6
160 Sakkikulam Extension ..	12-9-22	..	..	5-11-29	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	6
161 Eastern Extension, Part 1.	12-9-22	..	..	1-3-27	Do.	2
162 Eastern Extension, Part 2.	12-9-22	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	4
163 South-west Extension, Part 2.	13-12-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation ..	..
29. Mangalore Municipality.						
164 Market Ward, Block No. 10.	5-7-27	..	..	3-7-28	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	..
165 Guliga Hithlu Improvement.	14-2-31	..	..	28-11-33	Do.	1
166 Falnear Scheme ..	21-2-28	..	..	18-5-37	Do.	38
167 Railway and Nandiguda Road.	11-7-29	14-8-34	30-4-35	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	28
168 Kodialbail, East ..	5-6-28	16-7-32	31-1-33	..	Do.	38
169 Do. West ..	8-3-29	16-7-32	31-1-33	..	Do.	39
170 Court Ward Scheme ..	22-6-30	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	14
30. Masulipatam Municipality.						
171 Central Market and Robertson Square Improvement Scheme.	17-11-38	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation ..	..
31. Nandyal Municipality.						
172 Railway Station Area ..	25-3-41 (Resoln.)	..	..	..	Do.	77
173 Bypass Road Area ..	Do.	..	..	..	Do.	4
32. Narasaraopet Municipality.						
174 West Extension ..	3-10-40	..	..	..	Do.	16
175 South Extension ..	3-10-40	..	..	..	Do.	21

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme, 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector, 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent.
33. Negapatam Municipality.						
176 Scheme No. 1 ..	8-7-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation ..	462
177 Do. No. 2 ..	8-7-39	..	..	..	Do.	135
178 Do. No. 3 ..	8-7-39	..	..	..	Do.	133
179 Do. No. 4 ..	8-7-39	..	..	..	Do.	43
180 T.P. Scheme A ..	28-1-41 (Resoln.)	..	..	..	To be notified ..	51
181 Do. B ..	Do.	..	..	..	Do.	108
34. Nellore Municipality.						
182 A. V. Choultry Area ..	..	..	..	13-3-28	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	2
183 Gulam Ali Khanpet ..	..	..	..	29-5-28	Do.	17
184 Trunk Road, East ..	..	..	18-3-41	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	135
185 Owners' Scheme, S. 424 ..	13-12-34	31-3-35	..	..	Do.	10
186 Dandayuthapanipet ..	13-12-34	19-12-38	..	..	I.D.C.; Submitted ..	6
187 Railway Line, East ..	6-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation ..	130
188 Swayampukulavari Garden.	..	..	..	..	To be notified ..	..
35. Ootacamund Municipality.						
189 Greenfields, Exchange Hall and Agraharam.	17-9-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	40
190 Scheme No. 1 ..	18-4-29	..	..	..	Not proceeded with ..	..
191 Do. No. 2 ..	18-4-29	..	..	..	Do.	..
192 Kandal area ..	..	..	..	..	To be notified ..	..
193 Bazaar area ..	..	..	..	..	Do.	..
36. Palacoe Municipality.						
194 A. L. N. V. Garden Area.	6-4-22	..	..	31-8-26	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	3
195 Vemuvari Garden ..	6-4-22	..	..	4-1-27	Do.	2
196 Palivelavari Garden ..	6-4-22	..	..	4-1-27	Do.	2
37. Palamcottah Municipality.						
197 New Municipal Extension.	11-3-30	..	1-8-36	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	495
38. Palni Municipality.						
198 Railway Station Area ..	16-4-29	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	272
199 Subramanyapuram Extension.	29-2-40	..	..	..	Do.	..
39. Peddapuram Municipality.						
200 Weekly Market Area ..	11-7-36	..	..	..	To be renotified ..	153
40. Pollachi Municipality.						
201 Market Bypass ..	11-11-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation ..	189
202 Palladam Road Extension.	11-11-39	..	..	..	Do.	262
203 Kottur Road Extension.	11-11-39	..	..	..	Do.	86
41. Proddatur Municipality.						
204 Sreeramulupet Extension.	1-5-31	29-4-33	19-6-40	..	I.D.C.; submitted ..	35
42. Rajahmundry Municipality.						
205 Scheme No. 1 ..	26-1-26	28-3-36	25-6-37 and 20-4-40	..	Do.	234
206 Do. No. 2 ..	26-1-26	28-3-36	22-6-40	..	Do.	262
207 Do. No. 3 ..	26-1-26	28-3-36	25-6-37 and 19-9-40	..	Do.	31
208 Do. No. 4 (South Extension).	20-1-36	..	..	..	To be renotified ..	275
209 Do. No. 5 (North Extension).	20-1-36	..	..	..	Do.	262
43. Rajapalayam Municipality.						
210 Railway Station Area ..	19-11-26	..	..	1-3-32	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	371
211 Palayapalayam Area ..	6-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation ..	..
212 Pudupalayam Area ..	6-1-40	..	..	..	Do.	..
44. Saidapet Municipality.						
213 West Mambalam, Part 1.	9-1-36	26-5-37	12-10-37	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	222
214 Do. Part 2.	9-1-36	..	..	..	T.P.S.; to be renotified ..	222
215 Do. Part 3.	9-1-36	..	..	..	Do.	..

