



REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION
OF THE
ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS
IN THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY
FOR FASLI 1347 (1937-1938)

MADRAS
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS

R

1939

2/11, 128 N37

N39

2000

201

Court of Wards, Madras

Procs. No 3, Press, 27th Jan. 1939

Court of Wards—Administration report—Fasli 1347—Submitted to Government.

F. W. STEWART, Esq., M.C., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

D. N. STRATHIE, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Excise and Separate Revenue.

T. AUSTIN, Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Settlement.

Resolution—No. 3, Press, dated 27th January 1939.

The Court submits to Government its report on the administration of the estates under its management for fasli 1347 ending 30th June 1938.

(True extract)

S. VENKATESWARAN,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (with report, its appendices and a file of Collectors' reports).




TABLE OF CONTENTS


	Para.	Page
Estates under management	1	1
Estates handed over	2	1
Season	3	2
Holdings	4	2
Cultivation	5	2
Demand of estates	6	2
Demand, collection and balance—current	7	3
Do. arrears	8	4
Financial condition	9	4
Utilization of surplus balance	10	5
Agricultural improvements	11	5
Percentage of establishment charges and Government commis- sion to normal receipts	12	5
Maramat works.. .. .	13	5
Debts due to the estates	14	6
Debts due by the estates	15	6
Education of the wards	16	7
Suits	17	7
Religious and charitable institutions	18	8
Encumbered estates	19	8
Miscellaneous	20	9
General	21	10

APPENDIX.

	Page
A. No. I—Statement showing the ages, etc., of the wards and the periods during which the estates have been under management at the end of fasli 1347	11
B. No. II—Statement showing the area and the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under management for fasli 1347	13
C. No. III—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under management for fasli 1347	14
D. No. IV—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the estates under management for fasli 1347	16
E. Enclosure A to Statement No. IV showing particulars of receipts and charges of the estates under management for fasli 1347	18
F. Enclosure B to Statement No. IV showing the expenditure on maramat works in the estates under management including Trust institutions for fasli 1347	20
G. No. V-A—Statement showing the debts other than arrears of rent covered by bonds, etc., due to the estates under management for fasli 1347	21
H. No. V-B—Statement showing the debts due by the estates under management for fasli 1347	22

**Under the Management of the Court of Wards
for Fasli 1347**

Scale of Miles



REFERENCE

	Class	Demand Rs.
	I	Over 1 Lakh
	II	do. $\frac{1}{2}$ do.
	III	do. 10,000



Zamindari Estates
Ryotwari Estate

INDEX TO ZAMINDARIES

No.	Name	Class	Demand Rs.
1	Mandasa	I	2,14,249
2	Kurupam	I	1,76,554
3	Vizianagram	I	30,09,479
4	South Valluru Part I	I	1,09,528
5	Bagalur	III	25,957
6	Pappanad	III	36,796
7	Marungapuri	II	94,289
8	Ramnad	I	10,12,436
9	Kadambur	III	13,789
10	Singampatti	II	71,055

INDEX TO RYOTWARI ESTATE.

No.	Name.	Class.	Demand Rs.
I	Kudapalli	III	16,020

ADMINISTRATION REPORT OF THE ESTATES UNDER
THE COURT OF WARDS IN THE MADRAS PRESI-
DENCY FOR FASLI 1347 (1937-38).

1. *Estates under management.*—There were 12 estates under the Court's management at the beginning of fasli 1347. Two estates, Poravipalaiyam and Arni, were handed over to the proprietors on 1st July 1937 and 31st December 1937, respectively. Two estates, Pappanad in the Tanjore district and Doddappanaickanur in the Madura district, came under the Court's management on 6th November 1937 and 8th June 1938, respectively. The Raja of Vizianagram died on 26th October 1937 and a fresh notification was issued continuing the superintendence of the estate on behalf of his son who is a minor. There were thus twelve estates under the Court's superintendence at the end of fasli 1347.

2. *Estates handed over.*—(i) The Poravipalaiyam estate was under the Court's management for eleven years during which period the entire debt of Rs. 69,417 (including interest) was cleared. Out of Rs. 62,905 being the debts due to the estate, a sum of Rs. 41,831 was recovered and a sum of Rs. 20,570 was written off as irrecoverable. A sum of Rs. 28,821 was spent on maramat works. When the estate came under the Court's management, its annual income was Rs. 32,156 and 5,855 paras of paddy, while at the time of rendition the income was Rs. 39,023 and 8,974 paras of paddy; the cash balance at the time of assumption was Rs. 43 while it was Rs. 7,095 at the time of the rendition. An amount of Rs. 10,000 was spent on the ward's marriage. A block of 103 acres of land known as Naduppuni lands yielding an annual income of Rs. 2,000 was purchased for the estate in court auction.

(ii) The Arni estate came under the management of the Court of Wards in 1916 on an application made by the late Jagirdar under section 18 of Madras Act I of 1902; on his death in 1931 it was continued under the Court's management under section 57 of the Act. The present Jagirdar succeeded his father and to prevent the attachment and sale of a certain portion of the partible properties in execution of a decree he also made an application under section 18 of the Court of Wards Act and was made a ward by an order of the Government in 1932. The estate thus remained under the management of the Court of Wards for 21 years and 4 months.

During this period, a sum of Rs. 12,34,978 was spent on the complete discharge of debts and a cash balance of Rs. 10,132-1-7 was handed over to the Jagirdar on rendition. The beriz of the estate increased from Rs. 2,09,691 at the time of assumption to Rs. 2,30,102 at the time of rendition. The irrigation sources were improved at a total cost of Rs. 4,39,038. Further a sum of Rs. 1,20,232 was spent on maintenance and improvement of buildings and a further sum of Rs. 14,896 on other works such as repairs to roads, temples, choultries, etc. Thus during the period of the Court's management, the estate was improved in every direction.

3. *Season*.—The season was on the whole favourable in Marungapuri, Kudapalli and Kadambur. It was not satisfactory in the other estates.

4. *Holdings*.—The total area of the tenants' holding in all the estates (excluding as usual the rented villages) was 1,110,968 acres as against 1,109,296 acres in fasli 1346. Excluding Arni and Poravipalaiyam which were handed over and Pappanad and Doddappanaickanur which came under the Court's management about the middle and the end of the fasli, the area of the holdings in the remaining estates was 1,100,478 acres against 1,047,211 acres in fasli 1346. The increase was mainly in the holdings in Vizianagram and Ramnad estates.

5. *Cultivation*.—The percentage of cultivation to holdings in all the estates was 85 against 88.3 in fasli 1346. The percentages were above 70 in seven estates and below 70 in Mandasa (67.7), Pappanad (66), Marungapuri (66) and Singampatti (58). The unfavourable character of the season was mainly responsible for the low percentage in Singampatti.

6. *Demand of estates*.—The gross demand of all the estates in the fasli amounted to Rs. 48.08 lakhs, and the net demand to Rs. 47.80 lakhs, the remission under all the heads being Rs. 0.28 lakh. Excluding the two restored estates of Arni and Poravipalaiyam and Pappanad and Doddappanaickanur estates which came under management about the middle and the end of the fasli, the gross demand of the remaining estates was Rs. 47.71 lakhs against Rs. 45.82 lakhs in fasli 1346. The increase in the gross demand of the fasli was largely due to the inclusion of the figures relating to the joint family property in the Vizianagram estate. Among the estates that were under the Court's management both in faslis 1346 and 1347 there was an increase of Rs. 2.76 lakhs in the net demand of fasli 1347 which is the net result of increases in seven estates and decreases in the remaining three estates.

There was increase in Kurupam (Rs. 3,384) due to the inclusion of costs and interest in suits decreed during the year and in Vizianagram (Rs. 76,728) due to the inclusion of the amount relating to the Joint Family property and in Kudapalli (Rs. 4,060) due to the collection of premia during sales of lands on darkhast and to the collection of Court costs and interest which accrued on the outstanding balance of previous faslis. The large increase in Singampatti (Rs. 30,047) was due to the receipt in the fasli of the annuity due from the Bombay Burma Trading Corporation for the previous fasli. There was a decrease in demand in Mandasa by Rs. 17,507 and in South Valluru, Part I, by Rs. 3,078 and in Ramnad by Rs. 55,782. The decrease in Mandasa was due to decrease of the income in kind consequent on the partial failure of the crop in the fasli for want of timely and sufficient rainfall. The decrease in South Valluru, Part I was also due to the unfavourable character of the season. The decrease in Ramnad was due to the fall in price and total yield of paddy.

7. *Demand, collection and balance—Current*.—Out of the net demand of Rs. 47.80 lakhs, Rs. 28.86 lakhs were collected and Rs. 0.08 lakh written off leaving a balance of Rs. 18.86 lakhs at the close of the fasli. Excluding the subsequent collections and remissions and the amount recommended to be written off after the close of the fasli, the net recoverable balance on the 1st of October 1938 was Rs. 16.16 lakhs or 33.8 per cent of the demand. The statement below compares the percentage of balance in each estate for fasli 1347 with that of fasli 1346.

Estate.		Fasli 1346.	Fasli 1347.	Estates.		Fasli 1346.	Fasli 1347.
Mandasa		12.5	29.5	Marungapuri		17.8	9.7
Vizianagram		37.0	41.5	Kudapalli		12.4	21.7
Kurupam		38.7	35.0	Ramnad		27.0	26.3
South Valluru, Part I		5.9	6.4	Kadambur		..	..
Bagalur		22.2	7.9	Singampatti		17.0	15.0
Pappanad		..	51.0				

There was improvement in collection in Kurupam, Bagalur, Marungapuri, Ramnad and Singampatti. The balance is above 10 per cent in seven estates, Mandasa (29.5), Vizianagram (41.5), Kurupam (35), Pappanad (51), Kudapalli (21.7), Ramnad (26.3) and Singampatti (15). The heavy balances are due to the adverse seasonal conditions and the introduction of Madras Act IV of 1938 which by giving time to the ryots for payment of rent for fasli 1347 retarded the collection work to some extent. In Mandasa, the bulk of the outstanding balance is covered by suits to be filed and by amounts collectable by persuasion. As it had done in fasli 1346, the Court granted for fasli 1347 a remission of one-third of the rent for all lands in the 28 settled villages of the estates and in addition a remission of one sixth of the assessment on all the lands in the settled villages where the yield of paddy crops was two annas and less. The Sub Collector has been requested to instruct the manager to take all steps for the early realization of the balance. In Vizianagram, the bulk of the balance is covered by suits, execution proceedings and *takarars* and by amounts collectable by persuasion. The heavy balance in Pappanad was due to the failure of groundnut crop (one of the chief crops in this estate) for want of timely rains. In Kudapalli the heavy balance was due to the failure by lessees of mango and cocoanut topes to pay the lease amount, on account of the fall both in the yield and in the prices of mango and cocoanuts. In Ramnad, the heavy balance was due to the inability of the ryots to pay the arrear and the current dues together in one fasli, to the difficulties in realizing rents from the under-tenure holders and to the fact that many of the ryots were misled by the mischievous propaganda conducted in connexion with the Madras Agriculturists' Relief Act. To watch the collection work in the estate, the Court has prescribed monthly progress reports. In Singampatti the heavy balance was due to the

general failure of the 'car' (winter) crop and poor collection work. Necessary instruction has been issued to the manager for the realization of the dues.