44

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent.
						ACS.
4. Saidapet Municipality—cont.						
216 West Mambalam, North (Kodambakkam).	28-2-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	173
217 Srinagar Area	24-1-39	..	..	..	Do.	..
45. Salem Municipality.						
218 South Maravaneri Extension.	31-1-21	..	..	21-9-23	S.S.E.; A.A.	20
219 Devangapuram	23-8-27	..	..	3-9-29	Do.	12
220 Logichetti Tank	23-8-27	..	..	18-2-30	Do.	20
221 Dadagapatti	18-10-27	..	..	7-1-30	Do.	57
222 Dadubakkuttai	23-8-27	..	..	4-2-30	Do.	27
223 Ammapet Extension	23-8-27	..	..	4-2-30	Do.	21
224 Gogai Extension	23-8-27	..	..	18-2-30	Do.	19
225 North Maravaneri	25-6-28	..	..	6-9-32	Do.	25
226 Kumarasampatti Extension.	26-6-28	..	..	6-3-39	Do.	117
227 East Railway Ammapet Area.	2-5-33	..	..	11-7-39	Do.	165
228 Periyeri Extension	9-11-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	169
46. Srirangam Municipality.						
229 Railway-affected Area, Part 1.	25-10-27	18-9-33	22-12-33	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	187
230 Railway-affected Area, Part 2.	25-10-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	500
231 Jambukeswaran Extension.	25-10-27	..	..	..	Not proceeded with	101
232 South Royagapuram Further Extension.	25-10-27	12-11-39	12-7-40	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	23
47. Srivilliputtur Municipality.						
233 Railway Station Area	7-6-27	..	..	1-7-30	S.S.E.; A.A.	353
48. Tadapatri Municipality.						
234 High School Extension	30-9-35	22-9-37	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	283
49. Tanjore Municipality.						
235 Niligiri Garden Extension.	26-2-38	..	..	..	To be renotified	175
50. Tenali Municipality.						
236 Ramasampet Extension.	30-8-32	4-6-37	19-9-40	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	79
51. Tirunelveli Municipality.						
237 No. 1 Town Station Area.	18-10-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	66
238 No. 2 Trunk Road bypass.	18-10-27	..	..	..	Do.	80
239 No. 3 High Road Extension.	18-10-27	..	..	..	Do.	286
52. Tirupati Municipality.						
240 Scheme No. 1	3-5-22	..	..	16-2-24	S.S.E.; A.A.	39
241 Do. No. 2 A	25-5-35	10-5-37	5-9-37	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	5
242 Do. No. 2 B	25-5-35	10-5-37	5-9-37	..	Do.	11
243 Do. No. 3	25-5-35	10-5-37	21-2-39	..	Do.	1
244 Do. No. 4	25-5-35	10-5-37	5-9-37	..	Do.	2
245 Do. No. 5	25-5-35	10-5-37	5-9-37	..	Do.	6
53. Tirupattur Municipality.						
246 No. 1 Railway Station Area.	8-2-39	..	..	..	To be renotified	227
247 No. 2 South-west Extension.	8-2-39	..	..	..	Do.	227
54. Tiruppur Municipality.						
248 Scheme No. 1	1-12-38	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	237
249 Do. No. 2	1-12-38	..	..	..	Do.	22
250 Do. No. 3	11-11-39	..	..	..	Do.	77
251 Do. No. 4	24-2-40	..	..	..	Do.	17
252 Do. No. 5	24-2-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation	1,004
55. Trichinopoly Municipality.						
253 Scheme No. 1	18-12-28	..	..	..	I.D.C.; under preparation	369
254 Do. No. 3	18-12-28	..	..	..	Do.	188
255 Do. No. 5	30-1-34	23-12-35	8-8-36	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	93