8. *Demand, collection and balance—Arrears.*—The arrear demand at the beginning of the fasli was Rs. 49.78 lakhs of which Rs. 37.81 lakhs were outstanding at its close. The arrear balance was nil in Kadambur, Rs. 2,494 in Kudapalli, Rs. 3,391 in Bagalur and Rs. 4,427 in Singampatti. The balances were large in Vizianagram (Rs. 21,94,590), Mandasa (Rs. 1,74,047), Kurupam (Rs. 1,55, 922), South Valluru, Part I (Rs. 38,816), Pappanad (Rs. 43,355), Marungapuri (Rs. 32,905) and Ramnad (Rs. 11,30,647). The heavy balance in Vizianagram includes large amounts which are probably irrecoverable but in respect of which no action could be taken in view of the concession afforded by the Madras Agriculturists' Relief Act. In Mandasa, the bulk of the balance is covered by legal processes, and the poor collection was due partly to unfavourable season and partly to the concessions granted by the same Act. In Kurupam the large balance was due to the same general causes. The bulk of the balance in Marungapuri represents the preassumption decretal dues. The services of the Law Agent who was appointed to assist the Manager in all legal proceedings have been further extended till the end of fasli 1348. In Ramnad also, the bulk of the balance fell due in the preassumption period (fasli 1344 and earlier faslis).

Necessary instructions for improving the collection work in the various estates have been issued in the Court's reviews on the individual reports and the estates' demand, collection and balance statements.

9. *Financial condition.*—The aggregate cash balance at the beginning of the fasli was Rs. 11.96 lakhs. The total cash receipts during the fasli amounted to Rs. 50.37 lakhs. The total cash assets of the fasli were thus Rs. 62.33 lakhs. Deducting the total cash expenditure of Rs. 56.37 lakhs, the cash balance at the close of the fasli was Rs. 5.96 lakhs. Including Government promissory notes, other securities and fixed deposits of the total value of Rs. 0.75 lakh and the estimated value of grain (Rs. 1.65 lakhs) at the end of the fasli, the aggregate closing balance at the credit of the estates was Rs. 8.36 lakhs. The ordinary receipts and charges (Ayan section) of the fasli after excluding the extraordinary items was Rs. 39.00 lakhs and Rs. 49.22 lakhs respectively as shown below :—

	RUPEES IN LAKHS.
Total receipts	50.37
Deduct—	
(1) Debts recovered—Principal only	0.05
(2) Sale-proceeds of property	0.86
(3) Amount borrowed	5.50
(4) Nagadi Jasti	0.20
(5) Refunds, recoveries and deposits	4.76
	11.37
Ordinary receipts	39.00

	RUPEES IN LAKHS.
Total charges	56.37
Deduct—	
(1) Debts repaid—Principal only	3.22
(2) Investments	0.28
(3) Special purchases, donations, special ceremonies, survey charges, etc.	0.55
(4) Adjustments, refunds, recoveries and advances. ..	3.10
	7.15
Ordinary charges	49.22

10. *Utilization of Surplus balance.*—The closing balance including investments in Government and other securities and fixed deposits was Rs. 8.36 lakhs. It was over Rs. 5 lakhs in Ramnad and over Rs. 1½ lakhs in Vizianagram. It was Rs. 0.34 lakh in Bagalur, Rs. 0.31 lakh in Mandasa, Rs. 0.24 lakh in Marungapuri, Rs. 0.20 lakh in South Valluru, Part I, Rs. 0.18 lakh in Kurupam and Rs. 0.11 lakh in each of the estates of Pappanad and Kadambur. The funds available after providing for the normal charges of administration during the fasli and non-kist months of the current fasli were utilized either in the purchase of Government securities or the repayment of debts including bank loans.

11. *Agricultural improvements.*—No fresh enterprise was considered or proposed during the fasli. The ryots of the neighbouring villages are making use of the stud bulls maintained in suitable centres in the Vizianagram estate for improving the breed of their cattle. Four Agricultural farms were maintained in that estate and the Superintendent of the farms who is a Graduate in Agriculture did propaganda work. Demonstration regarding improved methods of sowing, transplanting, green manuring, were conducted in the estate for the benefit of the ryots.

12. *Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts.*—The average percentage in the fasli was 21.3 against 17.3 in the previous fasli. In none of the estates, excepting Mandasa, Kurupam, Pappanad and Ramnad has the percentage exceeded 20. It is 26.7 in Mandasa. The Sub-Collector has been asked to effect all possible economy in expenditure in the current fasli so as to keep the percentage as low as possible. The heavy percentage in Pappanad is due to the fact that only the receipts for half of the fasli have been taken into account in arriving at the percentage. It is 23.6 and 33.1 in Kurupam and Ramnad estates which are unsurveyed. Some retrenchment in staff has since been ordered in Ramnad estate.

13. *Maramat works.*—The Court devoted as much attention as possible to this important branch of administration in accordance with the needs of each estate and as far as its finances permitted. The total maramat allotment in all the estates including trust institutions was Rs. 8.51 lakhs. The value of work done amounted to Rs. 7.63 lakhs or 89.6 per cent of the allotment. Except for a

sum of Rs. 8,618 in Mandasa, Rs. 1,640 in Kurupam, Rs. 375 in South Valluru, Part I, Rs. 157 in Marungapuri and Rs. 6,777 in Ramnad, the entire value of work done in the fasli was paid for within the fasli. The total maramat expenditure in the fasli was 13.9 per cent of the total ordinary charges (Rs. 54.65 lakhs) including those of the trust institutions. The percentage of expenditure to allotment in Bagalur was only 36.2 and this was due to the fact that, during the execution of repairs to a tank in the estate, it was found that a revised estimate was necessary so that the work could not be completed in the fasli. The percentage of expenditure to allotment in Singampatti was only 53.7, due to the surrender which had to be made for want of a separate maramat staff to attend to estate work. The financial condition of the estate is such that it cannot afford a separate establishment. The percentage of expenditure to allotment in all other estates except Kudapalli ranged between 86 and 100.