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme, 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector, 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government, 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent.
						ACS.
55. Trichinopoly Municipality—cont.						
256 Town Station Area, Part 1.	30-1-34	23-12-35	8-8-36	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	67
257 Do. Part 2.	30-1-34	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	134
258 Puthur West Extension.	30-1-34	..	..	..	Do.	172
259 Catholic Colony	1-1-29	..	..	..	Scheme not sanctioned	59
260 Salai Road Extension	24-3-38	..	..	..	To be renotified; T.P.S.	90
261 Cantonment Area	16-3-39	..	..	..	Do.	800
56. Tuticorin Municipality.						
262 Scheme A, Part 1	19-7-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Resubmitted	44
263 Do. A, Part 2	19-7-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	37
264 Do. B	19-7-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Revised layout under preparation.	399
265 Do. C, Part 1	19-7-27	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	332
266 Do. C, Part 2	19-7-27	..	..	..	Do.	332
267 New Melur Station Area.	4-3-30	..	..	..	Do.	28
268 Scheme D, North of Puckle Channel.	24-10-38	23-10-40	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	473
269 Owners' Scheme S. 3459-1.	24-10-38	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	3
57. Udipi Municipality.						
270 Central Ward Scheme	5-12-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	48
58. Vaniyambadi Municipality.						
271 Govindapuram Extension.	..	..	..	..	To be notified	..
59. Vellore Municipality.						
272 Velapadi Nallampattadai.	19-8-24	..	..	17-12-29	S.S.E.; A.A.	56
273 Sankaranapalayam Extension.	14-6-27	26-11-35	23-3-36	13-12-38	Do.	86
60. Villupuram Municipality.						
274 Narasimhapuram Extension.	18-10-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	80
275 Trunk Road Bypass	6-1-40	..	..	..	Do.	50
276 Kamalanagar Extension.	6-1-40	..	..	..	Do.	40
277 Harijan Colony Extension.	6-1-40	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation.	69
61. Virudunagar Municipality.						
278 Kathalampati Extension.	19-8-30	..	..	11-7-33	S.S.E.; A.A.	248
279 Trunk Road Bypass	31-10-39	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S.	..
280 Ramamurthy Road Extension.	31-10-39	..	..	..	Do.	..
62. Vizagapatam Municipality.						
281 Maharani-peta Ward, Block 4.	14-1-23	..	..	3-5-27	S.S.E.; A.A.	61
282 Chengalraopet Ward (Blocks 9, 10, etc.).	16-3-26	..	..	28-7-31	Do.	35
283 Maharani-peta (Excluding blocks 4, 10).	16-3-26	..	..	..	I.D.C.; S.R.R.	331
284 Waltair Ward	16-3-26	..	..	..	Do.	1,781
285 Market Ward	26-8-24	..	..	..	Do.	221
286 Alipur Ward	18-10-27	..	..	..	Do.	1,251
63. Vizianagaram Municipality.						
287 Kotha Agraharam	14-3-37	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
288 Maharani-peta Extension.	14-3-37	..	..	..	Do.	..
289 Dasannapet Extension	14-3-37	..	..	..	Do.	..
290 Yetagudem Improvement.	14-3-37	..	..	..	Do.	..
LOCAL BOARD SCHEMES.						
1. Ambasamudram Panchayat.						
291 Town Extension	22-10-27	..	..	9-12-30	Revoked	..
2. Aruppukottai Panchayat.						
292 North-east Extension	7-9-27	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
293 South-west Extension	7-9-27	..	..	..	Do.	..
3. Arkonam Panchayat.						
294 Sholingur Road Extension.	1-4-38	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
295 Northern Town Extension.	1-4-38	..	..	..	Do.	..