14. *Debts due to the estates.*—The debts due to the several estates under management at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 31.69 lakhs. No fresh loan was advanced by any estate. Including the debts newly brought to account (Rs. 0.08 lakh) and the interest and costs that accrued during the fasli (Rs. 0.04 lakh), the aggregate amount due was Rs. 31.81 lakhs. Of this Rs. 2.64 lakhs was collected and Rs. 0.09 lakh written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 29.08 lakhs at the end of the fasli. The outstanding balances were large in Vizianagram (Rs. 19.89 lakhs) in South Valluru, Part I (Rs. 6.67 lakhs), in Pappanad (Rs. 1.39 lakhs) and in Ramnad (Rs. 0.70 lakh). Almost the entire debt in South Valluru, Part I estate, was due from the Senior Zamindar under the final partition decree of the High Court. The Official Receiver who is administering the Senior Zamindar's property did not declare any dividend during the fasli. The debts due to the Kurupam estate are covered by instalment bonds and decrees. The Zamindar of Chemudu has paid ten instalments of Rs. 3,000 each in part satisfaction of the sum of Rs. 33,000 due by him, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,000. The debts due to Vizianagram estate represent mainly the internal debt due by the Ayan section to the Joint Family Property section of the same estate newly formed. In Ramnad, the ascertainment and settlement of debts is still in progress and the Manager has been asked to report the steps taken to realize the ascertained debts. The Collector has been asked to take prompt steps to realize the debts due to the Pappanad estate.

15. *Debts due by the estates.*—The liabilities of the estates at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 82.83 lakhs. Including debts newly contracted and brought to account (Rs. 5.78 lakhs) and the interest and costs that accrued during the fasli (Rs. 2.32 lakhs), the total liability amounted to Rs. 90.93 lakhs. Of this, a sum of Rs. 19.70 lakhs was repaid and Rs. 0.13 lakh written off leaving a balance of Rs. 71.10 lakhs at the end of the fasli. Marungapuri and Kadambur had no debts. The outstanding debt in Kudapalli was Rs. 23,401. It exceeded Rs. 40,000 in Kurupam, Bagalur

and Pappanad and Rs. 84,000 in South Valluru, Part I, and a lakh in Mandasa (Rs. 1,12,355) and Singampatti (Rs. 1,21,032). It was over Rs. 35 lakhs in Vizianagram (Rs. 35,62,251) and over Rs. 30 lakhs in Ramnad (Rs. 30,74,641).

Paragraph 19 deals with the encumbered estates of Mandasa, Ramnad, Kurupam, South Valluru, Part I, Bagalur and Singampatti. The debts due by the Mandasa estate are being paid from the surplus income of the estate. In Ramnad, the process of ascertainment and settlement of debts is still proceeding according to the statutory provisions of Madras Act I of 1902. The Collector of Ramnad has been reporting his decisions on the investigated claims to the Court of Wards for its confirmation under section 40 of the Act. The Collector expects to complete the work by 1st April 1939. In Vizianagram, the debts relate to arrears of salaries, wages and loans covered by documents. The total liabilities of the estate were Rs. 52.51 lakhs of which a sum of Rs. 16.89 lakhs was repaid leaving a balance of Rs. 35.62 lakhs. The balance of debts includes the 'Internal debt' of Rs. 19.89 lakhs which the Court has since decided to treat as amount due to the joint family.

16. *Education of the wards.*—The wards of school-going age studied mostly in local schools and colleges. The Vizianagram ward and his brother continued their studies in the St. Aloysius European High School, Vizagapatam. At the instance of the Rani of Vizianagram and with the approval of the Government an English tutor, Mr. E. C. N. Adams, B.A. (Hons.), Oxon. has since been appointed for the minor Raja (ward) and his brother with the object of coaching them for Oxford or Cambridge. Two nuns under the direct supervision of the Rani Saheba are in charge of the education of the ward's two sisters. The Kurupam wards continued their studies in the Rajkumar College, Raipur. The Mandasa Ward, who continued as boarder under the care of the warden of the hostel attached to the Madras Christian College at Tambaram, failed in the First-year University class. The Bagalur Poligar's son was promoted and is studying in the second-year University class. The South Valluru ward studied in VI Form partly in the Town High School, Guntur, and partly in the Christian College High School, Madras. He was not sent up to sit for the S.S.L.C. examination. The Pappanad ward was admitted in I Form in the St. Anthony's High School, Tanjore. The senior and junior wards of Kadambur continued their studies in the Board High School, Koilpatti, under the care of their guardian Sri Vedanayaga Mudaliyar. The senior ward was promoted to IV Form and the junior ward to I Form. The Singampatti ward who was instructed by a tutor at Singampatti till February 1938, joined the St. Ignatius Convent High School at Palamcottah. He was promoted to the second class.

The health and conduct of all the wards were generally satisfactory.

17. *Suits.*—The two suits in the High Court against the Mandasa estate, one filed by Sri V. Ramachandra Rao and the other by the

illegitimate son of the late Zamindar against the decision of the Sub-Court, Berhampur, are still pending.

The appeal filed by the Kurupam estate against the decision of the Sub-Court, Chicacole, in O.S. No. 74 of 1936 (Puligammi resumption suit) is still pending.

18. *Religious and charitable institutions.*—Separate accounts continued to be maintained for the religious and charitable institutions which have separate endowments and of which the wards are the hereditary trustees. There are such institutions in Mandasa, Vizianagram, Kurupam, Kudapalli, Marungapuri, Ramnad and Singampatti. Their administration was satisfactory and their financial condition generally good. The Devasthanam section of the Mandasa estate gets a contribution from the Ayan section for the upkeep of schools, a dispensary, etc., attached to it. As this section is not self-supporting, the Court has since decided to transfer the institutions to the management of the Ayan section. The Hindu Religious Endowments Board exercises jurisdiction over the religious institutions. The claims between the Kurupam estate and the Zamindar of Chemudu relating to the mesne profits of Jammadivalasa belonging to Sri Suryanarayanawami vari temple in the Kurupam estate have not yet been settled and the matter is still under correspondence. The religious and charitable institutions in the Vizianagram estate comprise 112 temples, mosques and choultries of which 83 are endowed and 29 are not endowed. The management of these institutions was improving steadily.

19. *Encumbered estates.*—The provisions of section 45 of Madras Act I of 1902 continued to be in force in the estates of Mandasa, Kurupam, South Valluru, Part I, Ramnad, Singampatti and Bagalur. The debt due by the Mandasa estate at the beginning of the fasli was Rs. 1,14,818; adding interest and costs that accrued during the year the total liabilities were Rs. 1,22,586 of which Rs. 10,122 was repaid and Rs. 109 struck off during the fasli. Steps are being taken to discharge the balance with the surplus income of the estate as it becomes available.

The debt due by the Kurupam estate at the beginning of the fasli was Rs. 80,577; adding the debts newly brought to account (Rs. 451) and the interest and costs that accrued during the fasli (Rs. 4,084) the total liabilities of the estate were Rs. 85,112 of which Rs. 36,209 was repaid and Rs. 479 struck off during the fasli. Out of the balance of Rs. 48,424 outstanding at the close of the fasli, a sum of Rs. 36,747 was due to the Imperial Bank of India, Madras, and Rs. 2,331 to the Serugada estate in the Orissa Province.

The liabilities of South Valluru, Part I, estate at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 1,46,629; adding the debts newly brought to account (Rs. 63) and the interest and costs (Rs. 7,135) that accrued during the fasli the total liabilities were Rs. 1,53,827 of which Rs. 67,940 was repaid and Rs. 936 struck off leaving a balance of Rs. 84,951.

The only debt due by the Bagalur estate was in favour of Sujan Chand Sowcar of Madras. It was Rs. 39,823 at the beginning of the fasli and including the interest (Rs. 991) that accrued during it the debt due at the end of the fasli amounted to Rs. 40,814. The face value at the end of the fasli of the securities which are being accumulated for the repayment of this debt was Rs. 20,800.

The total liabilities of the Singampatti estate at the beginning of the fasli were Rs. 1,73,825 of which a sum of Rs. 78,123 related to claims for arrears of salaries and wages and companies' bills. Including the debts brought to account (Rs. 225) and the interest (Rs. 8,324) that accrued during the fasli, the liabilities amounted to Rs. 1,82,374 of which Rs. 61,342 was repaid, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,21,032 at the end of the fasli.

In Ramnad the liabilities at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 29.66 lakhs. Including debts newly contracted (Rs. 1 lakh), debts newly brought to account (Rs. 0.19 lakh) and interest and costs (Rs. 1.05 lakhs) that accrued during the fasli, the total liabilities amounted to Rs. 31.90 lakhs. A sum of Rs. 1.04 lakhs was repaid and Rs. 0.11 lakh struck off, leaving a balance of Rs. 30.75 lakhs at the end of the fasli. The investigation of the claims against the estate is not yet over. The Decree Collector has therefore not yet framed the scheme for repayment of debts.

20. *Miscellaneous*—(a) *Schools, dispensaries and other special institutions.*—The Vizianagram estate is maintaining a first-grade Arts College, a High School, a Sanskrit College, a Music College, a hospital known as the Maharaja's Hospital with a Leprosy Clinic, a Gosha Hospital and a Veterinary Hospital. There were three first-class passes in Part III in the Intermediate Examinations and two first-class passes in Part III of the B.A. examinations of the Andhra University. Two of the B.A.'s stood first and second under Part II in the University, of whom the former won a University prize. The Ramnad estate maintains a High School known as the Raja's High School, Ramnad. Four schools and a dispensary were maintained by the Mandasa estate.

(b) *Survey.*—A special Revenue Inspector was appointed for three months for maintenance work in the South Valluru, Part I estate. He attended to the maintenance in Koppaka Tana villages. The Kurupam estate has not been surveyed and it cannot spare funds. The Marungapuri estate was surveyed under Act XXVIII of 1861 and its financial condition does not admit of a re-survey being undertaken. Part of the Mandasa estate has not been surveyed and the survey could not be undertaken on account of the financial condition of the estate.

(c) *Forests.*—The forests in the Vizianagram estate were worked in accordance with the rules framed under sections 26 and 32 of the Madras Forest Act V of 1882 and those in Kurupam and Marungapuri in accordance with the rules under section 26 of that Act. The forests in Kurupam estate covering an area of about

21. *General.*—The general economic situation continued to affect the finances of the several estates as well as the well-being and prosperity of the ryots. The financial stability of the estates was however maintained and the cost of administration was reduced and some progress was made in the repayment of debts. There was an increase of Rs. 13,053 in the value of Government securities.

APPENDIX A.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, etc., of the wards and the periods during which the estates have been under management at the end of fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
					Ms. No. 1709, Revenue, dated 28th August 1934.	Male ..	..	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	5th May 1939.
					Ms. No. 1031, Revenue, dated 25th June 1926.	Do. ..	Do. ..	3 10 3	20 1 25	22nd August 1940.
					No. 466-S, Public, dated 25th October 1935, Ms. No. 2209, Revenue (Confidential), dated 26th October 1937 and Ms. No. 2468, Revenue (Confidential), dated 26th November 1937.	Do. ..	* Section 9 (d) the of the (Court of Wards Act—Minority.	2 8 6 14	2 0	1st May 1945.
Kistna	..	South Valluru, Part I.			No. 1119, Revenue, dated 23rd July 1924.	Do. ..	Minority ..	13 10 27	20 2 4	26th April 1939.
Salern	..	Bagalur			No. 463, Revenue, dated 16th February 1917, and Ms. No. 984, Revenue, dated 30th May 1922.	Do. ..	At the proprietor's request for discharge of debts.	21 4 0	About 43 years.	..
Tanjore	..	Pappanad			Ms. No. 2268, Revenue (Confidential), dated 6th November 1937.	Do. ..	Minority ..	9 7 25	13 2 14	17th April 1946.