Serial number, local authority and name of scheme.	Notified S. 10/12, 1st stage.	Published draft scheme 2nd stage.	Submitted through Collector 3rd stage.	Sanctioned by Government 4th stage.	Remarks.	Extent. ACS.
A. Jammalamadugu Panchayat.						
296 North-east Extension ..	11-1-39	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
297 Southern Extension ..	11-1-39	..	..	..	Do.	..
5. Kadayanallur Panchayat.						
298 Krishnapuram Extension.	12-2-31	..	5-7-34	..	Not proceeded with ..	286
6. Trichinopoly District Board.						
299 Lalgudi Town Extension.	26-2-29	..	..	..	I.D.C.; T.P.S. Not proceeded with.	38
300 Manapara Seturatnapuram Extension.	14-12-22	..	..	8-9-25	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	4
7. Kovvur Panchayat.						
301 Railway Area Scheme ..	1-6-38	..	..	..	Under preparation ..	157
8. Nidadavolu Panchayat.						
302 Scheme No. 2 ..	25-6-36	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
303 Do. No. 3 ..	25-6-36	..	..	..	Do.	..
9. Tanjore District Board.						
304 Pattukottai Town Extension.	12-7-22	..	..	20-7-26	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	13
10. Peelamedu Panchayat.						
305 Scheme No. 1 ..	1-3-35	..	..	..	To be renotified	35
306 Do. No. 2 ..	1-3-35	..	..	..	Do.	127
11. Polur Panchayat.						
307 Railway Station Area ..	15-12-37	..	..	..	To be renotified	98
12. Sankaranakoil Panchayat.						
308 Railway Station Area ..	23-3-35	28-2-37	..	..	I.D.C.; S.P.S.	424
13. Tadepalligudem Panchayat.						
309 Extension North of Channel.	20-2-36	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
310 Extension South of Channel.	20-2-36	..	..	..	Do.	..
14. Chingleput District Board.						
311 Tambaram Town-Planning Scheme.	16-6-31	26-1-33	15-5-34	21-6-38	S.S.E.; A.A. ..	895
15. Tanuku Panchayat.						
312 Railway Station Area (Scheme No. 1).	25-10-37 (Resolu.)	..	..	..	To be notified	399
16. South Arcot District Board.						
313 Vriddhachalam Town-Extension (Gubbipet).	16-2-33	..	..	..	To be renotified	..
17. Allinagaram Panchayat.						
314 Allinagaram South Town-Planning Scheme.	11-3-41	..	..	..	I.D.C.; Under preparation.	250
315 Theni Town-Planning Scheme.	11-3-41	..	..	..	Do.	138

APPENDIX III.

PROGRESS OF GENERAL TOWN-PLANNING SCHEMES (OBLIGATORY UNDER THE TOWN-PLANNING ACT.)

N.B.—E.N.M.—Explanatory note and maps 1 and 2 submitted.
R.R.R.—Returned for revision with remarks of the Department.
Asterisk—E.N.M. returned with remarks of the department during the year.
G.T.P.S.—Draft General Town-Planning Scheme.
Italicised—E.N.M. submitted during the year.