* Until 26th October 1937.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, etc., of the wards and the periods during which the estates have been under management at the end of fasli 1347 (1937-38)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sex of the wards.	Grounds of disability.	The periods during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fiscal.	Dates on which the wards attained or will attain majority.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Trichinopoly.	{ Marungapuri. Kudapalli }	No. 1336, Revenue (Confidential), dated 11th July 1927. Ms. No. 2923, Revenue, dated 18th December 1919, and Ms. No. 960, Revenue, dated 20th May 1927.	Female ..	Sex ..	Y. M. D. 10 11 20	Y. M. D. 43 7 22	..
Madura	.. Doddappa-naikanop	No. 1476, Revenue (Confidential), dated 8th June 1938, and Ms. No. 1735, Revenue (Confidential), dated 8th July 1938.	Male ..	Minority ..	0 0 27	11 5 6	25th January 1948.
Ramnad	.. Ramnad	Ms. No. 1749, Revenue, dated 31st July 1935.	Do.	At the proprietor's request for discharge of debts.	2 11 1	29 0 0	..
Tinnevely	.. { Kadambur .. Singampatti .. }	Ms. No. 775, Revenue, dated 25th April 1927. Ms. No. 394, Revenue, dated 22nd February 1936.	Do. ..	Minority ..	11 2 6	14 9 28	3rd September 1944.
			Do. ..	Do. ..	2 4 8	6 9 3	27th September 1952.

APPENDIX B.

No. II.—Statement showing the area and the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	Area of estates.	Number of villages.					Holdings and cultivation.		
			Eyot or joint rent.	Rented to middlemen who either pay rent in money or in kind.	Partly ryoti and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriyam.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		SQ. MLS.						ACS.	ACS.	
Vizagapatam ..	{ Mandasa .. Kurupam .. Vizianagaram ..	129.53 700.00 (b) 2,334.76 (c)	134 103 1,121 23	5 153		(a) 27 47 321 2	166 303 1,442 25	28,089 49,558 467,295 23,896	19,026 36,334 467,295 (d) 17,248	67.7 73.3 100.0 72.2
Kistna ..	South Valluru, Part I ..	57.11	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Salem ..	Begalur ..	40.00	49	9	3	28	89	13,542	13,000	96.0
Tanjore ..	Peppanad ..	32.1	20	..	..	16	36	10,480	6,836	66.0
Trichinopoly ..	{ Marungapuri .. Kudapalli ..	177.90 ..		79 777	.. 5	.. 832	79 2,178	37,355 41,488 240 460,354	27,355 239 345,000	68.0 99.6 74.96
Ramnad ..	Ramnad ..	2,351.90	564	777	5	..	13	13,136	10,707	81.5
Tinnevely ..	{ Kadambar .. Singampatti ..	24.22 115.5	12 2	1 ..			2	2,870	1,654	58.0
	Total ..	5,962.12	2,028	1,024	8	1,273	4,333	1,110,968	944,774	85

(a) Includes seven Savara villages, including endowment section.
(b) Includes the areas of private estate.
(c) Adopted provisionally.
(d) Increase due to increase in tenants' holdings.

APPENDIX C.

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	Land, forest and miscellaneous revenue.	Deduct—Remission.	Net revenue.	Net demand of previous fasli.	Difference.	Collection.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagepatam ..	{ Mandasa ..	2,14,249	..	2,14,249	2,31,756	—	1,10,920
..	{ Kurupam ..	1,76,554	..	1,76,554	1,73,170	+	1,02,671
..	{ Vizianagram ..	30,21,417	11,938	30,09,479	29,32,751	+	18,40,104
Kistna ..	South Valluru, Part I ..	1,11,238	1,710	1,09,528	1,12,806	—	1,00,104
Salem ..	..	27,120	1,163	25,957	24,808	+	23,164
Tanjore ..	Bagalur ..	36,796	..	36,796	..	+	17,863
..	..	94,749	460	94,289	93,896	+	78,355
Trichinopoly ..	{ Marungapur ..	16,020	..	16,020	11,960	+	11,762
..	{ Kudapalli ..	10,20,136	7,700	10,12,436 (b)	10,68,218	—	5,28,694
Ramnad ..	..	14,003	214	13,789	13,730	+	13,789
..	{ Kadambur ..	75,288	4,233	71,055	41,008	+	58,945
Tinnevely ..	{ Singampatti ..	..	..	..	..	+	..
	Total ..	48,07,570	27,418	47,80,152	47,03,903	+	28,86,371

(a) Figures against Vizianagram include those of joint family property and private estate. (b) Represents revised figure.

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Amount written off.	Balance at the end of the fasli.	Net recoverable balance on the date of the Collector's report excluding collections, remission and amounts recommended to be written off after the close of the fasli.	Percentage of column (11) to column (5).	Arrear demand.	Arrear balance at the end of the fasli.
(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagepatam ..	{ Mandasa ..	..	1,03,329	63,415	29.5	2,22,547	1,74,047
..	{ Kurupam ..	135	73,748	73,242	41.5	2,00,137	1,55,922
..	{ Vizianagram ..	7,184	11,62,191	11,62,191	35.0	29,40,150	21,94,590
Kistna ..	South Valluru, Part I ..	958	8,466	7,028	6.4	53,974	38,816
Salem ..	..	..	2,793	2,049	7.9	14,157	3,391
Tanjore ..	Bagalur ..	..	18,933	18,867	51.0	85,905	43,355
..	..	57	16,877	9,155	9.7	48,986	32,905
Trichinopoly ..	{ Marungapur ..	..	4,258	3,472	21.7	3,307	2,494
..	{ Kudapalli ..	..	4,83,742	2,66,246	26.3	13,98,512	11,30,647
Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	48	..
..	{ Kadambur ..	..	12,110	10,360	15.0	10,233	4,427
Tinnevely ..	{ Singampatti ..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	8,334	18,85,447	16,16,025	33.8	49,77,956	37,80,594

APPENDIX D:

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estate.	Amounts to the credit of the estates at the beginning of fasli 1347.					Total cash receipts.	Total of columns (4) and (7).	Total charges.
		Government promissory notes and fixed deposits.	Cash.	Value of grain.	Total.				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Vizagapatam ..	{ Mandasa ..	..	32,099	29,824	61,923	1,42,252	1,74,351	1,67,086	
	{ Kurupam ..	..	21,197	1,655	22,852	1,85,594	2,06,791	1,91,023	
	{ Vizianagram ..	..	45,729	(a) 5,72,222	6,17,951	31,38,447	37,10,669	35,85,915	
Kistna ..	South Valluru, Part I ..	..	40,296	..	40,296	1,12,929	1,63,225	1,32,938	
Salem ..	Bagalur ..	..	16,800	(b) 3,982	19,782	36,515	40,497	27,240	
Tanjore ..	Pappanad ..	..	..	..	..	20,317	20,317	16,270	
Trichinopoly ..	{ Marungapuri ..	..	15,559	..	15,559	1,01,382	1,16,941	92,701	
	{ Kudapalli ..	..	1,453	54	1,507	13,038	14,491	8,761	
Rannad ..	{ Rannad ..	..	1,64,492	(b) 4,93,249	8,84,259	11,89,982	16,83,231	13,10,652	
	{ Kadambur ..	..	4,200	5,646	9,846	14,461	20,107	13,749	
Tinnevely ..	{ Singampatti ..	..	10,563	203	10,766	82,082	92,645	90,989	
	Total ..	2,30,221	11,96,266	2,58,254	16,84,741	50,36,999	62,33,265	56,37,324	

(c) Includes the amount relating to joint family property.

(b) Represents revised figure.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38)—cont.

Districts.	Estate.	Balance to the credit of the estates at the close of fasli 1347.					Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to normal receipts.
		Government promissory notes and fixed deposits.	Cash.	Value of grain.	Total.			
		(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		
Vizagapatam ..	{ Mandasa ..	..	7,265	23,990	31,255	30,668	26-7	
	{ Kurupam ..	..	16,768	1,763	17,531	5,321	23-6	
	{ Vizianagram ..	..	1,24,754	..	1,70,780	4,47,171	17-5	
Kistna ..	South Valluru, Part I ..	..	20,287	..	20,287	20,009	15-1	
Salem ..	Bagalur ..	..	13,257	..	34,057	14,275	11-6	
Tanjore ..	Pappanad ..	..	4,047	7,215	11,262	11,262	30-5	
Trichinopoly ..	{ Marungapuri ..	..	24,240	..	24,240	8,681	18-0	
	{ Kudapalli ..	..	6,730	64	5,794	4,287	10-9	
Rannad ..	{ Rannad ..	..	3,72,579	1,31,880	5,08,459	3,76,800	33-1	
	{ Kadambur ..	..	6,358	..	10,758	912	19-5	
Tinnevely ..	{ Singampatti ..	..	1,656	275	1,931	8,835	13-0	
	Total ..	75,226	5,95,941	1,65,187	8,36,354	8,48,387	21-3	

APPENDIX E.

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV showing particulars of receipts and charges of the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	Receipts.									
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Land, forest and miscellaneous revenue.	Debts recovered.	Interest on Government promissory notes.	Sale-proceeds of grain.	Amount realized by the sale of properties, such as houses, etc.	Amount borrowed.	Other items.	Total receipts.
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagapatam	{ Mandasa ..	1,14,918	..	..	..	..	15,715	3,080	..	8,539	1,42,252
	{ Kurupam ..	1,40,269	..	..	7,038	3	1,611	286	..	36,387	1,85,594
	{ Vizianagram ..	23,94,597	..	..	..	1,004	..	16,711	4,50,000	2,76,135	31,38,447
Kistna	South Valluru, Part I ..	1,06,412	..	..	..	..	..	21	..	6,496	1,12,929
Salem	Bagalur ..	34,619	..	..	..	596	..	..	..	1,300	36,515
Tanjore	Peppanad ..	14,804	..	210	..	..	702	707	..	3,894	20,317
Trichinopoly	{ Marungapur ..	92,844	..	60	..	..	..	43	..	8,435	1,01,382
	{ Kudapalli ..	1,316	..	..	..	..	11,028	..	..	694	13,038
Rannad ..	Rannad ..	5,67,594	..	1,277	..	132	2,31,544	48,357	1,00,000	2,41,210	11,89,982
Timnevelly	{ Kadambur ..	13,823	..	..	..	..	9,278	3	..	503	14,461
	{ Singampatti ..	55,220	..	61	..	..	..	16,498	..	1,025	82,082
Total ..		35,36,416	8,646	1,735	2,69,878	85,706	5,50,000	5,84,618	50,36,999		

18

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV showing particulars of receipts and charges of the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges.									
		(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	
		for	Maramat expenditure.	Government demand (peshkash, assessment, cesses and taxes).	Government commission for management.	Allowance to the ward and other members of the family.	Repayment of debts.	Investment.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagapatam	{ Mandasa ..	30,810	4,989	43,694	4,385	7,527	10,122	61	65,498	1,67,086	
	{ Kurupam ..	30,906	11,105	30,009	3,431	28,731	36,209	4,880	45,752	1,91,023	
	{ Vizianagram ..	3,94,689	3,44,494	8,24,306	49,647	5,16,466	1,95,775	16,848	12,43,690	35,85,915	
Kistna	South Valluru, Part I ..	13,234	3,596	20,167	2,827	13,387	67,891	638	11,198	1,32,938	
Salem	Bagalur ..	3,395	337	9,127	693	6,125	..	4,489	3,074	27,240	
Tanjore	Peppanad ..	4,744	403	617	..	3,819	1,794	..	4,893	16,270	
Trichinopoly	{ Marungapur ..	14,416	11,180	28,961	2,353	19,052	5,576	1,058	10,105	92,701	
	{ Kudapalli ..	1,032	399	2,753	316	3,195	..	..	1,066	8,761	
Rannad ..	Rannad ..	2,28,967	2,74,029	4,05,604	36,997	85,434	93,964	..	1,85,657	13,10,652	
Timnevelly	{ Kadambur ..	2,389	76	5,396	334	4,850	..	196	508	13,749	
	{ Singampatti ..	5,879	2,335	12,821	767	5,719	61,342	..	2,126	90,989	
Total ..		7,30,461	6,52,943	13,83,455	1,01,750	6,94,305	4,72,673	28,170	15,73,567	56,37,324	

19

APPENDIX F.