Serial number and municipal town.	Time for submission of G.T.P.S. granted till	Progress.	Serial number and municipal town.	Time for submission of G.T.P.S. granted till	Progress.
1 Adoni ..	31-3-40	No progress made.	43 Narasaraopet ..	..	No progress made.
2 Anakapalle ..	31-3-42	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	44 Negapatam ..	31-5-41	Do.
3 * Anantapur ..	31-3-39	Do.	45 Nellore ..	31-12-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
4 Bellary ..	31-3-41	Map 1 prepared.	46 Ongole ..	31-3-39	E.N.M.; Pending.
5 Bezvada ..	31-8-42	No progress made.	47 Ootacamund ..	30-9-42	Do.
6 Bimlipatam ..	30-9-41	Do.	48 Palacole ..	30-9-41	Map 1 being made.
7 Bodinayakkanur.	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	49 Palamecottah ..	31-3-41	No progress made.
8 Calicut ..	31-12-39	No action taken.	50 Palghat ..	31-8-39	E.N.M.; Pending.
9 Cannanore ..	..	Deferred action.	51 Paimi ..	31-7-41	No progress made.
10 Chicacole ..	31-3-41	No action taken.	52 Peddapuram ..	31-3-40	Do.
11 Chidambaram.	31-3-42	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	53 Periyakulam ..	31-3-38	E.N.M.; Pending.
12 Chingleput ..	31-3-41	Do.	54 Pollachi ..	31-12-40	Map 1 being made.
13 Chittoor ..	31-3-41	No action taken.	55 Proddatur ..	31-3-42	E.N.M.; Pending.
14 Cocanada ..	30-9-41	E.N.M.; Pending.	56 Rajahmundry.	31-3-38	No action taken.
15 Cochin ..	31-3-42	Do.	57 Rajapalsayam.	1-10-44	Do.
16 Coimbatore ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	58 Saidapet ..	31-3-40	Do.
17 Conjeevaram ..	31-3-42	Maps being made.	59 Salem ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
18 Coonoor ..	30-9-41	Map 1 being made.	60 Sivakasi ..	30-9-41	Map 1 completed.
19 Cuddalore ..	31-12-41	No action taken.	61 Srirangam ..	31-3-42	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
20 Cuddapah ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	62 Srivilliputtur ..	31-3-41	Do.
21 Devakottai ..	31-3-42	No action taken.	63 Tadpatri ..	31-3-39	No action taken.
22 Dharapuram ..	31-3-41	Do.	64 Tanjore ..	31-3-41	Do.
23 Dindigul ..	31-3-41	E.N.M. Pending.	65 Tellicherry ..	..	Deferred action.
24 Ellore ..	31-12-37	No action taken.	66 Tenali ..	31-12-40	E.N.M.; Pending.
25 Erode ..	31-3-41	Do.	67 Tirunelveli ..	31-3-41	No action taken.
26 Gudiyada ..	31-3-42	Do.	68 * Tirupati ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
27 Gudiyattam ..	31-3-41	Map 1 being made.	69 Tirupattur ..	31-3-42	No action taken.
28 Guntur ..	30-6-38	No action taken.	70 Tiruppur ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
29 * Hindupur ..	30-9-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	71 Tiruvannamalai.	31-3-42	No action taken.
30 Hospet ..	31-12-37	No action taken.	72 Tiruvarur ..	30-6-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
31 Karaikudi ..	30-9-41	Map 1 being made.	73 Trichinopoly ..	1-10-39	E.N.M.; Pending.
32 Karur ..	30-9-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	74 Tuticorin ..	31-3-41	Little progress.
33 Kodaikanal ..	30-3-41	E.N.M.; Pending.	75 * Udumalpet ..	31-3-42	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
34 Kumbakonam.	31-3-42	Do.	76 Udipi ..	31-3-42	No action taken.
35 Kurnool ..	31-3-36	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	77 Vaniyambadi ..	31-3-40	Do.
36 Madras City ..	30-9-41	Maps being made.	78 Vellore ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; R.R.R.
37 Madura ..	1-10-38	E.N.M.; R.R.R.	79 Villupuram ..	31-3-42	No action taken.
38 Mangalore ..	31-3-42	E.N.M.; Pending.	80 Virudhunagar ..	31-3-41	Do.
39 Mannargudi ..	31-8-42	Do.	81 Vizagapatam ..	31-3-41	E.N.M.; Pending.
40 Masulipatam ..	31-12-41	Maps being made.	82 Vizianagaram ..	31-3-41	No action taken.
41 Mayavaram ..	30-9-39	E.N.M.; Pending.	83 Walajapet ..	31-3-42	Do.
42 * Nandyal ..	31-12-40	E.N.M.; R.R.R.			



R
NB 211 N40
N41