Enclosure B to Statement No. IV showing the expenditure on maramat works in the estates under management including trust institutions for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Road and other works.		Establishment and contingencies.		Total expenditure.		Allotment in the budget including subsequent modification.		Percentage of expenditure to allotment.		Value of work done but not paid for within the fasli.		Percentage of columns (9) and (12) to column (10).	
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Visagapatam ..	{ Mandasa Kurupam ..	391	1,718	173	2,007	862	1,493	6,644	16,343	40.6	8,618	93.3							
Kistna ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	2,413	..	7,145	443	1,626	11,527	13,405	85.9	1,640	98.2							
Salem ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	2,50,772	3,947	92,707	9,509	46,813	4,03,748	4,60,390	87.6	..	87.6							
Tanjore ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	2,720	..	672	..	204	3,596	3,971	90.0	375	100.0							
Trichinopoly ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	150	..	167	..	20	337	397	36.2	..	36.2							
Rannad ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	138	..	265	..	..	403	403	100.0	..	100.0							
Tinnevely ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	9,474	47	2,394	1,081	1,026	14,022	16,583	84.5	157	85.5							
	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	120	..	550	..	..	670	970	69.0	..	69.0							
	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	1,00,432	1,67,523	9,219	4,728	881	3,19,146	3,33,390	95.7	6,777	97.7							
	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	76	..	..	..	..	76	76	100.0	..	100.0							
	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	469	..	1,997	..	70	2,536	4,720	53.7	..	53.7							
Total ..		1,00,823	4,35,573	13,386	1,12,632	12,846	87,445	7,62,705	8,51,211	89.6	17,567	91.7							

20

APPENDIX G.

No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts other than arrears of rent, covered by bonds, etc., due to the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.		Loans newly granted.		Debts newly brought to account during the year.		Interests and costs accrued during the year.		Total.		Debts collected.		Debts written off.		Total.		Balance at the end of the fasli.	
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Visagapatam ..	{ Mandasa Kurupam ..	10,644	..	..	2,636	13,280	..	1,280	1,280	..	12,000	..	..	1,280	1,280	..	..	..	..
Kistna ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	37,485	..	..	740	38,225	..	7,038	7,038	..	23,743	..	..	7,444	14,482	..	..	..	..
Salem ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	22,43,125	..	..	..	22,43,125	..	2,54,410	2,54,410	..	19,38,715	..	..	..	2,54,410	..	..	..	..
Tanjore ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	6,66,951	..	..	..	6,66,951	..	..	..	..	6,66,951	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	1,40,162	..	..	..	1,40,162	..	210	210	..	1,39,454	..	..	498	708	..	..	..	..
Rannad ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	6,825	..	..	362	7,187	..	60	60	..	7,127	..	..	..	60	..	..	..	..
Tinnevely ..	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	64,132	..	..	..	64,132	..	7,860	7,860	..	69,879	..	..	79	2,113	..	..	..	..
	{ Vizianagram .. South Valluru, Part I ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..		31,69,324	..	7,860	3,738	31,80,922	2,63,752	9,301	2,73,053	29,07,869									

(a) Revised figure.

(b) Represents the debts due to joint family property.

21

APPENDIX H.

No. V.B.—Statement showing the debts due by the estates under management for fasli 1347 (1937-38).

Districts.	Estates.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
				Debts as per last year's accounts.	Debts newly contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest and cost accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts paid off.	Debts struck off.	Total.	Remainder due by the estates at the end of the fasli.
				RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagapatam	Mandasa	..	..	1,14,318	..	..	7,768	1,22,536	10,122	109	10,231	1,12,355
	Kurupam	..	..	80,577	..	451	4,084	85,112	36,209	479	36,688	48,424
	Vizianagaram	..	..	(a) 46,97,143	..	..	94,488	52,51,460	16,39,209	..	16,39,209	35,62,251
Kistna	South Valluru, Part I	..	..	1,46,629	..	63	7,135	1,53,827	67,940	936	68,876	84,861
Salem	Bagalur	..	..	39,823	..	..	991	40,814	..	..	..	40,814
Tanjore	..	..	..	42,796	..	..	1,397	44,193	1,794	..	1,794	42,399
Trichinopoly	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	22,081	..	..	1,320	23,401	..	..	..	23,401
Ramnad	..	..	..	29,65,597	1,00,000	18,932	1,04,989	31,89,508	1,03,515	11,352	1,14,867	30,74,641
Tinnevely	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	1,73,825	..	225	8,324	1,82,374	61,342	..	61,342	1,21,032
	Singampati P.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total	..	..	3,83,389	5,58,839	19,661	2,31,496	90,92,275	19,70,131	12,876	19,83,007	71,10,268

Includes the internal debt of Rs. 22,43,125.

(b) Revised figure.



Government of Madras

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 547, 1st March 1939

Court of Wards—Administration Report—Fasli 1347—Recorded.

READ—the following paper :—

Proceedings from the Court of Wards, No. 3, Press, dated 27th January 1939.

Order—No. 547, Revenue, dated 1st March 1939.

Recorded.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

B. G. HOLDSWORTH,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
" Public Department.
" Public Works Department.
" Secretariat and Council Libraries.
Press.

Z 211, 128 (N3)

N39